Crescent and Cross Page 1 of 13

Crescent & Cross

Judaism is Nobody's Friend

Let's face it--the only reason we are allowed (not to mention willing) to talk about it these days is because it begins with the letter 'z' and not the letter 'j'. That is the single barrier demarcating the two items--a simple issue of letters and pronunciation, despite the fact that they are basically the same animal. Stay within that safe 'z' zone and all will be relatively fine. You will be championed as a friend of humanity and a warrior for the truth. Venture into that other area though and bring up that dreaded 'j' word and suddenly the same 'openminded' people who a moment before were erecting a monument in your honor start to loosen their collars and clear their throats uncomfortably.

'Apples and oranges' they say, or, as one apologist-minded writer on the internet once put it, 'apples and rocks' ... Two entirely different creatures... One the lion and the other the lamb...black and white...good and evil...love and hatred...

Oh, if only it were as simple as that. If only we could take things at face value and not have to go any deeper. If only the bad guys could 'play fair' as it were and dress in black all the time while the good guys dressed in white...What a wonderful world, as the old song goes.

Unfortunately though it is not as simple as that. Unfortunately the bad guys are a lot smarter than they are given credit for being and know that victory is much easier achieved by deceiving their opponents than by fighting them on a level playing field. They have no place in their vocabulary for words like 'fair', 'honesty' or 'justice'. As far as they are concerned, there is only one rule that applies when playing the game and that is to win at any cost.

And so with these things in mind, let's not spend too much time celebrating this non-existent victory for free speech and intellectual liberty. Nor should we be strutting around like some kid who thinks he just scared off the schoolyard bully when in truth Bruiser just had better things to do that day besides beating him up. As seemingly bold and brash as we may envision ourselves being these days for daring to speak unfavorably about the same 'z' word that has been kept sacrosanct for some 50 years, the truth is that doing such nowadays does not carry the same kind of penalties that it used to. What used to be a capital offense has now been downgraded to a class b misdemeanor. Now it is like taunting a lion that is safely behind metal bars--it may snarl a bit, swipe its paws here and there, but in truth there is no real danger associated with it.

Nor should we get too enthusiastic about how it at least appears as if the rules of debate are relaxing. The other side is not as frightened as they may appear to be. We don't have them 'running scared' as much as we might like to think, and we can dismiss the idea that they are 'coming around' to our way of thinking. They are just as haughty, just as heartless, just as arrogant and unconcerned with others' well-being as were their murderous forefathers once called 'children of the Devil' by one well-known man from Nazareth.

No, the truth is that we are allowed to discuss the 'z' word because doing such poses no real

Crescent and Cross Page 2 of 13

danger to the overall agenda. As much as there are those who hate to hear it, the fact is that our being allowed to notch up the rhetoric to the next level is a feint and a maneuver on that part of our enemies. It is the sacrificing of some important piece in a chess game so that the King can escape from what is an assured checkmate. It is a way of releasing steam so that the kettle does not blow and a controlled demolition of sorts--very dramatic in its appearance but which has completely predictable results in the end, not the least of which is that the risk of damage to surrounding real estate is minimized. Them permitting us to speak somewhat openly about the 'z' word is part of this thing known as 'preventative maintenance' and not unlike what takes place every few years when members of an unhappy electorate decide they are 'mad as hell and won't take anymore' and who then replace one corrupt politician with his twin. It is a fixed race, and no matter who comes out on top, 'they' come out on top, a case of Heads, we win, tails you lose.

So for now, let's just put away the champagne and party hats. It ain't over yet folks, nowhere's near to being over, and as much as we would all love to declare victory and go home, doing so would be an unprecedented disaster...

...Remember, no one ever killed a snake by cutting off its tail.

I must admit though that it is a very tempting proposition, the business of simply stopping at the one and of going no further. After all, it's not as if the one with the 'z' in the first part of its name--meaning Zionism--hasn't given us plenty to talk about for the next umpteen number of centuries. As far as evil goes, it certainly suffices as a real-life, genuine, fire-breathing dragon. The images it has brought before humanity to consider have certainly been extreme enough to generate ample discussion--tanks...bombs...innocent children with their brains or guts blown out all over the place...Parents wearing a mask of sheer agony as they embrace the remains of what was a son or daughter given to them as a gift from God...the snide, callous remarks of those who perpetrated these crimes and who snicker without remorse and say in effect 'Big deal...Who gives a shit?'...

