





UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH LIBRARIES



THIS BOOK PRESENTED BY
Friends'
Historical Society of
Swarthmore College

Truth Triumphant,

Through the

SPIRITUAL WARFARE,

Christian Labours

AND

WRITINGS

Of that Able and Faithful

Servant of JESUS CHRIST,

Robert Barclay.

Who Deceased at his own House at URY, in the Kingdom of SCOTLAND, the 3d Day of the 8th Month, 1690.

VOL. III.

Heb. xi. 4. He being Dead, yet Speaketh.

LONDON: Re-printed and Sold by the Assigns of F. Sowle, at the Bible in George-Yard in Lombard-Street, 1718.

Allegative productions of the THE THE WEST in any or the second second and the state of

A True and Faithful Account of the

Most Material PASSAGES

OF A

DISPUTE

Betwixt some Students of Divinity (so called) of the University of Aberdeen, and the People called Quakers; Held in Aberdeen in Scotland, in Alexander Harper his Close (or Yard) before some Hundreds of Witnesses, upon the 14th Day of the Second Month called April, 1675. There being

Opponents Alexander Shirrest, Mast. of Art Paul Gellie.

And Defendents upon the Quakers Part, Robert Barclap and George Krith.

Prases for Moderating the Meeting chosen by them, Andrew Chomson, Advocate:

And by the Quakers, Alexander Skein, sometime a Magistrate of the City.

Published for preventing Mis-reports, by Alex. Skein, John Skein, Alex. Harper, Ibomas Merser and John Cowie.

To which is added, Robert Barclay's Offer to the Preachers of Aberdeen, Renewed and Re-inforced.

Acts 4.27. For of a Truth, against thy holy Child Fesus, whom thou hast Anointed, both Herod and Pontius Pilate, with the Gentiles, and the People of Israel were gathered together.

LONDON: Printed by the Affigns of F. Sowle, in the Year, 1717.



THE

EPISTLE.

Friendly Readers,

Forasmuch as Our Opposers threat-ned, they would Print an Account of the Debate, and boasted of a Victory; We thought it our Concernment for the Truth's Sake, and to undeceive those that may be abused by such Reports, to give this True and Faithful Account of what pass'd; which we are confident, all the Impartial and Attentive Auditors will affirm it to be a true Account: Neither is there any One Argument omitted, that We can remember of, or any thing added. There were many things spoken extrinsick from the Matter; and sometimes confusedly, Two or Three of Our Opposers speaking often at once, and also some others, that were not concerned; as particularly, one Brown, the Bishop's ChapChaplain, who though he refused to Subscribe the Articles, and so was excluded from speaking, did often most impertinently interrupt and intrude himself: But these being only transient, and no Arguments insisted on, We have not inserted them; studying to keep to the Matter. And We do faithfully declare, that We have herein dealt impartially, according to Our Memory; as We hope, such serious Auditors, as may read this, will acknowledge. So leaving You to the Perusal hereof, We rest

Your Souls Well-Wishers,

ALEXANDER SKEIN,
JOHN SKEIN,
THOMAS MERCER,
JOHN COWIE.

An Account of a

Dispute at Aberdeen.

In the first Place the Articles were Read, which are as followeth.

T is hereby declared, That this is to be a Private Conference betwixt the Students of Divinity (fo called) of the Colledges of Aberdeen, and the People called Quakers, as a fulfilling of any Challenge, wherein these Students may be included within the Theses set forth by Robert Barclay (or may have received from any of that People) but abstract from the Publick Challenge given to the Preachers in General in the End of the English Theses; because it is offered with Particular Condition, of having the Publick Places to dispute in, before the Auditories, before whom they conceive they have been mif-represented.

II. It is provided, That when any of either Party is speaking, if any of their Company offer to speak, he that is speaking, is to be silent; but if two of a Party speak at once, he that is seen to obtrude himself, Shall be judged Impertinent, and

excluded thereby from farther Access.

III. That each Speaker on any of the Sides have full Liberty and Time to Speak, without Interruption of the contrary Party; and that he that interrupts, Shall be debarred from farther speaking.

IV. That each Side abstain from School-Terms and Distinctions (as much as possible) but if any use them, that they may be opened to the People in plain English; so that any of ordinary Capacity Cthat

1675. that are not Educated in Colledges) may underfrand them.

V. As for Retortions, they must not be impertinent, and from the Purpose; and none shall be so insisted on, as to divert us from the Point, or

turn the Opponent into the Respondent.

VI. The Day appointed for the Conference, is the Fourteenth of April, in the Year One Thoufand Six Hundred Seventy Five, (being the Day called Wednesday) the Place is to be at Alexander Harper's House or Close (in Case the Gray-Fryers Church (so called) cannot be obtained) and that the Conference is to continue from Two to Five a Clock in the Asternoon.

VII. Both Parties shall endeavour to procure a Præses to Moderate, but not to have any Decisive Judgment; yet if such a One cannot be procured,

the Conference is not to be broken up.

VIII. And it is hereby declared, That both Parties intend this for Mutual Edification; and therefore intend to abstain from any thing, that may

obstruct so good an Event.

IX. It is likewise agreed, That none shall have Liberty to speak, but those that have, or shall subscribe, before the Dispute begin, these aforefuld Articles.

Fre Alex. Skein, one of our Friends chosen Prases for Us (because we could not at that Imme procure another) standing up with the other Prases—

Student. It was condescended, That no Qua-

ker should be a Prases.

Quaker. We are wronged; for we never condefeended to any fuch thing: And feeing ye have chosen one of Your Way, how can we be hindred to chuse one of Ours?

Andr.

Andr. Thomson (their Prases.) There needs no 1675. Debate in this Matter; for we are chosen not to have any Decisive Judgment, but only for the Moral Part, to take Notice if the Rules be observed; or whether we keep to the Purpose.

Then John Leslie had a long and tedious Discourse, concerning what was fit to be done, and

how we ought to dispute.

G. K. Prases, I suppose we came not to this Place to hear from this Young Man a long Lo-

gick Discourse.

R. B. I defire to be heard: We being a People fo generally Misrepresented, as Heretical and Erroneous, did conceive our felves obliged to give a True and Faithful Account of our Principles, which I did in a certain Paper now under Debate. And, that our Innocency therein might appear, there was a Challenge added to the End of it, offering to defend these our Principles, if we might be allowed fo to do, in these Publick Places, where we have been fo much mifreprefented, and against these Persons, who had there fo often traduced us. To which having received no Answer, some of the Students of Divinity came to us, and fignified, that they looked upon themselves as concerned; because Mention is made of fuch in the Beginning of that Paper. To whom we answered, That they were not the Persons Challenged by Us; as not being the Publick Preachers that had Mifrepresented Us: But feeing they were defirous to debate the Matter, we were not unwilling to render to any a Reafon of the Hope that is in us; and therefore should not decline it. And forasimuch as some did ob. ject, that we were at a Loss, as engaging with them; because there would be little Advantage, in Case we had any Victory; and a greater Reflection, should we appear to be at any Loss. To fuch we had, and have this to fay, That as

Ablest of the Preachers themselves; so the Truth leads us not to despise any. As R. B. was go-

ing on, he was interrupted.

Alex. Shirreff. If it were pertinent, I could easily disprove much of what is said; but to be short: R. B. having given Theses, provoking all the Scholars of Europe and Great Britain (though R. B. pretends in his Preface to be against School-Divinity; yet his Thefes are full of it: And there are many other Contradictions, which I will not now take Notice of) The Preachers and Ministers of the Word not finding themselves concerned, we Young Men, and but Students. have offered to dispute. In the Articles the Quakers have been very unreasonable; and particularly G. K. did refuse, any Article should be put in against Railing; because he faid, That might be Railing in me, which was not in him, because he (to wit, G.K.) was immediately led by the Spirit. We have concluded, that being Young Men, in Case the Quakers should have any Advantage, it will not be of great Confequence; and if we have Advantage, we hope it may be useful: because these are the great Prophets and Preachers of the Quakers.

And it may not true in that young Man's long Discourse; as here be ob-particularly, That R. B. hath provoked all Euferved, that afterwards rope: But I pass them by, because I am here exfer lear flost ceedingly abused; and therefore desire to be lar flost heard. For I declare in God's Fear, and in Sinthe Quakers gleness of my Heart, I never said any such said, it was greatly as is by that Young Man alledged upon to speak the me; as I can appeal to the Auditors, who were Truth; which was all he pleadnot bind my self not to rail, because I'm bound alted for.

Tready, that I should not rail, by the Righteous Law ready, that I should not rail, by the Righteous Law

of God in my Conscience; and may perhaps speak

that, as believing it to be true, which ye may call 1675.

Railing.

A. Shir. I being chiefly concerned, and having mostly occasioned this Debate, am employed by the rest to speak first; and therefore I will impugn the Second Thesis. Which R. B. read, and is as followeth:

Seeing no Man knoweth the Father, but the Son, and he to whom the Son revealeth him, Mat. 11. 27. And seeing, the Revelation of the Son is in and by the Spirit; therefore the Testimony of the Spirit is that alone, by which the true Knowledge of God bath been, is, and can be only revealed: Who, as by the Moving of his own Spirit, converted the Chaos of this World into that wonderful Order, wherein it was in the Beginning, and created Man a Living Soul to rule and govern it; So by the Revelation of the same Spirit be bath made manifest himself all along unto the Sons of Men, both Patriarchs, Prophets and Apostles: Which Revelations of God by the Spirit, whether by outward Voices and Appearances, Dreams, or inward obje-Hive Manifestations in the Heart, was of Old the formal Object of their Faith, and remaineth yet fo to be, since the Object of the Saints Faith is the same in all Ages, though set forth under divers Administrations. Moreover, these Divine Inward Revelations, which we make absolutely necessary for the Building up true Faith, neither do, nor can ever contradict the outward Testimony of the Scriptures, or right and found Reason; yet from hence it will not follow, that the Divine Revelations are to be Subjected to the Examination either of the outward Testimony of the Scriptures, or of the Natural Reason of Man, as to a more Noble. or certain Rule and Touchstone. For this Divine Revelation and inward Illumination is that, which is evident and clear of it self; forcing by its own Evidence and Clearness the well-disposed Underfland1675. Standing to Assent, irresistably moving the Same thereunto, even as the Common Principles of Natural Truths move and incline the Mind to a Natural Assent.

R.B. People, this is that which we affirm, and which these young Men are about to dispute against, as false. Notwithstanding that A. Shir. had thus offered himself first to dispute, yet J. L. intruding himself, put him to Silence, beginning as followeth:

J. L. That which is not to be believed, as the Rule of Faith, is not to be the Rule of Faith:

The Spirit is not to be believed, as the Rule of Faith; Therefore
The Spirit is not to be the Rule of Faith.

R. B. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny the Minor, or Second Proposition.

7. L. I prove it;

all Men.

That which hath not a sufficient Evidence, to Evidence it self to be a Rule, is not to be a Rule:

But

The Spirit in the Quakers hath not a sufficient Evidence, whereby to evidence it self to be a Rule; Therefore
The Spirit in the Quakers is not to be our Rule.

R. B. (Having repeated the Argument) I diffinguish that Second Proposition, If thou meanest any Spirit in the Quakers, which they peculiarly assume to themselves, as Quakers, or say, they have as a part of themselves, or of Man's Nature; we concede, that such have No Evidence; neither do we say, that any such Spirit is to be our Rule. But if thou meanest that Universal Spirit of God, a Manifestation whereof is given to every one to profit withal; we affirm, it hath a sufficient Evidence in us, and in

7. L.

F. L. I urge that Distinction;

If the Spirit hath a sufficient Evidence, either this Evidence is from your own Declaration, or some other.

But

It is neither from your own Declaration, nor from some other; Therefore

It bath not a sufficient Evidence.

R. B. It is from both. 7. L. What is it then?

R. B. That it teacheth us to deny Ungodliness and Worldly Luss, and to live soberly, righteously and godly in this present World: This is an Evidence to all Men.

J. L. I prove, that is not a sufficient Evi-

dence; Thus:

That is not a sufficient Evidence, which Hereicks may pretend unto, as a sufficient Ground for their Heresy:

Hereticks may pretend this as a sufficient Ground for their Heresy;

Therefore

It is not a sufficient Evidence.

R. B. I answer this first by a Retortion; this is the same Argument upon the Matter, which the fesuite, Dempster, used against your Master, viz. fohn Menzies: For the fesuit pressing him to assign a Ground for the Protestant Religion, which Hereticks could not pretend unto; J. M. named the Scripture: And the fesuit surther urged, That Hereticks could and did pretend unto the Scriptures. Now, what Evidence can ye give from the Scriptures, which we cannot give? Yea, and greater from the Spirit, that Hereticks cannot justly lay claim to.

Stud. (With one Voice) We will not have Re-

tortions.

R. B. Prases, Read the Articles, which contain a particular Provision for Retortions, as being Lawful, if not infifted too much on.

So the Fifth Article above-mentioned was read.

G. K.

G. K. I offer to Answer directly to his Argu-1675. ment without Retortion, though I pass not from the Retortion; for it stands over your Heads. which ye will never get over. Then I fay, we have a two-fold Evidence, which no Heretick can justly lay Claim to. The one is, the inward Evidence of the Spirit of God, by its own immediate Testimony in our Hearts: The other is, the Testimony of the Scriptures, which I affirm in the Name of the People called Quakers, is the best external and outward Evidence and Rule, that can be given. And my Reason, why we have the Testimony of the Scriptures, as an Evidence, that we have the Inspiration of the Spirit is this:

All Men have a Measure of the Inspiration of the Spirit of God according to the Scriptures Testimony, That Christ the true Light enlightneth every Man, that cometh into the World; and that a Manisestation of the Spirit is given to every Man to profit withal: But this universal Illumination or Manisestation is Inspired; and if All Men be in Measure Inspired, then consequently We, who are Men, are Inspired.

7. L. I prove, ye have not the Testimony of

the Scriptures for a sufficient Evidence:

That which is fallacious, is not a sufficient Evidence:

The Scriptures Testimony, according to the Quakers, without the Indwelling of the Spirit, is fallacious; Therefore The Scriptures Testimony is not a sufficient

Evidence.

R. B. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny

the Second Proposition.

G. K. The Argument is wrong in its Structure, and vitious, as confishing of Four Terms, which no right Syllogism should have.

Stud.

the conclu-

Stud. Is it not in Forma? For it hath not 1675. Four Terms.

G. K. It hath four Terms; and this I offer to Lappeal to prove before either your Masters, or any other judi- aus, if when cious Logicians of any University in this Nation. any thing is I say it hath four Terms, because it subsumes that subsumed in a syllogism, in the second Proposition, which was not in the which is neifirst Proposition. ther in the first Propo-

At this the Students fell a laughing, and fo sition, nor in provoked the People to Lightness.

Sion, Whe-Al. Skein (one of the Prases) I am forry to fee ther that those, who profess to study Divinity, behave them-syllogism hath not felves so lightly, and so far from Seriousness in four Terms? fuch weighty Matters, as concern the Truths of God.

G. K. I am ready still to prove, that the Syllogifm hath four Terms: But this being not fo proper here for this Auditory, ye proceed to prove the second Proposition, which R. B. hath denied.

F. L. I prove the Second Proposition;

That which may beguile a Man, is fallacious:

But

According to the Quakers, the Scriptures may beguile a Man, without the Indwelling of the Spirit: Therefore

According to the Quakers, the Scriptures are fallacious.

G. K. This Argument is also wrong in the Stru-

Eture, having four Terms.

R. B. But waving that, I deny thy fecond Proposition: For the Scripture cannot beguile any Man, although Men may or have beguiled themfelves by a wrong Use of it.

A. Shir. Take Notice People, The Quakers fay,

The Scriptures cannot beguile you.

R. B. Speak lowder yet; for we do and have constantly Affirmed it: And we hope, it will help to clear us of those Mis-representations, as if we despised or spake Evil of the Scriptures.

G. K. I

the one End of the World to the other, when I fay, The Scriptures cannot beguile any Man: For the Scripture is Innocent, and a true Testimony in it self; but Men do beguile themselves oft, by making perverse Glosses upon the Scriptures: The Scripture cannot be fallacious, because according to you, it is your Principal Rule of Faith; and if we can prove from your own principal Rule, that we are Inspired, then the Scripture's-Testimony is not fallacious, else your Principal Rule would be Fallacious.

Stud. But that is not according to your Prin-

ciple.

G. K. But it is an Argument ad Hominem, which ye know, is lawful: And besides, though we do not acknowledge them to be the principal Rule of our Faith; yet we Affirm, that they are a True Testimony, and the best outward Testimony and Rule in the World. And besides, there is a Manifesstation of the Spirit in many, where there is not an In-dwelling of the Spirit, and by this Manifesstation of the Spirit all Men may understand the Scriptures, as they do improve it.

Stud. We will go to another Argument.

R. B. People take Notice, this Argument is left upon this Point, that according to the Quakers Principle these Young-Men say, The Scriptures may beguile People; which we utterly deny,

as proved, or that that can be proved.

Al. Shirreff: I argue against the latter part of the Second Thesis, where ye affirm; That inward Immediate Revelations are necessary to the building up of true Faith. We confess, That Subjective Revelation is necessary; but we deny, that Objective Revelation is necessary, which ye Affirm.

G. K. Explain, what ye mean by Subjective and Objective Revelation, that the People may

understand according to the Articles.

A. Sh. I

A Sh. I explain it from this Scripture, Luke 1675.

24. 17. And beginning at Moses and all the Prophets, he expounded unto them in all the Scriptures the things concerning himfelf. Here is the objective Revelation, to wit, the Scriptures, so that they needed not any new objective Revelation, but only that which was before; but needed a Subjective Revelation, or Divine Illumination, to make them understand the objective Revelation, to wit, the Scriptures.

G. K. That is not a fufficient Explanation of Objective and Subjective Revelation; therefore I defire to be heard, that I may open it more fufficiently, according as is provided in the Articles.

of Agreement.

is twofold, to wit, first, the Material Object; secondly, the Formal Object.

Stud. Do the People understand this?

G. K. I shall explain to them, for it is necessary to the Matter in Hand. The Material Object is that, which is to be believed; the Formal Object is that, for which principally we are to believe, or the Principal Motive of Credibility. Now to apply, I say; The Scriptures are the material Object, or a Part of the material Object of our Faith; but not the formal Object of our Faith.

Al. Shir. I prosecute my Argument against such Objettive Revelations, as being necessary to Faith.

G. K. We confess, the Scriptures are sufficient to move us to an Historical Faith, and that to a more excellent Degree of Historical Faith, than any other Book in the World; because it hath more excellent outward Motives of Credibility, as the Consent of all Ages, since they were written, and of all Christians, however differing among themselves, &c. But they are not sufficient to beget in us a Saving Faith, without Inward Objective Revelation.

1675. Al. Shir. I prove, such Inward Objective Revelations are not necessary to beget Saving Faith, by this Argument:

> If there be no such Seed in Men, as the Quakers maintain, then there are no such Reve-

lations, as the Quakers maintain.

But there is no fuch Seed in Men, as the Quakers maintain:

Therefore there are no fuch Revelations, &c.

R. B. (After he had repeated the Argument) I deny that fecond Proposition.

Al. Shir. I prove it.

If there be such Seed in Men, as a substantial, living Principle, distinct from the Soul, that can be heard, seen, savoured, tasted and felt, then there is no such Seed in Men, as the Quakers maintain:

But the first is true ; Therefore the last.

And then the faid Alexander Shirreff read a Passage at length out of G. K. his Book of Immediate Revelation, Page 6, 7. That the Seed was such a living, substantial Principle, and that in the Seed these Revelations were only received.

R. B. This is a Digression from the Matter, and a passing from the Theses (which should have been the Subject of this Day's Debate) to G. K. his

Bock of Immediate Revelation.

G. K. I must now appear to defend my Book, and apologize to R. B. because I am necessitated to put my Hand in another's Harvest: Therefore I distinguish upon the Word Such, in the first Proposition. If by such, thou meanest a Substantial Principle, &c. I say, that is altogether Extrinsick to the Subject of the Debate; and besides it will engage us into the greatest Nicities and Obscurities of Philosophy and School-Divinity, that is not proper for this Auditory: But if by such thou meanest, an Universal Principle of God's Saving Grace in Men, whereby they are capacitated

firm and am ready to maintain, there is such a Principle In All ME N.

Al. Shir. But I prove, That that Seed in Men is

not of a Substance, or Substantial Principle.

G. K. I am ready to defend, That it is a Substantial Principle: But that belonging to the Second Proposition, we ought not to come to it, beater the Distinction of the First Proposition be discussed. Here the Students made a great Noise; and G. K. appealed to the Præses And. Thompson: Who answered discreetly, That G. K. did not reasule to defend, That the Seed of God was a Substantial Principle; but this was not it's project Place, until the Distinction of the former Proposition be discussed.

A Shir. I shall wave the Word Substantial, &c. and I offer to prove, That there is not a Seed of

God in Men, as the Quakers Affirm:

If there be fuch a Seed, it is either Created or Uncreated.

But it is neither Created, nor Uncreated;

Chuse you whether.

G. K. (After he had repeated the Argument:) I distinguish the Word Seed, as being either a Concrete Term, or an Abstract Term.

J. L. Doth the People understand this Distin-

Ction?

G. K. I hope ye understand it; and I shall explain it to them, who understand it not. A Concrete Term comprehendeth two things; the one in Resto, (as they say) the other in Obliquo, that is to say, the one hath the other belonging to it. As Merciful is a Concrete Term, which is as much as to say, One that hath Mercifulness in him; and so Mercifulness is the Abstract, which signifies that one thing belonging to the Concrete. Now to apply: If we understand Seed as the Concrete; it is both Uncreated and Created; for it is God

him-

pacity in his Work of Manifestation, which Work is Created: but He, who doth manifest himself in that Manifestation, is Uncreated. And because he manifests himself at first in a low and small Degree unto the Soul; therefore he in that Manifestation is compared unto a Seed; even as Clemens Alexandrinus saith, That Christ compared himself to a Grain of Mustard-Seed in his Inward Appearance in Men's Hearts.

Alex. Shir. The Seed is not a Substantial Principle, because it is the Manifestation of God: But the Manifestation of God is not a Substantial

Principle, but Accidental.

G. K. That may be Substantial or a Substance, which in another Respect is Accidental; as Gold is a Substance, so Silver, Houses, Lands are Substances; but they are Accidental to me, because I may want them.

Al. Shir. He faith, his Seed is a Substance or Sub-

Stantial Principle.

G. K. This is an Abuse: I speak not of my Seed, or of the Seed of Man; but of the Seed of GOD in Men.

A. Shir. I prove, that Manifestation is not a Sub-

Stance.

R. B. That brings us again into a *Philosophical Debate*, which is here to be avoided.

J. L. I prove, that Manifestation is not Created.

What soever is of God, is God:

But

This Manifestation is of God,

Therefore it is God.

G. K. Take Notice of this Young-Man's Blafphemy; for if what soever is of God, be God, then all the Creatures are God, as Stones, Horses, &c. For the Scripture says; Of him, and through him, and to him are all things. Here the Students made a Noise, and fell a Laughing to cover this: Some of them speaking Irreverently of God.

G. K. I be-

G. K. I befeech you, yea, I charge you all in 1675. God's Fear, that when you speak of that Holy and Dreadful Being, ye do it with Fear and Reverence.

A. Shir. Ye say then, This Seed is God in a Ma-

nifestation: I prove, it is not.

That is not God, which can be measured in Measures, and can grow from a lesser Measure to a great, can be formed and grow up in Men.

But God cannot be measured in Measures, nor

grow, &c.

Therefore this Seed is not God in a Manifesta-

tion.

