inside the chess mind



how players of all levels think about the game

EVERYMAN CHESS TACOB AAGAARD

Copyrighted Material

First published in 2004 by Gloucester Publishers plc (formerly Everyman Publishers plc), Northburgh House, 10 Northburgh Street, London ECTV 0AT

Copyright © 2004 Jacob Aagaard.

The right of Jacob Aagaard to be identified as the author of this work has been asserted in accordance with the Copyrights, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the publisher.

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 1 85744 357 8

Distributed in North America by The Globe Pequot Press, P.O Box 480, 246 Goose Lane, Guilford, CT 06437-0480.

All other sales enquiries should be directed to Everyman Chess, Northburgh House, 10 Northburgh Street, London EGEV 020T. 60:00 7253 7887 fax: 020 7490 3708 email: info@everymanchess.com website: www.everymanchess.com

Everyman is the registered trade mark of Random House Inc. and is used in this work under license from Random House Inc.

EVERYMAN CHESS SERIES (formerly Cadogan Chess) Chief advisor: Garry Kasparov Commissioning editor: Byron Jacobs

Typeset and edited by First Rank Publishing, Brighton. Cover design by Horatio Monteverde. Production by Navigator Guides. Printed and bound in the United States by Versa Press.

Copyrighted Material

Copyrighted Material

CONTENTS

	Introduction	
1	The Test Positions	1
2	The Participants	1
3	Test Position 1	2
4	Test Position 2	3
5	Test Position 3	56
6	Test Position 4	6
7	Test Position 5	6
B	Test Position 6	7.
9	Test Position 7	8:
0	Test Position 8	9.
1	Test Position 9	11.
2	Test Position 10	12.
3	What can we learn from the Tests?	142

has ever been done before. It has. The Dutch psychologist and chess fan Adriaan de Groot tested a lot of top chess players in the late 30's and early 40's (the decades, not the age groups). This led to different conclusions, which it is logical to relate to.

But then we are already at the second consideration. What lead of book would I like to write, and with that comes what laid of book would I personally have Bled to read, had I not disposed of my last name in favour of my middle name at the age of 12 (which would have meant that someone clee would have been adoed to write the book). I quieble, onem to the condision that a scientifically-oriented book was not really something that interested me. What facinitisted me about this project was the diversity I expected in the teast, originating from the test subjects different personalities, age, kilosyncrasies and backgrounds. This is what I have tried to bring into the book by quoting the players as precisely as possible while still miding sense; it, avoiding redundancy and less coherent talking or, in other words, changing spoken language into written language.

Soil, though this book should be seen mouth as an empirical study – where the author has allowed the nuturalist to take incon form, and found himself messed up and confused as a needre-year old boy in a nodist colory – there has been some room for basic questions and conclusions along the way. The main conclusion is the limitation of the experiment as such. It almost instantly became obvious to me that the selection of exercise could have been elevered and more nounded. More, that in order to make any conclusions of selemific value, more tests would have been needed. Probably the ferent exercises from time to time, allowing the possibility of reshaping the tests based on the conclusions of the first sear of results. For practical reasons this was not possible, mainly because it was hard to summon the gasts to ask people like Pert Helien Nichest and Army Ususpow to participate even once in the testing, Asking them to participate almost regularly would simply have been impossible – and indecent.

Another important conclusion was that performance in the tests would never fully correspond with the actual plasing strength of the different participants. (This goes beyond the obvious diversity of form on the day.) Practical use of and knowledge about opening throwly does not play a great part in the testing (mainty because an author of many opening books, the subject has a tendency to be represented enough in my writing alterably, inclusif costs the ability to see simple teacties, nor the probleoglead aspect of the game; minor piece endings and pawn endings are not represented, changing pointions are simply absent; dozed positions also didn tog estimate, and the acceptance of the problem of the prob

What is very important for me to stress – Silence now, Shut that book and listed. Hey! Open it again, I was just lishding – is that the cuercies are not meant to be competitive in any way. To judge the performance of Peter Heire Nielsen against Youspow, or Skowgaard against Skowgaard is not really interesting, as it would in no way reflect how these people would do in a real over-the-board pame. The strength of a player in a fluent situation is not the same as that of the test room. The positions was the manufally appeal more to some than others, and concentration level will not be that of the tournament hall for everyone. Talking into a microphone might decrease the abilitties of one and increase the ability of another.

What is interesting is to examine the thought process itself, and try to indige when it is suncecesfol, and why. Not that there will be an area on how best to think, or a certain algorithm to follow. There simply cannot be, as where is no single amove. But with all its insperfections and recreation, this is obeloable and these as the sport of the mirel. It is a deep study of ten positions of different origin and character, and an insight into what different cheep shares of different strength have thought about them. This can be used as infortainment or even as a mirror to the reader, who is of course invited to do the exercises himself.