And so, I can understand why there are those who do not see any reason in going further in this discussion. I understand their reticence. I understand their reasons for asking in effect 'Isn't the one enough? Isn't it satisfactory to simply say that what has been taking place with regards to this little experiment in methodical terrorism known as the state of Israel is bad? Don't the visible effects of it suffice in our understanding of what the bigger picture is?'

Unfortunately, the problem is that (as well-intentioned as individuals may be with such statements) the answer is a definitive 'No, it is not enough'. It certainly is a good start, but in reality that is all that it is--a start. Remember, anything can be started. What counts is whether or not something gets finished as well as how it gets finished. It's like a person acknowledging that he or she has a serious weight problem and who says with a voice seemingly full of conviction 'I've got to get this thing under control' while reaching into the cupboard for another box of Twinkies. Talk is cheap, as the saying goes.

Therefore, with regards to the current goings on in the Middle East (and in particular Israel) it is easy to say such things about Zionism these days. They are obvious. The cold, hard realities of what is taking place in the land that was once called home by Jesus of Nazareth

Crescent and Cross Page 3 of 13

are right in our faces and not as easy to ignore as they were in years past. Now the 'elephant in the room' that was cute when it was a baby has grown to maturity and is not as cuddly. Now it is dangerous and quite mad and impossible to tame. What began as a fight between 2 relatively small entities has now dragged a billion Muslims and a billion Christians into its orbit, and it hasn't really even begun yet.

And so, making statements depicting what is the organic ugliness of Zionism is tantamount to saying 'Lung cancer is a bad thing' but then going no further. Of course Zionism (and more importantly, its physical evidence) is ugly. This is a no-brainer and something that all of us in the Christian West should have figured out 50 years ago when the innocent victims of this vicious animal came forth bringing vivid descriptions of what they had experienced first-hand. One would have to do some serious mental and moral summersaults to avoid arriving at the conclusion that Zionism is bad thing. And just in case we suffered from a certain sense of unwarranted skepticism when it came to listening to their stories, then some of the utterances slithering forth from the mouths of the Zionist leaders themselves should have removed any lingering doubts. Describing Arabs--whether Christian or Muslim-- as 'cockroaches' and 'beasts walking on two legs' and in general reducing Zionism's would-be victims to some level of sub-humanity should have been an indication to the rest of the world that this whole business was not something rooted in anything good or humanitarian.

The reason why condemning only Zionism (and then stopping short of going any further) is not enough is that--as hideous as it is and as much as it may be directly related to the problems the world is facing today--in truth Zionism is only a by-product of something else. Yes, that's right folks, as ugly as it is, it has an even uglier side to it, hard-to-believe as that may be. If Zionism is Godzilla, then imagine Godzilla on steroids and in a really bad mood from a splitting headache. Zionism is a side-effect. It is not the action but rather the reaction. Not the tree, but rather the fruit that the tree produces. Contrary to what the gatekeepers would like us to believe, Zionism did not spring forth out of nowhere. It was not just some ideological pimple that erupted without warning. It, like all cancers, has a cause, and a very definite, easily-definable one at that and which has been around for quite some time.

And this is where things get hairy and people start to get uncomfortable. You see, criticizing Zionism is safe these days (relative to previous times) because it appears to be merely political, and by definition that makes it fair game for examination and criticism. These days, given the fact that the 'war to end all wars' is in full swing and not as easy to spin as other wars have been in previous times, Zionism has fallen into that category of other 'isms' that are political in nature and that have been used as punching bags for many years. Now it is almost as fashionable to condemn Zionism as it has been to condemn some of its ugly siblings, including fascism, communism, socialism, Nazism, and now, lest we forget, terrorism. 'Political' means that it falls within the category of 'business' rather than personal and where one can expect that a lot of mud gets thrown around. Criticizing people's political ideas--as heated as they may get--do not necessarily attack the person per se.

Religion is another matter however. In a society where there is at least the appearance of tolerance for other religions--of 'being fair' and of not playing favorites--criticizing another person's relationship with the omnipotent being who created him or her is off-limits in a certain sense. It is not something that takes place in the 'polite' and 'enlightened' societies of the West. We are supposed to have gotten past all that and figured out how to live in peace

Crescent and Cross Page 4 of 13

with each other and to live by the 'golden rule' as it pertains to another's manner of communing with his creator. Saying something critical about another person's religion is considered crass and uncouth and as equally rude as remarking that another person's kids are ugly or saying something nasty about someone's mother. It is too much. It goes too far and is too personal. It cuts right to the heart of that individual's identity, and no matter how much you may not like someone, no one wants to be mean to kids or to moms.