G. K. (After he had repeated the Argument) I answer; God as in himself, or as in his own Being, cannot be measured or grow up, it is true; but as in Respect of his Manifestation, quoad Nos (or as to us) that is to say, as he comes forth as to us, discovering himself, He or his Spirit may be said to have Measures. And this I shew from Scripture: As where it is said in fohn concerning Christ; Godgave not the Spirit by Measure unto him; implying, he gave it forth in Measures unto others: And where Elisha said unto Elisah, Let a double Portion of thy Spirit be upon me.

J. L. He faith, God cometh forth into the Creatures: I prove, he cannot come forth into the

Creatures, because he is in himself.

G. K. He doth come forth into the Creatures, and yet is still In himself; for he is not limited, as Creatures are, who go from one Place to another: But he is In all Creatures, and In Himself also. But this Toung-Man, as I perceived by him the other Day, is a Nullibist in his Opinion, as they term them: So that according to his Principle, the Soul of John Lesly is as much in France, even now, as in his Body, or in this Place; that is to say, neither here, nor there. But herein I B 2

1675. Speak according to Scripture-Words, which faith; God boweth the Heavens, and cometh down: Yet not that he leaveth his one Being; but it is spoken after the Manner of Men, who is every where in all his Creatures; but manifesteth himself in several Measures unto them.

Al. Shir. There is nothing in the Seed, but God; therefore God in his own Being is measured forth according to the Quakers Doctrine; for the Seed

is nothing, but God and his Manifestation.

G. K. The Manifestation is in its self, and not out of it self. Can Al. Shir. be out of himself? Or can any thing be out of it self?

R. B. If some of them be not without them-

selves, it is like they are beside themselves.

G. K. In a Moral Way of Speaking, when a Manis a Mad-man, or beside his Purpose, he is besside bimself. Upon this the Students fell to debate among themselves, Whether they should prosecute the Argument, or not, some being for it, and some against it: And those who were for it, boasting of their Advantage?

G. K. I fee no Strength in your Reasoning to Glory in, it hath not the Strength of a Cobweb; but if you think it hath, produce it: And if any more Water remain in your Bottle, bring it out.

A. Shir. Yea, we have Water enough yet in

our Bottle to quench your Spirit.

R. B. Come on with it then.

We will go from this to the Eleventh Thesis,

which R. B. read out, and is as followeth.

All true and acceptable Worship to God is offered in the inward and immediate Moving and Drawing of his own Spirit, which is neither limited to Places, Times, or Persons. For, though we be to Worship him always, in that we are to sear before him; yet as to the outward Signification thereof in Prayer, Praises or Preachings, we ought not to do it, where and when we will, but where and when

we are moved thereunto by the secret Inspirations 1675. of his Spirit in our Hearts, which God heareth and accepteth of, and is never wanting to move us thereunto, when need is; of which he himself is the alone proper Judge. All other Worship then, both Praises, Prayers and Preachings, which Man fets about in his own Will, and at his own Appointment, which he can both begin and End at his Pleasure, do or leave undone, as himself sees meet, whether they be a prescribed Form, as a Lyturgy, or Prayers conceived ex Tempore, by the Natural Strength and Faculty of the Mind, they are all but Superstition, Will-worship, and abominable Idolatry in the Sight of God, which are to be denied, rejected and separated from in this Day of his Spiritual Arising. However it might have pleased him, who winked at the Times of Ignorance, with Respect to the Simplicity and Integrity of Some, and his own Innocent Seed, (which lay, as it were, buried in the Hearts of Men under the Mass of Superstition) to blow upon the dead and dry Bones, and to raise some Breathings, and answer them; and that until the Day should more clearly dawn and break forth.

A. Sh. By this Thesis ye affirm, That no Man ought to go about any Duty without a particular

Impulse of the Spirit,

R. B. Impulse is not a Word used by me, but an obscure Word; therefore fay, Inspiration or Influence.

A. Sh. Either this Inspiration ye have it in all things, or in somethings: Chuse you Whether.

R. B. We have it in these things relating to

our Duties of Worship towards God.

Al. Sh. This contradicts G. K. who in his Book of Immediate Revelation saith, That in all things what soever, we ought to have an Inspiration of the Spirit for the doing of the same; otherwise we cannot do in Faith.

R. B.

R. B. This is another Digression and going from the Purpose: For the Question is not, How far I contradict another; but what in Reason ye can fay, against what I have here affirmed? For when I shewed you before, how you Contraditted your Master, viz John Menzies in another Matter, ye would not admit it as Relevant, tho' the Case be alike; alledging, it was a Retortion. Ye undertook to Dispute against the Theses; but it seems you find not Room enough there, but ye must run to G. K's Book for further Matter.

of the Auditors were difplealed with their going from the Theles.

G. K. I see it is more against G. K. than R. B. his Thefes, that you fet your felves: and therefore G. K. must defend G. K. But I say, in this Note divers there is no Contradiction between R. B. and me: for there is a two-fold Sort of Inspirations or Influences, the one General, the other Special. general Influences are given in general or common, for the doing of all common and ordinary Actions; and by the Special Influences of the Spirit we are enabled to go about those special Duties, as of Prayer, Thanksgiving, &c. Now of these special Inspirations or Influences R. B. in his Theses is to be understood; and thus there is no Contradiction betwixt him and me.

> R. B. To which I have this to add; there is a Difference betwixt the Influences of the Spirit, as we are particularly acted by them in fingular and particular Acts of Worship, and as we are generally Influenced by the Spirit, in so far as we come habitually to live and walk in the Spirit : For in that Respect we may be said to do every thing in the Spirit, as we grow up into that State; though there be more particular Influence's

requisite in Matters of Worship.

G. K. I say further; particular Influences or Inspirations of the Spirit are of several Sorts, which are Analogous or proportional to the feveral Sorts of Duties: As Preaching and Praying are several 1675. Sorts of Duties: Now the particular Instuence to Pray, it not to Preach, and so on the contrary. Also the Instuences, which serve to Duties only Inward, as to Wait, Fear and Love God, do not serve without a superadded Instuence to the Performance of outward Duties. Therefore every Instuence is to Respect the Duty, that it is given unto.

A. Sh. I prove, that such particular Influences are not needful to Acts of Worship, Thus:

If such particular Influences of the Spirit were needful unto outward Acts of Worship, then they were also needful unto inward Duties, as to waiting, desiring, loving and feeling God:

But

The last is absurd; Therefore the first.

R. B. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny,

that the last is Absurd.

G. K. Come on with that Argument: I cofefs, it hath fome Acumen or Sharpness in it; but extua Pharetra nunquam venit illa Sagitta, this Arrow hath not come out of thy Quiver, but out of thy Master's, who hath formerly used this Argument against us.

A. Sh. I prove the last is absurd:

If the Inspirations of the Spirit be necessary to Inward Duties, as to Wait, desire, &c. then we must not Wait without them;

But this abfurd; Therefore is the other.

G. K. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny, that this is abfurd: For we cannot suppose, that ever at any time an Influence or Inspiration can be wanting to wait upon God, to desire, and fear and love him, and the particular Insluences to particular Duties, such as, Praying, Preaching, Thanksgiving, is not wanting, whenever the Seafon cometh togo about them.

A. Sh, If ye have these particular Influences,

1675. Why do ye not make Use of them? Why do ye

not fay the Grace?

R. B. It will not follow, That we do not Pray, nor make Use of those particular Influences, because at sometimes we do not take off our Hats, or speak Words, which are not Essential to true Prayer.

f. L. I prove, That that Distinction concerning general and particular Influences is not suffi-

cient.

That which may be a Ground for an Heretick to
for bear Praying for a whole Year, is not a
fufficient Distinction;
But
This may be a Ground for an Heretick to forbear Prayer for a whole Year;
Therefore
It is not a sufficient Distinction.

G. K. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny

the second Proposition.

J. L. I prove it; for an Heretick may pretend, He hath not those particular Influences for a whole Year.

G. K. Though an Heretick may pretend, yet he hath no Ground from our Principle to pretend to any fuch thing, because these particular Influences cannot be wanting, neither for one Year, nor for any Time, that the particular Duties ought to be gone about; and if any did pretend the Want of Particular Influences, to pray, &c. they are to be judged as guilty and Deceitful, as giving that for an Excuse, which is not sufficient, although all have not the Utterance of Prayer, so as to pray in Werds; nor can any Pray truly in Words, but by a Particular Influence,

A. Sh. This Influence or Inspiration is either commanding or forbidding; so G. K. understood it; but because of the great Confusion or Noise, he cannot certainly say: And upon this Understanding G. K. answered, It is not a sufficient Enu-

meration: for there is a Midst.

Prases,

Prases, A. T. Master Keith, ye know we say, 1675.
Non datur Medium.

Students. There is no Midst betwixt Contradicto-

ry Propositions.

ry; for there is a Midst betwixt Commanding and Forbidding.

A. Sh. Either he doth Command, or not Command; there is no Midst here, chuse you whe-

ther.

G. K. He doth not Command us in all things, in which we are inspired; for some Inspirations are Mandatory and Commanding, some Permissory or permitting; and some forbidding; so betwist commanding and forbidding, the Midst is Permitting.

J. L. But a Permission connot be an Inspiration, otherwise ye might say, A Stone doth inspire you as much as God, because a Stone doth permit or

not hinder you.

G. K. I deny the Consequence; for I offer to shew from Scripture, That Paul, when he did a thing by Permission, was inspired: As when he said; I speak this by Permission, and not by Commandment: Here he was writing Scripture by Inspiration in the very Time. And again, where he said; I assayed to go to such a Place, but the Spirit permitted not.

A. Sh. This was not a Permission, but a Hin-

dring, or not a permitting him.

G. K. But I gather out of these Words by the Rules of Contraries, That if the Spirit did not permit Paul atsometimes, it did permit him at other Times; and this Permission was by Inspiration: And I hope, it is lawful for me to make this Observation or Note up this Scripture, seeing, your Masters will make balf a Dozen not so much to the Purpose. But for the further opening of this Matter, I distinguish of Permission thus;

There

There is a Negative Permission, and a Positive Permission. A Negative Permission is a simple Forbearance, or not medling in any Case; and fuch a negative Permission is no sufficient Warrant to us to do any thing. The Positive Permission is, when God by some inward Evidence or Signification of his Spirit by Words or otherwife. maketh us know, That he Alloweth us to do fuch a thing, although he Command it not. As for Example; if a Scholar should go forth, out of the School, without getting of his Master's Leave, this is a Negative Permission, and is not a sufficient Ground for the Scholar to go forth: But when the Scholar cometh, and faith; Let me go forth: and the Master answereth, Thou may'st go: This is a Positive Permission, and not a Command.

Prases, And. Thoms. Examples are not Demonstrations.

G. K. But they may be used to Illustrate.

Prases, A. Th. But the Master saith to the Scholar, Exi, go forth, which is in the Imperative.

and that fignifieth to Command.

As in the 3 Exeat Let him go, is Permistive.

G. K. That is but a Grammaticism: for the Im-Imperative, perative Mood doth not always fignify to command; but sometimes to command, and sometimes to Permit: Which I refer to the Judgment of School-Masters, who teach the Grammar.

A. T. Prases. This is rather like a Debate about Grammatications of Imperative Moods, than about the Matter intended; therefore come to the

Purpose.

A. Sh. In the Profecution of this Argument against this Thesis alledged on G. K. He will not pay his Debt, because he may pretend, he wants an Inspiration to do it.

G. K. I hope, none can blame me for refusing to pay my Debt; and I pay my Debt, as well as any of you; nor can any be supposed, that Men can

want

want an Inspiration to do any such thing. And we 1675. refer our selves to the Judgment of Discretion in all fober Persons here present.

Paul Gelly. I have an Argument to propose for

Water-Baptism.

R. B. Then let me read the Thesis; which was read, and is as followeth:

As there is one Lord, and one Faith, so there is one Baptism, Ephes. 4. 5. which is not the putting away of the Filth of the Flesh, but the Answer of a good Conscience before God, by the Resurrection of Jesus Christ, 1 Pet. 3. 21. And this Baptism is a Holy and Spiritual Thing (to wit) the Baptism of the Spirit and Fire, by which we are buried with bim, Col. 2. 12. That being washed and purged from our Sins, we may walk in Newness of Life. Rom. 6. 4. of which the Baptism of John was a Figure, which was commanded for a Time, and not to continue for ever. As to the Baptism of Infants, it is a meer Human Tradition, for which neither Precept nor Practice is to be found in all the Scripture.

R. B. What hast thou against this Thesis, is it not the express Words of Scripture?

P. G. It is true, and therein we agree; but I

oppose your Meaning of it.

R. B. We make no Meaning in the Case, for Note, That

the Scripture declareth our Meaning.

G. K. Ye have a large Field to dispute in, in young Man the last Part of the Thesis, if you please, where cuting his he positively affirms, That Sprinkling of Infants J. L. did inis a meer Humane Tradition.

Students. We will not meddle with that at felf, and in-

this Time.

P.G. Either you mean by this Thesis, That they spoke Water-Baptism is ceased, or not ceased.

R. B. Come on, we mean, It is ceased.

Argument, folently intrude himterrupted

while this

of them three at fome times,

P.G.

1675.

P. G. I prove it is not ceased, thus:

If the Presence of Christ is to continue with his Church for ever, then Water-Baptism is to continue for ever.

But the first is true: Therefore the Second.

G. K. People, take Notice, he faith, Water-Baptism is to continue for ever; if so, then we must be Baptized in Heaven after this Life with Water-Baptism.

Stud. He means by for ever, to the End of the

World.

R. B. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny

the Sequel of the first Proposition.

P. G. I prove it from Matth. 28, Go Teach and Baptize all Nations, &c. Here Christ commanding them to Baptize, sheweth, he will be with them to the End of the World; therefore as long as he was to be with them, that Baptism was to continue.

R. B. I grant the whole: But the Question is, If that Baptism be by Water? which I deny.

P. G. I prove, it was by Water.

If the Apostles Baptized with Water, then they were commanded to Baptize with Water.

But the Apostles Baptized with Water: Therefore they were commanded to Baptize with Water.

R. B. (Having repeated the Argument) I deny

the Consequence of the Proposition.

P.G. I prove it thus, Either the Apostles did Baptize with Water by the Command of Christ, Matth. 28. Or they were ignorant of the Meaning of that Command; Chuse you whether,

G. K.

G. K. It is not a fufficient Enumeration; for 1675. they might have known the Meaning of the Command, and yet Baptized with Water, not for that Command, but in Condescension to the Weaknesses of the Jews.

P. G. If they Condescended to Baptize with Water for the Weakness of the Jews, though without a Command, then ye ought to Baptize now with Water, to Condescend to Peoples Weakness now; seeing ye confess, that there are, who are Weak both among us and your selves.

G. K. That will not follow, more than in the Case of Circumcision; For the Apostle Paul did Circumcise without a Command in Condescention to the Jews, yet it followeth not, that any now should Circumcise to Condescend to the People, who should require it.

Stud. The Parity is not alike, because Baptism

Stud. The Parity is not alike, because Baptism with Water was commanded to the Apostles, so not Circumcision; for John Baptist was sent to

Baptize with Water.

R. B. John Baptist was not an Apostle, and so not concerned in that Commission, Matth. 28. And his Baptism was to decrease, that the Baptism of Christ by the Holy Ghost might encrease.

Al. Shir. It must be Water-Baptism, because the

Baptizing of the Holy Ghost is ceased now.

G. K. People, take Notice, he faith, The Pap-

tism of the Holy Ghost is ceased now.

Al. Shir. It is ceased to be given by Men; for do ye give the Holy Ghost by the Laying on of Hands?

- G. K. The Holy Ghost may be given without the Laying on of Hands; and Holy Men now are Instruments in conveying the Gifts of the Holy Ghost to others.
- R. B. Did not Paul say, Rom. 1. 11. That he longed to see them to communicate some spiritual Gift? And besides, as to the Matter of Condescendence,

1675. Scendence, Abstaining from Blood and Things ftrangled, though particularly commanded by the Apostles, yet is not now to be practised by

any Condescension, as your selves confess.

G. K. Hear what Augustine saith in the Case of Circumcision, Observing of Meats, Drinks, Washing, Sacrifices, &c. They are to be confidered in a threefold Respect, viz. First, as living under the Law; Secondly, as dead, after the Death of Christ; Thirdly, as deadly, as being once buried; and being once buried, they are not to be again raised up out of their Grave, out of Condescenfion to any. So I say the same, as of Water-Baptism, it being once Dead and Buried, is not again to be raised up now, after the Apostacy.

P. G. I prove, That Water Baptism was thought needful even to those, that were Baptized with the Holy Gooft: Can any Man forbid Water, &c.

as Paul Said Acts 10.

G. K. Say Peter, not Paul.

P. G. Peter, I say, not Paul.
R. B. That proves not at all, that it was done by Necessity; but to condescend to their Weakness.

About this Time the Prases, And. Th. going forth, said, It was now five a Clock, the Time appointed for the Continuance of the Dispute; and fo went away: Nor was there any Argument

farther urged.

G. K. Præses Al. Skein; I see there is like to be no more here, but Confusion, seeing the other Prases is gone. I shall only propose this just and reasonable Desire to these Students, that since we have given them a fair Opportunity to Impugn and Oppose our Principles, they also will promife us another Day, to Impugn and Oppose theirs.

Stud. When we fet out Thefes, then ye shall have an Opportunity to impugn them.

G. K.

G. K. Your Theses are set out already, for your 1675. Confession of Faith is your Theses, which I offer to impugn.

Stud. Our Faith is Established by the Law of God, and of the Nation, and therefore ought not

to be called in Question.

R. B. That it is Established by the Law of God. is the thing under Debate; And as for the Law of the Nation, so is the Popish Faith in Italy and Spain, and Mahometanism in the Turks Dominions; will it therefore follow, that Popery and Mahometanism are not to be called in Question or Oppugned ?

Stud. We will come to your Meetings, and de-

bate further with you.

R. B. Our Meetings are not for Debate, but to Wait upon God and Worship Him; but if ye please to meet us here again to Morrow, we are tatisfied.

Stud. We will not.

R. B. It feems, ye need a longer Time to prepare you; for your present Strength is all Exhausted.

Stud. We will come to your Meeting, and wait

till it be done, and then Oppose you.

R. B. I have told you before, That is not proper; but on this Condition I will admit it, that when I see meet, I may have the like Opportunity to come to your Meetings, and when your Preachers have done, that I be allowed to Oppose and Impugn your Principles.

Stud. No, no.

The Confusion and Tumult increasing, through the Removing of the Prases, A. Th. and divers of the soberest People: And the Students vainly Boasting of their Victory, Laughing, Clamouring and making a Noise, and telling, They would cause to be Publish'd in Print their (imaginary) Victory, occasioned such Lightness and Rudeness in a Rabble of the groffest Sort, that were withTurffs, they threw many of them against us, without offering the least Violence to our Oppofers on the other Side. So that having beat divers with hard Turffs, Peates, and also with Stones, R. B. with divers other Friends, received feveral Knocks in his Head, and was wounded in his Hand with a Stone; while as the Students (the Masters of Art) and their Companions, who had been Disputing in Matters of Religion, instead of interposing themselves to prevent, stood divers of them Laughing, Hollowing and Clamouring thereat; and so the Meeting broke up. G. K. said to others more sober, that were prefent, These are your Church-Members.

This True and Impartial Account (which was offered to be read to their Prases, Andrew Thomfon; but he declined it, alledging, The Matters treated of were so extrinsick from his Employment, and those Things that took up his Head, and he fo apt to forget fuch Things, that though the Matter might be True, he could not Attest it, neither for us nor our Opposers. It was also read in Writing to fome Judicious and Unprejudicate Persons, that were present, and are not of our Way: and acknowledged by them to be according to their best Memory, A full and Ingenu. ous Account: As may be further proved, in Case it be called in Question) will, we hope, serve to appease these empty Clamours, which the Students vain Ostentation, and the Ignorance and Prejudice of others might have raised; some of whom did so little, or at least will needs appear so little to understand the Matter, as to affirm, The Quakers were all Routed; for they could prove nothing: Whereas we were by Mutual Agreement to be meer Defendents; and not to be admitted at all to Prove, but only to Answer. And whether

ther we Answered not all was urged, will by 1675. this Account appear; where none of the Arguments are omitted, nor any whit of the Strength of them concealed.

As for what was or may be accounted Reflections, we have not put them in the Body of the Difpute; because we remember not particularly, at what Time they were spoken: But that we may not seem designedly to conceal any, as tending to our Disadvantage; so far as we remember; they were as followeth

they were as followeth.

That G. K. said to J. L. He spoke more with his. Fingers, than his Tongue; after, What need he make such a Work with his Finger, and affect a Canting Tone, like his Master J. M. That his Head was too full of Mercury, and his Heart in his Tongue; whereas a Wise Man's Tongue is in his Heart.

Now whether J. L's extravagant Behaviour did not deserve such Checks (while he oftentimes would be speaking, when his Companions were; and put them by with both his Elbows, that he alone might be heard: To which add his Forwardness in his Blasphemous Affertion above observed) let the discreet and judicious Hearers judge.

A. Shir. said, He would overturn Quakerism,

and he hoped in so doing to have his End.

J. L. That he might not miss to hit, as he thought, G. K. said, It seemed, he was an Aberdeen's Man, and would take his Word again (which was noted by G. K. as being a Respection upon the City, where J. L. himself was born, which G. K. was not). Also,

A. Shir. Laughing and raising Lightness, called upon G. K. (speaking some Words) If there was a Notar, that he might take Instrument?

was a Notar, that he might take Instrument?

To which R.B. answered; That he desired the Notar might take Instruments, how Divinity

Stu=

Students and Masters of Arts, that were preparing themselves for the Ministry, were so Light

and Unserious in Religious Matters, &c.

But however, if they have gotten such a Victory, as they boast of, how is that Consistent, with what we are Informed of, and is noised up and down in the City, That Jo. Menzies, their Master, went within a Day or two, to desire the Bishop to Complain to the Primate and King's Council, and procure us to be punished for holding the Dispute; And an Order, That none such further be admitted? And indeed, if the Scholars have proved so good Disputants, we think, the Masters cannot in Reason resule this following OFFER.

R.B. His Offer to Jo. Menzies, Professor of Divinity (so called) George Meldrum, Minister at Aberdeen, and William Mitchell Catechist, at Foot of Dee.

Challenge.

A S in this late Rencountre it was specially provided, that it shall be abstract from the Challenge made to you, and so no sulfilling of it; so now this being past (of which you have here presented to you a good and faithful Account, which we hope, being seriously weighed by your more Mature Judgments, may allay any hasty Joy, that might have proceeded from the windy Triumphs, the Students might have possessed you with a Belief they had obtained; who at every turn, to the nauseating of the more Serious and Impartial Auditors, were proclaiming

claiming themselves Victors) We think you more concerned: And indeed, we are the more desirous to Meet and Debate it with your selves. For either this is all ye have to say, which ye have put in their Mouths; or ye have more to say: If this be all, then indeed it may be your Wisdom, not to accept this Offer; but if ye have more, we shall be willing to hear it, and endeavour to answer it. And as your Appearing your selves would be more Satisfactory to the People, and is most desired by them, as well as us; so divers Inconveniences, that have in this, or may fall in the like, would be avoided.

For First, It being in your Publick Houses, Reisons. there would be less Occasion of Tumult; because the House is capable to hold divers Thousands. Secondly, As it is probable, ye would not fo readily be put to a Stand as they: If it should happen, ye were; we are hopeful, ye would not by raifing a Laughter and Clamour amongst the People, and crying out Three or Four at once, feek to cover it, or boast of Victory, and cry out, Your Argument is pungent, before we have Time allowed us to answer it. Thirdly, You engaging, we are hopeful to procure Discreet, Learned, and Persons every way Considerable, to be Judges Consultative upon our Part (though not Professing our Way) to help to Moderate and keep good Order. Fourthly, It is probable, That by the Solemnity of fuch an Action and the Influence of your Presence, as well as other Persons of Condition being there, might secure us from the Hazzard of Clods and Stones: For I do truly affure you, I conceive my felf more able to Anfwer the most pungent of your Arguments, than defending my felf from the Stones and Blows of your Unreasonable and Brutish Church Members. It is by some of your People objected to us (whe objections. ther it come from you or not, I will not affirm)

End.

