

This Book Brought to You By





This is a free e-book. You may freely distribute it, post it for downloading, or give it as a free bonus gift. You may not charge for it in any way whatsoever.

Information on Free Branding

Your logo will appear in either the left or the right spot above.

Table of Contents

This Book Brought to You By	2
Table of Contents	
Introduction	4
Who Does NASA Think They're Kidding?	5
Color Me Blue	
Is There Life on Mars?	12
THEMIS Kills the Thesis	15
Explain That!	18
The Mystery Of Phobos 2	20
Tunnels and Transports	22
Previous Civilization on Mars: Is that nutty or what?	
Links Worth Clicking	27
Brand This Book for Free	28
About the Author	29

Introduction

The planet Mars has captivated the imagination of mankind for millennia. It has become the focus of myth, legend, speculation, debate, and finally, discovery.

Throughout the years of scientific debate, most of the alleged scientific theories have been based largely on speculation and personal preference. But as technology has advanced to make it possible to actually fly to Mars, photograph it, pick up soil samples and analyze them, and transmit it all back to earth, the speculation has begun to shed like layers of an onion.

Very few of the once cherished establishment scientific theories about Mars are still standing. Those that are still standing are wobbling precariously and may soon fall into the dustbin of foolish notions.

Scientists love to think they are men and women who only follow the best evidence. Yet, they have religiously clung to theories that are attached only to their degrees and not to the explosive new evidence coming from the planet itself. As they have written dissertations to receive their doctorates, so they defend their thesis to the bitter end. And it is, indeed, a bitter end.

NASA is the premier space agency on planet earth—excluding some top secret agency about which we know nothing, but may exist—and their technology has succeeded in toppling some of the most arrogant proponents of the old science establishment. Their photos and data have confirmed beyond any doubt whatsoever that the long-held view of Mars as a dead planet is (and always was) pure fiction.

This collection of articles exposes facts, makes observations and predictions, and asks serious questions. It is the general practice of the arrogant to simply brush aside uncomfortable questions and disquieting evidence. But the general public is not entirely without minds. We do have the ability to see, think, and analyze what we see. We are not blind and we are not idiots. The evidence is in and the establishment scientists have been exposed as pompous and arrogant promoters of their agendas.

--Kevin W. Smith

Who Does NASA Think They're Kidding?

By Kevin W. Smith

NASA has two rovers on Mars at the present time and they say their job is to look for signs of water. Yet, NASA already knows that not only WAS there water on Mars in the past, there IS water there right now. And they know where it is!

Four years ago, NASA found water springs on the Martian surface and photographed them.

(from cnn.com)

...Looking at images snapped by the Mars Global Surveyor spacecraft, researchers have detected evidence of springs on the surface, USAToday reported Wednesday. The discovery could focus on the Valles Marineris region, a gigantic canyon that dominates the planetary landscape, according to NASA Watch, an independent Web site that monitors the space agency.

While scientists believe water flowed on the red planet billions of years ago, its atmosphere has become too thin for water to collect on the surface. But scientists have looked for signs of water in deep recesses of the planet. The Valles Marineris canyon descends several miles, or kilometers, below the surface in places.

NASA officials would not comment on the report. But agency scientists will publish their findings in the June 29 issue of Science magazine...

And that report was from June 21, 2000. Then on June 25, 2000, space.com filed this report:

WASHINGTON -- The news of liquid water on Mars may herald a new age of exploration on the Red Planet, but the tools needed to tap this fountain of liquid gold are far from finished...

"We are doing a systems study of drilling about 200 meters (656 feet)," said Jim Blacic of the Los Alamos National Lab in New Mexico. "And we are in the early phases of understanding the problem."

If that is not enough proof that NASA has known where the water is on Mars for at least four years, try this report on June 26, 2000.

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - The Martian north pole is honeycombed with frozen water, exceeding the ice deposits detected on Mars' southern end and raising hopes of finding traces of past microscopic life, astronomers reported on Thursday.

The northern ice lies just below the Red Planet's surface, according to Bill Boynton of the University of Arizona, part of a team of U.S. and Russian scientists who made the discovery.

That report was filed by Deborah Zabarenko of Reuters.

So what is NASA saying about their rovers? They are saying that the rovers are looking for possible signs of past water on Mars. They say they are looking for sings that Mars was once a planet with water.

Here is what Associated Press published on June 13, 2003 when the first of the rovers was launched.