Rather than giving answers, this book sets out to pose spacisions. Rather than to teach and preach as muth, this book is intelligent. Ruther than being scientific or in-structional, this book warns to inspire and communicate. Chess is a game of beautifigation, as well as the greatest possible meaning to our many-sided timeligation, as well as the greatest possible the control to our many-sided instinging to the service of the sets are at and as a competitive sport. It is where we can be reveated for our attempts to grasp the depth of this artistic combinatorial mathematics with moments of clarity and reveation.

This book is dedicated in great gratitude to its heroes: the people who were brave enough to open up their minds for the rest of us to gain some insight into the diversity of chess thinking.

> Jacob Aagaard, Copenhagen, May 2004.

CHAPTER ONE

The Test Positions

The following ten positions will be discussed for the next 150 pages of this book by chess players at all levels. But first you have the chance to compare your own findings with those of GMs peter Hein. Netche and Artur Yusupow, as well as those of IM Jesper Hall, Der. Por Timmermans, 1962; 815, and 1962; 810, and 1964; and other really nice and smart people who were kind enough to help me with this limle project.

The best method would probably be to try to solve the exercises in exactly the same fashion as they did. In order to do this you need to have a tape recorder or recordable minidisk, or just a microphone inserted into a computer. In case the reality check is of less importance to you, you can of course jot down candidate moves and the most important main lines on a piece of paper. Only for Test Position 2 you need to have opposition of some kind: either a friend who can use the comments in this book to play the strongest reply to almost any move you can come up with, or maybe more realistically, by playing the position

against a strong chees-playing program such as Pritg. 8. Here the computer should be given at least 10 seconds to come up with an answer, but not much more than that. That is if your computer has 256 MB RAM and a processor of at least 1000 MBL. If your computer is slower, then give it more time. If you do not own a computer you can ry the old trick with a piece of paper and the solutions.

I would recommend that you do all the tests before proceeding with the book, as did the subjects, though the order in which you do them is completely irrelevant. This will give a more continuous thought process: you will be concentrated and not starting from scratch each time. To complete the test will take somewhere around three hours all in all. The main reasons for doing these exercises as a reader is that, if you have not faced these positions with uncertainty yourself, it will be very difficult to associate with the challenges and difficulties of the participants in this experiment. The danger is that you will then find it less valuable to go through their

differently structured thought processes. The second best option is that you look at the positions and then follow the thought processes of the participants in order to learn more about the position as you ago along, In both cases it is highly important that you refuse in much tooking at the makes of the position as the makes of the position as the makes of the position and makes to the different contrate before the makes of the position of t

Imagine that you do not know the rules of chess and then try to look at the board without seeing possible moves, but only chunks of wood. It should not be possible. Or that you do not see possible captures. You cannot unlearn the rules are

chess or forget how to take a piece. Equally, only with the assistance of time would you be able to forget the solutions, and be able to meet the positions anew. But it would be strange to go through the solutions to the 10 exercises and then put the book away in a drawer for three years before you continue reading it. So why not forget about booking at the

solutions for now, and take a trip into the unknown together with these wonderful and intelligent and highly different people, who have been so kind as to look at these exercises and share their thought processes, well aware of the mistakes they were bound to commit.

Without further ado, let us turn to the different positions.

Test Position 1



White to move. Take 5 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 2



White to move. Take 30 minutes and play the white position as if it was a game and you had 30 minutes to the end of the game. This can be done against a friend who is finding the relevant replies in this book, or against a computer chess-playing program like Firitz.

Test Position 3



White to play. Take 7 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 4



White to move. Take 10 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 5



Black to move. Take 6 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 6



White to move. Take 8 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 7



White to move. Take 5 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 8



Black to move. Take 12 minutes to find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 9



find the strongest continuation, talking into a microphone or writing down your thoughts afterwards.

Test Position 10



continuation. Do this talking to a microphone or taking notes on a piece of paper at timely intervals. Take the time you find necessary and write it down too.

CHAPTER TWO

The Participants

Grandmaster Artur Yusupov

Arrur is originally from Moscow, but now less with his wife and his reso children, a 12-year-old girl and a 10-year-old pitch and a 10-year-old pitch and a 10-year-old pitch with a 10-year-old pit

player, but these days he calls himself a professional trainer – probably!

At the age of 43 he has an Elo rating of 2589 and is ranked 7th in Germany and 142 in the world (as of January 1st 2004). According to this author, this is mainly due to his peaceful nature and lowered ambitions; Yusupov is still a very strong player.

During the testing Yusupov was calm and focused, scratching his legendary big red beard, mumbling out phrases in English, often with Russian syntax. His attitude towards the test was generally very relaxed and full of adventure. It no longer consider myself a professional player, he would say. 'I no longer do any training and I am no longer in top shape.' To the fact that he had recently departed from FIDE's top 100 list, he exclaimed: 'What a r dielf.' Now I no longer have to worry about such silly thines as traite.