And the truth is, I can truck with this to a certain degree, despite the fact that I have some pretty strong opinions concerning issues of right and wrong and who I envision the captain of the universe as being. For simple reasons of practicality I can see why people avoid getting into hair-splitting discussions about religion, and particularly in the midst of some crisis such as what's taking place right now. Dogmatic arguments (that oftentimes when boiled down are nothing more than 6 of one vs. half a dozen of the other) go nowhere and produce nothing but noise when there are more important matters that need tending. It is like getting into a knock-down-drag-out concerning the right color of paint to use on the house when it is going up in flames. As far as I am concerned, if I can agree with another person that there are certain things such as murder, rape, theft, and lying that fall within the category of being morally unacceptable, I can get along with such persons, at least for the time being.

But what we have to keep in mind is that these days we don't live as much in one of those 'polite' societies as we might like to think. The 'appearance' of being tolerant of other religions and of being 'fair' and of 'not playing favorites' is only an appearance and nothing more. The truth be told, this unspoken rule of religion being 'off-limits' for discussion or criticism is only sparingly applied and in a very finite and discriminating manner.

Anyone paying attention to what is going on these days knows that certain religions are not just fair game for tarring and feathering, but rather that an open season has been declared on them with neither bag limits nor penalties for poaching. Islam has been painted as being 'this' and 'that' for several years since the 'war against terror' (i.e. the war on Islam) began. Defamatory cartoons are published in newspaper chains around the world and done so for deliberate reasons of provocation. The Koran is misquoted or else lied about out-rightly and news stories depicting the manner by which it was flushed down the toilet in American POW camps are made headline news.

Standing next in line are all branches of Christianity that have been made the centerpieces of a non-stop vaudeville/slapstick comedy-number now on a daily basis for decades, and of those various branches, Catholicism more than any other. Not just the religions themselves, but the individuals around whom the religion itself is based are defamed and degraded-including Jesus, his mother Mary, Mohammed or whoever--without any thought as to who might be offended.

HOWEVER...

We do find--purely by coincidence, I am quite sure--that a certain amount of 'polite' censorship still exists when it comes to that other Middle-Eastern religion that is at the center of so many things going on today. We see quite a few people taking the 'high road' and showing respect (fear) for other peoples' 'feelings' and going to ridiculous lengths in living

Crescent and Cross Page 5 of 13

by that 'golden rule' of tolerance when it comes to the other guy in the line-up.

But before going any further, we need to fine-tune a few of the definitions we are going to be using in this discussion. It is not just 'tolerance' that this particular religion seems to enjoy from the rest of us, but rather preference. It is treated with the same kind of delicate, cautious concern that is usually reserved for an endangered species of some type. It is never manhandled or pushed around but rather is always managed as if it were TNT and would explode if handled too roughly. It is the only one that is not fair game and which is never the butt of daily jokes, or at least not in the West. You stand a better chance of being kidnapped by a race of space aliens and whisked off to their planet to be made king for life than you would see this religion defamed in the same way as takes place with Christianity and Islam.

Rather, in this 'fair and unbiased' world today, it gets top-billing in terms of reverential programs on TV. Movies such as 'The Ten Commandments' are aired year-after-year at religiously predictable times and never at some obscure hour where they have to compete with things like 'George Thurman's Super-Grill' infomercials. You will never see one of its religious leaders (or even one of its laymen for that matter) presented in a cynical, comical or diabolical manner as you might a priest, preacher or imam. Its followers are always the salt of the earth, never a blemish on their moral countenance or a bruised character, intelligent, soft-spoken, trustworthy, reliable, funny, lovable and as honest-as-the-day-is-long...

No, I am not talking about any of the old guys on the mantle such as Hinduism, Buddhism, Shintoism, or Animism, nor any of the new punks who have come around in recent decades such as Materialism, Consumerism or Pamela Andersonism. It's that other one, and you know what I am talking about here so quit playing dumb.

We all know what the score is...There is no reason to wait around for the blank of this fill-in-the-blank exercise to be filled in. It's as obvious as the 'elephant in the room' that was mentioned previously. It's name is whispered with the same kind of quiet, timid respect that people used to employ when talking about the 'Syndicate' or the 'Black Hand' nearly a century ago. It is the most exclusive, the most clannish, the most discriminating of all religions when it comes to dealing with others on an eye-to-eye/man-to-man business, and it's name is Judaism.