1675. That it is below you, to engage with us: But as v this is altogether unfutable to Christian Ministers, whose Master disdained not daily to Debate, and Answer the Questions of such, as Opposed themselves unto him, and taught his Disciples to leave the Ninety and Nine, and go and feek after the Odd One. Next, It is most Unreasonable; for fince ye take Liberty to speak against us in your Pulpits, and particularly to design us, yea, and sometimes to speak Untruths of us, I defire then to know, Whether it be agreeable to the Rules of Christianity, or even of Common Honesty, to take Liberty to speak ill of Men behind their Backs, abuse their Principles and Reputations, and yet fay, It is below them to prove these Charges to the Mens own Faces? Secondly, It is Objected, That it is against the Laws, to call the Faith established by Law into Question. But may not the same be said against Protestants in those Nations, where Popery and Mahometanism are Established by Law? Yea, is not this the very Pretence and Put-off, which the Papists both in Germany and France gave the Primitive Protestants, when they defired Publick Conferences with them? And was not both the Emperor Charles the Fifth, and his Brother Ferdinando forely check'd by divers Bishops of Rome, for granting these Conferences? And the Queen Mother of France openly reproved and cried out against by Cardinal Turnon and other Clergy-Men, for giving way to that of Poyly, as suffering the Universal Faith of the Church to be called in Question; which had been established by many Laws, and for a far longer Time, than the Profession we Oppose. It seems, ye defend your selves chiefly by Popish Weapons; as will anon further appear: In Order whereunto I shall speak a Word or two to John Menzies, and so make an

The

The greatest and frequentest Argument, that 1675. both thy Scholars and others make against U us, is, That we have no certain Evidence, by scholars which we can be known, That we are led by the chief Argu-Spirit, that Hereticks and others cannot pretend gainst us. to. Now if this may be admitted as Relevant or Strong against jus; I desire, thou wouldst be pleased to shew me, How thou canst extricate thy self out of the same Difficulty, when urged by the Jesuit Dempster; That the Scripture, which thou assignedst as the Ground of the Protestant Religion, is an Evidence for you, seeing all Hereticks also pretend to it? Let me see, what Difficulties occur in our Case, as to the Spirit, which likewise occur not in the same very Way in Yours, as to the Scripture? For (besides that we have as good Ground to lay Claim to the Scriptures, as your felves, and are ready, and I hope able to prove our Principles from them, as well as your selves) If ye say, Men may be deceived by a Seducing Spirit; What then? Will it therefore follow, That the Spirit of God will deceive any? Or that Men ought not to be guided by it, more than because many Men have been and are deceived by a Misunderstanding and wrong Use of the Scripture; that therefore the Scripture doth deceive People, or ought not to be the Rule? If it be said, Divers Men pretending to the Spirit, Contradict one another: Doth not the same recur as to the Scriptures? What greater Contradictions can there be, than there are betwixt certain Churches, both acknowledging the Scriptures to be the Rule ? Hast thou forgotten (John) how thou and thy Elder Brother Andr. Cant, who both Affirmed the Scripture to be the only Certain Rule, and yet oftentimes before the same Auditory, in the same Pulpit, did from the very same Verse of Scripture, Pfal. 93. 5. Holiness be. cometh thy House, O Lord, for ever, draw differ reng

1675.

rent and Contradictory Doctrines, Uses and Applications? If that then will not infer according to you, the Scriptures to be an Uncertain Rule; neither will the other, as to the Spirit. If it be said, That the same Man pretending to be guided by the Spirit, hath been of different Judgments; doth not the same also recur as to the Scriptures.

Or need we go further, John, than Thy Self, to prove this, who hast all along acknowledged the Scripture to be the Rule; and yet sometime judged the Congregational Way to be preferrable to the Presbyterian? And then the Presbyterian better than the Independent? And now the Episcopal preferrable to both? Or tell me, John, honeftly, did the Scripture deceive thee, when thou preachedst upon that Text, Why mournest thou for Saul? If thou fayst, Thou only here mis-understood the Place, and mis-applyedst it; yet is the Scripture for all that True and Certain: May not the same be faid, if one pretending the Spirit to be the Rule, should fall in the like Error, that the Spirit were not to be blamed, or thence termed uncertain? But the Man that mistook the Voice thereof, or took his own Imaginations instead of it, as thou didst thy Mis apprehenfions for the Sence of that Scripture? If thou canst extricate thy self out of these Difficulties, fo as to fatisfy me, or any other Rational and Indifferent Person, I may seriously say to thee according to the Proverb, Eris mihi magnus Apollo; and really, thou may'ft not be without Hopes of making a Profelyte. But if it appear to all Judicious and Unprejudicate Persons, That John Menzies's Arguments against the Quakers, are no other than the Jefuit's against him; and whatever Way he can defend himfelf against the Jefuit's, so the Quakers can do against him, and impugn and straiten him the same way: So that his his Argument is like the Viper's Brood, that de- 1675. flroys him that brings it forth; I say, if this appear, what may Candid Persons judge of Foin Menzies's Honesty, that has afferted in Print, That Quakerism is Popery under a Disguise; and

the Papists and Quakers are one?

The State of the Controversy in the first Place then both upon Our Part and Yours is in Thesi. and not in Hypothesi; That is, Not, Whether or not we be truly ruled by the Spirit, or can give an Evidence of it, more than Whether ye be truly led by the Scriptures, or can give any Evidence that ye are? But, Whether we do well in faying, The Spirit is the Principal Rule of Faith? For though divers Sects now, to wit, Lutherans, Calvinists, Episcopalians, Presbyterians, Independents, Anabaptists, Antinomians, Arminians, &c. do all quarrel with one another, each laying Claim to be led by the Scripture, and denying it of the other; yet do they all agree in this, That the Scripture is the only Rule: Will it therefore follow, That the Scripture is not the Rule, or certain, because none of these can give a Certain Evidence convincing their respective Opposers. that they are led by it? So on the other Hand, though fuch as affirm the Spirit to be the Principal Rule, cannot give any Evidence to convince their Opposers, that they are led by it; it will not follow, that it is not the Rule, or that they err in Affirming it so to be.

1675.

A POST-SCRIPT.

S the Apostle Paul faid concerning the Spirit of God, That That there are Diversities of Operations, but one Spirit, and one Body of Christ, which is his Church; So I may fay concerning Antichrist, and his Spirit and Body. The Body of Anti-Christ is but one, having many Members; and the Spirit of Antichrist is but one in the Root, though in different Operations and Appearances. And what is this Body of Antichrift, but all these (whether Papists or others, though pretend. ing to Reformation, under what soever Defignation, as Episcopal, Presbyterian, Independent, A. nabaptist, or any else) who oppose the Spirit of Christ in his Spiritual Appearances and Operations in the Body of Christ, which is his Church? A manifest Instance of the Truth of this, I my felf of late have been an Ear and Eye-Witness of. For not many Months ago I had Occasion at London, both to fee with my Eyes, and hear with my Ears, how the People called Anabaptists, fome of their Chief Teachers opposed, denied, flighted and (by all Means their Earthly and Devilish Wisdom could invent) laboured to make of none Effect the Inward Evidence of the Spirit of God in his People; Alledging openly in the Face of Thousands, That whoever could not give an Evidence to their Adversaries, that they were inspired with the Spirit of God, such as no Hereticks could pretend to, were no Christians, but Deceivers. So these Anabaptists lately argued against us at London in an Open Assembly, And fo now fince in my own Native Country within these few Days, I have seen the same Spirit to appear in Men, professedly very much Differing

Differing from Anabaptists, and slighting them 1679. as a Sort of Hereticks, yet one with them in the Ground; and in this particular Work and Service also, to carry on the great Design of Antichrist.

These are some Masters of Arts, Students of Divinity (as they call themselves) in the Univerfity of Aberdeen, who openly in the Hearing of divers Hundreds of People, (some whereof were Sober and Judicious) did Oppose the Inward Evidence of the Spirit of God in his People, as not being a sufficient Evidence unto them, unless they could give an Evidence of it unto others, even their very Adversaries, that they were Inspired; and so if we the People called Quakers could not give an Evidence of this unto these our Opposers, we were but Deceivers. After it had been shewn them, That Papists and Jesuites used the same Arguments against all the Protestants, that indeed did more militate against them out of the Papists Quiver, than out of these our Adversaries Quiver against us. I produced the Testimony of the Scripture, as the Best and most Convincing Outward Evidence that could be given, as a Witness to the Doctrine and Principle of Immediate Revelation. and Inspiration of the Spirit of God, owned by us, as being in all Men in some Measure, and consequently in us. This is, I say, not the best and most principal Evidence, nor the greatest that we have unto our felves, or unto one another, who are gathered into the same Faith. Spirit and Power, (for that is the Immediate Evidence of the Spirit in our Hearts, which witnesseth both to our selves, and to one another. that we are the Children of God) but it is (I mean the Scripture) the Greatest Outward and Visible Evidence, that can be given unto our Advertures, as their only Rule and chiefest Evidences.

And in doing so I followed the-Example of

And in doing to I followed the-Example of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, who while he Reasoned against the Jews, who professed to own the Scriptures, but denied Him, he brought a Testimony for himself out of the Scriptures, which they in Words owned as their Rule: Search (says He, or, Te Search) the Scriptures, for in them ye think ye have Eternal Life; and

these are they which testify of me.

Now though Christ his own Immediate Testimony should have been received, as greater than any of his Servants, such as Moses and the Prophets were; yet he used this, as an Argument against them, as bringing them to their own Rule: And, said he, had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for Moses wrote of me. And he said again, I have a greater Testimony than that of John; and yet fohn was the greatest of all the Prophets. So in like manner we say, We have a greater Testimony to Christ Jesus by his Spirit and Power Revealed in us, than the Testimony of Moses and the Prophets, even than fohn, who was the greatest.

But when we produce the Testimony of Moses, and the Prophets and Apostles, as an Evidence to the Truth of what we affirm, I say, it should be received by our Adversaries, who own the Scriptures as their Chief and Only Rule. For either they should Receive it, or not Receive it; If they should Receive it, then they are faulty, who in the late Dispute at Aberdeen did resuse to Receive the Evidence of the Scriptures, as from us, only because we say, We have a greater, to wit, that of the Spirit within us: Although we own the Scripture, as the greatest Visible and Outward Evidence, that we can give

to our Adversaries. If they should not receive the Scripture-Evidence and Testimony as from us, because we say, we have a greater, to wit, That of Christ himself immediately in us by his Spirit; then they must needs also say for the same Reason, That the Jews ought not to receive the Testimony of the Scriptures, as an Evidence for Christ, because he said, He had a greater: And certainly, he had a greater, though they would not receive it; nor could not, as they stood in their Prejudice and Malice, wherewith they were filled against him, who did not receive him.

Now, this I say with Freedom and Boldness of Spirit to all those, whether Papists, Anabaptists, Prelatical or Presbyterian Professors, who with one Mouth require of us an Evidence, that we are Inspired, or have a Measure of the Inspiration of the Spirit of God and Christ in us; I Offer unto all of you the Scriptures for an Evidence of this Truth, viz. That the Quakers (so called) have a Measure of the Inspiration of the Spirit of God and Christ in them. For according to the Scriptures-Testimony, Christ the true Light enlightness every Man that cometh into the World; and his Illumination is his Inspiration.

I profess fincerely in God's Fear, That the Scriptures Testimony is to me as full and plain, and Convincing to prove this Truth, viz. That an Illumination, Manifestation and Inspiration of the Spirit of God is given to every Man, is in every Man; as to prove this Truth, That Christ, who according to the Flesh was born of the Virgin Mary, was the Promised Messiah.

Now, if we can prove from Scripture, That all Men have in them a Measure of this Divine Illumi-

Christ, we have gained our Point, which is;
That we have also a Measure of the same in us:
for ALL MEN doth comprehend Us called
Quakers, as well as other Men: I see not, what
our Adversaries can with any Colour Object against this Evidence from Scripture, but this;
That they will deny, that the Scripture bears
Testimony to this Universal Illumination or

Inspiration of the Spirit of God in Men.

But this brings the Matter of the Debate from being Personal to be Doctrinal, and so puts us upon equal Terms at least, with all our Adversaries, especially Prelatical, Anabaptist and Presbyterian, and Independent Opposers whatsoever, who say, The Scriptures are their Chief and Only Rule. And though our Adversaries say, The Scripture doth not testify to that Universal Inspiration of the Spirit of Christ in Men; that moveth us not more, than when the Fews denied, That the Scriptures bore Testimony to him, that was born of the Virgin Mary, to be the Christ.

We are able, by the Help of God, to prove from Scripture the Truth of this Doctrine of Divine Illumination and Inspiration in all Men, and consequently in the Quakers, as much as they, or any Professing Christianity upon Earth, can prove any Principle or Doctrine of their

Faith.

Secondly, We are able, and do Offer, by the Grace of God, against all our Opposers whatsoever, to prove from the Scriptures-Testimony, That this Universal Inspiration and Illumination of Christ by his Spirit in Men, is a sufficient Evidence of Truth, and Rule of Faith and Life in all Men, and consequently in us called Quakers.

Thirdly,

Thirdly, That this Divine Inspiration and Illumination, where it is not wilfully resisted and rejected, but regarded and attended, is a greater Evidence, than the Scripture is, and witnessed by the Scriptures.

Fourthly, And yet the Scripture is the greatest Visible and Outward Evidence, that either we or

they can give of their Rule.

I shall conclude with a Reasonable Demand to these Young Men, Masters of Arts, their Masters and Teachers, which is this:

Whether they own these Assertions, Assirmations and Arguments of their Scholars in the late Dispute, as followeth, viz.

That whatever is of God, is God?

That the Scriptures acccording to the Quakers are fallacious, and can beguile us?

That the Baptism with the Holy Ghost is ceased?

And the rest of their Discourse inserted in this foregoing Treatise?

If Tea; Let them declare so much to the People, who are greatly stumbled at these their Expressions, even divers of their own Church. If Nay; then let them publickly Reprove and Disown those Words: Otherwise not only we, but many others will say, Te have both taught and allowed them so to Affirm.

G. K.

18 1 m .

QUAKERISM Confirmed:

OR, A

VINDICATION

Of the Chief

Doctrines and Principles

Of the People called

QUAKERS,

FROM THE

Arguments and Objections of the Students of Divinity (so called) of Aberdeen, in their Book, intituled,

Quakerism Canvased.

By & Robert Barclay,

A N D

George Keith.

- 2 Tim. 3. 9. But they shall proceed no further: For their Folly shall be manifest to all Men, &c.
- LONDON: Printed by the Assigns of f. Sowle, in the Year, 1717.

. Lynn In Warrens 119 TA O THE AS I THE O WE E THE LEWIS

THE

PREFACE

TO THE

READER.

Friendly Reader,

Interest of the Truth, for whose sake we can shun no Abasement, than the Significancy of those, with whom we have this Rencountre, we should have rather chused to be silent, than Answer them; they being of so small Reputation among their own, that neither Teachers nor People will hold themselves accountable for any of their Positions, and seem xealous to have it believed, they would not bestow Time to Read it, nor yet hold themselves obliged to Approve it. However, since we certainly know, That

D

in the Second Part of their Book (to which this Reply is) they have scraped together most of the Chief Arguments used against Us, and borrowed not a little from G. M's Manuscripts (with whose Work (that yet appears not) we have been these Seven Years menaced) Which, like the Materials of a Building managed by Unskilful Workmen, though they be by them very confusedly put together; yet being the Chief Things can be said against Us, we have throughly handled for the Reader's Satisfaction; which may be serviceable to the Truth, without Respect to the Infignificancy of those, against whom it is written.

As for the First Part of their Book, we have also Answered it, but distinct from this; it consisting of many Particularities of Matters of Fact, which perhaps might have proved tedious to many Readers, that may by This be Edified; and think it of no great Confequence, that the Students are proved Lyars, which even many of their own Party think, is not any Spot in their Religion

Religion: so little are they looked upon 1676. among their own: Tet those that are Curious, may also have that First Part.

As for this Second Part, wherein our Principles are handled, we judge, we deal with the Clergy in General; however they seek to shift it, and hide themselves, since their Book is Licensed by the Bishop of Edinburgh; and he being Challenged, said, He did it not without a Recommendation from Aberdeen.

So that no Man of Reason can deny, but they are accountable for the Errors and Impertinencies, which we have herein observed; which we leave, Reader, to thy serious Examination, remaining

Thy Friends,

R. B. G. K.

The Contents.

SECTION I.
Oncerning Immediate Revelation.

SECT. II.

The Students Argument against the Spirit's being the Rule, proved one with the Jesuit Dempster's.

SECT. III.

Concerning the Supper, Perfection and Women's Speaking.

SECT. IV.

Concerning the Necessity of Immediate Revelations to the Building up of True Faith.

SECT. V.

Concerning Worship.

SECT. VI.

Concerning Baptism.

SECT. VII.

Concerning the Ministry.

SECT. VIII.

Concerning Liberty of Conscience.

The CONCLUSION.

Wherein their Observations upon R.B. his Offer, and their last Section of the Quakers Revilings (as they term them) are Examined.

1676.

QUAKERISM

Confirmed, &c.

SECTION I.

Concerning Immediate Revelation; wherein the Second Part of the Students Book, from Page 44, to Page 66. is Answered.

N their first Section they alledge, We do wick. edly put many Indignities upon the Holy Scriptures; and that we monopolize the Spirit to our felves: Which are gross Lies; but that they are against the Spirit, is no malicious Accusation, but a Truth, as will appear to any true Difcer-Their comparing us, when we plead for the Spirit, to them who cried, The Temple, The Temple; is unequal and profane: They that cried, The Temple, the Temple, rejected the Spirit of God, and relied too much on the Temple and outward Priviledges; but dare they blame any, for relying too much on the Spirit of God? Again, in their first Sub section they commit a gross Deceit, in which they follow G. M. their Master (who useth the same in his Manuscript to us) in alledging, They are more for the Spirit than we, because they affirm, That the Efficacy of the Spirit is Insuperable. For we do affirm, That the Efficacy of the Spirit is in a true Sence In-Superable, as namely, where the Mind is well Operations disposed: See R. B. his Thesis, where he useth of the Spinary be the Word Insuperably. But that the Spirit doth refished. insuperably move, or irrefistably force the illdisposed

false and contrary to Scripture, which saith, That Some resist the Spirit; yea, and is contrary to the Experience of all, who are acquainted with the Spirit's Workings; that know, that the Spirit many times worketh so gently, that his Operation may be resisted: Therefore said the Apostle, Quench not the Spirit. Now that Doctrine, which is contrary both to Scripture and Experience, is not for the Spirit, but against it.

Again, How are they more for the Spirit than we, feeing they affirm, The Spirit's Influence is but only Effective, as having no Evidence in it self sufficiently to demonstrate, that it is of God: We fay, it hath; as being both Effective and Objective. 2. They fay, The Influence of the Spirit is only given to some: We say, To all. fay, It is so weak, that it can bring none to a perfett Freedom from Sin in this Life, though never fo much improved : We fay, it can. Yea, 4. They fay commonly, The Influence of the Spirit cannot keep the best Saint one Moment from Sin: We fay, It can keep them for whole Days; yea, always, if they improve it as well as they can. 5. They fay, A Man may and ought to pray without the Spirit: Which we deny. And so we leave it to the Judicious, if here they do not commit a gross Deceit. Lastly, in their stating the Question, they accuse us falsly, as if we did hold. That all Men ought to judge and examine all the material Objects of Faith, and Articles of Religion by inward Revelations; As if all Men were bound to an Impossibility. All Men have not all the Material Objects of Faith propounded unto them; for some of the Material Objects of Faith are meerly Accidental unto all Men's Sal-As to believe, that Abraham begat Isaac, and Isaac, Jacob, &c. Others though not Accidental, yet are but Integral Parts, and not Effential

Accidental Objects of Faith. Effential of Christian Religion; such as the Outward History of Christ, &c. and so by this Distinction divers of these Arguments are answered, without more ado; espicially the first two, where they spend much Paper sighting with their own Shadow, telling us; That the Heathens have no Revelations shewing the Birth, Passion, Resurrection, &c. of Jesus Christ; Which we do grant: For the Belief of such things is only necessary to them, to whom they are propounded; and the Scriptures alledged by them, at most prove no more.

It were a needless Labour, and not worth the Pains to answer particularly to all their Impertinencies, Follies and Blasphemies, which they obtrude upon us as Arguments; and in the Issue, their last Probations resolve into meer Assertions, as much denied by us, as the things they undertake to Refute. Therefore upon each Section or Subfection we shall but take Notice, what their Arguments Resolve into at last; and as there is Occafion, set down some Propositions, that may serve as a Key, to open the Reader's Way through all these Heaps of Confusion and Blasphemy, wherewith they fill their Pages. As for the Scriptures brought by them, Arg. 1. as Isa. 9. 2. Matt. 4. 16. Pfal. 147. 19, 20. These prove not, that they had no Light, for the Light shineth in Darkness John I. And Prov. 29. 18. doth not import. That People have wanted Vision from the Beginning; but that for some time they may want it, to wit, when their Day of Visitation is over; which we deny not. And whereas they tell us, That the Greek Particle is often to be translated among, therefore so to be Col. 1. 26. and other Places alledged by us; we deny this Confequence. And that they fay, The Apostle is speak. ing of the outward Preaching of Christ, Col. 1. 26. 1s their bare Affertion without any Proof. Also

D 4

in

1676. in their first Argument they alledge a gross Untruth upon G. K. as if he did hold in his Book of Immediate Revelation, Page 11. That the Jews generally under the Law had no immediate Revelation in the Seed: Let the Place be read, and it will clear G. K. where he distinguisheth a Two-fold Sort of Revelation in the Seed, according to a Two-fold Condition of the Seed. The first Sort Revelation is more hid and obscure, the Seed not being compleatly formed; but as under Ground: The fecond is more manifest and clear, so as with open Face to behold the Glory of God. The first Sort of Revelation is given univerfally unto all, both Jews and Gentiles; but the second is only given to the Saints, in whom the Seed is compleatly formed and brought forth. As to their Queries, we answer; That Conscience and Reason are diflinguished from the Saving Light of Christ in All Men, and the Revelation thereof, as a Natural and Supernatural Principle are distinguished; and it was the Natural, which Pelagius did exalt too much: As our Adversaries also do, who affirm, That Men may be Lawful Preachers, without being renewed by the Super-natural Principle of God's Saving Light and Grace.

In the Profecution of their Second Argument.

1. They deny the Inward Blood and Sufferings of Christ, referring us to their Proof afterwards; which we shall in it's Place examine.

2. They alledge, That we hold an Heavenly and Spiritual Nature in Christ, which is distinst from the Godbead on the one Hand, and from the Manhood on the other, which they call a Third Nature in Christ. But this their Alledgance is false; for that Heavenly and Spiritual Nature is not a Third Thing the Inward distinct from both the Godhead and Manhood of

Hearing of Christ, as shall be afterwards shewn. 2. They of the Word alledge. That the Apostle doth not speak of any inward Hearing or Word, but of the outward: The Con-

Contrary is manifest from the Apostle's own 1676. Words in the same Chapter; The Word is night hee, in thy Mouth and in thy Heart. Nor is their Reafon valid to prove it; for the Words, Verse 14. 15. are not Arguments made by Paul, but Objections adduced by him; which he afterwards anfwereth: And this is usual with Paul in this Epifile. As to their Question; Wherein consists the Nature and Essence of Faith? We say, It is a Receiving of Christ, laying hold upon Him, according to whatfoever Revelation he makes of himself in Men's Hearts; which is in some greater, in others less, but in All is in some Degree.