Testing Yosupov was a very pleasant experience, except for a most unfortunate feature: during the fourth test position the microphone broke. The failure to the microphone was minor, but sufficient to ruin the taping. This was only discovered some days after the test had finished and the poor writer had few choices at his disposal:

One was to completely disregard the participation of this, the most famous of participants. Definitely not fair to the readers, though it would save the author's face.

Another was to rearrange the test, hypnotise the German grandmaster and make him lose all recollection of the positions and do the test all over. Unfortunately the writer did not possess the abilities required for this Harry Potter solution, nor the owl-number of Harry Potter himself. So he was left with:

The third and only option. When the mistake was discovered the writer had a complete fit and threw his laptop our the window. A few minutes down the line, when no improvement to the situation had been detected, the writer did the only possible thing. He sat down and reconstructed Yusspon's answer with thought to the best of his ability. These writers of this book would like to enders for the when the dependent of the sense of a complete account of the testing of Grandmaster Yusspon. However, the work of t

overall value from this obvious flaw.

On the way I would like to thank God for yet another sublime lesson in humility. If you continue this way I am sure very small things are in store for me indeed.

The game I have chosen to present Yusupov is one he himself considered to

Yusupov-Sokolov Moscow Championships 1988

Catalan Opening

1 d4 166 2 c4 e6 3 g3 d5 4 1g2 dxc4 5 163 c5 6 0-0 1c6 7 1e5

Sokolov played this line all the time against the Catalan in the 80's.

8 © xc417

Not the main choice at the time; 8 2)a3 was more mainstream.

8...cxd4 9 ±14 0d5 10 0d6+ ±xd6 11 ±xd6 0de7 12 0d2 0-0 13 ₩b3 ±c8 A new move at the time.

14 ⊕c4 ∏e8

In Informant 46 Yusupov gives 14. East 51.5 &cc 20xb3 16 &cd 8 &cast 17. &c 3 c clearly better for White, but after 17. &c 3 c clearly better for White, but after 17. &c 2 it is is not so clear, as White cannot play 18 \(\frac{1}{2} \) because of 18. \(\frac{1}{2} \) did White would still keep the advantage thought.

15 ≣fd1 @f5 16 &c5 e5

Black is trying to cling on to the extra pawn – his excuse for giving away the two bishops.

16...豐f6 is brutally met by 17 g4! ⊕h4 18 急xc6! and Black is very weak on the dark squares and might be about to lose material.



17 e4!

A wonderful positional decision. Black is allowed to have a passed pawn, but White has the initiative and the two bishops, and therefore also the advantage.

17. Ø/fe7

The alternative was 17...\(\tilde{D}\)h6 18 \(\tilde{D}\)d6 \(\tilde{A}\)e6 19 \(\tilde{D}\)xe8 \(\tilde{B}\)xe8 20 \(\tilde{D}\)h3 \(\tilde{D}\)a5 21 \(\tilde{B}\)d5 and White wins the exchange. The endgame is only slightly better for him, but in practice this might prove to be

torture for Black.

18 @d6 Ef8 19 @xb7 This is not about winning a pawn, as it

does not do so, but about eliminating the black bishop.

19...@xb7

19... #c7!? was probably better here. 20 @xb7 ≅b8



21 Wa6!

After 21 2 ve7 5 ve7 22 Wya7 Vusupov gives 22... #d6! and Black has good counterplay along the open files and against the ill-placed white queen. In our time and age Fritz 8 thinks the position is simply equal.

Yusupov thinks that 22... #c8 is bet-

ter, but after 23 2a3 he likes the compensation given to him by his two bishops and the better coordination. 23 Øf1!

The second bishop is brought into play.

23 f512 24 0 a3 IIb6 24...fxe4? 25 &xb2 響xb2 26 響b3+

and Black will have a difficult endgame. 25 ovf5 Oh4

25. Exf5 26 Eacl was the alternative (preferable according to Yusupov), but White is much better here as well.

26 Hab11 @ec62

After this White is able to organise a strong attack. Necessary was 26...Ded5 when Yusupov was intending 27 Ac4 空h8 28 全xb4 基xb4 29 基xb4 響xb4 30 Wxb4 with excellent winning chances in the endgame. White has a superior bishop against knight and the black king

has difficulties getting into the game.

27 & a2 IId8

27. Ec8 28 ≜xb4 €xb4 29 a3 €c6 30 草yh6 響yh6 31 草cl and White wins material.

28 #xc6! @xc6 29 Exb6 Wxb6 30 ₩c4+ \$h8



31 Wf7!

piece.

With the threats 32 \$ f8 and 32 f6.