CAPE CANAVERAL, Fla. - A rocket holding the first of two Mars rovers blasted off Tuesday on a seven-month voyage to the red planet where the golf cart-sized vehicles will search for evidence that there was once enough water to support life on Mars.

Really? No logical mind could conclude NASA is telling the truth. First, there is absolute and documented proof that NASA already knows that there IS water on the planet, and they know WHERE the water is. They have even made estimates of how much water is there. And then they tell us the mission of the rovers is to look for "possible evidence" that Mars was once a planet with water! Give us a break, NASA.

So, you are not yet convinced that NASA knows for sure there is water on Mars? You don't think they know exactly where that water is? Then consider this report from June 27, 2000 published by the Arizona Daily Star:

Ice abounds inches below Mars' north pole to such a degree that scientists now believe missions to the Red Planet could uncover evidence of past and possibly present life.

"If you have freezing and thawing cycles, if there is any type of organics, we'll be able to follow the water to look for those organics," said Chris Shinohara, a University of Arizona researcher and the project manager of the Gamma Ray Spectrometer aboard NASA (news - web sites)'s Mars Odyssey spacecraft.

Odyssey found evidence of subsurface ice on Mars last year, but the data streaming in from the planet's north pole is "knocking us over," said William Boynton, a UA cosmochemist and the principal investigator for the three instruments that make up Odyssey's Gamma Ray Spectrometer.

The water ice found as shallow as 4 inches beneath soil at the north pole is about one and a half times more than detected on the south pole, Boynton said.

If NASA were telling the truth about the true mission of the rovers, then why did they land them precisely where they know the water is not? Why would they not land where they know the water is? After all, if they are attempting to confirm whether water ever existed on Mars, why would you not land where your evidence tells you the water is?

It is not clear what the real mission of the rovers may be. It seems, however, crystal clear that they cannot be looking for water. Even the European Space Agency has located water on Mars--not where the rovers landed.

NASA and their rovers are looking for something. That much is certain. They are looking for something important, else they would not be spending \$820 million dollars on the project. They are looking for something they do not want to disclose to us, else they would not be feeding us this line about looking for water when we can all read the documented proof they know where to look and chose to land elsewhere.

True enough, NASA reported that the Mars rover had confirmed that the planet had been a very wet, warm planet in the past. They even went so far as to say it had been "habitable". But they did not have to do science in a crater in the desert to determine this. They could have landed right where they already know water exists. That would have been far more advantageous for determining whether or not there is life on Mars.

Is it possible that NASA knows already that there is life on Mars. Do they know from their own photos that there has been an intelligent race on Mars in the past?

If so, and it would seem inevitable, then the rovers' missions cannot be about finding evidence of water. It is about something else. Along the way, the rover tosses us the tidbit that, "Oh by the way, there used to be water in this crater." If that is the scenario,

then the rover mission is really up to something other than stated by NASA.

Incredibly, NASA may have tipped their hand and exposed the fact that they are really up to some other agenda. Just what the agenda may be is not clear yet. But they did something amazing that certainly causes one to raise an eyebrow and wonder just what exactly is going on.

It happened on Sol 33 (the 33rd Martian day after the mission landed on Mars). The rover Opportunity was instructed to go to an outcrop of rock at the crater rim. Upon its arrival there, it took a series of photographs and dutifully transmitted them back to Earth.

After examining the photos the mission scientists instructed the Opportunity to roll up to the rock outcropping. It did as it was ordered. They then had it grind away a portion of that outcropping. So far, nothing sounds unusual. It all sounds and looks just like the type of science they said they were going to do. But what is unusual is that there was incredible evidence of past Martian life right where they had the Opportunity grind away the rock. In short, they completely wiped out that evidence!

And just what was that evidence? A fossil. The photos sent back by the Opportunity clearly show what appears to be a fossil imbedded in the rock. But after the NASA scientists had finished with it, it existed no longer.

The only evidence of that fossil that now remains is the photographs. They will likely be explained away as a trick of light or a natural geological outcropping. That would certainly fit the pattern of the past. We will be asked to believe something other than what our eyes see and our brains tell us.

This article may be reprinted un-edited in any media so long as the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents

Note: There is an excellent article with photos of the fossil at enterprisemission.com.



Color Me Blue

By Kevin W. Smith

When it comes to Mars, things are seldom as they appear to be. Well, more accurately, things are seldom as we are told they appear to be.