In their Third Argument they undertake to prove, That according to us the Scriptures are not necessary secundum quid, or profitable: But all in vain. As for their Example, as they know, Examples prove not; so is it vain and impertinent : for we never compared the Scriptures to a mutilated and dim Copy; they are a clear and perfect Copy, as to all Essentials and Necessaries of Christian Religion: But they are not the Ori-ginal. And seeing we have answered them so matter three a per-fect Co.y ny Questions; let them answer us this One: Are but not the not all these Divinity-Books and Commentaries on original. the Scripture made by Men not divinely Inspired. as a mutilated and dim Copy in Comparison of the Scripture, and whether is the Scripture or thefe Books more perfect? If they fay the Scripture is more perfect; then what need they the mutilated and dim Copy of these Divinity-Books? Or what Profit can they have by Them, which they cannot have rather by the Scripture? Again, here they confound the Material and Formal Object of Faith? as if we did hold, That inward Revelation without Scripture did propound unto us the Material Objects of Faith; which is Falle: For there are many of the Material Objects, which are only propounded by the Scripture, to

1676. wit, such as the Historical Part of the Scripture; and in this Respective do not plead, That Inward Revelation is the Material Object, but the Formal.

> In their Fourth Argument they are so blind, as not to take notice how we can give the fame Anfwer, that they give, concerning the Law, That we, who are under Grace and Obedience to the inward Law, are dead as to the Condemning Power; but not as to the Commanding Power thereof. But

Not the Spirit convinces the Conscience.

ecd.

Letter, nor the outward that it is not the Letter, or any outward Testimo-Law, but the my of the Law, that doth so Powerfully Convince a Man's Conscience, as of other Sins, so of Covetouiness, as the Spirit of Goddoth in his inward Convictions and Smitings upon the Conscience, is clear from the Experience of all those. who have known and paffed through the State, which the Apostle spake of, when he said; I was alive without the Law; but when the Commandment came, Sin revived, and I died. Yea, What Law is that of the Mind, whereof he makes Mention, Rom. 8. but an inward Law, by which the Knowledge of Sin comes, and through which both the Knowledge and Remembrance of Sin flicks more closely to the Soul, than through any Outward Law it can? And did not Christ say, That the Spirit should Convince the World of Sin? The Hea- Yea, how many of those called Heathens, who thens inftan had not any Outward Law, have declared, That Inward Concupiscence was a Sin? As for their malicious Accusation against us, of our Lust and Covetousness, we reject, as not worth the noticing; seeing they affert it without any Colour of Proof: But it feems, they have learned that wicked and devilish Maxim, Calumniare auda-Her, aliquid adharebit, i.e. Calumniate boldly, that fomething may flick.

Their Fifth Argument is answered in the First,

as being a Branch thereof.

Their

Their Sixth Argument is built upon a false Supposition, that according to our Principle, All
would be Prophets, and that no Difference could be & Teachers
assigned betwixt Prophets, and Pastors and Teachdistinguished
ers; seeing Prophets and Teachers teach both from
the Spirit. The First is answered at large in the
End of G. K's Book of Immediate Revelation. To
the Second we answer; That by Prophets in the
strictest Sence are meant those, who Prophesy of
things to Come, as Agabus was; by Teachers,
they who Instruct the People in Doctrine: And
this is a manifest Difference; although in the
large and common Sense Prophesying and Preach-

ing are one thing.

Their Seventh Argument, they pretend to build on that Scripture Jud. 19. but it is easily auswered: That Men in one Sense may be faid not to have the Spirit; and in another to have it. Even as a Rich Man, who improve th not his Monev, both Hath, and Hath it Not, in divers Senfes: According to which Christ faid; From him that bath not, shall be taken away that which be bath. And whereas R.B. doth grant, That they, whose Day of Visitation is come to an End, bave not the Spirit, so much as to invite and cell them unto God: Here they infult, as if all were granted they feek: But they are greatly deceived. For though he grant, That some have not the Spirit to call and invite them; yet he granteth not, That they have not the Spirit to Regrove them: For even the Devils and Damned Souls of Men and Women Sin against the Spirit of God, witnessing against them in their Hearts, which is in them a Law of Condemnation: as David faid; proofs of the If I go down into Hell, thou art there. Yea, do Spirit Interwe not read not only, That God spake unto Cain, a most wicked Man, but also unto Satan, Job 1. which Speaking of God to Satan, we suppose, the Students will not fay, was by an Outward

Voice,

1676. Voice, and confequently it was Internal. But we ask them, If all wicked Professor Christianity should burn the Bible, and destroy all out-ward Rules and Means of Knowledge, Should they by this Means cease to Sin, because they should have no Rule? Or should they be excused from Gospel-Duties, because they have no Rule, by this Supposition (according to the Students) to require them ?

In their Second Subsection they spend both their Strength and Paper, in labouring to prove some things, which we no wife deny; as the Sequel of their Major, §. 14. But in the Proof of their Minor, where the whole Stress lieth, they utterly fail in both its Branches, as we shall briefly shew. As to the first, they argue thus; They know no fuch inward Objective Evidence of inward Revelation themselves; therefore they have none such. We deny the Consequence; they see it not, nor know it, because they will not: Their Prejudice against the Truth doth blind them, and indispose their Understanding. Yea, might not the unbelieving Jews have reasoned the same way against Christ, when he was outwardly present with them: We do not know him to be Christ; Therefore he is not Christ? Again, whereas they query in a scoffing way, Can athing, that is Self-evident, be bid from the whole World, except a few Illuminado's? We answer, If it were hid from the whole World, except a few in Comparison of others, it is no more than what the Scripture faith; That the whole World lieth in Wickedness: And their Wickedness blindeth them, that they do not see the Light that is in them. Yet we could Instance Inspiration many, who are not Quakers (so called) both Christians and Gentiles, who have acknowledged the Evidence and Certainty of Divine Inspiration in all Men, as the furest Ground of Knowledge: But we need not digress into this here; we

have

have enough besides to stop their Mouths. For 1676. do not they fay, That the Scriptures have a Self- V Evidence? And yet, are not the Scriptures and the Truths declared in them hid from the greatest Part of the World? The Mahometans reject both Old and New Testament, and the Fews the New: although they read them: And yet according to our Adversaries, they have Self-Evidence. So that it is evident, the same Argument is as much against the Scripture, as the Light within in Point of Self-Evidence; and indeed much more, feeing many, who deny the Self-Evidence of the Scriptures, even Heathens, have a Knowledge of the Self-Evidence of Divine Inspiration, as Secrates, Plato, Phocylides, Seneca and many cthers. And here in the Close, being sensible of their Weakness, after they have laboured to prove the Negative, they tell us; That feeing the Negative is theirs, they are not bound to prove it: And fo would roll it over on us to prove the Affirmative against their own Law; which would have us to be meer Defendents. As to the Maxim, Affirmanti incumbit Probatio, it doth not help them ; for they have affirmed a Negative, and have been at great Pains to prove it : But all in vain. And why may we not put them to prove their Minor. being a Negative, as well as their Master F. M. put the Jesuit Dempster to prove his Minor; which John Menzies affirmed to be Negative?

In their Profecution of the Second Branch, they Affirm, That the Q. cannot give any sufficient Evidence of their Revelations. This we deny, and put them to prove it: But how shamefully they fail here, is apparent. For instead of proving what they Affirm, they put us to prove the Contradictory; and so contrary to their own Law, would urge us to be Impugners and Defenders at one time: A filly Trick, they learned from the Baptists in their Dispute at London; as indeed

The Spirit's Real and Convincing Evidence.

the Students Argument about an Evidence is the fame upon the Matter with that, which the Baptists used against us at London long before them. and which the Jesuit used against J. M. long before them both. So that we may see, what Sort of Patrons the Students here follow. But it is well to be observed, That when they feek an Evidence from us, they tell us, Page 57. They mean not an Evidence, which will actually and de Facto Convince a pertinacious Adversary; but an Obje-Ctive Evidence or Clearness in the thing it felf, which is apta nata, fit of its own Nature to Convince, and will really convince the well-disposed. Very well! this their plain Concession destroyeth their whole Building. For feeing, they press upon us by way of Dilemma, Either we have the Spirit of God, or we have it not; (which is 7. L. his Argument) We may very lawfully by his own Example press him and his Fellow Students with the like Argument; Either they have a welldisposed Mind, or they have not. If they fay, they bave not, then they confess, they are a pertinatious Adversary, and so not capable to be convinced of our Evidence: And furely, it were great Folly in us, to feek to Convince them of the Truth of a thing, who are not in a Capacity to be Convinced. If they fay, They have a welldisposed Mind; then let them prove it to us, or give us an Evidence of it: Seeing by their own Rule, Affirmanti incumbit Probatio. Who is fo weak, that doth not fee, that they are intangled in the same Difficulty, they would urge upon us? Yea, into a far greater: For they cannot so much as pretend to any Objective Evidence, whereby to Convince us, that they are Well-disposed; seeing they altogether deny fuch a thing. If they Answer; That they are not bound to say either the Affirmative or Negative; but require of us to prove the Negative; Who feeth not, that we

have the fame Reply unto them, when they urge 1676. us. Either the Q. have the Spirit, or they have not; that we are not bound to say either the Affirmative or Negative? For although to have. and not to have are Contradictory; yet to fay, that we have the Spirit, and that we have not the Spirit, are not Contradictory, being both Affirmative. And indeed, when we affert a thing only in Thesi, we do not say, either that we have, or have not the Spirit; but this we fay, and we are able to prove from Scripture, That all good Christians have the Spirit of God immediately to teach and guide them into all Truth; and all Men have it so far, as either to justify or condemn them. By this we stand, and are able to defend it through the Help of God, as confifting both with Scripture, and found Reafon, and Testimonies of the Antients. But if they think with their little Craft to bring us down from the Thesis to the Hypothesis; they must know, the fame will bring them down to it also. For seeing it is a Truth acknowledged both by them and us, That all true Christians and Children of the Spirits God have the Spirit of God, working in them at working in us, as an efleast as the Efficient Cause; from this we urge ficient Cause them thus, Either they have the Spirit of God working in them as an efficient Caufe, or they have not; If they fay, they have not; they confess, They are not true Christians, or Children of God, which we suppose they will be loth to say. If they say, They have the Spirit of God, as an Efficient Cause of Faith working in them, and subje-Gively inlightening them; let them prove it, or give us an Evidence of it. Who doth not fee. that, Poor Men; they are taken in their own Snare? We know, all Rational and Sober Men will acknowledge, that we are not bound to receive their Affirmations without Proof, more

1676. than they are bound to receive ours; nor indeed of o much: we being, as the Cafe stands, but Defendents.

> As touching their Answer to R.B. his Retortions about an Evidence, it shall be examined in the next Section.

> In Page 60. they tell, That we affign them at. last some Shadows of Evidence; namely, 1. our own Declaration. 2. The Scriptures. 3. The immediate Testimony of the Spirit. But that these are not Shadows, will appear to the Judicious and well-disposed, if they consider these two things.

> 1. That by our Declaration, we mean not a bare verbal Declaration, having no Virtue or

Manifestation of Life in it; for we confess, such might be as good a Ground for an Heretick in A Declara- way of Evidence: But by our Declaration we ceeding from mean such a Declaration as doth really proceed from the Spirit of God in us; and is therefore a living Declaration, having a Manifestation of Life in it and with it, and which is not only in Words of Life, or Living Words uttered through us from the Spirit of Life, but also in Works of Life or Living Works, which are the Fruits of the Spirit, as faid Christ, By their Fruits ye shall know them. Now such a Declaration can no Heretick have, however he may pretend to it. If our Adversaries say; That we only pretend to such a thing; We answer them with their own Rule. Affirmanti incubit Probatio, i. e. The Affirmer ought to prove: Let them prove us only to be Pretenders; which yet they have not done, nor can do. And indeed, fuch a Declaration from

> 2. It is a most Unjust and Unreasonable thing, to require of us any other Evidence of our having the Spirit, than that, which every true Christian

> the Spirit of God in the Apostles, as when John faid, We are of God, &c. was an Evidence, That

no Heretick could justly pretend to.

tion prothe Spirit. no Heretick has it.

may and ought to give; feeing we pretend to no 1676. other Spirit, but that which every true Christian hath, nor to any Revelations, but these, which are the Priviledges of all true Christians; nor to any Doctrines, which are not conform to the Scriptures of Truth: As we are ready to prove, and as G. K. hath already shewed in his Book of Immediate Revelation, which neither the Students nor their Masters have given us any Refutation

Now, have not all good Christians these three Evidences for them? And we can prove by the Help of the Lord, that they are as applicable to us, as to any upon Earth. And here note, that when we say, The Scripture is the best outward Evidence that can be given, we mean it not, as a The Scrip-particular Evidence, but as a general, common to dence: all good Christians. For we grant, That the Scripture cannot prove, that any particular Man hath the Spirit of God in such a Way, as true Christians have it; but it proves in general, that all true Christians have it, yea, and all Men, to convince them at least.

In Page 61, 62. They reject the Scriptures Testimony, as an Evidence to us, Because, according to us, the Scriptures Testimony bath no Evidence without the Spirit. In answer to which we fay: But it hath an Evidence with the Spirit, his Inward Evidence going along with it; which Inward Evidence, we say, doth go along with it, fufficiently to convince every well-disposed Intellect: And this we can prove from the Scriptures Testimony. Nor is this to commit an unlawful Circle, as they foolithly alledge; which is but an old, thread bare Alledgance of Papists against the Protestants, as Turnbull alledged on Paraus, That he proved the Spirit by the Scripture; and the Scripture by the Spirit. Some Protestants in our Days do miserably seek to extricate them:

1676.

The Scrip-

rit. dos

felves of that Circle, that they know the Spirit by the Scriptures objectively, and they know the Scriptures by the Spirit effectively: And so indeed they get free of the Circle, as not being in eodem genere, i. e. in the same Kind. But they affirm a gross Untruth, That the Spirit's Influence is only Effettive, and ex parte subjetti, whereas we know it is Objective, and can prove both from Scripture and primitive Protestants: See G. K. his Book of Immediate Revelation and Quakerism no Popery, where the same is at length proved. But we have a most clear Way to extricate our selves of that Circle, imposed on us by Papists and these Students, to wit, That we tures Telli-thony known know the Scriptures Testimony by the Spirit, by the spi-tanquam a priori, as we know the Effect by the Cause; and we know the Spirit's Testimony by the Scriptures, tanquam à posteriori, as we know the Cause by the Effect: And so both are Objective, and yet in a divers Kind; because the Objective

> Evidence of the Spirit is a Self-Evidence and primary, the Objective Evidence of the Scripture is

> but derived and secundary. In their Answer to G. K. his Retortion from the Practise of Christ, who though his own immediate Testimony was to be received, referred them unto the Testimony of the Scriptures, They most miserably betake themselves to their Old Trade of Affirming Things without any Proof; and yet on the Proof of these Things the whole Stress of their Answer lieth. As 1. they say, The Jews rejected only the Outward Immediate Testimony of Christ: However dare they say, but that the outward immediate Testimony of Christ was to be believed? And yet he referred them unto the Testimony of the Scriptures. 2. They say, They have no such Testimony themselves, as the Inward Objective Testimony of the Spirit. 3. They say, According to Christ the Scriptures were the Rule,

meaning

meaning the Primary Rule; and so they set the 1676. Scripture above Christ his own immediate outward Testimony: A most gross Disorder! All which we reject, as meer Affirmations without any Proof.

Their Infinuation, That G. K. alted the Part of a cunning Sophist, when he spake these Words repeated by them, pag. 4. Is no less without any real Proof: For it is a Truth, That no Scripture Truth can be favingly believed, but by the Illumination of the Spirit, which is Objective.

In Paragraph 28. they think to evade G. K. his Argument, That we have Inspiration, because all Men bave it; that then Papists, Mahumetans, Pagans and Men bodily possessed have Inspiration: Which we do affirm, viz. That these have it so far, as to Convince them, and is sufficient to be a Law of Condemnation, and render them without Excuse for their Sin: And this all Men have not only within their Day, but after their Day of Visitation is expired. But as to their imposed Glosses and Senses, which they say, their Divines have already Vindicated on these Scriptures cited by G. K. for Universal Grace and Inspiration. As they refer us to their Divines, so we refer them to our Friends, and our Books, where their filly and weak Reasons are answered against this Gospel Truth. As for the Word EVER T, we acknowledge, it is not taken always Universally; but seeing it is taken so most frequently, it lieth on them to prove, that it is otherwise taken in the Places cited.

Before we close the Answer to this Sub-section, Revelations we propose further unto the Reader these two self-evident, Considerations. 1. That when we say, Inward Divine Revelations in the Seed are self-evident, we do not mean it always in Respect of the Material Objects of things revealed; but in Respect

of the Formal Object, or Revelation it self. 2. Al1676. Although we Affirm, That the Illumination and Influence of the Spirit in Men's Hearts, is both Effective and Objective; yet we do not affirm, That they are two distinct things, but one and the same thing under different Respects: So that we do not plead for another Influence, than that which in Words they feem to grant. But we fay, it is a more Excellent Thing, than they acknowledge it to be, as being in it felf perceptible, and having a Self-Evidence; whereas they will have it only a Medium incognitum, a thing altogether undiscernible and in-evident of it self, fo as to convince or fatisfy the Understanding, that it is of God. And thus according to our Adversaries Sence, and upon their Principle, this Inward Illumination of the Spirit may be faid to be fallacious, for want of Evidence; feeing, according to their own Argument, That which bath not a sufficient Evidence, is fallacious.

But whereas the Students in their Account grant in Words, That the Soul bath Spiritual Senfations, and that the Work of Grace may be felt; This Confession destroyeth their whole Superstructure. For if the Work of Grace can be felt, or is perceptible, then it is Objective; for whatever is perceptible, is objective. And feeing they grant, That the Soul bath Spiritual Sensations; we ask them, What are the Objects of the Sensa. tions? Are they only Words and Letters? Or Things, fuch as God Himfelf, in his heavenly Refreshings, Waterings and Bedewings? If the first, it is most unreasonable; for it would make the Spiritual Senses to fall short of the Natural, feeing the Natural Senses reach beyond Words to Natural Things themselves. If the second, they must needs with us acknowledge inward objective Revelations; for by them we understand no other thing, but as God, and the things of His Kingdom are felt in us by Way of Object. SECT.

SECTION II.

Where the Students Chief Argument against the Spirit's being the Rule, is proved to be one upon the Matter, with that the Jesuit, Dempster, used against their Master J. M. and the same Way answered, and their weak Endeavours to evite it, Examined and Refuted,

Here hath enough been said heretofore to demonstrate the Fallacies in the Form of their Arguments, in which also it resembled the Jesuits; which to avoid Repetition, we shall now omit. Their Medium against us is, That we cannot give an Evidence of our being led by the Spirit, but that which may be as good an Evidence for Hereticks: For thus they Word it in Hereticks Pretences to their Account, alledging, We wronged them in say the spirit. ing, They used the Words, which Hereticks may pretend to: Yet abstracting from this false Charge, we shall take it, as they now express it, being indeed Equivalent. To prove, that it may be as good an Evidence for Hereticks, they make J.L. argue thus; Other Hereticks declare and fay, they have the Spirit of God, teaching them as well as you: Therefore if your faying, you were so taught, were a sufficient Evidence, &c. then their declaring, &c. Now let the Reader judge, whether this Argument amounts to any thing more, than that; That is not a sufficient Evidence to the Quakers, which other Hereticks may pretend to? Thus the Students dispute against the Quakers; let us hear, how the Fesuit disputes against J. M. their Master. Pap. Lucifug. Pag. 3. after the fesuit had repeated his Argument, he adds, "May "it please the Answerer of this Syllogism to remember, That the Ground or Principle, which he " Shall

" Shall produce to prove the Truth of his Religion. " must have this Property, that it cannot serve nor " be assumed to prove a false Religion; as the " Grounds and Principles that one produceth, to "prove that he is an Honest Man, must have this Property, that it cannot serve nor be assumed to " prove a Knave to be an Honest Man, &c. Let the Judicious Reader consider, whether there be any material Difference betwixt these two Argumentations? But to proceed, and shew, that their Arguments are no better than the Fesuit's against their Master, and our Answers no worse, than their Master's against the Jesuit, we shall place them together.

J.M. Answereth the Je- Our Answer to the Stufuit thus, pag. 5. of his Pap. Lucifugus.

dents, as themselves acknowledge it p. 59. is,

7.M's Anfwer to the OUTS,

" The true Religion " Religion may

That the Evidence of " bath sufficient Grounds the Spirit cannot be af-Jesuit com- " in it self, to manisest it signed, but to the well-" felf to be the true Re. disposed Understanding. "ligion, if it meet with This they call a pitiful " a well disposed Intel-Subterfuge; alledging, " lect. For (to use your that then this Evidence " own Similitude) an ho- can only be affigned to " nest Man may bave such, as are of the Qua-" ground enough to shew ker's Mind, but not to " a Distinction betwixt others; and that any " him and a Knave, albe. Heretick in the World " it a Fool cannot dif- may deny Evidences up-" cern it; so the true on the same Account. have Now let the Judicious " Ground enough to prove Reader determine, whe-" it felf True (which the ther, if this Answer be " false Religion bath not) a pitiful Subterfuge, the "though an Infidel or Students with the same Breath

"Heretick, whose fooltheir Master's to the Westerner of their Master's to the Westerner of their Master's to the Westerner of their Master's to the Same.

" take it up.

And when they write next, let them shew the Difference; which they have not yet done.

In Answer to this Retortion they alledge, pag. 67. That R. B. Said, their Master, Jo. M. would not assign the Jesuit a Ground, to prove the Truth of the Protestant Religion: And therefore, say they, R. B's Practices agree exactly with the Jesuit's Morals, and give an egregious Specimen of his fesuitical Honesty, which makes us suspect him to be a Jesuited Emissary. This is a false Calumny disproved by their own Account, where pag. 8. upon this Occasion they confess, R. B. faid only, that their Master desired the Jesuit to prove, that the Protestant Religion had no Ground for it. Will they deny this? Let them read the very first four Lines of their Master's first Answer to the Jesuit's Paper, Page 3. and they will find, he put the Jesuit to prove his Minor, which was, That the Protestant Religion had no such Ground. As it doth not therefore follow, that J. M. assigned not afterwards a Ground; so neither will R. B. his repeating this, infer, that he faid, he did not affign fuch a Ground. Yea, in Contradiction to themfelves, Page 60. They acknowledge he told, their Master named the Scripture as a Ground, &c. So it is manifest, they have given here a Specimen of their Jesuitical Honesty. And because they could not Answer, they forged Lies to fill up the Paper, and things not to the Purpose, as Page 57. where offering to reply to this Retortion, they say, But for Answer, it is well known, R.B. was brought up in a Popish Colledge, and it is thought by many, that he is a Jesuited Emissary, &c. Is not this a pungent Answer, Reader? R.B.

tion about gation An-Iwered.

1676. R. B. was educated in a Popish Colledge; Ergo, ofav the Students, Our Answer is not that, which The Object the Sesuit used against our Master. It seems, the R. B's Edu-Students are offended, that R. B. hath forsaken Popery; or otherwise their Charging him with his Education must be very impertinent: as indeed it is no less Foolish, than if we should upbraid Luther, Calvin, and all the first Reformers as Papists, for being so Educated. And though it is no Wonder, their Folly and Malice led them into this Impertinency, yet it might have been expected, that their Gratitude to the Bishop of Edinburgh, who was pleased to permit their Book to be Printed, might have hindered them from this Folly, seeing he was Educated in the same Popish Colledge R. B. was, and owes some of his Philosophy to it; whereas R. B. Learned only there a little Grammar, and came thence in his 15th Year: but the Bishop was there Professing Popery in his more mature Age. So if this reflect any thing upon R. B. it will much more against the Bishop; which they will do well to clear; and befure not to omit, when they write next, or else acknowledge their impertinency herein.