31... Hg8 Forced, If 31... 5b4 32 f6! \$\mathbb{\mathbb{H}}g8 33 fxg7+ Exg7 34 Wf8+ and White wins a

32 t6 Wd8 33 0 e71 With the idea 34 fxe7+ and 35 \$6.

33 ... 0xe7 34 fxe7 @d7 35 Ed3! With the idea 36 \$\mathbb{Z}f3 and 37 \mathbb{\mathbb{W}}\text{xg8+} winning.

35 h6

35...e4 36 基xd4! 管xd4 37 管xg8+ \$\text{deg} 8 38 e8\$ mate. 36 H13 Hc8

This attempt at counterplay is futile, probably the product of time trouble. 37 Wf8+ wh7 38 IIf7 IIc1+ 39 dg2 @c6+ 40 dh3 @e6+ 41 dh4 1-0

Grandmaster Peter Heine Nielsen

Peter is a 30-year-old grandmaster, born May 1973, living in Aarhus, Denmark. He is currently Scandinavia's number one by a narrow margin, but will soon lose this position due to a few bad results. But that he belongs to the absolute top here is beyond question. He is well known for his preparation and for his great physical size: 1 metre and 98 cm in height he is a true tower, terribly intimidating when he leans over the board.

His best result so far was to win the North Sea Cup in Esbjerg 2001 together with Peter Svidler, but I am sure that even greater things will happen to him over the years. His Elo at the time of writing is 2638, but as said he will lose a few points on the next list.

Outside of tournament life he is sinele, at times a history student, and also the joint author of The Accelerated Drugon with Carsten Hansen (Batsford 1998).

During the test Peter had a few problems concentrating but, as he said, these kind of exercises are not the natural playing field for him, which also explains some of his mistakes. He sees himself more as a intuitive player.

Here is a very attractive game from him, in which he beat the Bulgarian no.2.

Nielsen-Kir. Georgiev Istanbul Olympiad 2000

Semi-Slav Defence 1 d4 0f6 2 0f3 d5 3 c4 c6 4 0c3

e6 5 2g5 h6 6 2h4 dxc4 7 e4 g5 8 @q3 b5 9 @e2 @b7 10 h4 q4 11 @e5 h5 12 0-0 @fd7?! Certainly an invitation. Peter's first

thought was: is this really possible? His answer to this question was: probably



13 Oxf7!!

With this sacrifice White opens the black king's position for a perpetually ongoing attack.

13... 1xf7 14 f3 1/g8

14...gxf3 was played in another game, but did not help Black much.

15 fxq4 e5 16 q5! Peter Heine explains: 'The idea is to

take on h5 with bishop, as well as controlling f6, making sure Black's knights will have their problems protecting their king." 16 gxh5 was also dangerous for Black.

16...exd4

16...#e8!? was maybe better, but after 17 d5 Perer thinks that the white attack is very dangerous. An important point here is that it is hard for Black to develop the queenside, as the pieces seem to be in

following line given by Peter shows how

each other's way.

17 0 xh5 ⊞h7 White's attack is very strong. The lowing line given by Peter shows how dangerous the attack is. 17...dxc3 18 皇f7+ 雪g7 19 響d4+ 包f6 20 響xc3 雪xf7 21 基xf6+ \$e8 22 基e6+ \$h7 23 實f3 and White wins

18 ≜g6 ₩e7

Against 18... \$27 the German grandmaster Christopher Lutz gives the following winning line 19 Wh5 We7 20 器f3! dxc3 21 器af1 when Black has no defence. He might in principle be two pieces up, but he has 3 or 4 pieces out of play, so White has more pieces where it counts; e.g. 21...₩e6 22 &f7+ \(\frac{1}{2}\) xf7 23 器xf7 单o7 24 g6 and White wins.



19 0 46!

A wonderful shot that increases the pressure with gain of tempo.

19...\#q7

19. #xd6 loses to 20 @xh7+ @xh7 21 Wh5+ wg8 22 g6 We7 23 If7 and it seems like it is all over. A computer might come up with 23... 16 24 Exf6 exb2 28 Haf1 and White wips with 29 H67

20 息xh7+ 資xh7 21 資g4! After destroying the black pawn struc-

ture on the light squares, White can enter as he pleases.

21...\$xd6 22 We6+ \$h8 23 \$f7 and White wins.

22 0 xf8 0 e5

21... g6

Black cannot save the position. After 22... 2xf8 White wins in the following way: 23 \$\mathbb{I}\$xf8+ \$\mathbb{U}\$xf8 24 \$\mathbb{I}\$f1+ \$\mathbb{U}\$e7 25 重f6 響g8 26 響f4 ②d7 27 響d6+ 含d8 28 e5 \$\dot 68 29 \$\dot 62 \dot 62 \dot 23 30 e6 \dot 6xb2 31 **賞**xd7+ **\$\\$**b8 32 **\$\\$d6+ \$\\$c8** 33 e7 b1 **\$\\$\\$+** 34 \$\psi h2 and wins (Nielsen).