For years, NASA has

been monkeying around with the color of photos of Mars that they release to the public. They have made the surface of Mars appear to be a deep red. They have even made the sky of Mars appear red. And that just is not the case.

Mars' surface is no redder than the desert sands of Sedona, Arizona. Red? Well sort of. Reddish would be a more accurate description. But it is definitely not the deep red we were led to believe.

The color of the sky is more important than the color of the sand. Why? Because the color of the sky can give some indications of the atmospheric conditions on the planet.

NASA scientists have been saying for decades that the atmosphere on Mars is so thin that liquid water on Mars was a complete impossibility. According to them, in the event that there were a bit of water on Mars (in ice form) it would never reach the liquid state because it would vaporize immediately as the ice melted. Then, because the gravity is so slight on the planet, the water vapor in the atmosphere would immediately dissipate into space.

That being the case, there should never be any appreciable amount of water vapor in the atmosphere. This would be true because first of all, they said there was no water on the planet. Secondly, it would be true because the gravity of the planet would simply not hold any water vapor aloft. They assert the gravity is so weak that any water vapor would simply escape into space.

If those conditions actually existed, there could be no possibility of oxygen in the atmosphere. Right? With no water vapor and no oxygen in the very, very thin atmosphere, the sky should be almost velvety black—like on the moon. But is it?

Absolutely not. The photo at the top of this article is from a series of photos sent back by the rover missions. Now, take a look at the one below. Used (perhaps by accident) in a NASA press conference, it shows a bright blue sky and sand the color of Sedona's desert.



Are these photos flukes? Not likely, since NASA is displaying them openly to the press.

This marks a turn in NASA's approach to information they allow the public to know. For years, they have been colorizing photos to make the planet a deep red color and the sky a rusty red color. But now, without comment or fanfare, they are showing us the true colors of Mars.

Is that important? I think it is. I think it is an incremental step toward disclosure of genuinely startling information they have been sitting on for decades. The evidence seems to point to NASA being on a path of incremental disclosure—perhaps disclosure that we are not alone in the universe.

There are incredible NASA photos that show what appear to be artificial structures and even artwork on Mars. If that is, in fact, what they are then someone intelligent has been there. If

someone intelligent was there before us, we are definitely not alone.

Disclosure of a fact of that nature could create genuine chaos on Earth if it were done suddenly and without warning or precursors. But by easing us into that knowledge with incremental bits of information, the powers that be could make full disclosure seem like incremental discovery.

Alvin Toffler's *Future Shock* posited the notion that technology is changing our world so drastically and so fast that we humans cannot possibly adjust rapidly enough. His basic premise was that the future is no longer in the future, but the future is upon us and we are unprepared.

Toffler may have been precisely correct. But if NASA, acting as an information distributor, allows the disclosure of amazing facts about Mars to seep into our minds, the final destination of fully disclosing ET existence (and possible contact) will not be so much of a shock to us. We will have adjusted to *the future* in incremental steps.

As bizarre as this may seem at the present, it is the only scenario I can think of that fits the facts as they are developing. It would seem that NASA may be *Tofflerizing* the information to prevent severe future shock on Earth.



Beautiful blue sky during Martian sunset

This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents


Visit the Kevin Smith Show – Internet Radio Re-Defined

Is There Life on Mars?

By Kevin W. Smith

What utter, bizarre nonsense! Why, if you believe there is life on Mars, you must believe in little green men. Right?

Well, that is the prevailing opinion of the world's ignorant majority. That is because they are arrogant, pompous, flatulent headed pseudo-intellectuals who believe there could not possibly be any life off Earth. And they believe it regardless of any evidence to the contrary.



See that aerial photo of a stand of trees in the Serengeti? Well, I have to shock your sensibilities here. It is not a stand of trees in the Serengeti. It is on MARS! This photo was among thousands of NASA's photos released by Malin Space Science a couple of years ago and it sent independent researchers into a buzz and establishment scientists into a tail-spin.

If that photo is what it appears to be (and it likely is), then NASA inadvertently released proof positive that there is life on Mars.

One of this planet's top space scientists is also the originator of one of Hollywood's most successful science fiction movies, *2001:* A Space Odyssey. His name is Arthur C. Clarke.

Every time you make a telephone call on a mobile phone, or an international call that bounces off a telecommunications satellite, you are using the technological inventions of Arthur C. Clarke.

When Clarke saw this photo from Mars, he was unequivocal in his statement that NASA had conclusive proof of life on Mars.