It feems they wanted Strength of Reason to evite the Retortion, which makes them thus rove; Offering also to prove, That their Master did assign a Ground; which was never denied, and that he was Defendent; so was R. B. also: What is that to the Purpose, unless to make the Retortion the stronger, and shew, they cannot get by it? But Page 60. they fay, That whereas the Fesuit pressed their Master, that Hereticks did say, their Religion was conform to the Scripture, as well as he; and so the Scripture was no peculiar Ground for him, more than for Hereticks. fay, their Master answered, That it was not a presended, but real Conformity unto the Scripture, that demonstrates a true Religion, &c. and upon

this they enquire, what follows? Alledging, They 1676. agued from being as good, and not presending; vand so fall a Railing, saying, That the Light of our Consciences is eclipsed by a new-found Light. and that we misrepresent them maliciously. Railing is for want of better Reasoning; but feeing they are so blind, as not to see, whether they will fee it or not, we shall tell them, and we hope, let the Reader fee, what follows here from 7. Menzies, the Students Master, who saith to the Fesuit, It is not enough, that Hereticks fay, the Scripture is a Ground for their Religion, unless it really be so; and that other Hereticks faying so, doth not infer, that it is as little a Ground for his own (to wit, J. M's) Religion. Very well! The Quakers tell the Students, That it is not enough, that Hereticks declare, they have the Spirit, unless it be really so, and their saying, they have it, while they have it not, doth not infer, that our faying, we have it, is as little a Ground for us. Who but such as are as Childish. as the Students, will affirm, there is here any Difference? But further, they confound most rhe Internal Ignorantly the Internal Testimony of the Spirit Testimony with the Declaration of having the Spirit; which is above the are two different things. It was incumbent up-Pretence of on them to have proved, that the Internal Testi untois. mony of the Spirit is as good an Evidence for Hereticks, as for us; which they have not offered to do.

Next, they have not proved, that the Declaration of Hereticks is as good as ours, neither can they, unless they can prove ours to be false, which they neither have nor can do. But they have egregiously fallen in that Inconveniency, they would fix upon us, pag. 58, 59. where in answering R. B's Retortion, shewing them, That if Men's being decaived, contradisting themselves or one another, who say, the Spirit is a Rule, did

infer

1676. infer, the Spirit not to be a certain Rule; than Men's being deceived, contradicting themselves and one another, who say, the Scripture is the Rule, would the Same Way infer, that the Scripture is not the Rule. Here they are miserably put to it, and therefore not ashamed to deny, that they plead not against the Spirit's being a Rule for these Causes: The contrary for which is known to all, that are acquainted with these Controversies. And for Example let them Read their so much applauded W. Mitchell his Dialogue and his Sober Answer (so called) where he makes this the chief Caule, yea, themselves for the same Reason within two Pages (to wit, p. 60, and 61.) plead against the Teaching of the Spirit, affirming, that * Because the Georgians, Familists, and pretended Saints, as Francis and Loyola, &c. pretended the Inward Teaching of the Spirit, and had an outward Shew of Godliness, therefore the Spirit's teaching to deny Ungodliness, is as good an Evidence for them, as for the Quakers. Who but the Students would run themselves into such miserable Contradictions >

The Stufeives about Paith.

But to give the Reader an evident Demonstration of the Students Gift of Contradicting themdents contra. selves, take one here in their own Words; they dicting them fay, this above-mentioned Retortion doth not meet the Rule of their Argument; why? Do we conclude, that the Spirit is not the Rule of Faith, because they cannot give an Evidence, which will actually convince, that they are led by the Spirit? No such thing. Compare this with J. L's Medium of his second Argument, where he undertaketh to prove, That the

^{*} But besides, will not their Master's Answer above-mentioned meet well with them here, that since these Sects and Saints did (as both they and the Quakers confess) but pretend to the Spirit, that because they did but pretend, therefore the Quakers do but pretend also; no more than because some Hereticks do pretend, their Religion is conform to the Scripture, therefore J. M. doth fo too?

the Spirit is not the Rule of Faith, (as it is ex- 1675. pressed by themselves) because there can be no Evidence given of it in the World. But if they think to creep out here, that there may be Evidences given, though not fuch as do actually Convince, because of the Want of a Subjective Evidence, or Disposition of the Mind (as they afterwards add) and that we can give no Evidence of this last Sort: It remains then for them to prove, that their Minds are well disposed, seeing they are the Opponents, and we the meer Defendents; and that the Evidences affigned by us, or fuch as are not manifest even to the well-disposed (yet to go round) pag. 59. Paragraph 19. They account this of the well-disposed Mind ridiculous, though it was the best Answer their Master could give the Fesuit in the like Case, as is above shewn. But thou may'ft perhaps judge, Reader, that these that are so nice and scrupulous in receiving Evidences from others, would give some very solid Ones for their own Rule, when pressed the same Way to give us an Evidence, that they have the Scripture to be their Rule from God, and that they have the true Sense of it. Take then notice of them here, Reader, and see, how satisfactory their Answer is.

Now (fay the Students, pag. 59.) the Solution is easy; for they who make the Scripture their principal Rule, are either our own Churches, or they are Setts dissenting from us. If the first; have not our Divines frequently proved both from the intrinsick Characters of Divinity, that appear in the Scriptures themselves, and also from the outward Motives of Credibility, that we have these Scriptural Revelations from God? And have they not often assigned sufficient, objective Evidences and Proofs of the Senses of the Scriptures taught by our Churches, as to every Point controverted by us, and all Setts what soever? So that Dissenters

remain

1674. remain Unconvinced for want of subjective Evidence, and Disposition of Mind; and really ought to believe us, teaching such Senses of Scripture, &c. Is not this rarely well solved? Do the Students give any better Evidence for all this, than their own Declaration? And is not this (according to themselves) as good for other Hereticks as for them? Is it not strange with what Considence they should print such Stuff? Besides, as to the first Part of it, it is manifestly false; For Calvin the Chiefest of their Divines, hath in plain Words afferted.—viz.

Calvin's Te-Aimony for the Spirit.

That all Objective Evidences and Motives of Credibility are not sufficient to establish the Conscience in the Belief of the Scriptures certainly, and that thereunto is necessary the secret and inward Testimony of the Spirit; yea, that the same Spirit, that was in the Prophets and Aposles, enter into the Heart, &c. So say all the Publick Confessions of the Protestants Abroad. And seeing of this, according to the Students, there can be no Objective Evidences in the World given; then neither can there of the Scripture, which they confess is their Rule.

So the Reader may fee, that their Work is like the Viper's Erood, that destroyeth it self; and tends to overturn the Certainty of all Christian Religion, landing in Scepticism. Which because they cannot thun, they end their Section in vain Boafting and Railing, faying, pag. 77, They provoke all the Papists and Quakers of the World to argue against them so, if they can. Here are high Words indeed; but feeing, they are fo bufy in Boasting, we accept the Challenge, and offer to prove before as publick an Auditory, as the last Dispute was, that their Arguments against the Quakers are no better, than the Jesuit's against their Master. And here to conclude, they add; Let the Reader therefore judge, whether Railing Robin

Robin shews forth more of an Ass's than of a Viper's 1676. Nature; where he brands our Argument with the black Mark of Popery. Well! we leave to the Reader's Judgment, who also may judge if this be not Railing? And if the Students, who talk at this rate, be to be trusted in their Preface, saying, That they have abstained from all Personal Criminations, and have not rendred Evil for Evil. And what may be thought of Men that are not ashamed thus to bely themselves?

SECTION III.

Wherein the Students Arguments concerning the Supper, and against Perfection and Women's Speaking are Considered and Answered; contained in their Sub-section 3. from pag. 66. of their Book to pag. 78.

I. st, They say, They might argue, that the Quakers have not Revelations from the Spirit, because of their Mad and Impious Practices: And then they turn this Affertion into a Question, asking, Have not the Quakers committed such Pra-And for this they refer the Reader to several writ against the Quakers by their declared Adversaries: Which fignify nothing, unless they will prove, that these Men spake Truth; which they neither have, nor can do: And fo are no more valued by us, than Cochleus's Lies against Luther. But to confirm this, they place at large a Citation out of H. More, whom they fay, The Quakers have reported to be a Quaker. This is a false Calumny, which they are dared to prove. That H. More hath in a Letter to G. K. owned some of the Quakers Principles, is true;

1676. as particularly that of Immediate Objective Revelation, called by them The Head of the Monster; and that the Seed is a Substance; which they count one of the Quakers grand Errors. As for that Citation of H. More, he wrote it upon Trust, and was not an Eye-Witness of these Things; and it recurs upon him and them to prove the things true. The Stoty there mentioned of J. N. seeing it was at that very time disowned by the Quakers, and since condemned by himself, militates nothing against us; no more than other horrid things, yea, that which (in the Students own esteem) is down-right Treason, being done by some of the Chief of their Ministry, as commanded by the Scripture, doth against them.

In Conclusion they give a Proverb used by Will. Dundas in a Book of his as a further Instance, which they call A Bundle of Ridiculous and Non-sensical Expressions. But will they deny, but the Presbyterian General Assembly, of which W. Dundas so writes, was a Mingle-mangle of Omni gatherums? Particularly that Assembly, that Excommunicated and gave to the Devil B. Spotwood, and these other called Reverend Prelates of the Church the Students own? Or let the Students tell us, whether in their Esteem they deserve a better Designation? Now that to use

To ble Pro-Proverbs in things written even from the Spirit verbs is not of Truth, is no Inconfiftency, let them read Tit. with Truth. I. 12. Evil Beasts, slow Bellies. 2 Pet. 2. 22. The Dog is returned, &cc. and the Sow to the Puddle.

But to proceed, they offer to prove, The Spirit in the Quakers not to be the Spirit of God, because it teaches Doctrines contrary to the Scriptures.

The first Instance of this is, The Quakers denying the Necessity of the Continuance of the Use of Bread and Wine, as an Ordinance in the Church; which they alledge Pag. 67. is commanded, Mat.

26. 26.

26. 26. Mark 14. 21. Luke 22. 19. But the 1676. Students may look over these Places, and find (if they can) any thing in the first two of Matth. and Mark like a Command; but only a meer Narrative of the Matter of Fact. In that of The Use of Luke these Words are added, Do this in Remem. Bread and Wine difbrance of me. They proceed to prove, that this continued. is not ceased of its own Nature; carping at these Words of R. B. (in his first Answer to W. M. pag. 54, 55. where he faith, The very Institution intimates the Abolishing thereof at Christ's Coming) infinuating, as if he had mistaken himself: For his Words (fay they) allude to Paul's, I Cor. 11. and not to Christ's. But while they take a Liberty to judge of his Thoughts, they do but shew their own Forwardness to Mistake. For either these Words of Christ's in Luke above-mentioned, do import, They should do that in Remembrance of bim, until be came; or they do not: If they do not; the Students give away their own Caufe; If they do, then he might allude to that, as being there included, though not expressed.

They urge; The Coming of Christ mentioned, As often, must be his Coming to Judgment; because these, to &c implies whom Christ was come in Spirit, do use it: But ance. this proves not, That they then practised it by way of Necessary Duty, more than their practising other things, which our Adversaries themselves do acknowledge, do not continue, nor are

not Binding.

But they proceed Pag. 69, to prove it Commanded fince, from the Apostle's Words, 1 Cor. 11. And to prove that this was not a meer Narrative of a Matter of Fact (as we truly affirm) but a Command, they affirm, first, That he often gives the Title of the Lord's Supper to it, even as received by those Corinthians.

For Answer; The Students must needs be like themselves; and as they often belied us, so they

use

Their

ye meet to-

Lord.

1676. use the Apostle the same Way: For not only in this Chapter or Epistle, but in all Paul's Epistles. these Words (The Lord's Supper) are only once mentioned; so not often. Secondly, Verse 20.

where he useth these Words thus; When ye come And the Syriack Co-together therefore into one Place, this is not to eat py hath not in that 20th the Lord's Supper; It is so far from making for them, that it makes clearly against them. For Ver. nor elfewhere, these words the Apostle clearly here asserts, that the Corinth-The Lord's ians in their using of Bread and Wine, did not Supper at all, but in eat the Lord's Supper: He says not they did not lieu of it.

eat it, as they ought. When then Secondly, they urge, That the Apostle received

gether, not of the Lord a Command to take, eat, do this. to do in the is strongly alledged, but we deny it; and let Day of the them prove it: For Proof they give none, unless we may take an Example for a Proof, in which they beg the Question. For unless that alledged Minion of the King should tell these Citizens he came to, that he had received Order to Command them to obey the Decree, repeated by him. the Example fays nothing: But that the Apostle has fignified any such thing to us, we deny; and it remains for them to prove.

Thirdly, They alledge, That since the Apostle reproves them for Abuses in the Use of this, and to restify those, brings them back to the Institution, the Duty of Receiving it may be much more con-

cluded from the same Institution.

Answer. This is their bare Affirmation: The Abuses committed in Practising a Ceremony, may be regulated by telling the proper Rife, Use and End of it; and yet the Using it may not be an absolute Duty. The Apostle says, how those that observe Days, ought to do it to the Lord; it will not therefore follow, that the Observation of Days is a Duty incumbent upon all: Yea, the Apostle in that Place expresly afferts the contrary.

Their Fourth Reason is yet more ridiculous; 1676. The Apostle insinuates, that it is a Duty, because of the first Word, FOR that which I have, &c. Who but the Students would argue at this Rate? Such kind of Reasons serve to shew their Folly, not to confirm their Opinions: As do these that follow, with their Old Example of the King's Minion. In all which they miserably beg the Question, taking for granted, That it is a standing Statute: Which is the thing remains to them yet to prove. In the End of this Page they defire to join the Word OFTEN; which, fay they, evidenceth, it was a Practife to be continued in. And here they infult, because that R. B. in an Answer to W. M. arguing thus from this Word Often, did Reply, That thence it would not follow, That As often as a Man sins, he offends God, did import, we should sin often. Here they say R. B. egregiously shews his Folly and Impiety, because they never did argue from the Word OFTEN precisely: But their Brother W. M. to whom he then answered, did precisely Argue from it; whose express Words in his pretended Sober Answer are, Pag. 92. It may be observed, That the Corinthians were to be often in the U/e of it, bebecause it is said, As often as ye eat, &c. So fince he Argued from the Word Often, his Answer was proper; nor have they brought any thing to weaken it. And whereas they add, Who will say, that ever Sin was Instituted by God? R. B. never said so; but yet that weakens not his Retortion, nor strengthens their Argument from the Word Often: As may appear in a thing truly Instituted by God, and yet unlawful; else as often as a Man Marrieth, he is bound to his Wife, might be said to import, that it were a Duty incumbent upon Men to Marry often, or unlawful to forbear. F

1676. ing Prefcription is no Commanding Injundion.

Their Fifth Reason is, because the Apostle prefcribes the right Method of using it. For they A Regular- alledge, If it had been indifferent, he would have rather forbidden it, as useless, &c. This is no Argument, but their bare Conjecture, in which they would be wifer than the Apostle: And we have answered it before, shewing, the Apostle gives Rules to rectify the Observation of Days; which yet imports not a Duty to observe them.

Their last and chiefest Reason is, as they say, The Apostle's express Command for it: Let a Man examine himself, and so let him eat. The Students affirm, (and do but affirm) that to fay, This is only a Permission, is a desperate Shift. Let us hear how they prove it: Let a Man examine himself; this is without Doubt a Command; therefore, Let him eat, must be a Command also. We deny this Consequence; and it remains for them to prove it. And though this were enough in Strictness, yet we shall give a Reason of our Denyal; because their Proposition (whatever it may do in some Cases) holds not universally true. As to Instance from an Example or two: Let a Man Marry in the Lord, and so let him marry: The first is a Command here, but not the second. Let a Man speak in Religious Things, as the Oracles of God, and so let him speak: The first is a Command, but not the Second. Many more might be named, which import only a Conditional Command; not that there is a Necesfity upon all to Marry, or upon all to Preach: But if a Man Marry, let him do it in the Lord, and if a Man Preach, let him do it as the Oracle of God. Also see a most plain Example of this, Rev. 22. 11. He that is filiby, let him be filthy still, and be that is just, let him be just still: They are both in the Imperative Mood, yet the one is a Duty, the other but a Permission, not moral and positive, but physical and negative. So if a Man partake

partake of the Ceremony of Bread and Wine, let 1676. bim examine himself. Seeing then their Proposition holds not universally true, it remains for them to prove, That in this particular Place it is so.

They bestow their 34th Paragraph, pag. 70, 71. to no Purpose, in missing the Controversy. For whatever we understand by the Substance, which whoso enjoyeth, needs not the Shadow; We do not deny, but these that had the Substance, made use of the Shadow at times: For Paul purified Paul purify himself according to the Law of Moses, after he according to had been long an Apostle. But the Question is the Law of Whether that oblige us now? This the Students have forgot to prove, and will do well to advert to it, when they publish their next Volume, omitting needless Homilies not to the purpose. And thus we hope the Reader may see, that the Things we bring to prove this Ceremony is ceased, are not Shadows; but rather that what they bring to confirm it, is nothing but Shadows.

Page 71. They go about to answer an Argument used by R. B. against this Ceremony, drawn from the Apostle's Words, 1 Cor. 16. 16. in his sirst Answer to W. M. p. 64. where he shews, that since the Bread is but one, which must needs be the Inward; the Outward must be ceased. And to this they answer, saying, The true and genuine Sence of the Place is, &c. So go on, as they were Dictating and not Disputing, without adding a-

ny Probation.

But Secondly they proceed, faying, That feeing the One Bread is the Saints, though the Apostles were truly this one Bread; yet Christ instituted his Supper without any Contradiction, or making them not one Bread. For Answer; were that Practice of Christ of the Nature they would have it, then should they say something; but while they suppose it so, and Argue from it, they do but beg

2 th

the thing in Controversy. For the Apostles both then and after that time used many Legal and Typical Observations; and yet they would Argue ill, that would infer from thence, because they did so, and that without Contradiction to their being Christians. and under the Gospel Dis-

pensation we ought to do so too.

As for that Bread spoken by the Apostles in the 16 and 17 Verses, we acknowledge it to be the Spiritual Bread, to wit, the Spiritual Body of Christ, of which the Saints feed, which makes them one, and is one with them, as the Apostle himself wordeth it, ver. 17. Now what signifieth all this to prove, That the Outward Bread is the One Bread? Hear, how the Students evince it. But thirdly, we fay, That the One Bread spoken of ver. 17 is both the outward and the inward Bread, yet but one Sacramentally; And is not this rarely well argued, We the Students fay fo? As to the Reason afterwards infinuated, as Christ faith of the Bread, that it is his Body; they should have shewn, how it follows. Christ, as Protestants well argue against Papists, calls himself a Door, a Rock, &c. what then? Is Christ and a Rock one? Christ and a Door one Door? Let them shew us, if they can, in all the New Testament so much as one Word of this Figment of a Sacramental or Symbolical Union. And whereas upon this Occasion R. B. argued in his Truth clear'd of

* See above Calumnies, pag. 64. * That if the Outward Bread * See above Calumnies, pag. 64. * That if the Outward Bread * Were to be called the One Bread, as fignifying it, the Sacrifices of the Law might be called One with the one Offering of Chrift, mentioned Heb.

fies nothing, because these are abrogated. Then until they prove, this continues by Virtue of a Gospel Command (which they have not as yet

done) the same Reason will hold against it.

To

The One Bread.

To another Reason given of the Discontinuance 1676. of this Ceremony, from Gal. 2. 16. Let no Man judge you in Meats, or Drinks; They say first, That Meats and then it had not been lawful for the Apostle, to have in Religious reprehended the Corinthians for the Ahuses in this Acts.

Matter. This is a poor Shift indeed: though they should not have been reprehended for laying it asside altogether; yet seeing, they used it as a Religious Duty, they might well be reprehended,

if they did it not Religiously.

Secondly, they say, That then Gluttony, &c., ought not to be reproved: And that the Quakers, c're they miss to pull down Christ's Ordinance, will make way for Gluttony and Drunkenness. Answer, Here is but a silly malicious Reslection instead of a Reason: The Apostle is speaking here (as the Students themselves afterwards acknowledge) of Meats and Drinks used in Religious Asts, and if the Proposition holds true in this Respect, it will answer the End, and not of Natural Eat-

ing, &c.

Thirdly, they say, It must only be understood of the Legal Ceremonies, because of the 14th Verse, asking, If the Lord's Supper was contrary unto us, or was nailed to the Cross? What then? The Students are over-hafty, and should have looked to the 21st and 22d Verses; Touch not, taste not, handle not; which all are to perish with the Using: And do not Bread and Wine? which perish in the using, and are therefore here included. As for the Abfurdity infinuated by them, How could that be Nailed to the Cross, that was but instituted two Days before? Will they say, That abstaining from things strangled, and from Blood, was Nailed to the Cross, which was commanded long after Christ was Crucified? And yet some of their Divines (as they call them) use this Scripture for a Repeal of it.

Their

Righteoufness diffin-

to prove, they are not led by the Spirit, is, because

A Legal They affert a Possibility of not sinning upon Earth: Which they say, is expresly contrary to the Scripguilbed from ture. As first, to Isaiab 64. 6. We are all an unlean the Gospel Thing: All our Righteousness are as filthy Rags. Righteouf-But they should have proved, that the Prophet speaks here not only of the Legal Righteousness. of the Fews; but even of the Righteousness wrought by Christ in the Regenerate under the

Their second Charge against the Quakers, and

Freedom

ness.

Gospel; which they have not so much as attempfrom Sin de-monstrated ted to do; and therefore prove nothing. Yea, the by Scripture Chiefest of their Divines (as Calvin, Musculus, Testimonies. Corretus) deny this Place to be understood of the Righteousness of the Saints under the Gospel; but only of the Legal Righteousness of the Jews: Whom we leave them to refute or reconcile themfelves to. And proceed to their fecond Argument from the Words of the Prayer, Forgive us our Sins; But Men may pray for Forgiveness of Sins past, though they fin not daily; and this is the Thing in Question. Likewise this Argument drawn from these Words, doth militate no less against Perfect Justification, than it doth against Perfect Santtification; as G. K. hath at more length in his Quakerism no Popery in Answer to their Master 7. M. pag. 41. They argue from the Words of the Apostle Paul, Rom. 7. 18, 20, &c. To will is prefent with me, &c. but they should have proved, that the Apostle wrote of his own present Condition, and not as personating the Condition of others. For the Apostle in the same 7 Ch. ver. 14. faith of himself, But I am Carnal, sold under Sin: But who will fay, That the Apostle, as to his own persent Condition, was then Carnal? Or if he was, was there no Spiritual Men then? Or was he none of them? But Fourthly, they urge I fob. 1. 8. If we say, we have no Sin, we deceive our Selves, &c. and here they are offended R. B. should fay,

fay, This is Conditional, like the 6th Verse, which 1676. they confess is so: For (say they) at the same Rate he might alledge, all the rest of the Verses of the Epistle to be Conditional. But if it refer or allude particularly to the 6 Vers. the Reason will hold as to it, though not of the rest, that both they and the rest of the Verses of this Chapter do allude to the fifth. The Supposition [If] fo often repeated, doth fhew, they are angry, that R. B. should alledge, WE here doth not include John more, than the Apostle James 3. 9. With the Tongue Curse we Men, doth include James: For first the Students will have James here included; alledging it is spoken of Excommunication. And here they take Occasion to upbraid R. B. with Ignorance in Ecclesiastical Discipline; but surely, they have been either Dreaming or Doating when they so wrote. For had they read the following Verse, they might have observed the Apostle condemning this Cursing, saying, These things ought not fo to be : And we suppose they judge not their Ecclesiastical Discipline to be unlawful. But being (it feems) ashamed of this Shift, they give another Interpretation, which destroyeth their own The Tongue, Cause, alledging, James might have understood it &c. thereof himself, before his Conversion, while perhaps he we Men; was a Curfer. Very well; then let them give us preted by a Reason, why the Apostle John might not also the Students. have understood, If we say, &c. of himself also before his Conversion? But are not these, thinkest thou, Reader, Learned Divines, who to evite the Strength of a Scripture, give it within the Compass of one Page two Contradictory Expositions, affirming them both; and yet if the one be true, the other must be false: And then can shake them both off, alledging, They may render the Word by way of Interrogation, And do we therefore Curse Men? Are not these rare Interpreters? Because the Apostle useth an Interrogation else-

1676.

where in this Chapter, therefore this may be fo done also? But what then becometh of their Church Discipline, and other Interpretation? These must be shut out of Doors. Are not these like to be stable Preachers, who give three different Interpretations to one Text? If any one of which be true, the other two cannot be admitted. It feems thefe young Men think to make a quick Trade of the Bible, Cauponari Verbum Dei, who can thus play fast and loose with it at Pleasure.