23 Wh3 9bd7 24 de7 Ie8 Black accepts simply being material

down as well as under attack. But then 24...dxc3 25 h5 We8 26 We6+ Wh7 27 Wh6+ \$28 28 \$6 and Black is toast. 25 h5 @g7 26 &f6 @xf6 27 gxf6 ₩17 28 III5 4h7 29 ₩g3 dxc3 30 Exe5 cxb2 31 Ef1 Exe5 32 Wxe5 ±c8 33 ₩xb2 ±e6 34 ₩e5 b4 35

耳f3 c3 36 耳g3 c2 37 管f4 c1管+ 38 Wxc1 Wxh5 39 Ha5 Wh4 40 a3 Wh3 41 Ig7+ wh8 42 Wa5 1-0 International Master Jesper Hall

Chess writer, teacher and player. 32 years old. Elo 2487. Currently working on both chess books and a children's book. From Lund in Sweden, but has recently purchased a house in the countryside with his wife, with whom he has a baby daughter.

Hall-Sadler

German Bundesliga 2002 Caro-Kann Defence

1 e4 c6 2 0 c3 d5 3 0 f3 This is a less standard variation, which

suits the non-theoretical and nonstandard Hall well. 3... 2q4 4 h3 2xf3 5 Wxf3 @f6 6 d3

e6 7 2d2 abd7 8 q4 b5!?

Many moves have been played here. 9 g5 b4 10 @d1 @g8 11 @e3

11 d4 with a slight edge was also possible.

11... 2d6 12 h4 @e7 13 h5 Ib8 14

₩q2 2e5 15 Ib1 15 0-0-07? would bring the white king

into a true thunderstorm, as the majority of the white pieces are aimed at the kingside. Black would have a winning attack after 15...\wa5!. 15...\wc7

15. &c3! (Lukacs) was stronger, in

order to rid White of the two bishops. 16 2e2 a5 17 Hh4 Hb6?!

This looks very artificial. Better 17...a49 with unclear play (Lukaes). 18 Wh3 &c3! 19 g6 hxg6 20 hxg6 Exh4 21 axf7+ 4xf7



White is always better now. He has fewer pawns islands, and Black will suffer from the weakness of the g-pawn for a very long time. Theoretically that is, In the game he just loses that pawn without much resistance.

22 Wxh4 @f6 23 bxc3 bxc3 24 #xb6 cxd2+ 25 \$xd2 \$xb6 26 e5!

White starts his attack on the black





28 @xg6+!

This tactic decides the game.

28... 0xo6 29 Wh7+ 4f8 30 Wxo6 ₩b4+ 31 de2 de7 32 Wg7+ dd8 33 Wg8+ de7 34 Wg5+ de8 35 @g4 Wc3 36 dd1 Wd4 37 @f6+ @xf6 38 \xf6 \xg4+ 39 \d2 c5 40 a3 a4 41 f3 Wo8 42 wc3 wd7 43 Wf4 d4+ 44 dd2 dc6 45 We4+ \$56 46 Wa4 Wf7 47 f4 \$55 48 \$e2 ₩b7 49 ₩f3 ₩b7 50 dd2 db6 51 \$61 Wh4 52 We4 W12 53 \$62 Wd2 54 Wg6 Wc3+ 55 Wb1 Wxa3 56 Wxe6+ &c7 57 Wc4 Wh4+ 58 &a2 #47 59 45 a3 60 Wh3 1.0

Dr. Ivo Timmermans

Ivo Timmermans is a medical doctor from Maastricht, Holland. He was born famously on April 1st 1960. When his parents sent a telegram to his grandparents, they replied, 'Ha ha, very funny,' For the last 12 years Ivo has worked as drug researcher for different biotech companies. He is single and with no children is not responsible for overpopulating the planet.

His highest ever Elo was 2295. In the past few years he has circled around 2200, but recently he has improved somewhat and now has 2237 Elo. His playing level varies much from day to day; one day he an best two strong IMs, as indeed happened at Santa Domingo 2003, and the next he can lose like a child. His best result is probably Gromigen Open 1997, a 2400 performance where he had a gare with the abstract performance of the control of the conbine from China (Cambri 1998), which comes highly recommended.

mentioned that Ivo has twice completed an Ironman triathlon (swim 2.4 miles, bike 112 miles, then run a marathon), has run a marathon in just over 3 hours, has a helicopter licence and a brilliant sense of humour.

Of his other achievements it could be

The following game justly makes him proud.