'Oh, right, that one' they say, as if it weren't as obvious as the odor emanating from an over-used public outhouse in mid-July. Yes, that's right, I did say 'Judaism' and not Zionism. No, I did not mispronounce anything. It was not a slip of the tongue. I meant exactly what I said-the dreaded 'j' word that no one wants to mention...that 'thing' that causes people's hearts to race and their eyes to dart from side-to-side and that causes them to run for cover and find something heavy to which they can anchor themselves when mentioned.... Judaism, the thing that separates those who are 'God's chosen people' from the rest of the 'Goyim'...The religion of the tribe of Judah that is said to be the basis of Western Civilization...The thing that brought monotheism, the Ten Commandments and, as we are told on a minute-by-minute basis these days, Christianity...

And since we have now established that indeed there is no equity in this discussion involving religion and that a system of obvious favorites is unquestioningly in operation, let's just drop

Crescent and Cross Page 6 of 13

this 'we really should do the polite thing and keep people's religious views out of the equation when discussing world issues' business. Everyone knows that doing so is a farce. It's like getting into the boxing ring with an opponent who breaks every rule that the referee has laid down while you are trying to play fair by neither biting nor hitting below the belt. No one takes the feelings of Muslims or Christians into consideration these days when it comes to 'laying it all out in the open' and not even when the combined numbers of these people make up almost ½ of the world's population. At best the Jews (most of whom are not religious anyway, short of prostrating themselves before the strange gods of money, comfort, and political power) make up a few tens of millions, and yet we are supposed to treat the whole subject of their 'religion' as if it were some kind of precious gem of incalculable monetary value? This is 'fair and balanced'? This is freedom of speech and freedom of thought?

Give me just a small break, if you would please.

Worrying about 'hurting people's feelings' is not good enough anymore, if indeed it ever was. People are dying left and right and the life of every human being on the planet has been put in real, direct danger because of this over-blown/out-of-proportion concern over one group's 'feelings'. One group--one--and a tiny one at that. All traffic on a 4-lane metropolitan highway that has been brought to a screeching halt and all because of some tiny, eensy-weensy mouse that has decided to build a nest there. There is no fair play in any of it and no intellectual honesty. While the rest of us--for reasons of simple respect--honor the 'no hitting below the belt' rule when it comes to the discussion of metaphysics, a certain minority of people who have the lion's share of media, political and economic power do not, and since they do not, why then should the rest of us?

This having been said, let's just lay it all out on the table and let the chips fall where they may. What have we got to lose, after all? Are we worried about making them mad? They already are mad, and I don't just mean in the commonly-used manner indicating 'unhappy'. Are we concerned that with the amount of power they possess that somehow God's chosen people might do something to make life uncomfortable for us? That they might start a war?

Wake up and smell the Knishes, my friends, Judaism has been at war with us for over 2,000 years, ever since this man named Jesus of Nazareth came and blew the lid open on what Judaism's real agenda was. Judaism is a declaration of war and manifested by thousands upon thousands of acts of intentional malice directed by Rabbinical generals against the rest within the non-Jewish world in matters involving money, business, politics, and culture. For those of us in the Christian world who seem to have forgotten, it would be wise to remember that it was our forefathers who were being hunted down, imprisoned, tortured and killed for the crime of abandoning the 'wise council' of the tribal leaders known as the Pharisees and for daring to follow that 'other guy' who preached a message of complete contradiction to Judaism, and it is a situation that has not changed a bit since that time.

Besides, if wanting to avoid a war is the reason for side-stepping this uncomfortable discussion then we are 'big-time' wasting our time. Armageddon is not only a done deal, it is well on its way to being an heirloom that will be passed down generation after generation after generation. Our kids and grandkids will be dealing with it in a way that we cannot even begin to imagine. Because of the fact that we have spent so much time wet-nursing the egos

Crescent and Cross Page 7 of 13

of one small minority of people who are said to have had a rough time during WWII we have allowed WWIII to be born. Because of our fear of the Jews we will be sacrificing our life's blood and that of our children for generations to come.

Therefore, let us say plainly then what it is that needs to be said but which most people are afraid to state out loud. Let us finally acknowledge the fly in the ointment and not pretend anymore that there isn't the smell of something rotten in the air that it is making life on earth as it presently exists an unbearable situation.