But to proceed, they alledge, Ecclesiast. 7. 20. There is not a just Man upon Earth, that doth good,

Their Plea and sinneth not: This Argument is built upon an for Sin, from Error of the Translation; it should be translated, the Transla. Who may not sin, qui non peccet: So Junius and tion of the Tremellius, Vatablus, the Vulgar Latin, and alter. most all the Interpreters have it; and our English Translation P/al. 119. ver. 11. translateth the fame Hebrew Word fo, being in the fame Tense, which is the Second Future; I have hid thy Word in my Heart, that I may not sin against thee. A Second Place, Jam. 3. 2. In many things we offend all: What then, it followeth not thence, that we offend at all Times, or we can never but offend; which is the thing under Debate.

But to conclude, they confess, we have other Exceptions, which themselves, it seems, take no Notice of; because they are folidly refuted by their Divines: And therefore (say the Students) the Quakers herein teach a Doctrine contrary to the Revelations of God's Spirit in the Scriptures.

Arsw. A quick way to dispatch Controversies indeed, if it could hold; but at present it may ferve to thew the Students Folly, not to refute our Principles: If their Divines have already done the Business so solidly, might not they have spared their Labour? Which some of their own think had been their Wisdom.

Their

Their Third Instance against the Quakers, is 1676. Pag. 74. their allowing of Women to Preach, alledging, It is directly contrary to 1 Cor. 14. 24, 35. Let your Women keep Silence, &c. and I Tim. 2. 12. Let the Woman learn in Silence, &c. Here to begin like themselves, they say, G. K. is too much addicted to Women; but they are dared, if they can, to produce any real Ground for this malicious Infinuation. G. K. besides the Testi-G. K. vinmony of a good Conscience hath the Testimony dicated from of Hundreds, who have known his Manner of ations. Life and Conversation from his Childhood to this Day, that it hath been honest and of good Report; fo that he feareth not, that the Lying Reports, which the Malice of his Adversaries may raise, can hurt him. Yet these are Men, that folemuly profess, they have abstained from Personal Criminations; but seeing they have belied the Apostle Paul, as is above observed, G. K. may take it patiently to be treated at this Rate by Men of fuch Circumstances. But if they think to infer it, because G. K. doth plead for the Liberty and Priviledge of Women, they might as well plead, that G. K. is too much addicted to a Perfed Holiness, because he doth plead for it; or that the Students are too much addicted to Sin, fince they plead for the Continuance of it for Term of Life. They are little less than enraged, That G. K. should have alledged the Testimony of Augustine and Bernard, interpreting this Place of the Flesh; and therefore they labour, like Men in a Sweat, for a whole Page against this to no Purpose; the only Reason of G. K's citing them being, because some of their Preachers cried out against this Allegory, as a horrid abusive Thing in some Quakers; to shew them, it is none of the Quakers Coining, but already used by Men by themselves applauded and commended. Up. on this they ask, Have not some of our Antagonists are marriage of 1 to 1

1676. nists been observed to make a Welchman's Hose of the first Chapter of Genesis? If they mean us, let them prove, we have so done; as we have already proved, they have used the Apostle fames with their three-faced Interpretation. And again they ask, Have not some Qaakers been bold to aver, that there was never any such real Tree, as the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil? If they have, let them instance and prove, by whom it was spoken and writ? And then they shall have an Answer.

Lawful.

As they proceed, they give an egregious Specimen of their Folly, alledging, That if it did hold, (as G.K. affirms) that Women are not allowed to Speaking in the Church, Speak by Permission; then a fortiori, it is unlawful for them to speak by Commandment. Who but the Students would talk at this Rate? As if a Commandment might not authorize a Man to do that, which a bare Permission will not? G. K's Arguments drawn from their own allowing Whores to speak, and Women to sing, they call Quibbles, because they cannot Answer; which they reply to only by Questions, Do they allow Whore's Authoritative Preaching, affirming, Women may fing? Very well, whether it be Authoritative, or not, what soever way they speak, they keep not Silence: And so the Apostle's Words are not taken ftrictly and literally; which gains us the Caufe, and shews, our Doctrine is no more directly against the Apostle's Words, than their own. Besides, from this it followeth by the Students Confession, that Women may as lawfully speak in the Church, as the Licentiate Students, whom the Presbytery permits to speak in the Church, before they are Ordained. pass our chief Objection very overly drawn from 1 Cor. 11.5. where the Apostle gives direct Rules, how Women should behave themselves in their Publick Praying and Preaching, alledging, There

are Rules given in Scripture concerning Things, 1676. that were never lawful, but only permitted, &c. as of Polygamy under the Law: But they should have remembred, that these are Rules given by the Apostle to the Christian Church of Corinth. And feeing, the Students suppose, That the Apofile gave Directions to the Church of Corinth, not only of things that belong not to them now, but which are not lawful for them, (a Dostrine, we question if their Masters will approve of, or of the Consequence of which themselves are aware) it remains for them to prove, That these Two Rules forbidding Women's Speaking belong to us. or is not of the Number of these useless Rules, more than that other concerning the Manner of their Preaching. So we hope, this Solution it Impugned; and defire, they may be fure not to forget to bring us this Reason, when they write next.

SECTION IV.

Concerning the Necessity of Immediate Revelations to the Building up of True Faith, containing an Answer to the Students second Section, from pag. 78, to pag. 92.

IN their stating the Controversy, they say, I These Inward Revelations are not subjective Revelations, or Divine Illuminations. This is false; for as we have above shewed, one and the same Illumination, that is Effective or Subjective, is also Objective; and the Objective is Effective. Again they say, The Question is not, if immediate Objettive Revelations be possible, or be sometimes anade to some de facto: This Concession will overt hrow much of all their own Work. For if they

admit,

1676, admit, that any Person in our Time hath Imme.

Immediate Objective Revelation asserted.

diate Objective Revelations, admit Peter or John, their former Argument will as much militate against this Real, Immediate Objective Revelation granted by them, as against those, which they Seeing Pag. 7. at the Letter A, do not grant. they fay, Suppose that the Spirit reveals the Objects of Faith immediately, none will deny, that he is a Rule, (or rather Ruler) to them who have him fo. A good Concession, but which guite undoes their own Cause. For now let us apply their former Argument against this Real Objective Revelation granted by them, as thus:

> We ought to believe, That as the Rule of Faith, of which there can be no Evidence given: But,

> There can be no Evidence in the World given of the Spirit, that is in Peter and John; Therefore, &c.

Again; If Peter and John say, they can give an Evidence of the Spirit of God in them, to wit, their own Declaration in Life and Power, as also the Immediate Testimony of the Spirit, or the Scriptures Testimony; let us apply in the last Place their Argument used against us, and fee, if it will not be as good against Peter and Fohn, whom they grant de facto (according to their Hypothesis) to have Immediate Objective Revelation. The Argument is this:

That which as really agrees to Enthusiast Hereticks, as to them, can be no Evidence:

That Evidence, to wit, their own Declaration and saying, that both they and their Adverfaries have the Immediate Testimony of the Spirit witnessing to the Truth of it, would as really agree to Enthusiast Hereticks: Therefore, &cc.

Yea.

Yea, not only might they thus Argue against 1676. any Men's having Immediate Objective Revelation in our Days, but against the Prophets and Apoftles having it; feeing, the Argument might every way be as strong against their having it, as against our having it; especially at such Times as they wrought no outward Miracles in the Sight of the People, to whom they were fent, as oft they did not. When the Lord sent Jonas to Preach to the Ninivites, he wrought no Miracle in their Sight. Now let us put the Students in the Ninivites Place, and we shall find, they could Argue as stoutly and hardily against Jonas, as now they do against any Quaker: They could tell him, He could give no Evidence of the Spirit of God in bim, giving any such Message: As for his Declaration, it would not suffice, because his saying, he had the Spirit, would be as good a Ground for any other Enthusiast Heretick. But Outward further, these stout and hardy Warriors could Miracles dishave used these same Arguments against the Prophets, when they wrought Miracles: For they could have alledged, The Miracles were not true Miracles, but false; and such as may be done by the Power of the Devil. And so if any could produce Miracles now (as there have been) they would no more be believed, than the Unbelieving Jews believed the Miracles wrought by Christ and his Apostles: For they still looked upon them to be Deceivers. It is clear from Scripture, that Antichrist shall be permitted to work false Miracles; but that they shall so counterfeit the true, that it will be hard to discern the one from the other, without God's Immediate Direction and Teaching. And therefore the Preaching of found Doctrine, accompanied with a Holy Life, is a better Evidence of a true Prophet, than all outward Miracles what soever: As The Fruits Christ said, By their Fruits ye shall know them : prove the

tion in the

Subject.

1676. He doth not fay, by their Miracles, but by their Fruits. Now we are most willing to be tried by this Rule, if both our Doctrine, and Life, and manner of Conversation be not answerable to that of the Prophets, Christ and the Apostles, then let them fay, we have not that Spirit, which was in them: But if they cannot make out this, they but fight, as Men beating the Air.

Pag. 80. They Argue;

That there is no Substantial, living Principle in Man, that is the good Seed, because then the evil Seed or Principle should also be Substantial.

But this is abfurd; Therefore That this is Abfurd, they argue; For then it should be Created by God, and so God should be the Author of Evil and Sin; or it should be Uncreated, and confe-

quently God.

To this we Answer: The same Argument militates as much and rather more against their own Principle; for feeing, they hold Sin to be somewhat, (whether a Substance or an Accident, is all one as to the Argument) we Argue by a Retortion against themselves; Either it is Created, or Uncreated: And fo the same Inconvenience would follow. But to answer directly, we say; Sin confidered in its Formal Reason, is rather a Privation, than any real Being; as Blindness or Lameness in a Man's Body, or Corruption in Wine, or any other Liquor. But if they ensin a Priva-quire about the Subject of this Privation, Whether it be a Substance? We answer; It is: And it is clear from the Scriptures Testimony, that as Christ rules in the Saints, so the Devil rules in the Wicked, and is in them; and as God hath his

Seed and Birth in the Saints, fo the Devil hath

his Seed and Birth in the Wicked, which is of

the Devil's Nature. But if it be asked further, 1676. Whether it is a Substance? We Answer first, with inquiring at them another Question, and Retorting the Argument upon them; Whether the Devil is a Substance, yea or nay? If yea, either he is Created, or Uncreated; if Created, then God is the Author of the Devil: If Uncreated, then He should be GOD; Their OWN Consequence: which is BLASPHEMY. But 2. The true Answer to both is, that He, who is now the Devil, was Created of God a good Angel; but by his own Voluntary FALL he hath reduced himself to be a DEVIL, not by any real Creation, but by a Degeneration: And as is the Devil himfelf, fo is his Seed, a Corrupted, Degenerated Principle, from what it was Originally, before The Seed of Sin was, But if we take the Seed of the Devil Sin. diffinctly, as diffinct from himself, we do not fay, it is any Percipient Principle, that Seeth or Knoweth, &c. for it is rather of the Nature of a Body, than of a Percipient Intelligent Spirit; and the Scripture calleth it a Body, to wit, The Body of Death. But whether the Seed of Sin be a Substance, or not, the Students Argument is altogether impertinent to argue that; because the good Seed is a Substantial, Living Principle, &c. then the evil Principle or Seed should also be Substantial, Living, &c. for the same Reasons. We deny this Consequence: for there are greater Reasons, whereby to prove the one, than the other. If they think to argue from the Rule of Contraries, they think foolishly; for it would as much follow, that because a Man is a Substance, who Seeth and Heareth, &c. that therefore a Man's Blindness, and Deafness and Lameness are also Substances, and that Blindness seeth, Deafness heareth, Lameness walketh: Do they not know the Maxim in Logick, that

fo called.

1676. telleth them; Substantia Substantia proprie non contrariatur, i. e. One Substance properly is not contrary to another. But last of all we may retort this Blasphemous Consequence upon ma. ny of their own Church, who hold, That God stirreth up the Devil and all wicked Men unto all their wicked Actions, by an irrefiftible Motion or Quality, which he infuseth into them, commonly called, Prædeterminatio Physica. Is not this to make God the Author of Sin? As also many Original Sin of them teach, that Original Sin is a positive Quality, infused into the Souls of Men at their Creation: Concerning which positive Quality, we thus argue; Either it is Created, or Uncreated, &c. and so the Inconveniences of their Argument will fall much more upon their own Heads: for they cannot alledge, that this positive Quality at its First Creation was first Good, and afterwards became changed into Evil, because no Quality can admit any such Transmutation. As for Example; Whiteness can never become Blackness; Sweetness, Bitterness, nor Streightness, Crookedness: although a Substance that is white, may lofe its Whiteness, and may become Black; and that which is Sweet, may become Bitter; and that which is Streight, become Crocked.

In the Profecution of their Second Argument they bring their Matter to this Issue; That G. K. holds the Seed it self to be contra-distinct from the Manifestation, because the Manifestation is in the Seed; but we deny the Confequence. Do not they fay, that the Manifestation of God's Their Argu- Will is in the Scripture? And also that the Scripture ments about it felf is the Manisestation of God's Will? That

on of the Will of God Answered.

G. K. calleth the Seed both a Substance, and a Manifestation, is as reasonable, as to say; There are outward Manifestations of God's Goodness, Power and Wisdom in the Heavens and Earth; and yet the Heavens and Earth are the very outward

ward Manifestations themselves. Are not our 1676. Meat, and Drink and Cloathing natural and outward Manifestations of the Goodness of God to us? And are not these things Substances? And doth not God manifest his Goodness also in them? What blind Reasons are these, which those poor, blind Men bring forth against the Truth? Again they Argue; That this Manifestation (which we fay is a Substance) depends not a folo Deo, cannot exist without a Subject; nay, not without the Understanding, to which it is made: All which they barely affert, but do not offer to prove. Again they say, It is but a meer Action, and Application agentis ad passum: But how do they prove it? Here they are as dumb, as Stones. Perhaps they think to prove it, because Manifestation is a Nomen verbale, which commonly being derived from the Active Verb fignifieth an Action; but this is meerly to play in Words, and not to Difpute; for they may as well fay, because the whole World is called the Creation (for Creation is an Active verbale) therefore the whole World is a meer Action, or Applicatio agentis ad passum. We deny not, but the Action or Motion, which proceeds from the Spirit of God, may also be called a Manifestation; but we say, the Seed it self is also a Manifestation, and those inward heavenly Refreshments, which God ministers unto the Souls of his Saints, are as real, substantial; spiritual Manifestations of his Goodness, as the outward, earthly Refreshments, to wit, Meat and Drink, are real, substantial, natural Manifestations. Lastly, They query, If the Manife's Station be a Substance, whether is it One Manifestation, or All the Manifestations? To this we Anfwer; They that please to call the Action or Motion (which proceeds from the Spirit of God, as an Efficient Cause) a Manifestation, may easily distinguish Manifestation, as it is a Principle, or

1676. quid permanens, or as it is an Action, or quid transiwens. Now to apply, we fay; The substantial Manifestations of God inwardly to our Souls are many, as they are quid permanens, and per Modum Principii: for as God nourisheth our outward Man, not with Bread and Drink Once only, but Often (and many are our outward Refreshments, all which are Substances, agreeing in this, that they are Manifestations and Pledges of God's Bounty unto us) fo doth he nourish our Inward Man with spiritual Bread and Drink not Once only, but Often, giving us daily the Super-Substantial Bread; as the Words in the Prayer may be translated, and have been by some Learned Men. And thus we have answered their last Argument in their §. 5. without recurring to any Idea Platonica; a Term they vainly bring into their Argument, to move People to laugh at their Fol-And thus we hope it is apparent, that we have no need to retract our Answers given in the Dispute, as they vainly imagin.

It would be more Labour, and Expence of Time and Paper, than the thing is worth, to answer them in all their Pitiful, Ridiculous Reasonings in these Matters, in every Particular: Therefore not to weary the Reader, nor mispend Time, we shall set down some few, clear, diffinct Propositions, which shall clearly Answer any seeming Difficulties alledged by them in this

whole Section, as in Relation to Christ.

Prop. 1. Proposition. The Word, or Son of God hath Prop. 1. the whole intire Nature of Man, Spirit, Soul and Body United to him in the Heavens, and he is the fame in Substance, what he was upon Earth, both in Spirit, Soul and Body.

2. Christ in us, or the Seed, is not a Third Prop. II. Spiritual Nature, distinct from that which was in the Man Christ Jesus, that was crucified according to the Fleshat ferusalem: For the same that is in us,

was and is in him; and as it is in him, its the Fulness 1676. or Spring of the same in us, as the Stream; nor is there any difference, but such as is betwixt the Spring and the Stream, which are one in their Nature and Substance.

3. We fay, that the fame Seed and Life is in Prop. III. us which was in Him; and is in him in the Fulness, as Water is in the Spring; and in us as the Stream: and this Seed and Spiritual Nature, which is both in him and us, doth belong to him, as he is the Second Adam, or Man Christ. Therefore this Seed being in us, the Man Christ is in us; not according to his whole Manhood, but according unto that, which is proper unto it: and yet without all Division. As the Natural Life is in all the Members, but more principally in the Head and Heart without any Division; so this Spiritual Life and Nature is both in Christ our Head, and In Us, by which he dwelleth in us. as the Spirit of Man doth in the Body; and we eat and partake of his Flesh.

4. But if they argue, that at least Christ hath Prop. IV. Three Natures in himself; we say; Their own Principle will Conclude that, as much as ours. For the Godhead is One Nature; the Nature of the Soul is a Second, and the Nature of the Body is the Third: And our Adversaries themselves

Teach, that as God is Three Persons in One Na-

ture, so Christ is Three Natures in One Person.

5. Although the Word or Aby of should assume in-Prop. V. to Union with it self not only two Natures, but three, it should not make either two, or three Christs, but one. For they grant, that the Word hath assumed two, to wit, the Soul and Body of the Man Christ; and yet he is not two Christs, but One: even as the King is but one King, although he possess three Kingdoms; for Ad Multiplicationem Obliquorum non multiplicantur Concreta: As your Logick teacheth.

2 6. The

VII.

1676. 6. The Seed and Spiritual Body of Christ both in him, and in us, belonging to Christ, as he is the Second Adam, is as really and immediately united unto the Word, as his outward Body was: for the whole Manhood of Christ was united to the Logos, and the Logos to it, and in it; therefore the Sufferings of this Seed, and Spiritual Body of Christ in us, are as really his Sufferings, as these He accomplished at Jerusalem.

Prop. 7. This Seed is not our Souls; but is a Medi-

7. This Seed is not our Souls; but is a Medium betwixt God and us: and our Union with God is but Mediate through this; whereas the Union of God with This is Immediate. Therefore none of us are either Christ, or God; but God and

Christ are In Us.

Prop. 8. Seeing this Seed and Spiritual Nature of VIII. Christ is one and the same, both in him, and in us, it is most unreasonable to argue, that there are as many Christs, as Men; as it is unreasonable to argue, that because the Soul of Man is in all his Members, that therefore, as many Members, as many Souls. The Element of the Air is but one only Flement, although it fill the whole Universe, betwixt the Stars and the Earth. And the Element of Water is but one, although it fill many Channels.

Prop.IX. 9. Christ outwardly died but Once, but inwardly he dieth in a Spiritual and Mystical Sense as often, as any crucify him to themselves by their Unfaithfulness and Disobedience, as the Scriptures declare.

Prop. X.

10. As for the Satisfaction of Christ without us, we own it against the Socinians, and that it was Full and Compleat in its Kind; yet not so, as to exclude the real Worth of the Work and Sufferings of Christ in us, nor his present Intercession. For if Christ his Intercession without us in Heaven, doth not derogate from his Satisfaction, but doth fulfil it; no more doth his Intercession and Sufferings in us.

11. The Sufferings of Christ in Men are Vo luntary, and yet without Sin; as his Sufferings at Jerusalem were Voluntary and without Sin: Prop. XI. for as he joined not with them, who outwardly Crucified him, in any Active way to concur with them or Countenance them; fo nor doth he Inwardly join with Men to countenance or concur with them, when they Crucify him by their Sins. Prop. XII.

12. As there was no need, that the Fews should have Crucified Christ outwardly, so as purposely to Sin, that Christ might Suffer for Sin outwardly; (although the Prophecies of Christ's Sufferings and God's Foreknowledge were certain) fo their is no need, that Men should now Sin to Crucify Christ Inwardly: for if there be any Difficulty in the One, it recurs in the Other much more. Now, either Men Sin, or Sin not; If they Sin, Christ suffers by it; If they Sin not, he doth not fuffer: (nor is it needful, that he Suffer, when Men Sin not) But all Men have finned, and Christ hath suffered for and by the Sins of All, both without and within.

13. Christ's outward Sufferings at Ferusalem were necessary unto Men's Salvation, notwithflanding his inward Sufferings, that he might be a Compleat Saviour in all Respects. For it behoved Christ not only to Suffer in the Members of his Body; but also in the Head; so that it is a most foolish and unreasonable Consequence to argue, that Because Christ suffereth in the Members, therefore he needed not to suffer in the Head: .Whereas the Sufferings of Christ in the Members are but a small Part, of what he Suffered in the Head, by being Offered up once for All: Yet a Part they are, as serving to make up the Integral of his Sufferings.

14. The Doctrines of the Incarnation, Sufferings, Death and Resurrection of Christ, &c. are necessary every where to be preached, and being preachProp. XIII.

Prop. XIV.

1676 preached, to be believed and improved; as being of, and belonging unto the IntegralParts of Christianity, and Christian Religion. Even as the Arms and Legs are integral Parts of a Man, without which (though it is possible that a Man may be, and live; yet) he is not a Compleat Man as to all his Parts: even fo, though one may be a Christian, and Partaker in Part of Christianity, and in that State be accepted of God (as is clear in the Case of Cornelius) without the express Knowledge of the outward Birth, Sufferings, &c. of Christ; yet without the same he

fection and Accomplishment thereof.

Lies and Perverfions.

Before we close this Particular, we cannot o-The Stu- mit to take Notice of two most horrible Perverdenrs gross sions, committed by the Students, Sect. 2. The one is Pag. 83. where they alledge out of G. K. his Book of Immed. Revel. pag. 7. That G. K. holds, that when Christ Suffers by Man's Sin, that he joins with Man: Which is a most abominable Lye and Perversion. The Second Perversion of the Students, which is no less abominable, is in pag. 79. of their Book, where to cover their other Perversion, they cite most falsly and persidiously a Place in G. K's Book, where they bring him in faying, [Though it may be Hurt and Slain, by joining with the contrary Seed, before it come to its perfect Formation.] And thus they would prove, That according to G. K. Christ joins with Man, when Man finneth. Now we beseech the Reader to look to Pag. 7. in G. K. his Book of Immed. Revel. and he will find, that the Words of G. K. are thus, Though till it comes to its perfect Formation, it can suffer Hurt, so far as to be flain through Man his joining unto the Contrary Seed and Birth. Mark, Reader, G. K. faith, Through Man his joining; but the Students purposely to deceive

is not a Compleat Christian, as wanting the Knowledge of that, which serveth to the Per-

As

deceive the Reader, have left out the Word Man, 1676. that the Reader may understand it of Christ his Joining; a thing never entred into G. K. his Heart to think, far less to write.