Timmermans-Hennigan Boca Chica 2003 Sicilian Defence

This is the second game in a double round in Santa Domingo. In the morning Ivo had won against Rene Stern, a very strong German IM, with Black in only 25 moves. Here, in the afternoon, he proved to himself that it was no coincidence.

1 e4 c5 2 ©f3 ©c6 3 d4 cxd4 4 ©xd4 ©f6 5 ©c3 d6 6 ±g5 e6 7 @d2 a6 8 0-0-0 ±d7 9 f4 ±e7 10 ©f3 b5 11 ±xf6 gxf6 12 ±b1 b4?!

12...豐b6 is considered more solid. 13 全e2 曾b6 14 f5 e5

This is considered forced. The threat

of White's last move is to play as follows: 14...a5 15 ℃14 ₩ES 16 fxc6 fxc6 17 g3 Œa7 18 &h3 and the light squares in the black camp are under heavy fire (Radulov-Padevskij, Bulgaria 1975).

15 ∰h6 ⊕a7

This is a new idea and though it bods dubious, it might be the entire line which is to blame. A previous game continued 15...0-0 16. \$\int_{26}\$ 3 \(\text{La}\$ 1 \) 7 \(\text{Lh}\$ 1 \) \$\int_{26}\$ 5 \(\text{Lh}\$ 2 \) 17 \(\text{Lh}\$ 1 \) \$\int_{26}\$ 6 \(\text{Lh}\$ 1 \) 1955, and now White could have gained a clear advantage with 18 \(\text{Lh}\$ (the because of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{W}\$ for \$\text{Lh}\$ 2 \(\text{Lh}\$ \text{Lh}\$ 2 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (with the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{W}\$ for \$\text{Lh}\$ 2 \(\text{Lh}\$ \text{Lh}\$ 2 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the cause of 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 1 \) \$\text{Lh}\$ (the 18...\(\text{Lh}\$ the 18...\(\text{Lh}\$

winning. 16 ⊘g3

Ivo correctly targets the black camp where it is weakest. First he develops, then he strikes.

16...⊙b5 17 ±c4 ₩c5 18 ±b3 a5 19 ⊙h5 If8

19...a4 20 ≜xf7+! \$\d\ 21 €xf6 and White is winning.



20 @g5!!

The only inactive minor piece is brought into the game. If White had played with less imagination the game would probably have ended with a draw: 20 \(\frac{2}{2}\) \(\text{TeV} \) (23 \(\text{TeV} \) (23 \(\text{TeV} \) (25 \(\text{xeV} \)) and Black even wins) 23. @a3+ 24 bxa3 Wxc2+ with perpetual check to come. 20...fxq5

Black is also worse after 20...a4 21 @g7+ \$d8 22 @xf7+ \$c7 23 @e6+ £xe6 24 £xe6 a3 25 \$\frac{1}{2}\$d5 \$\frac{10}{2}\$c6 26 \$\frac{12}{2}\$xb5! managed White has managed to protect his king and win some pawns. Black's fragile king and his inability to activate his rooks gives him a bleak fu-

21 f6 &c6

21... 2d8 22 Dg7 mate.

22 fxe7 @xe7 23 @xq5+ @d7 24 Ø16+ de8? Better was 24... \$27 when White needs to find 25 We7! (25 Oxh7 Zo8 26

響e7+ \$b6 27 響xf7 基xg2 28 €1f6 a4 29 &c4 Od4 is not clear) 25...Od4 26 Ed2 with a clear advantage. 25 @f5+ &c7 26 Oxh7 a4 27 Oxf8!

Everything has been calculated very accurately.

27...axb3

White is technically winning 28 Wyf7+ 4h6



29 axb3!

Again a matter of accuracy. After 29 cxb3? White loses to 29...\$xe4+ 30 \$a1 其xa2+! 31 \$xa2 \$)c3+ 32 bxc3 費a5+ 33 dob2 Wa3 mate. 29 5144

29...Dc3+ looks tempting, but White wins with 30 bxc3 wxc3 (30...bxc3 31 ₩c7+ \$xc7 32 De6+ \$b6 33 Dxc5 and it is all over) 31 @)d7+ @xd7 32 Axd6+ 盒c6 33 耳xc6+ 管xc6 34 耳f1 and Black has no chance

30 Exd4! exd4 30 World 31 Dec Word 32 We7+

\$\dagger\$55 33 \dagger\$xd6 and White wins. 31 006

The start of a brilliant cooperation between the knight and the queen. 31 ... We5 32 Wc7+ wb5 33 @d8

It is beautiful, don't you think? 34... #d5 35 @xd6+ da6 36 @xe4 Wye4 37 Wc4+ cha5 38 Wc5+ cha6 39 If1 1-0