Relax, take a deep breath...We can discuss this...We are all big boys and big girls and we can handle the truth, as ugly as it may be. Besides, now is not the time for us to lose our composure. This discussion of Judaism getting preferential treatment is small potatoes within the context of the larger argument that must take place and will. It is just the first birth pang of what is sure to be doozey of a labor, and there is no avoiding it. This thing known as the truth has got to be born or we are all dead.

And with that in mind, grab onto something and brace yourself for the next wave of contractions...

'Judaism is nobody's friend'

There, I said it and it can't be undone. It is out there for everyone to see in all its naked truth. There is no taking it back, and why should we? If we truly entertain the notion of dealing with these problems in a realistic way, then that means boarding that shuttle bus bound for the Hotel Reality.

That's right, JUDAISM is nobody's friend, not 'ZIONISM is nobody's friend', like the essay that bounced all over the internet for months and which caused people to go absolutely gaga because of its 'gut-wrenching bravery'. As we said before, a good number of people already know (or are coming to know) that Zionism is nobody's friend, that is obvious. It is JUDAISM that is the real problem. J-U-D-A-I-S-M, the tree from which Zionism sprung forth, the seedling that has brought the world to the brink of extinction. JUDAISM, the cancer that is eating the body away and left it a skeletonized hull of what it once was. JUDAISM, the root of all mankind's present evils, and if not all of them, then certainly what is certainly an impressive number.

I realize that hearing such unflattering things about the religion of God's favorite children will come as a shock to a large number of people, and particularly amongst gullible propagandized Christians who are waiting to cash in on their divine pension plan known as the Rapture. Given the fact that God's chosen religion seems to win hands down in every beauty contest taking place today, it is easy to see why there will be those who will swallow their tongues when such unflattering things are said. There are two things I will say in my defense though, the first of which is that these are not my words, but rather the words of the man I most admire and revere, meaning Jesus of Nazareth. The other is that there is only one thing that is truly sacred and beautiful in this fallen world, and that is the truth and even when it is at its ugliest...

Crescent and Cross Page 8 of 13

Keep in mind that a good portion of this thing we call 'human progress' has been realized because people decided to abandon the fiat currency of fairy tales and decided instead to conduct their business transactions with the refined gold of truth. Once upon a time--many, many moons ago--people chose to venture into the dangerous intellectual territory that the earth might be round instead of flat. They stopped using leeches to cure people and they declared a moratorium on burning women at the stake when these poor creatures suffered from mood swings due to PMS.

And with this in mind, I say that it is high-time we got a second opinion about this little tribal cult known as Judaism whose heavy hand is at the center of so much of what is going on in our world today. It is time we took a second look at the idols on the mantle that we have been forbidden to touch, or for that matter, even examine up close. What are the guardians of these strange gods afraid of? Are they afraid that some of the gold paint with which they have been covered will come off in our hands and that we will be left with the inescapable conclusion that they are all willing conspirators in a massive fraud? Why are we permitted to ask all sorts of questions concerning other faiths such as Christianity and Islam but yet we are not even allowed to glance curiously at Judaism? If the guardians of public discourse are as devoted to free speech and free inquiry as they say they are (and particularly when a new 'discussion' has begun suggesting that Jesus was gay or that Mohammed was a violent pedophile) then why do they suddenly become such fans of censorship when it comes to asking questions about the religion of the Jews? While there is time, let us re-consider the holiness of that sacred cow we have been forbidden to eat, and particularly when we all stand a good chance of starving to death for want of the truth. What have we got to lose? Our freedom? It's gone anyway. Our wealth?--dittos. Our peace and prosperity?...

All we have to lose by doing this is our own state of delusion as well as a mountain of present and future misery that even the imaginative genius of Stephen King couldn't conjure up.

Judaism is nobody's friend, short of those few who profit from it, and they are certainly small in number, as we have already discussed. Whether these people are one of 'God's chosen' who do the bidding of their overlord Rabbis or whether they are one of those lowly Gentile types who were created for the purpose of serving 'God's chosen', it is the same-Judaism is not their friend. It works to no one's real benefit, short of those at the top who are giving the marching orders. It does not belong in the same class with other faiths dedicated to improving the individual and making him or her more pleasing to the one responsible for all creation. It does not make people better, it makes them worse. It is like a highly radioactive element that can bring nothing but sickness and eventual death. It does not bring liberation but rather enslavement. It does not foster humility (the first step in breaking down the spirit of haughtiness that impedes the reform of the person) but rather feeds the ego. It is not about God, right vs. wrong, or the 'thou shalts' and 'thou shalt nots' in a general sense.