This abominable Perversion of the Students is enough to make all sober Men abhor them, as Wilful and Impudent Liars; for such a manifest and visible thing could not be done in Ignorance.

But are not these Students rare Disputants, who thus argue against the Quakers, pag. 83. 1. 5, 6. Either he (to wit, Christ) Suffereth within willingly, and so he Sins, it being by the Sins of Man, that he Juffers, and is crucified within. For by this think it a Argument it will follow, not only, that all the sin to suffer Martyrs, when they suffered willingly, did sin; willingly. but also that Christ himself, when he suffered willingly, by the Sins and wicked Hands of the Fews, that Crucified him outwardly, did fin: Which is the highest Blasphemy, and naturally follows by the Students Argument. But it feems, these Students have no Mind to suffer willingly for Righteousness sake, seeing they are Men of fuch Principles, that think, when any doth fuffer willingly, he Sins. We leave the Reader to judge, whether fuch Stuff and Work of the Students be Quakerism Canvaled, and a Confutation of the Quakers Errors? Or rather, whether it be not a manifest Betraying of the Truth, and declar-ing themselves guilty of highest Blasphemy, Lying and Confusion? And whether these Men, who are guilty of such Confusion themselves, are fit to accuse others, as not writing perspicuously and clearly, as they do G. K. for his Book of Immed. Revelat. Pag. last of their Preface? But G. K. doubteth not, but that his Book will be acknowledged to be Clear and Perspicuous, where it meets with Men of a Clear Understanding; such as the Students (to be fure) are not.

As for those Stories about J. N. they have 1676. been long ago answered by our Friends; who judged both him, and them that joined with him J. N's Re-pentance. in that Particular: As he also judged and condemned himself, and was by the Mercy of God reduced to a fober Mind.

> As for that Passage in Christopher Atkinson's Book, we can fay nothing to it unless we faw the Book, which is in G. M. his Custody: (which thews, That the Students have plowed with his Heifer) who refused to let us have the Use of it, to fee, whether the Place was perverted? And we did not know, where to have it any where else. But it is incumbent on them to prove, Whether C. A. or his Book was owned really by the Quakers? For we can prove, he was denied by them: And if he denied, that Christ is Man, we deny him and his Book both: For we truly believe, that Christ is both God and Man.

The Heathens Book of Nature.

In the Profecution of their Second Argument, Sect. 2. They take great Pains to prove, That Heathens have the Law and Book of Nature; and from exercifing their Reason and Understanding naturally, they may know many things: Which we do not deny, and so they might have spared that Labour, But whereas they alledge, That there is nothing needful to be known and believed by the Heathens, but what the Book of Nature, and their Natural Understanding, and Reason as Men can teach them, according to the Quakers Principle; and consequently the Heathens need not these Supernatural Revelations; This they affirm without any Proof: We shall give manifest Instances to the contrary. For the Quakers fay, All Men need both to have and to know a Supernatural Influence and Work of the Spirit of God, in Or-Heathens der to their Salvation: And this also our Adverfaries grant. Now the Heathens need a Divine Revelation to make this known to them: For the

vine Reve-

Book

Book of Nature, or the meer Natures of Things 1676. being confidered, cannot teach Men, what is Supernatural; and fo it cannot teach Men, that in all their Actings they are to have a Supernatural End: Nor can it teach them, that they are to Love, Fear, Serve and Worship God from a Supernatural Principle of God's Grace; which are the greatest Duties required of Man: And if it cannot teach Men, and convince them of their greatest Duties, it followeth, that it cannot convince them of the great Sins, that are contrary unto those Duties. Also Nature cannot teach Men of the Mystery of Regeneration; which yet is needful to be known: For Men, who are but too much addicted to Natural Reason, and Searchings into the Book of Nature (and despise the Divine and Supernatural Illumination of Christ in them) think Regeneration a Fiction, or unnecesfary Thing.

Other Inftances could be given; but left they should call them the Quakers Errors, we shall forbear, contenting our felves with fuch, as our Adversaries acknowledge to be true, But 2. If it were granted, That the Book of Nature could in fome Sort discover all things necessary to Salvation (without Supernatural Light) which yet we deny; it doth not follow, That therefore Di-The Book of vine, Supernatural, Objective Revelation is not hature is fort of Dinecessary: Because the Discovery that the Book wine Things. of Nature and Natural Reason gives to Men of Divine Things (as of the Power, Wisdom, Justice, Goodness, Love and Mercy of God) is but Dim, Weak, Faint and Barren; and is no more a proportionate Object to the Spiritual Sensations of the Soul, than a Report of Meat, and Drink, and Cloathing are a fuitable or proportionate Object to the Taste and Feeling of the outward Man. The Souls of Men need not only to be convinced, That there is a God who is Good, Loving, Mer-

ciful,

order to their Salvation, to have a Feeling of his Divine Power, to fee and taste that he is Good, to handle that Word of Life, to know Christ in themselves, to have the Love of God shed abroad in them by the Holy Spirit; which Love is a sensible and perceptible Object, and so is Objective. For if the Scriptures be not a sufficient Objective Revelation of God, and the Things of His Kingdom, much less the Book of Nature, &cc. But the first is true; therefore the Second is true also.

Now that the Scriptures are not a Sufficient Objective Revelation of God, &c. G. K. hath proved at large in his Book of Immediate Revelat. and we need not produce any New Arguments here, until the Students or their Mafters Refute those already set down in that Book. Only this we fay in thort, Nature and Scripture tell us, There is a God; but they can neither give us a Sense, Sight or Tasting of Him, or of his Love, or of his Spiritual Judgments, as these Things are inwardly Experienced, where God reveals them. Nature cannot Refresh or Comfort the Soul, nor pour in Wine and Oyl into it, when it is wounded with Sin: And although it could tell, that God can do this; what Comfort could that be to the Soul, unless God himself do it, and make the Soul fensible of his Hand reaching unto it the Spiritual Things themselves, that Nature cannot afford? Also Nature cannot discover the Spiritual Judgments of God in the Soul, whereby he cleanfeth it from Sin, as by Water and Fire.

Now as to the Second Branch of their Argument, That the Scriptures are a sufficient objective Revelation of all Things necessary to Salvation; This we altogether deny, as is faid. For although the Scripture is a full-enough Declaration

of

of all Doctrines and Principles both Essential and 1676. Integral of Christian Religion; yet the Scripture doth propose Divine Things and Objects, but as a Card or Map doth a Land, and the Fruits of The Scripit to the outward Eye. Now as this is not a fuf-ture, a Map. ficient objective Proposal, because we need to see the Land it felf, and to tafte, and eat and drink of the Fruit of it; fo our Souls need a more near and Immediate Discovery of God, than the Scripture, which is but a Report of him, that he may Feed and Nourish us by his Divine Manifestations. And here in the Profecution of this Argument, they are at great Pains to prove; That the Scriptures are given from God; which we deny not, although some of their Proofs be weak: But whatever Reasons can be brought to prove, That the Scriptures are given from God, if the inward Testimony of the Spirit of God be not believed and received, these Reasons cannot beget any Divine Saving Faith, (whereof only we fpeak) but a meer Human and Natural Faith or Conviction.

As to that Place of Scripture, 2 Cor. 4. 3, 4, if our Gof-If our Gospel, &c. that is, say they, the Outward pel be hid, Gospel. But doth Paul say so? Nay; Look the be. Greek Text, and you will find the contrary, that the Gospel he spake of, was hid in them that are lost, so the Greek *: Therefore it was Inward. And this Scripture they bring to prove, That the 2 monau-Scriptures have Objective Evidence and Perspicui- uévois. ty in themselves; whereas Paul doth not say of the Scripture, but of the Gospel, which is the Power of God. And whereas they query; If a Person may have Immediate Objective Revelations, who hath not his Mind well-disposed? And if so, what Advantage would be have by them, which he might not have without them by the Scriptures? We answer; Much every way: Because the Scripture is not able to dispose his Mind, as our Ad-

ver-

1676. versaries grant; but these Immediate Objective Revelations are also really Effective, and have sufficient Power and Ability in them to dispose his Mind, if he do not resist them. Again, whereas they query; May a Person be well disposed who bath not such Revelations? We answer; No: Yet he may want some, and have other some; but if he may, yet there is need of such Revela-tions. Even as if a Man's Eye or Taste were never so well disposed, he needeth the Objects them-

A Discourse selves: And as painted Bread, or a Discourse of of Bread sa. Bread cannot satisfy the Natural Taste and Aptissis not the Hungry. petite; no more can the Scripture-Words satisfy

the Tafte and Appetite of the Soul.

They cite 2 Tim. 3. 15, 16, 17. to prove, That the Scriptures of Old and New Testament are the Principal, Compleat and Infallible Rule of Faith and Manners: But this Place doth not fay, that they are so. The Scripture we grant; but deny their Consequence: which is meerly begged without a Proof. They confess, pag. 90. That the Scriptures are not sufficient every way, so as to exclude the Inward Efficiency of the Spirit, and the Concurrence of other Causes. Very well; enough to overthrow their whole Argument. For among other Causes Divine Inspiration is a Main: For indeed, the inward Efficiency of the Spirit, is that Objective Revelation which we plead for; only they deny it to be Objective, whereas we fay, it is both Effective and Objective. As if a Man should grant, that the Light and Heat of the Fire doth both enlighten us, and warm us; but deny, that either that Light or Heat of the Fire is Objective to our Discerning, or perceptible by themselves; which were Ridiculous, And as Ridiculous is their Conceit of an Influence of the Spirit, that is meerly Effective and not Objective. That the Books of the Old and New Testament are called the Scripture by way of Eminency, we deny not

Inward Revelation both Effe-Give and Objective.

not (although the Name is given at times to other 1676. Writings;) nor doth this Refute G. K. his Translation of that Scripture, 2 Tim. 3. 16. which is confirmed by the Syriack, which hath it thus; In Scriptura enim, que per Spiritum scripta est, utilitas est ad Doctrinam, &c. i. e. For in the All Scrip-Scripture, which is written by the Spirit, there is ture given by Inspira-Profit. But their Reason from the Conjunction tion, &c. [and] is both foolish and blasphemous. For if Controverted. the Words be rendered thus [All Scriptures given by Inspiration is and profitable] is no more Nonfense, than divers other Places in the Scripture, where the Conjunction [and] feemeth to be redundant. As in that Place, Fob. 8. 25. where the Greek hath it thus, την άςχην ότι η λαλώ ύμιν, i. e. The Beginning (or from the Beginning) the fame which and * I speak unto you. Now if the * Or, also, Conjunction [and] render not this Place Nonfense; no more doth it render that in Timothy: But the Students Ignorance renders them rather Blasphemers, and their Arguments blasphemous against the Words of Christ. Moreover the Conjunction & may fignify a strong Affirmation, (as to say, even, truly, indeed,) as both our English Translation hath it, Joh. 8. 25. and Schrevelius in his Greek Lexicon doth render it: And thus the Words have good Sense, All Scripture (or Writing) given by Inspiration, is even (or indeed) profitable. And whereas they fay, None but a Quaker or Jesuit would so interpret the Place; They declare their Malice and Ignorance. For William Tindall, that Famous Protestant Martyr, in his Translation of the Bible (for which the Papists burnt him) did Translate it, as G. K. doth; whom we think, the Students dare not accuse, as a Fesuit: That he was a Quaker, in so far, as he held divers of our Principles condemned by the Students, we shall not deny. As for us, we blefs the Lord, our Faith stands not on such a small Nicity.

fellion.

Nicity, as the Want of an [is,] or the Redun-I dancy of an [and;] let them look to that, whose Unprofitable Faith knoweth no other Foundation, but the Nicities of Letter: It doth nothing hurt our Faith, nor lefthe Letter-Mongers re- sen the due Esteem of the Scripture to us, if perprehended.

adventure an [is] hath been loft, or an [and] hath crept into the Text, fince the Original Copies were loft. This we know, and can prove, That the Scripture cannot profit any Man unto Salvation without the Illumination or Inspiration of the Spirit; which is both Effective and Objective, and which our Adversaries grant at least to be Effective. And if they make one Exception, why may not we make another? Or if they fay, the Spirit is necessary one Way, why may not we fay, It is necessary another Way? But then the Scriptures, fay they, would not be profitable at all in any Manner or Kind; We deny The Scrip-the Consequence: For it is profitable, yea, and

ture is pro-necessary in genere objecti materialis, i. e. As the fitable. Material Object in Relation to all Historical

Truths: and divers other Dogmatical and Do-Ctrinal Points, which perhaps we would not have known without the Scripture, although we had had the Spirit in as large a Measure as Men now have it. Again, The Scripture is profitable in genere Objecti remoti & secundarii, i. e. by way of a Remote and Secundary Object and Rule: even as in Relation to Testimonies of Life and Experience, which may be known without the Scripture; yet the Scripture is a Secondary Con-

The lap firmation and Help; even in that Case, as a Card or Map of a Land is unto a Traveller, that tracompared with the Land in Post vels through the Land it felf, and feeth the High-

Ways: who will not throw away his Card, because he sees the Land it self; but will both delight and profit himself to compare them both together. Other great and weighty Uses we could

could give; but these suffice, to serve as Instances 1676. against their weak and forry Argumentation.

Their last Argument is from Fob. 12.48. The Word that I have spoken, the same shall judge him in the last Day. But how prove they, That this is the Letter of the Scripture, much of which was not then writ? And although this Word were not Christ himself; yet it may be an Inward Testimony spoken by Christ in Men's Hearts: Here they meerly beg, and prove not. But 2. Suppose it were the Scripture or Written Law, as that cited by them Rom. 2. 12. it will only follow, That the Scripture is a Secondary Law or Rule: which we willingly grant, and that by it Men, who have the Scriptures, shall be judged; but not by them only. For if the Gentiles, who have not the Written Law, shall be judged by the Law in the Conscience; so shall these also, (who have both Inward and Outward) be judged by both, and consequently their Damnation shall be greater.

SECTION V.

Of Worship; being an Answer unto their Third Section, concerning Inspirations to DUTY.

In their stating the Controversy in this particular, they grosly prevaricate in divers Things. As where they say, N. 2. The Question is not only about Duty on the Matter, videlicet, The Ast of Prayers, &c. as separated from the right Manner, without the viz. Sincerity and Truth; whereas indeed the Spirit not Question betwixt them and us is about Prayer, as acceptable. separated from the Right Manner, viz. Sincerity and Truth. For they say, God requires Men to

pray

1676. pray without any Inspiration, or gracious Influence of the Spirit: So that fuch a Prayer is an answering of the Obligation to the Duty upon the Matter, although it be separated from the right Manner: And accordingly they do both require and allow Men'to pray, when they have no gracious Influence or Motion thereunto; telling them, That even such Prayers are required; and that they do better to give Such Prayers, as want Sincerity unto God, than not to pray at all; seeing such lifeless and spiritless Prayers have the Matter of True Prayer, although they want the Right Manner. Whereas we on the contrary affirm, that Lifeless Prayers have neither the Right Matter and Sub-Stance, nor yet the Right Manner of Prayer; and therefore are not at all required in Scripture. Yet we deny not, but many times, when Men want an Influence of Life to pray, they are still under the Obligation; and at fuch Times it is their Sin, not to pray, because they ought to have fuitable Influences to Prayer: which would not be wanting, if they were faithful unto God. But when through Unfaithfulness they want them, it doth not excuse them from being under the Obligation; yet still when they want the Help of the Spirit, they ought to pray by the Spirit, because they ought to have it. Even as when one Man oweth unto another Man a just Debt in Money, the Debter ought to pay the Money, although he have no Money to pay it with; for his Want of the Money doth not excuse him from the Obligation to pay it; yet he ought to pay the Debt only with Money, or the Equivalent of it. But if he should offer to pay it with any thing; that is not Money, nor Money's Worth, as suppose with a few Counters; this is no answering the Oligation either in the Right Matier or Manner: And so it is in the Case in Hand. Again.

Again, N. 8. They fall into the like Prevari- 1676. cation, in alledging; The Question is not about a New Heart, and Spiritual Principle of Obedience; for they own that as Indispensably necessary for acceptable Performance. But do not they fay, That when Men pray without a New Heart, they do in Part answer the Obligation? And do not they encourage them to pray, even the most Wicked? This is denied by the People called Quakers, and is a great Part of the Question. Welfill, 10fay indeed, Wicked Men ought to Pray, but not c. 59, 2. remaining wicked; but that they ought to for Ezek. 8.18. fake their Wickedness, and have a new Heart, 29. c.21.27. and therewith to pray. Moreover, whereas they John 9 314 fay, The Question is not about every Performance, c. 4. 23. but about acceptable Performance: Herein they most palpably contradict themselves, N.9. where they grant, That no Ast of Worship can be acceptably performed without these Influences; and they well know, that the Quakers fay the same. The Question then is not about acceptable Performance, feeing both they and we grant, that no Duty can be acceptably performed without the Spirit: So that if the Students had understood their Matter, they would have said, The Question is not about acceptable Performance, but about simple Performance, whether there be any Obligation to perform Duty, that is not acceptable; which they affirm, and we deny. For indeed, Unacceptunaccept table Performance, is as good as no Performance, table Per-but rather worse: As if under the Law the fews no Perfor-had offered up a Dog's Neck in Place of a Sacri-mance. fice, it had been a greater Sin, than not to offer at all; as it is a greater Offence for a Man to offer to pay his Debt with Counters or Pennies made of Slait-Stone, than not to pay at all.

Another gross Error they commit in alledging, The Question is about preparatory Motions, previ-

ous in Time.

This is a Lye: We Challenge them to shew us 1676. any fuch thing in our Books. We do not require previous in Order of Nature.

Motions of Motions or Influences of the Spirit previous in Time, (although they are oft given,) it sufficeth, that they are previous in Order of Nature, as the Cause is previous unto the Effect, which is not always in Time, but in Nature. But the Queftion is indeed about the Necessity of Motions to, and in the Performance of Duty, fo as the Performance is to be in, by, through and with the Spirit; which may well be without a Previousness in Time, as to inward Duty at least. And if the outward can be fimultaneous with the Inward, it may also be as to the outward: but if it cannot be so soon as the Inward in some Cases, the Reason is not for want of the Motion; but because the Bodily Organs cannot so hastily anfwer the Motion, as the Mind it felf can: And it sufficiently answereth the Motion, that the Mind answer it first; and then the bodily Organs, as foon as their Nature can permit.

There is yet another great Error they commit, in alledging Such a lively and spiritual Disposition, as being necessary in our Sense; whereas we do not lay it upon such a lively, &c. as if we required such a Degree of Life: For the least Measure of Life, that is but able to carry forth the Soul in any living Measure of Performance, is sufficient; where the Soul keepeth to the Measure, and

doth not exceed, or go beyond it.

In the Profecution of their Arguments they are no less unhappy in the Stating of the Question; as will shortly appear. Pag. 95, 97. they bring in R. B. and A. Sk. denying their Sequel; which they labour to prove: (but how unfucceisfully, we shall see anon) because as Angels and Brutes agree, in that they are both Substances; so Spiritual Duties, and other Duties agree, in that they are both to be performed in the Spirit. But

what

what then? Yet the Difference is still great be- 1676. twixt those Duties, that as to their Matter are Natural and Civil; and those which as to their Duties navery Matter are Spiritual. As for Example, To fural and Eat, to Plow, to Pay a Debt, are not Spiritual as differ. to their Matter; but only as to their Manner and End, when acceptably performed: And therefore the Matter of those Duties, and whole Substance of them may be without any gracious Motion of the Spirit. And in that Case the Performances themselves are really profitable in the Creation among them; and consequently do anfwer the Obligation in Part: But Prayer and Thanksgiving, &c. are Duties wholly Spiritual, both as to Matter or Substance, and as to Manner and End; fo that whoso essayeth to do any of them without the gracious Motions of the Spirit, he leaveth not only the right Manner, but the very Matter and Substance of the Duty behind him, and bringeth the meer Accidents along with him; which have no Profit nor Use to Men, nor are any wife in the least Part an Anfwer to the Obligation.

And as to that Scripture cited by them, The Plowing of the Wickedis Sin, Prov. 21.4. they do not prove that it is meant of outward Plowing: The Margin of our English hath it, The Light of the Wicked; and Arius Montanus renders it on the Margin, Cogitatio, the Thought. That the Plowing of the Wicked is Sin, in Respect of the Manner and last End, we grant; but that the

Action materially considered is Sin, we altogether the Plowing deny, even in a Wicked Man. For the Outward of the Wis-Mechanick and Bodily Act is good in its Nature, and profitable; as also in so far as it may be for the Maintenance of his Family, it is good. So that in Respect of the Matter and subordinate End, there is no Difference betwixt the Plowing of a Good Man, and a Wicked; whereas the

H 2 Praye.

1676. Prayer of a Good Man by the Spirit, and the Prayer of the Wicked Man without the Spirit, differ materially in their very Nature and Sub-stance; the Good Man's Prayer by the Spirit is

from the Falfe.

The True true and real Prayer; but the Wicked Man's Prayer Worshipper is no true Prayer at all, but a dead Image of it. diffinguished Nor is the Wicked Man a true Worshipper: for he only is a true Worshipper according unto the express Doctrine of Christ, Who worships the Father in Spirit and in Truth; whereas a Wicked Man's Plowing is as real and true, and good as to the Matter and Nature of the Outward Action, as that of the Good. It doth not therefore follow, That (according to the Quakers Principle) because a Man is not to pray without the Spirit, that therefore he is not to plow without the Spirit in Respect of the Matter; although in Respect of the Defect in the Manner and last End, which should be the Glory of God, he fins, when he Plows, as when he Prays; but yet not fo much in the one, as in the other: for in the one both Matter and Manner are wrong; in the other not the Matter, but Manner. But if a Man be faithful to God, he may as certainly expect the Divine Assistance of the Spirit to help him to Plow, as to Pray; although that Affiftance to Pray is greater, and of another manner, than that to Plow: As is obvious to any that hath Spiritual Experience.

And whereas, A. Sk. inferreth upon them, "Their going about these Spiritual Duties in a " Carnal Manner, &c. This they call an Impudent Calumny: But in this the impudent Calumny is their own, not his. For dare they deny, but they are for going about Prayer and Praise (which are Spiritual Duties) without the Motion of the Spirit? Which is as much as to fay, in a Carnal Manner; for what is not done by the Spirit, is done but in a Carnal Manner. And whereas they call

call his fecond Answer, A Clear Confession, &c. yet they tell us nothing of it, pag. 96. They are no less disingenuous, in alledging, That G. K. dif-Senteth from R. B. and A. Sk. (whom in their airy and frothy Minds they call bis pretended infallible Brethren:) For as G. K. requireth Inspirations to the Acceptable performance of other Actions; fo doth A. Sk. and R. B. Yet we all fay, Wicked Men may very lawfully go about Natural and Civil Performances, as to Plow, to Eat, to Pay Debts, as they are materially confidered, without Inspiration; and in so doing (although they fall short of acceptable Performance for Defect of the right Manner, and the End) they fin less, than to omit those Actions, and indeed fin not at all, as to the Nature and Substance of them; as they do, who pray without Inspiration.