Speaking to the Wernher von Braun Memorial Lecture series held at the Smithsonian's National Air and Space Museum he was very serious in his comments that the photos leave no doubt—there is life on Mars. Here are some of his comments as reported by **space.com**.

Clarke spoke last night, June 6, via phone from his home in Sri Lanka as key speaker in the Wernher von Braun Memorial Lecture series held here at the Smithsonian's National Air and Space Museum.

Poring over images on his home computer taken by the now-orbiting Mars Global Surveyor (MGS), Clarke said that there are signs of vegetation evident in the photos.

"I'm quite serious when I say have a really good look at these new Mars images," Clarke said. "Something is actually moving and changing with the seasons that suggests, at least, vegetation," he said.

Clarke repeated several times that he was serious about his observations, pointing out that he sees something akin to Banyan trees in some MGS photos. (full story)

While the bile-brained ignorant majority continue to ridicule thinkers and explorers as believing in *little green men*, the real people in the know, like Sir Arthur C. Clarke, say there is definite evidence of biology (life) on Mars.

It really comes down to this. Are you going to believe the evidence or the establishment religion very vaguely resembling science that spins and denies the evidence?

In addition to the "trees", there are photos of dark splotches in the same area near the Martian south pole. This collection of photos has a team of Hungarian scientists interested and they have concluded, with Clarke, that these are signatures of biology.

Using the MGS pictures, the shape, pattern changes, fading and reappearance of the spots were catalogued. The Hungarian Mars analysts contend that the changes support a biological link to the alternations over a geological interpretation.

space.com

The standard NASA interpretation is that these are actually sand dunes topped with melting frost. They say that since the surface temperature is –150 Celsius, these could not possibly be biological in nature. Of course, we now know that surface conditions on Mars are not quite like what NASA has been telling us. Perhaps the temperature is not quite so cold as they are saying. And then again, who is to say that Martian biology requires earth-like temperatures?

Since one establishment science theory about Mars after another has fallen in the dust, it is difficult to put much confidence in this latest *sand dune and frost* theory. Time after time the NASA spin has been proven to be just that—spin. They said there was no water on Mars, and we now know there is a vast amount of the stuff. They painted the Martian sky red and we now know it is as blue as ours. They say the atmosphere is so thin as to be virtually non-existent. Yet, they use parachutes to land their rovers. The odds they are right about *sand dunes and frost* are very slim.

On the other hand, Sir Arthur C. Clarke has spent a lifetime being right. He is a credible and credentialed scientist whose inventions NASA still depends upon to run their missions. The odds that his assessments are correct are very high, indeed.

This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents

The K-FILES Magazine

THEMIS Kills the Thesis

As NASA's technology has become ever more advanced, it has become increasingly difficult for establishment scientists to defend their long cherished view that the *Red Planet is a dead planet*. It has also become increasingly difficult to fend off independent researchers' opinions that there are signs of artificiality on Mars.

Sings of artificiality is another way of saying that there are structures that have been built by intelligent beings. And that, of course, is another way of saying we are not alone in the universe.

Are we alone in the universe? At the moment, nobody knows for certain. Very highly credentialed scientists are among those who contend that the probability we are alone is virtually zero. They contend the probability of other intelligent beings existence is astronomically high. But so far, we have seen no actual proof. Or have we?

Onboard the Mars Odyssey, one of NASA's orbiting Mars satellites, is the Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS). The THEMIS has the ability to photograph what is beneath the surface of Mars. As the Mars Global Surveyor satellite orbits Mars and photographs the surface, the Mars Odyssey orbits Mars and photographs what is beneath the surface. What THEMIS has been photographing is nothing short of startling.

Nervously admitting in press conferences that THEMIS has given NASA a "whole new Mars", the NASA spokesmen have avoided showing or mentioning details of what they are talking about. What they are talking about is sets of photos that show what appears to be sub-surface infrastructure and buildings. They are talking about photos like this one:



This is a THEMIS photo of what lies beneath a region NASA refers to as *Chaos*. The surface of *Chaos* looks like—well, chaos. But what lies beneath its surface looks like anything but chaos. It

is orderly and laid out. Furthermore, it looks very much like water management and distribution systems here on Earth.

In this THEMIS photo, you can see canals that extend for miles. Yet, they are of regular width and depth. They are laid out in a rectilinear fashion not usual to natural geological processes. The regularity, as opposed to irregularity, of their sides also gives evidence of artificiality.