Notice, it is not called 'Yahwehism, 'Jehovaism', 'God-Ism', 'Moral Behaviorism' or even 'Old Testamentism', but rather 'Judah-ism'. It's primary concern is with the tribe of Judah and those who make up the tribe, meaning the Jews. It is 'their baby' and the goose that laid the golden egg. It is a winning lottery ticket that never expires, their 'get-out-of-jail-free' card and their Genie in a bottle that grants them every material, monetary and political wish. It is like a highway with no speed limits nor guard rails and where there are no rules. This is

Crescent and Cross Page 9 of 13

why we find that in Judaism's rule book--meaning the Talmud--that everything is geared towards what benefits the tribe, and in particular, the rabbis who 'cannot be punished with Hell' and before whose writings and sayings 'God stands in awe'. It is not as much concerned with bringing the soul to the paradise of the next world it is concerned with making a paradise of this one, the rights and well-being of non-Jews be damned in the process.

While the other two Middle Eastern faiths that are Judaism's organic enemies--meaning Christianity and Islam--elevate the virtues of humility, charity and righteousness, Judaism not only elevates, but institutionalizes and legislates the vices of haughtiness, supremacism and callous disregard for other human beings. It is the codified mindset of mankind's first murderer, Cain, who slew his brother Abel over reasons of envy and economics. Gentiles exist to serve the Jews. Rape of gentile children, murder, lying, theft, usury, all these things that have been condemned in every other religion around the world are given full sanction in Judaism when it benefits the tribe.

Those who are the beneficiaries of this syndicate may scream and holler about these statements all they want, but in the final analysis, 'facts are facts,' just as ex-slave to the Judaic mindset Benjamin Freedman once wrote. Thanks to--not only men such as he but to others as well--the cat is out of the bag for everyone to see. The testimonies of men such as Freedman, Shahak, Vanunu and many others has been the equivalent of Joseph Vallachi's testimony before the US Congress concerning the inner workings of the Mafia. Now the perfume that has been used to hide the stink of the Judaic agenda is no longer powerful enough, and the mascara has become equally inefficient as well.

What's that, you say? Judaism is the basis of Western Civilization and Christianity? Humanity owes a great deal to this religion for getting the rest of us 'back on track' when it comes to what the Almighty expects from us? That it is the intellectual basis for all the progress and freedom of the Western world?

Bull biscuits. Judaism is no such thing. The creed of the Pharisees is backwards and superstitious and totalitarian all the way to its core, something that this man named Jesus of Nazareth tried to tell us. Putting Judaism in the same class with other religions whose aim is progress and the betterment of man's corrupt nature is like saying that battery acid makes a good mouthwash. Trying to credit Judaism with all of the accomplishments that have contributed to the betterment of mankind and his human condition is like this friend of mine who actually claims that the reason he is as healthy as a horse is because he has smoked 3 packs of cigarettes a day for 40 years. Judaism has brought nothing of any good to mankind. It is a culture of death, a collection of 'white-washed tombs, full of dead men's bones' just as Jesus described it. It is a den of vipers and a hangout for thieves, liars and murderers. It has been a source of misery for more people than God himself can count. If there is any correlation that can be drawn between the religion of the Pharisees and the enlightenment of mankind, it is that all of the things associated with this enlightenment have been achieved in spite of Judaism's aims at preventing them or at tearing them down.

Let's see a show of hands here--Does anyone in the room remember that little thing known as the Crucifixion of Jesus? How about the stoning to death of Stephen and the murders of Peter and Paul? How about the scapegoating of the Christians after Rome was burned?

Crescent and Cross Page 10 of 13

Judaism's involvement in WWI and WWII? Bolshevism? The destruction of the moral, political and economic fiber of every country in the West? Hundreds of millions of dead children through abortion? The destruction of families and the elevation of sexual deviancy as a virtue?

...and of course, last but certainly not least, the war to end all wars that is taking place in the Middle East and which bears the fingerprints of the Pharisees all over it.

As far as Christianity is concerned, it was obvious that Jesus Christ was as interested in the haughty, elitist, immoral and callous tenets of Judaism as Judaism was interested in His message of humility, righteousness, and charity. This is the reason why Judaism put Christ to death 2,000 years ago and why it continues to gloat over his ignominious end to this day. If indeed Christianity sprang forth from Judaism, why then does Judaism maintain to this very microsecond that Jesus was a sorcerer and a sexual deviant who suffers in hell by being boiled in a caldron of semen and feces for daring to oppose the Rabbis and that His holy mother Miriam was a 'harlot who mated with carpenters'?

