

To

Mitt region Prison and Probation Service
Box 331 701 46 Örebro

To the Administrative Law division in Linköping:

Appeal of the decision 21 March 2013, dnr Mitt region Prison and Probation Service 2013-005446

I hereby appeal the abovementioned decision and demand that it be changed so that I am given authorisation to possess a graphing calculator in order to carry out self study in mathematics in my

Härmed överklagar jag rubricerade beslut och begär att det ändras så att jag får tillstånd att innehå en grafräknare för att kunna bedriva självstudier i matematik i mitt living quarters during the evening hours.

My reasons for this are as follows:

I cannot see that the Mitt region Prison and Probation Service has done a proper review of the prison's decision from 6 February 2013, case number 13/571. This decision claims that the graphing calculator could pose a security risk. Because calculators of this type are available in the prison's teaching locations for those individuals who have been admitted to day-time study, leads me to believe that the type of materials for study that I have requested for access to in my room have not quite been understood.

It cannot be particularly difficult for the personnel of the prison to recognise a calculator during visitation, even one with somewhat more advanced functions than normal cheaper calculators have. The size of the calculator should not pose a difficulty for the staff either.

Regarding the issue, graphing calculator as security risk, I therefore demand a real and fact-based review, not just a repetition of what the prison has stated.

Regarding the possession serving "... a purpose which is in accordance with the execution of the sentence" I do not believe that this matter has been reviewed with particular care, either by the prison or by Mitt Region Prison and Probation Service. I

have, among other things, argued that my intended studies would reduce the risk of reoffending and that would facilitate my adaptation into society once I have served my sentence (see KVFS 2011:1, §26, General advice.)

The prison does provide that “activities should particularly be directed at arrangements that prevent reoffending” but does not give any further comments. The Mitt Region Prison and Probation Service dismisses my reasons – the significance of studying – with a simple “... prompts no other assessment”. This point does not appear to have been independently reviewed either.

Also in relation to this point, I request *studies that serve the purpose which is in accordance with the execution of the sentence*, therefore I request a genuine review.

Mariefred 9th of April 2013

Gottfrid Svartholm Warg

841017-0537

12-151

26 § /.../

Allmänna råd

Med att verkställigheten utformas på ett ändamålsenligt sätt avses såväl att kravet på samhällsskydd och ordning och säkerhet i anstalt tillgodoses som att åtgärder vidtas för att minska risken för återfall i brott, underlätta den intagnes anpassning i samhället eller motverka negativa följer av frihetsberövandet.