Tom Skovgaard

0 ve4 34 0471

Born month and year: February 1951 Profession: IT consultant Height: 1,78m Family status: Married, 4 children Highest Elo rating ever: 2076 (current) Best result: No. 1 with 41/2/5 in 'Sydkysten', October 1998 (performance rating

Residence: Skovlunde, Denmark

2384; average of the opponents' rating Skovgaard-Mortensen Danish Primary League 1972

Sicilian Defence 1 e4 c5 2 @f3 e6 3 d4 cxd4 4 @xd4

a6 5 åd3 @c6 6 åe3 @c7 7 0-0 Øf6 8 Øb3 åe7 9 Øc3 b5 10 a3 0-0 11 f4 d6 12 @f3 &b7 13 @b3 e5 14

20200

f5

14 5 d5 is a more obvious choice, but as the text move turns out to work well, there are no reasons for complaints.

14 Ød8?!

This does not seem like the most natural move., but still it is not the reason for Black's downfall. Still it was more natural to play something like 14. \$\a5P \text{ with chances for both sides.} 15 q4 h6 16 Xae1

16 g519 is more thematic, opening lines on the kingside.

16 6 682

After this Black has simply wasted two tempi. Necessary was 16...d5! with the idea 17 exd5 2xa31? and the position remains complicated, even though White

is to be preferred. 17 If3 Iad8



18 0 xh6!

White is ready to begin his attack. No piece could be improved upon. 18...Ød4

The only move. If 18...gxh6 19 Wxh6 ②h7 20 Ih3 &h4 21 f6! leads to mate. 19 IIa3 d5 g6 fxg6 23 fxg6 &h4 24 Wxh4 De6 25

White has a winning attack after

32 Wxq6! 19... €xb3 20 g5 €e8 21 £xg7! €xg7 22

A nice way to end the show. 32...fxg6 33 f7 mate

4 xd5 26 exd5 and Black has all the problems one could possibly invent for him. 20 a5!

White does not besitate.

20...@h7

The win against 20...De8 is less obvious, but still to be found: 21 f6! @xb3 22 \$x07! \$\x07 23 exd5 \$c5+ 24 \$h1 and Black is soon to be mated.

21 2xq7! Wb6 21... \$\prescript{\pr\

22 db1 22 Dxd4 was perhaps slightly more

accurate.

22...dxe4 23 €xe4 ±c8 23...\$\psixg7 24 f6+ \(\textit{\rm x}\)f6 25 gxf6+ \$\psi\h8\$ 26 \squage 7 still mates.

24 ≜xe5 €xf5

24. @xb3 25 Wxh7+! Wxh7 26 Kh3+ and Black is mated.

25 @f6+ @xf6 26 gxf6+ @h8 27 0.xf5 White has won a piece and the attack

continues. 27...¢xf5 28 Wxf5 Wc6+ 29 We4 ₩c8 30 Hg4 Hg8 31 Hh4 Hg6



Peter Skovgaard

Peter lives with his family in Skovlunde, Denmark, which obviously includes his father Tom. He was born in April 1990 and still attends school. (In Denmark we have a system of 1st to 9th grade of compulsory schooling.) He is a slender boy of normal build (1 metre and 67 cm tall) with a pleasant mood. He is into computer games, sports and other usual teenage activities.

His Elo has been circling 2050 for some time. It is my impression that he is very talented, but raw talent is only an opportunity. He is a generally talented boy, likely to find happiness in whatever endeavour he pursuits.

During the test Peter was focused and relaxed, not at all intimidated. I attach this to his general easy-going character. and not to the fact that we know each other. Occasional lapses in concentration are bound to happen to 13-year-olds, and did to Peter. Still, his overall performance left a positive impression.

His best result by far is the 2003 Nordic championship in his age group. The Nordic countries include Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Finland, Iceland and the Danish self-ruling colony, the Faeroe Islands. He won this event with 41/5/6 in a convincing fashion, even though he occasionally was in trouble. When I asked him to present a game for this book, he chose the following swift kill.

Skovgaard-Olsen

Lynoby 2003 Modern Defence (Express notes by P. Skovgaard) 1 e4 c6 2 d4 q6 3 @c3 d5 4 @f3 ±g4 5 ±e3 ±g7 6 h3 ±xf3 7 ₩xf3 e6 8 4d3 Pe7 9 Ed117 f517 10 e5 0-0 11 @e2!

The knight is going to f4. The e6pawn is weak.

11...b5

12 @f4 Wd7 13 Wq3!

....06-05 is prevented and the attack on the kine initiated.

13...@a6 14 h4 @b4 15 h5 df7 16 hxq6+ hxq6

Now comes the winning attacking



17 £xf5!! 17...@xf5

The bishop can be captured by three pieces, but all these moves lose.