And yet, we are supposed to believe that Christianity sprang forth from Judaism? That the wonderful fruit of Christ's teachings were plucked from the poisonous, thorny tree of Judaism? If indeed the apple does not fall far from the tree as the old saying goes, then there is no way that a rational person can make such claims concerning the supposed friendship that exists between these two creatures. It is all another ruse to get Christians to fight Judaism's wars on the pretext that somehow the peoples of the two faiths share something in common with each other when in truth they do not.

All of these and many, many more are just a small part of Judaism's score card. These are just some of the testimonials to Judaism's affections--not only for Christianity and the civilization that it created--but for any rival ideology, including that of Islam. Judaism is not about fair play, it is about winning, and at all costs.

'But wait' they interject. 'My neighbor is Jewish, and he doesn't treat me like a lesser being. In fact, I know a lot of Jews who are good, upstanding people...'

...And of course, the often-heard 'I am Jewish and I never ran into any of these supposed teachings of the Talmud'...

I do not doubt that this is indeed the case. I know many such persons myself, people who are as much victims of the scheming and plotting of the Judaic priesthood as everyone else.

But what we have to keep in mind is that they are good people in spite of the fact that they were raised as Jews. They are good people because of the fact that they chose to retain their humanity despite the best efforts of their rabbis and other leaders to rob them of it. They chose to follow the laws of God rather than the laws of men, because in Judaism, the Rabbis are God and to disobey them is to disobey Him. It's like a person being raised in a household whose father is an avowed racist and card-carrying member of the KKK and yet this person does not grow up hating blacks. If such people calling themselves Jews today are decent and who do not submit themselves to the racist, immoral teachings of their Talmudic rabbis, then

Crescent and Cross Page 11 of 13

it speaks more to their own personal strength of character than it does to the beneficial attributes of Judaism itself. It's like that friend of mine I mentioned previously who has been smoking 3 packs of cigarettes a day for the last 40 years and he is seemingly as healthy as a horse, or at least he is now as we speak. He should have been dead a long time ago.

And as far as the average Jew being exposed to the teachings of the Talmud, it should be obvious why the Rabbis would not want such a thing taking place. There is an old saying that '3 people can keep a secret if 2 of them are dead,' meaning that conspiracies oftentimes fall apart because of someone's big mouth. The more moving parts there are to a machine the more susceptible it is to breakdown. It is highly likely that if the average Jew were schooled in the Talmud and its criminal agenda that such persons—for no other reason than fear for their own lives—would have nothing to do with implementing the agenda of their leaders, and thus the Jewish agenda would be like a Man-O-War at sea with no sails, no ors and no gunpowder.

But all of this is part of what we are not allowed to discuss. Out of 'respect' for another person's religion we are supposed to only focus on the physical aspects of the things taking place before our eyes today--meaning the deeds of Zionism--but not ask questions about the causes of them, meaning Judaism. We are supposed to buy into the line of reasoning that says that Zionism just 'popped up' out of nowhere or that it is Judaism that went haywire...

...as if we were too stupid to see the obvious.

Judaism hasn't gone haywire nor has it blown a gasket. It hasn't 'morphed' from a beautiful, harmless butterfly into a vicious, pitiless creature that would devour you in a minute. Judaism (and more importantly, its teeth, meaning Zionism) is doing exactly what it is supposed to do by its nature. It is a predatory way of thinking and this means that it will behave in predatory ways. Those who are trying to say that the ugliness of things taking place today have nothing to do with the holy religion of Judaism are trying to make an argument similar to those who would argue that smoking has nothing to do with lung cancer.

Of course, those whose job it is to manage the flow of information and who are running their own little protection rackets and who make arguments such as these know these facts all too well. They knew a long time ago--or at least they suspected--that the day would come when people would get sick of all the bloodshed and turmoil and would then begin questioning how it all came about. They knew that there was a timetable to their being able to keep a lid on what was happening in places such as Palestine, Lebanon, Syria and the rest of the Middle East that organized Jewry has eyed with a covetous gaze since before Israel was created in 1948. This is why 'Plan B' was put on the shelf for later use.