The overall appearance of the sub-surface structure is that it is a constructed structure rather than an accident of geology. And this is not the only photo that is quaking earthbound establishment scientists.

In the Cydonia region, famous for its *face*, *pyramids*, and *fortress*, THEMIS also turned up the heat on the establishment scientists. It photographed what appears to be a sub-surface city—a large and modern city with skyscrapers and streets.

Could it be that these photos are showing us the remains of a long-dead, abandoned civilization? Is it possible that intelligent beings once lived on a warmer, wetter, habitable Mars? Is it possible that intelligent beings once built cities and infrastructure on Mars?

As fantastic as it sounds, the possibility has to be considered scientifically. If an intelligent race, in fact, once lived on Mars, what happened to them? And what caused the planet to come to its current condition?

With the rover missions, NASA has definitely stated they have confirmed Mars was once "habitable". Discovering the remains of a long dead civilization on Mars would certainly be interesting. But discovering what killed the planet's ecosystem would be vitally important for all mankind.

Since Mars was once habitable, knowing what caused it to become uninhabitable could possibly give us glimpses into our own planet's future. It is just possible that we may discover something that could save mankind from the same fate as whoever built those structures on Mars—if they are, in fact, artificial structures.

The science value of exploring Mars is so high that it is way beyond incredible to hear people complain about the costs. The potential life and death (of the human race) knowledge gained from exploration of Mars is so critical that it is unimaginable that any politician could oppose it in good conscience.

Up until 1995, science teachers around the world were telling students that there were no other planets outside our solar system. They were teaching that our solar system appeared to be unique in the universe.

Since 1995, some 110 extra-solar planets have been discovered. We now know for sure we were dead wrong in assuming our solar system was unique. Furthermore, astronomers continue to find new planets outside the solar system on a fairly regular basis.

And now, astronomers have discovered a solar system that is very much like ours! The star in that system is about the same size, age, and intensity as our own sun. A Jupiter-sized planet has been found orbiting that star at about the same distance as Jupiter is from our sun. The orbit of that other *Jupiter* is very similar to the orbit of our Jupiter. All this leads the scientists to believe there may be another earth-like planet orbiting that star as well.

Recently, scientists discovered another extra-solar planet that has oxygen in its atmosphere! That was, in itself, a startling discovery. We have come from there are no other planets outside our solar system to we know about 110 of them and some are similar to earth in the few short years since 1995!

It seems likely we will soon move from *there are no signs of artificiality on Mars* to astro-archaeologists digging through the remains of cities on the Red Planet in a few short years. At the moment, the mere suggestion of that brings down derision from the establishment and the ignorant majority. But they have been wrong about absolutely everything since the days of Copernicus. I see no reason why they should change now.

THEMIS seems to have killed the thesis that there are no signs of artificial structures on the Red Planet.


This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents

Visit the Kevin Smith Show Internet Radio Re-Defined!

Explain That!

By Kevin W. Smith



At first glance, it may look like a speedboat on the water. But it isn't. What you are looking at is a photo of Mars. What looks like water is actually the surface sand of Mars. You are looking at something that has crashed on the surface of the Red Planet.

Whatever it is, it is clear to see that it has left a "V" shaped crash mark on the sand behind it and has radials of ejecta along its sides and in front of it.

Because of its shape and the fact that it is on Mars (photographed by the Mars Global Surveyor), there has been excited speculation that this is an actual crashed alien spaceship. Perhaps it is. Perhaps it is not. There is no way to know for sure at the moment.

Certainly, this photo does excite the imagination. But good science requires investigation and analysis. This may be a piece of space rock that has crashed into Mars. So why is it worth talking about? It is worth talking about because it points out that Mars is covered with things mysterious that warrant scientific exploration, research, and analysis.

As President Bush has set a new vision and goal for NASA to return to the moon, and then on to Mars, other politicians are doing all within their power to scuttle the plan. They are trying to make political headway by opposing the President's plan as being too expensive and foolish.

These politicians are very fond of saying things like, "We can send man to the moon but we can't even find the cure for a common cold. We need to spend more money on health care and forget the moon."

I would certainly like for someone to find the cure for the common cold. On the other hand, I do know how to swallow Nyquil. That's not a mystery that has implications for the future existence of mankind. But the moon, Mars, and all the planets do hold mysteries that have genuine implications for the continued existence of human life on Earth.