If 17...gxf5 18 Ah7 Ag8 19 h5 Og6

18 Wxg6+ de7 18...\$\dot{g}8 also does not last: 19 €\xe6

②xc3 (19...其行 20 響h7 mate or 19... ②xc2+ 20 雪d2) 20 雪h7+ 雪行 21 晉xg7+ 含xc6 22 基h6+ and so on... 19 q4

The exact continuation.

19...@xc2+ 20 dd2 @fxd4 21 ₩xq7+ #f7 21... 金e8 22 管xd7+ 全xd7 23 置h7+ \$\\$\\$e8 24 \$\\$c1! and Black loses material.
22 \$\\$\\$g6+ \$\\$\\$d8



23 @g8+! 1-0

Black resigned as the rook on a8 is

Sidsel Heeg

Sisted is 23 years old, a student of political science, living in the heart of Copenhagen, with a penthouse view over the city from her student that (allegedly). Her best results as a chees player have been winning her groups at the North Sea Cop in 2002 with 67 and in her club Bronshoi; with 7%/9 in 2000. Her highest rating has been 1535, but recently a sea training has been 1535, but recently a has dropped to the area around 1400. As national ratings are different from country to country, this probably does not say so much. It would be higher in the US, lower in Australia and probably about the same in the UK.

She has not yet settled down nor produced future world champions of her

Sidsel deviates from the other participants first and foremost by being the only female player involved in the testing. Also, she is the most calm by far. This showed in her approach to talking into the microphone. Often she would say nothing for 45 seconds or so, keeping parts of her thought process to herself. As this was the natural way for her to do her part of the test, I did press her to talk more than she did. When she spoke, it was open and direct, and the final result gives a good image of her thought process excellently all the same. The following game is a good repre-

sentation for Sidsel as a chess player.

Bloom-Heeg

Hastings 2002/03 Sicilian Defence

Sicilian Defence

1 e4 c5 2 c3 d6 3 d4 cxd4 4 cxd4 ②16 5 ②c3 e6 6 ②13 a6 7 a4 业e7 8 ②d3 0-0 9 0-0 ₩c7

White has emerged from the opening with a slight edge, but in the coming middlegame struggle he is not able to keep up the pressure.

10 ±d2 €c6 11 d5 exd5 12 €xd5 €xd5 13 exd5 €e5 14 €xe5 dxe5 15 ≣c1 ₩d6 16 ±c3 ±d7!



Though the e-pawn is indirectly threatened, Sidsel concentrates on her development.

After this White has strong pressure. 17...f5 would give Black full equality.

18 h37!

White misses 18 費xe5 費xe5 19 \$xe5 Axd7 20 Ec7 with a strong position. 18...\\xd5?!

This is probably too risky. 18... 2g5! would have solved all problems immediarche

19 ≜c4 ₩c6 20 ≜xe5 ₩b6 21 ≜c7 21 Ifd11? with the idea 21... IIad8 22 2c7! and White wins.

21...\fif6 22 \pifd1 22 Ad5 looks strong here.

22......c6!

Black is out of the worst problems with this move

23 Ad5 Wq5!? 24 Wf3

24 Wxg5 &xg5 25 f4 &xd5 26 Exd5 \$16 27 IId7 would have kept up the pressure.



24... Hac8!

Again Sidsel is highly aware of the importance of bringing her pieces into ac-

25 Af4?

This is a miscalculation Sidsel now wins the game with ice cool clarity. 25... 2xd5! 26 2xg5 2xf3 27 2xe7 0 vd1

White probably overlooked that 28 \$xf8 Exc1 loses the game. 28 Hvd1 Hfa8

Now we enter a purely technical

phase. 29 åb4 He2 30 df1 Hcc2 31 åe1 He7 32 a5 Hec7 33 b4 Hc1!

The exchange of a pair of rooks prevents all counterplay.

34 Exc1 Exc1 35 de2 df8 36 dd2 Ac6 37 ≜e3 h5 38 dd3 q6 39 \$c5+ \$e8 40 \$c4 \$d7 41 \$d5 \$f6 42 g3 Af5+ 43 dc4 dc6 44 h4 f6 45 ded3 Exc5 0.1

Sten Vesterli

Sten Vesterli is a 37-year-old ITconsultant from Haraskovby in Denmark. He has been invited to participate in this experiment because he only recently started to play chess in a chess club. He did so at the same time as his 9year-old son, who won the F-group in the Danish children championships shortly before the testing. Sten is married and has no results nor any recollection of any great games from his career.

I would like to thank him immensely for participating in this project. It was very important to me that an intelligent adult with little experience in chess should share his thoughts, so that we would have a sound basis to compare with the more accomplished players. One thing that I have changed in his answers is recurring problems with the terminology and problems with naming the right squares. Often the g5-square became f5, f3 became e6 and so on. All of this was obviously caused by a chess board without letters and numbers at the eidee