'Plan A' of course was to deny the existence of the racist, haughty, bloodthirsty character of this thing known as Zionism and its demon-child--meaning Israel--as long as possible, and when it became something that was impossible to deny anymore, admit to it and allow it to be discussed...CAREFULLY. Let the people blow off some steam...CAUTIOUSLY. Throw the blame for all the turmoil on some nebulous, new-born political ideology that was born in the late 19th century...

Crescent and Cross Page 12 of 13

...just make sure the discussion remains in that safe territory where only this thing known as Zionism is discussed, and above all, make sure that the real source of all the turmoil never sees the light of day, meaning this thing known as Judaism...

And so, this is why we have this flurry of individuals coming forth from the Judaic community voicing their opposition to Zionism and its aims--AND BEING PERMITTED TO DO SO, WHEN IN YEARS PAST THEY WOULD BE CASITGATED AND TREATED AS TRAITORS. Whether their names are Finkelstein, Lowenstein or Chomsky or whether they have banded together in groups such as Neturei Karta or 'Jews Not Zionists', the reasons are the same, which is to throw sand into the eyes of those who are now beginning to see that all is not Kosher with the world, or rather that all is Kosher, depending on how things are viewed and understood.

Is this something being consciously done by those who are the voices of 'peace and reason' from the Judaic community? I don't know and won't speculate. I certainly hope for their sake that they mean what they say. I can't imagine a more miserable existence than living without a conscience and not being able to feel compassion for the suffering of innocent others. What could be a more hellish prison than being robbed of the life-saving salves of humility and righteousness, the same divine qualities of the human spirit that Judaism devours as if it were a cancer?

I know this is not what people want to hear, and believe me, as much as they don't like hearing it, I don't like saying it. I too was encouraged when I first encountered these individuals coming forth and daring to possess a conscience of sorts and to speak out against the tribe. I thought there was hope that a person could be raised in the corrupting, corrosive environment of Judaic thinking and still retain his or her humanity. And I still hope against hope that it is possible, and if these individuals are genuine, then God bless them.

But it still doesn't change certain facts, now does it? Judaism is nobody's friend, and if we assume for the moment that these people--the 'anti-Zionist Jewish types' really are who they say they are and that by some miracle they have retained their objective sense of right and wrong after being raised in the moral sewer known as the synagogue, that still does not mean that Judaism has passed the sniff test.

'But wait,' they interject in one last attempt at shielding the beast, those who act as gatekeepers in this discussion. 'Israel started with Zionism. It was founded on it. Without Zionism Israel would not exist. If we can just do away with Zionism we will do away with Israel and then everything will be okay and there will be peace...'

And again, it is a very thing tempting to 'go there'. I dream of the day when there is no Israel and it has been 'wiped off of the map' as the President of Iran once noted. No more dead Palestinians and Iraqis. The western world going about its business of implementing the principles taught by its founder, jesus of Nazareth. No threat of nuclear holocaust and our children sleeping safely at night with a veritable future ahead of them...

The problem, again, is this thing known as the Hotel reality. If we--by some miracle--were able to get rid of this thing known as Zionism as well as it's physical manifestation--meaning

Crescent and Cross Page 13 of 13

the state of Israel, it would all be back in due time. We would only get a small respite, but it wouldn't be gone for good. Like carving out a section of a cancer-riddled lung, all you are doing is buying time if you don't quit smoking, and that is because the thing that caused this cancer in the first place has remained in tact, and it is that 'thing' known as Judaism.

Israel exists for one purpose and one purpose only, which is to function as a hideout for those who live in the same state of perpetual war against everyone else that Judaism dictates. The situation is like this greedy, lying, conniving sissy (whom we have all known at some point in our lives) who steals people's lunch money (usually by swindling them) and then when he is about to get clobbered for it, runs home to the protective arms of coddling parents who have never disciplined him a day in his life and who will not even ask questions as to what all the hubbub is about.

Judaism is nobody's friend, and the sooner that the rest of us--Jew and non-Jew alike--come to realize this, the better off we will be. Get rid of it. It is a cancer. Cut is out and throw it away, as Jesus instructed that we do. It has never and will never be of any benefit to mankind. We cannot live in any kind of 'peaceful co-existence' with it. It is a declaration of war, and as long as it exists out there, mankind will never have peace.

All content copyright 2006+ by Mark Glenn, unless otherwise stated. Website by Rick Rajter.

Site best viewed in Firefox, Safari, or Mozilla.

Send praise/complaints to our Webmaster.