Who knows but what the cure for the common cold, AIDS, cancer, and other serious diseases may be found in the course of doing space exploration. In fact, what if the substances that will provide these cures are lying on Mars right now? It could be. But even if not, the massive technological advancements that will be made along the way will improve human life on this planet by several orders of magnitude.

What we know about this universe is primitive and microscopic compared to what there is yet to know. We cannot find it unless and until we step off this planet and go searching.

Space exploration is the most important investment America can make with its dollars directed at science. What a terrible tragedy it will be if space exploration is trashed in order for some bilebrained carpetbagger to get elected.

This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents

Visit the Kevin Smith Show
International audience in 26 Countries



The Mystery Of Phobos 2

In 1989, the Soviet Union (Russia) sent the Phobos 2 satellite to Mars. The trip went without any real problems and the satellite entered into Mars orbit as planned.

When Phobos 2 began doing its ned what you see above. It looks

work, it eventually photographed what you see above. It looks very much like an aerial photo of a city. In fact, it is hard to imagine this being anything other than a city.


Shortly after taking this photo, Phobos 2 photographed the shadow of a very large cigar-shaped object as the shadow passed across the surface of Mars. That meant that whatever was causing the shadow was between the Phobos 2 satellite and the Martian moon after which the satellite had been named.

Turning the onboard cameras toward the Martian moon, Phobos, Russian scientists were about to be shocked beyond description. The onboard cameras shot video and still shots of what was causing the shadow observed crossing the surface of Mars. What was it?

You be the judge. This is the last thing Phobos 2 ever saw. The

large shape at the top of the photo is the Martian moon, Phobos. Between the moon, Phobos, and the satellite Phobos 2, there is a 16 km long cylindrical object. The object has very regular (as opposed to irregular) sides and angles. One end of the object is concave on the left side.

This photo (plus 15 seconds of video not released to the public) is the last photo shot by Phobos 2. In the next split second, Phobos 2 was hit and sent spinning out of control. Mission controllers on



Earth were able to determine from its last sputtering transmissions that it was, indeed, spinning wildly. Phobos 2 died and was never heard from again.

Rumors have circulated for years that Russian space scientists believe Mars to be the home of an alien base. While there is no known proof of it, there is proof that something that should not be there was definitely there. And there is proof that the Phobos 2 satellite was working until it photographed that something that should not be there.

Is it possible that the Phobos 2 photo of the "city" is actually a photo of an alien installation? Maybe. Is it possible that the Phobos 2 satellite was interpreted by the alien base as a security threat and that they dispatched a defensive measure? Maybe.

To come to such a conclusion without further evidence would be to make a very large leap across a very wide and deep chasm of uncertainty. However, it is no leap of the imagination to note exactly what Phobos 2 photographed on the surface of Mars, and what it photographed between itself and the Martian moon. Those photos are facts, but exactly what they are photos of is up for interpretation.

This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents


Listen to the talk show that has the world in a buzz!

The Kevin Smith Show

Tunnels and Transports

By Kevin W. Smith

They stretch for miles and miles across the surface of Mars. To be more precise, places where the surface of Mars has been severely eroded and disturbed have exposed miles and miles of these things.



They appear to be transparent like some sort of glass. They are ribbed at fairly regular intervals with fairly regular marks. They intersect with a type of symmetry that

defies belief in them being an accident of geology. But what are they?

They appear to be hollow inside, and you can see what looks like a shiny, silvery orb in the rounded end of the one that appears to be atop another. But again, what are they?

Obviously, no one on Earth really knows what they are. Other than to say that they are *anomalies*, it is impossible to say much of anything at all about them. Or is it?

The United States of America is home to a vast underground system of tunnels installed by the U.S. military. They use special machines for creating these tunnels. It is said that these machines use atomic power rather than drill bits to tunnel their way through solid rock. They are rumored to be able to do this by super-heating the *drill bit*, which melts the rock. The melted rock is slung to the outside by the spinning apparatus and hardens into glass. Thus, we would have created something very similar to what you see in that photo.

The existence of both the tunnel system and the machines that create them on Earth is not legend or myth. The United States Air Force has at

least one such machine—and there are very likely others. If we possess the technology on Earth to create these *glass* tunnels, it should not be difficult to believe that another intelligent race could have done the same thing. The big leap, however, is believing there is (or was) another intelligent race, and that they lived on Mars.

Interestingly, the ideas the ignorant majority have laughed loudest at have mostly become reality. When Jules Verne wrote a novel about a trip to the moon, it was just that—a novel. Yet the idea behind the novel was scoffed by dill-headed scientists of the day. When locomotives were first put to use, very profound and grave scientists of the day warned against traveling faster than 20 mph. A speed faster than that would cause all the oxygen to rush from the cars and kill the passengers, they warned.

If the ignorant majority were to put a notch in their brains for every time they have been proven to be dead wrong, they would be brain dead. The louder they laugh the more certain I am they are wrong again.

This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents

The more you listen the more you think.

<u>The Kevin Smith Show</u>

Previous Civilization on Mars: Is that nutty or what?

By Kevin W. Smith



Why would anyone in his right mind believe in the possibility that there was once an advanced civilization on Mars. Is that nutty, or what?

Actually, no. It is not nutty

at all. Of course, on the fringes of everything human there are a few nutty people. But very sane, very rational people are among those who believe it is possible that Mars once was home to an intelligent civilization.

Why? Because there are literally hundreds of photos from various NASA missions to Mars that show things literally scream there is *artificiality*. There are things that just plain look as if they were built or manufactured.

The photo above is but one example. It shows what appears to be large complex of some type. Geology just does not build things that look like that. At least, not any geology we are familiar with on Earth.

Ah, but it just might be a geological structure, you think. Yes, it is a possibility that laws of physics do not work the same on Mars as they work on earth. But that is highly unlikely. Don't you think?


Even given the possibility that the structure in the photo was built by geological forces (and is, therefore, natural), what geological forces do you imagine could design and build the complex in the next photo?

This photo was taken near Mars' south pole. What do you make of it? The very regular rectilinear outline, the apparent huge pipes or tubes, the apparent smokestack with multiple vent holes, are all defiant of every attempt at a geological explanation. This giant structure is another location on Mars that screams *artificiality*.



For quite a number of people—very sane, rational, logical people, scientifically minded people—attempts to tell us this is a trick of lighting or a geological anomaly are just plain nutty.

Many people who think it is possible that there was once an intelligent civilization on Mars consider that another race of intelligent beings (other than humans) might also incorporate art in their expressions of their civilizations—just as humans do. When they consider that possibility, they take serious consideration of photos like this one.



so intelligently artistic?

Etched into the rock on the side of a Martian cliff is the very unmistakable image of a woman's face (facing left) wearing some type of headdress (perhaps a symbol of royalty).

Who is she? There is no way to know. But we know she is not from Earth. She appears on Mars! Did geology do that? Is geology



Not only does the image of a woman, possibly a queen, appear on a cliff side, this carving of a male face with a crown appears on a Martian cliff. When faced with these NASA photos, is it nutty to consider that someone intelligent has been there? Or is it more nutty to believe geological forces did all this?

Why the establishment scientists continue to hand out one bogus

explanation after another is a mystery in and of itself. Exactly why is it so important to convince us our eyes are deceiving us? And why do they continue to assume the entire population of planet Earth will keep on believing the smoke and mirrors instead of the photos?

Is it nutty to believe these NASA photos? Is it nutty to believe our eyes? Or is it more nutty to buy that line from the song, *Men In Black* that says the things you see, you did not see?

It is easy for the ignorant majority to run for the presumed safety and cover of the establishment scientists' explanation of things. They offer explanations that keep humans as the king of the hill. They offer explanations that keep humans alone in the universe, and therefore, the at the top of the intelligence heap.

But who is nutty? The people who believe the worn out smoke and mirror explanations of the establishment scientists? Or people who refuse to check their brains at the door and choose to believe their own eyes?

Granted, there are sometimes geological formations that bear a striking resemblance to things made by men. But our own eyes can tell the difference—here on Earth and on Mars as well. Geology does not do intelligent art. Geology does not do architecture with the regular features we see in photos from Mars. At least, not any type of geology with which we are familiar.

So, who is the nut?

This article may be reprinted in any media provided it is unedited and the author's byline and copyright notice remain intact.

Table of Contents

The bane of the ignorant majority!

The Kevin Smith Show

Links Worth Clicking

The Kevin Smith Show – Internet radio re-defined!

<u>K-FILES Magazine</u> – premier full color e-magazine published in AdobeReader format. Published monthly by the Kevin Smith Show.

<u>Enterprisemission</u> – the incredible web site of Richard Hoagland. Tons of photos and excellent analysis of what's up in space exploration.

<u>Paranormal Index</u> – from about.com this index of paranormal sites provides tremendous resources for your enjoyment and research.

<u>Mars Exploration</u> – this is NASA's launch pad for their information on Mars exploration.

<u>The Mars Society</u> – web site of a society that promotes exploration and ultimate colonization of Mars.

<u>Malin Space Science Systems</u> – THE site for official NASA photos of Mars from the Mars Global Surveyor satellite.

<u>Constitution Society</u> – a site with a tremendous library of Constitutional reading materials.

Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States of America

<u>Ancient Mysteries</u> – this site is about ancient mysteries and evidence of things that establishment scientists say just can't be.

<u>Mysteries of Ancient History and Archaeology</u> – a site that examines several mysterious ancient civilizations.

<u>World Mysteries</u> – explore ancient, lost, and mysterious civilizations.

Remote Viewing – this site provides both an introduction to remote viewing and a free online training course in ARV.

<u>Technical Remote Viewing University</u> – the online home of a premier remote viewing university.

Table of Contents

Brand This Book for Free

Without a doubt, one of the hottest commodities in the world is the e-book. And quality free e-books are one of the greatest ways to promote your web site—provided you brand the e-book with your own logo.

LIMITED TIME OFFER:

For a limited time, we are offering free branding of this e-book provided our simple conditions are met. They are:

- This book may not be branded by any site containing or promoting the overthrow or downfall of the United States of America.
- This book may not be branded by any site containing or promoting adult content (our sole decision and determination).
- 3. You must have a web site, FTP site, or some means of offering this book for free download.
- 4. You may not charge (in any way) for this e-book. You may give it away free, or as a free bonus gift.
- 5. You must complete our **online application** for branding (don't worry, it is brief).
- 6. You must provide us with the logo you want us to use in branding the e-book. (The actual size of your logo appearing in the e-book will be similar to our logos shown at the beginning of this e-book.

THIS OFFER MAY BE WITHDRAWN AT ANY TIME WITHOUT NOTICE.

Table of Contents

About the Author



Kevin W. Smith
Host and Producer
The Kevin Smith Show

Kevin W. Smith is the producer and host of the *Kevin Smith Show* broadcast Monday thru Friday via the show's <u>web site</u> on the internet. A native of Dallas, Texas, Kevin spent most of his adult career in law enforcement. He served as a deputy sheriff, a city police officer, and then multiple international missions as both an international police officer and a diplomatic observer.

People who know Kevin describe him as a thinker, outspoken, bold, and an incisive interviewer in pursuit of the truth. Fearless in taking on the status quo, he has sometimes been labeled as controversial. His response to this?

"It's a compliment. Democracy is a controversy that never stops. The day the controversy stops, democracy dies. A thing is not true simply because some expert says it is. And it is not untrue simply because a common man observed it. It's all about the truth and truth is, unfortunately, controversial in a world powered by spin doctors."

Though the show never discusses partisan politics, it has made waves by discussing Constitutional issues. Though the show never discusses theology or religion, it has rocked boats by discussing incredible findings in the actual words of holy books.

Kevin is a very active researcher, writer, and publisher. His articles have appeared on web sites, in ezines, magazines, and

newspapers. He has published several e-books, and has produced several video seminars. While many talk show hosts rail against one political philosophy or another, Kevin consistently attacks what he has called *the ignorant majority*.

"People who have the intelligence to do critical thinking but refuse to do so are members of the ignorant majority. People who are capable of logical, rational analysis of news and science, but who run for the safety of the status quo are part of the ignorant majority."

So why does he attack the ignorant majority incessantly?

"Because they have been dead wrong on virtually every theory they have forced down the throats of humanity for centuries. They were wrong in the days of Copernicus and they are wrong today. Almost daily another arrogant theory of the ignorant majority bites the dust as science reaches further and further into what was the unknown. They told us not to sail too far or we would fall off the earth. Yeah, right. They are just as profound in their thinking today."

Kevin has traveled extensively. He has lived in, worked in, and visited some 20 countries. From wherever he happens to be, he sits at the mic to speak to the world. Shows have originated from Kosovo, Serbia, Albania, Macedonia, Italy, Romania, East Timor, Indonesia, Australia, Thailand, and a few other places. When in the United States, the show originates from Phoenix, Arizona. E-mails have been received from listeners in about 26 countries.

Table of Contents

Listen to Today's Show

Subscribe to K-FILES Magazine!