As for G. K. his Distinction of Mandatory and Inf irations Permissory Inspirations, it holds good, notwith-mandatory and permissions

standing all their Idle, Foolish and Impertinent fory. Cavilling at it. From the Words of Paul, that he essayed to go to Bithynia, but the Spirit permitted bim not; G. K. inferred by the Rule of Contraries, That the Spirit fometimes permitted him: To evade this they are forely pinched. In their Account of the Dispute, pag, 30. they grant his Consequence, That Paul at Sometimes bad a Permission; but they deny it to be an Inspiration. But here in this new Assault they deny, That any Permission followeth by the Rule of Contraries from Paul's Words; alledging, That he permitted him, and he permitted him not, are not Contraries. But G. K. did not alledge these to be Controvies; for propositions they are flat Contradictory: But their we fay are contrary Contraries, The Spirit permitted not Paul to go to distory di-Bithynia; therefore be permitted him to go some-stinguished. times to some Places: This is a plain Inference from the Rule of Contraries by Contraries.

mean not Contraries in the first Logical Sence, H 3

1676. as when the Contrariety is betwixt two Universals; but Opposites, which in the common Way of Speech are called Contraries, and in the Legical Sence may be called Sub-Contraries: Which do infer one another not to be true always simul & semel, at one Time and Place; but at divers Times and Places, &c. As for Example; If there be a South, there must be a North; if a Time to come, there is a Time to be past: If some Things be Hot, and not Cold, other Things must be Cold, and not Hot. And to use a more near Example to the Matter in hand: If when a River is not permitted to run by Reason of an excessive Freezing, that bindeth it up at one Time; it followeth, that it is permitted to run at another Time, when there cometh a Thaw : Or yet to come nearer; If the Wind do not permit a Ship, to fail Southward at one Time, it doth permit her at another Time to fail Southward. would not have infifted on fuch Rudiments, had not the great Ignorance of the Students occasioned it.

Pag. 97. They close their §. 9. most pitifully. After having failed to resute G. K's Distinction of Permissory and Mandatory Inspirations, they say, They leave it to be proved by G. K. That the simple permitting of him (meaning Permisson not joined with a Command) hath been by Inspiration.

The Students Here they shamefully desert their Undertaking, Evasions and which was, to Resute Permissive Inspirations; but prepeterous when they fail to do this, they put G. K. to prove them: Whereas they ought to remember,

prove them: Whereas they ought to remember, that G. K. is not bound by the Law of Dispute to prove any thing, being a meer Defendent; yea, when he offered ex abundanti to prove something in the Dispute, they blamed him for so doing, being but a Defendent. And now they would have him leave Defendent, and become Opponent. This is a pitiful Consutation of the Quakers Prin-

ciples,

ciples, that when they fall short in their Proofs 1676. against us, put us to prove our own Principles. Sut seeing, they are so beggarly, as to beg from G. K. a Proof of this, he shall give it unto them, and it is this.

Admit then, that according to the Students Supposition, Paul was permitted to go unto a certain Place without any Command of God, and that Paul did this acceptably, or without Sin, as to the thing it felf, which they must needs acknowledge he might; it follows then by their own Argument, that he walked this fourney in the Spirit: Seeing they themselves plead, That Men ought to walk in the Spirit, (meaning outward Walking.) And feeing they grant, That whatever Act a Man doth acceptably, he must do it to the Glory of God; and that a Man can do nothing to the Glory of God, but as he doth it from a Good Principle, (yea, the Glory of God being a Supernatural End, must have a Supernatural Principle, which is the Spirit of God;) it manifestly followeth, that Paul his Journeying by a Permission was by Inspiration. For whatever is done in the Spirit, is done by Inspiration; Inspiration both in the common Sence, and here particularly fignifying any gracious Motion, Influence and Assistance of the Spirit. In their Answer to that other Scripture, I Cor. 7. 6. compared with Ver. 40. they fall into their old Way of Afferting barely with-out any Proof. They tell us, That the Permifsion falls upon the thing spoken, and not upon the Speaking it felf: But how prove they it? Here they are quite Dumb, and fay nothing for Proof. And indeed, it is as manifest, as a thing can be, that the Permission doth no less fall upon the Speaking, than upon the thing Spoken; and they do most presumptuously contradict the Apostie, when they say, Paul was commanded to speak H 4

1676. this: Whereas Paul said expresly, he spake by

Permission, and not of Commandment.

In the Profecution of their fecond Argument. politive and they bring in G. K. distinguishing betwixt Privative and Politive Permission; where they give the Lie to their own Account, which faith pag. 30. That G. K. distinguished Permission into Positive and Negative, as indeed he did. Now Privative and Negative differ widely: For the Want of Sight in a Stone is not Privative (as in a Man) but Negative: And furely there is so little Wit, or Acumen in this Argument of the Students, and their Profecution of it, that it proves them to be liker Stones, than Men of Reason and Solidity.

And here they tell us that G. K. (whom in their vain Minds they call this Great Inspired Rabbi) was very unfortunate in Explaining this Distin-Etion, and Assigning its Ground; as may be seen in their Account. But, alas for them, poor Men! they have egregiously baffled themselves in that very Matter in their Account: as is shewed in our Answer thereunto. But behold, what dull and heavy Disputers these Men are! If positive Permission were Inspiration, say they, then a Man might inspire us, for he might positively permit us. This Consequence is as dull and heavy as a Stone, although the Weight of it falls not upon us, but upon themselves to prove them altogether impertinent. For their Argument proceeds from a wrong Supposition, that according to G. K. all pasitive Permissions are Inspirations; a thing G.K. never dreamed of: But only that some positive Permissions (to wit, those of God) are Inspirations, as he by his Spirit doth permit Men, or allow them to do or use some things. As when God faid to Adam in the Garden, Of every Tree in the Garden thou may'st freely eat, Jave one; This was a positive Permission, and also (if God speak this to him inwardly, as is most probable, and as Augustine supposeth) an Inspiration. Also when the 1676. Lord said unto Ezekiel, Chap. 4.15. Lo, I bave given thee Cows-Dung for Man's Dung; This was a Condescendence, and positive Permission, and also an Inspiration.

But the Students proceed still more and more to baffle themselves, (instead of Baffling the Quakers) and thew their Ignorance and Sottishness. For thus they argue, pag. 99. in profecuting their Third Argument: Every Inspiration (fay they) puts us out necessarily to the Doing of the Thing inspired; and so commands us virtually: And upon this bare Alledagnce the whole Superstructure of this Argument stands; which yet is a manifest Untruth, and suffereth many undeniable Exceptions. For many times Things inspired are not at all of the Nature of Things to be done; Things Inbut are simply Things to be believed. As when either to be God inspired the Prophets with the Knowledge of done, or sim, Things to come; which neither could nor ought believed: to be done by them. And as when Daniel was Inspired to know Things; which he was so far from being commanded to write, that he was forbidden, Dan. 12. 4. Again, some Inspirations are meer inward Consolations, and Spiritual Refreshments and Renewings of Strength only to enable us in general to ferve God (as Meat and Drink is unto the Body) and that fometimes without Words, and fometimes with Words by way of Promife. As when he spake to Noah, Gen. cap. 9. Which are from ver. 8. to ver. 18. where there is not any Command, Command given to Noah, but only Promifes; or of Proand yet Noah was Inspired by the Lord, as all

And whereas they alledge, That Inspiration includes in its Notion an insuperable Putting and Prompting out to the Things Inspired in all Authors, both Sacred and Profane; is meerly precarious. For sometimes indeed it signifieth to Command;

the true Prophets were.

other Times it signifieth to Comfort, Refresh, Quicken, Influence and Assist us, without any particular Command to any particular Assion. Yet we acknowledge, the Nature of all Divine Inspirations in the Children of God is to Incline, Lead, Move, Draw and Guide them up more and more into Unity with God, and so unto a further Degree of Holiness; but not to determine them unto all particular Actions.

And thus also their fourth Instance is disproved, where they alledge, That all Inspirations of God determine us to one Extream: Which is false, if they mean an Extream in the particular Act: If they mean an Extream in the General, as to do all in Charity, and to the Glory of God; we grant it: But this doth not militate against what

we affirm.

Their other two Inflances are but the former upon the Matter, repeated in a Tautological Way for want of new Matter; and are sufficiently answered above. And thus their Silly and Faint Reasonings in this Argument are answered without any Necessity of G. K. his returning to his Bag for new Distinctions; as they scornfully, but

foolishly infinuate.

Pag. 99. §. 15. The Students tell us, That G. K. finding himself beset with these inextricable Difficulties, as it seems, misplaces this Distinction in their Account, and gives in another Distinction of Particular and General Inspirations. This is but their meer Alledgance: The Distinction was right enough placed; as any may see by the Nature and Coherance of the Account: Nor did G. K. see any Difficulty in their Argument at all; as indeed there is none in it. But let us see, how they Refute this Distinction of General and Particular Inspirations or Instuences. First they say, He shall never be able to produce a Ground for this

this Distinction out of Scripture. A learned Refutation indeed! and like unto their old Way,
of putting us to prove, what they cannot disprove. May it not as well suffice us to say, They
shall never be able to produce a Ground out of
Scripture against it? And the rather, since we are
Defendents.

Secondly: That which is called a general Inspi-Inspirations ration, could not put us out to any particular Thing, General or fay they. Answer; If by putting out, they Particular mean, determine us insuperably or irresistably thereunto, we grant; but this is no Absurdity. Thirdly, They would always leave us undetermined.

Answer: Nor is this absurd. For in things that are permissive, and left to our Freedom in the Lord to do them, or not to do them, we need not any thing to determine us, as to the particular Act; but may determine our selves, being free Agents: although as to the Nature and Kind of the Act in General, that it be in true Love to God and to his Glory, we are determined by the Lord.

Pag. 100. They are no less unsuccessful in managing their other Argument, in Comparing inward Duties with outward. For, whereas they alledge for a Proof of their Minor, That if we were not to go about Inward Duties without a previous sensible Inspiration, there would be a Progressian Institum. This hath been sufficiently answered above in the Dispute; that as to that Inward Duty of Waiting we cannot suppose, that ever at any time an Instuence or Inspiration can be wanting: And this we say still; we mean to true Christians, who are faithful unto God, and do faithfully improve his Instuences. As for o unsaithfulthers, if they want Instuences either to inward ness wants

or outward Duties, the Cause is their Unfaithful-Duties, ness: And so the Way to have them upon all Occasions, is to be faithful to answer God's Call,

who

unfaithful. But if they mean All inward Duties, as Meditation in many Cases upon particular Subjects; we deny, that even true Christians have always particular Inspirations thereunto: Nor is

there any Necessity to affert them.

Now let us take Notice, how they refute the Distinction of General and Particular Inspirations. First, say they, There are no General Inspirations, as we have shewed already. But that they have shewed no such thing, is already made anparent. Secondly, Supposing them; yet they being but General, would not be a sufficient Ground for the Particular inward Duties of Waiting, Desiring. But how prove they this? No wife, but meerly affirm it; only they confound Waiting, Defiring and Meditating together; whereas Meditating is of a larger Extent, and fometimes, yea, oft-times requireth a Special Inspiration. Thirdly, fay they, The Scriptures produced by the Quakers prove alike, as to outward and inward Duties. To this we answer; That as to some outward Duties, it is true; as to others false. As for Example: To be clear in all outward Conversation, is a Continual Duty; and therefore we can never want an Influence thereunto, if we be faithful:

Preaching But to Preach and Pray in the Church or Affemand Praying bly with audible Words, is not a continual Dufrom a party, nor yet a General to all Christians; and thereticular Inguence. fore it hath not always an Influence to affist

thereunto.

And here let the Reader note, That by a General Influence or Inspiration we mean only such an Insluence, as serveth in general for all ordinary Actions, that are to be generally performed in an acceptable Manner: As the same Spiritual Insluence, that sufficeth me to Eat in Faith, Fear and Love, sufficeth me also to Plow, or do any other Mechanical Work: But the same doth not suffice

fusfice me to preach, or expound Scripture; o- 1676. therwise any ordinary Christian might do so at any Time: Which our Adversaries will not acknowledge.

Now that Preaching and Praying in particular require a Super-added, Spiritual Influence and

Inspiration, we prove thus:

If Men may have an Influence or Inspiration of the Spirit to wait, fear and love God, and yet want an Influence or Inspiration to Preach or Pray Vocally; Then the Influence and Inspiration to Preach and Pray vocally, is a distinct, super-added Influence, &c.

But the First is true; Therefore the Second.

The Consequence of the first Proposition is clear from that Maxim, Quorum unum potest esse absque alio, &c. When of two things the one can be without the other, the two are really distinguished. The Second Proposition is proved,

1. Because all true Christians have an Influence and Inspiration to Wait, Fear and Love God; but all true Christians have not an Insluence and Inspiration to Preach and Pray vocally in the Church: This our Adversaries cannot deny.

2. Even a true Gospel Minister may at Times want a Door of Utterance, when in the Time of this Want he hath an Influence or Inspiration to Wait, Fear and Love God: Therefore these two

are distinct.

The Antecedent is clear in the Case of Ezekiel Ezekiel (Chap. 3. 15, 16.) Who sate seven Days with the sate seven Elders, having nothing to speak unto them from the Elders the Lord, until at the End of the seven Days the in silence. Word of the Lord came unto him. And Ezra sate and Ezra filent, till the Evening Sacrifice, and then he kneel-sate silent, ed down and prayed, Ezra 9. 5. Also Paul desired

the



1676. the Colossians to pray for him, that Utterance might be given him: Which clearly imports, That he had it not at all Times; although at all Times he had an Influence or Inspiration to Wait, Fear and Love God. And David prayed, That God would open his Mouth, and his Lips should shew forth his Praise: Isaiah faid, That God had given him the Tongue of the Learned, &c. Christ promised, That he would give his Apostles a Mouth and Wisdom, which all their Adversaries should not be able to resist: All this fignifieth an Influence of the Spirit to speak; which was not general to all, nor permanent or perpetual with them, who had it. As is clear in the Case of David, who declared, That he was filent, and held his Tongue even from Good, until the Fire kindled in him, and then he spake with his Tongue, Pfal. 39. 3. Yea, what fignifieth the Coal, wherewith Isaiah his Mouth was touched, but an Inspiration or Influence of Life, superadded unto that General Influence, which he had before?

Now if our Adversaries say, This was given unto those Men in an extraordinary Way, as being Prophets and Apostles; but is now ceased, since

the Apostles Days.

To this we Answer, 1. This is a plain Acknowledgment, That general Influences common to all Christians are one thing, and particular Influences given to holy Men to Preach and Pray Vocally, are another. But 2. That all Influences and Inspirations or Motions of the Spirit to enable Ministers to Preach and Pray Vocally, are not ceased since the Apostles Days, is clear from Rev. 11. 3. And I will give Power unto my two Witnesses, and they shall Prophesy: And it is said, That if any Man will burt them, Fire proceedeth out of their Mouth: Which must needs signify a Special Influence of the Spirit given them to Prophefy or Preach; which is not common to all

Inspirations to Preach and Pray vocally, not ceased.

all Christians. Also what are these Gifts given 1675.

unto Ministers for the Perfeding of the Saints?

But such special Influences to enable them to Preach, which are not given to all. Yea, do not the National Preachers defire in their Publick Prayers some special Assistance and Help of the Spirit, to carry them forth in their Ministry, which they have not before? For if they had it before, why do they seek it? From all which it is manifest, that as there are general Influences given to all, and at all Times; fo there are particular and special given only to some, and but at some times.

Moreover, that there is a greater Influence of Life required to Vocal Prayer, when it is acceptable, than unto some meer Mental Prayer (a Mental) thing expresly denied by the Students, pag. 100. Prayer the Students, pag. 100. Cause and St. 16.) is clear, because all true and acceptable Spring of Wocal Prayer, hath Mental Prayer going along Vocal Pray-with it, as its Cause and Spring. And so when any Man prays vocally, (if his Prayer be true and acceptable) he prayeth also mentally; and so he doth two things together: Whereas when he prayeth but mentally, he doth but one thing. Now common Reason teacheth us, that more Strength is required to do two things together, than to do but one of them. And feeing the Vocal Prayer hath not any Life or Virtue in it to reach unto God's Throne, or yet unto the Hearts of his People, to quicken and refresh them, but as it receiveth that Life from the Life, that is in the Mental Prayer, it is clear, that a greater Meafure is required to both, than fimply to the One.

Now although Mental Prayer (as to the Dispofition and Frame of the Soul at least) be always in and with Good Christians, and hath always some Measure of Life in it; yet that Measure is iometimes fo weak and low, that it cannot go

of

And at other times, although it be able and ftrong, yet it will not answer the Motion of Man's Will, so as to be drawn forth thereby; but it only abideth or goeth forth into the Vocal Prayer according to the Will of God, as He pleaseth to move it. Therefore the free Motion of the Life it self, as it pleaseth God to bring it forth, is to be attended in all Outward, Spiritual Performances.

But here let the Reader note, that we have faid, Vocal Prayer requires more Life than some Mental Prayer; we do not fay, than all: For some Mental Prayer may be Stronger, than that which is a Complex of Mental and Vocal, as gathering the whole Strength of the Complex into that which is folely and entirely Mental: According unto that common Saying abundantly confirmed by Experience, Vis unita fortior; United Strength is the stronger. As when the Beams of the Sun are united into a small Point, they have more Force than when they are diffused; and for this Cause it is, that we are so much for Mental Prayer, as knowing the great Good of it in our Experience. And from what is above faid, it is clear, that we need another Influence, wherewith to Pray vocally, than to Eat, Plow, Walk, &c. because these Natural Actions may be done sufficiently in a Spiritual Manner, by the Help of that General Influence, which doth always attend Good Men to Fear and Love God. For the Principle of Divine Life, which is the Living and Powerful Word of God in Men's Hearts, is never idle, but is always Operative and at Work; especially more abundantly in them who join with it; being as a most Rich and Living Spring, that is continually flowing and fending forth its Streams, according to Job. 4. 14. But to Pray vocally, requireth an Influence

The Principle of Divine Life i, never idle. of Life to flow forth into the Words, that it may 1676. in a Living and Powerful Way reach the Hearers; but that Plowing, Eating, Walking, &c. need no fuch Emanating Influence, is certain; and will be acknowledged by our Adverfaries. But perhaps also they will deny, that any Life or Virtue doth flow forth into Mental Praying and Preaching, even when these Duties are acceptably performed. But this is contrary both to the certain Experience of many Thousands, and also to the Scriptures Testimony in many Places.

I. It is contrary to the Experience of many

Thousands, who can declare (whereof we are The Life in some) that the Declarations, Testimonies and the Words Words of the Servants of God in Preaching and vants of God Praying have a real Life and living Virtue in Experience. them, whereby their Souls are exceedingly Refreshed, Quickned and Strengthned; which Life and living Virtne is a thing as distinct from the bare outward Words, which the Natural Ear can hear, as Wine is distinct from the Vessel that carrieth it : Therefore if another Man, that hath not this Spiritual Ability, should pronounce the fame Words, they have not any Life or Virtue at all. And that God had given this Spiritual Discerning to many, before the People called Quakers were raised up, is manifest from divers in our own Nation, who cared not to hear Men, who could speak never so good Words, if they wanted Life; and in that Day they could and did distinguish betwixt dead and living Preachers, as also betwixt a Living Testimony and Preaching, and a Dry Discourse: See for this the Book called, The Fulfilling of the Scriptures. And this was the Express Testimony of that Philosopher, who was converted by the means of a few Words spoke by a certain Old Man (who was a Christian,) at the Council of Nice; Out of the Mouth of that Old Man (said he) there went forth a VirWords; as Lucas Osiander relates them in his Epitome of the Church-History, Cent. 4. lin. 2. cap. 5.

II. Scripture.

II. It is contrary to the Scriptures-Testimony -And testir in many Places; The Mouth of the Righteous is a fied unto by Well of Life, Prov. 10. 11. This must be understood in Respect of the Influence of Life, that cometh out of his Mouth, as Water doth out of a Well; and not barely in Respect of the good Words, which a wicked Man may speak. According to this Christ said to his Disciples, The Words that I speak unto you are Spirit and Life: And as it was then, so it is now; for at this Day he speaketh in his Servants, and will to the End of the World; and it is He only, who hath the Words of Eternal Life, which he speaketh in his Servants. And as in the Days of his Flesh he was said to speak with Authority or Power, and not as the Scribes; and the People wondred at the gracious Words, which proceeded out of his Mouth (all which import a living Influence and Virtue in the Words of Christ, which the Words of the Scribes and Pharisees had not) fo it is at this Day: For Christ doth as really speak by his Spirit in his Servants, as he did in his Body of Flesh: So that Paul said, be spake in bim; and therefore his Preaching was in Demonstration of the Spirit and Power. And for this Cause true Preachers and Prophets are called True Pro- good Trees, of which Men gather good Fruit; whereas bad Men or Evil Trees have no good

phets and Preachers from the falle.

diffinguished Fruit, although they have the Prophets and Apostles Words. Also they are compared (to wit, the False Prophets) to Clouds without Rain and Wells without Water, although they have good Words, yet they have no Rain nor Water: Their whole Ministry is dry, and empty of Life and Virtue. But the True Prophets Ministry is as a Shower

a Shower of Rain, Deut. 32. 2. And sometimes 1676. it is compared unto Fire; as it is faid in the Psalm, He maketh his Angels (or Messengers) Spirits, and bis Ministers a Flame of Fire : And Fire was faid to go out of the Mouths of the two Witnesses. Also the Influences of Life, that go forth through the true Prophets in their Ministry, are compared to Golden Oyl, and the Men are compared unto Golden Pipes, Zech. 4. 12. And therefore the Apostle Peter exhorted the Ministers in his Day, To minister of the Ability which God giveth, as good Stewards of the manifold Grace of God; fo they ministred not only Words, but Grace. Many other Testimonies might be cited to prove this Truth.

Another Instance brought by the Students, is, That an Heretick forbearing Prayer a Tear or two; or his whole Life time, may justify himself by this Doctrine. To this it was answered; That tho? he may pretend, yet he hath no just Ground from All Men are our Principle: For we believe, that all Men are pray often, bound to pray often unto God, yea, daily; and that God doth inwardly call and move all Men often unto Prayer, during the Day of their Visitation: And when that is expired, or when at any other time they want that Inward Call or Influence through Unfaithfulness, they are still bound: And if they pray not, they fin; because they ought to have an Influence. But that our Account faith, All have not Utterance to pray in Words, is no Excuse for Hereticks: For they Utterance of must needs acknowledge, as well as we, that all Words in have not Utterance, who may be good Christians. feeing some that are naturally dumb, may be good Christians, and yet they must confess, these have not Utterance. Also many good Christians who have no Natural Impedement, do want Utterance in a Spiritual Way, to Speak or pray vocally in the Hearing of others at some times; although

1676. we believe, it is given at times to all that are V faithful (who have no Natural Defect) that they may pray vocally, or in the Hearing of others: But bow oft, it is more than we can determine; feeing it is not revealed. But if any fail of this Utterance through Unfaithfulness, their sin is nothing the less, if they omit Prayer.

And thus their last two Instances are answered. For we do affirm with great Freedom, That all who are faithful to the Lord, never want sufficient Inspiration or Influence to wait upon God, fear him, love him, desire his Grace, and divers other Inward Duties. We fay not All: For some Inward Duties, fuch as Meditation on a particular Subject or Place of Scripture are not always required, more than it is always required to speak; but if they be unfaithful, we deny not, but they may and will want them. And in that Cafe, although they want Inspirations and Influences, they are bound to pray, yet not without them, but with them. As a Man, that wanteth both Money and Goods to pay his Debt, yet is bound to pay his Debt; yet he must not, nor ought to pay it without Money or Goods. The Example is clear, and the Application is eafy.

T. M. which (that their Deceit may be the more · hid) they do not positively affirm; but only propose by way of Question, Have not Quakers declared to People, &c. To which we answer; That we know not, that any Quaker ever declared any fuch thing; and we believe, divers things The Story in the Story are utterly false. If T. M. or any about T. M. other of our Profession, having none in the Fanot rraying mily, that can join with them in the true Spirit in the Family, as pre- of Prayer, but are professed Opposers of the Quakers Way, be not so frequently heard pray by them, is excusable by your own Way; who will not readily pray in our Hearing, when they have

As for that Story they bring in concerning

tended.

none

none to join with them. And indeed, the want 1676. of that true Unity on the Part of those, who are not of our Faith, doth oft hinder our Freedom to pray in their Hearing; (unless we have some of our Faith present to join with us:) We may pray for them, as it pleaseth God to move us in their Hearing; but we cannot fo properly pray with them, as not being in Unity with them. Where two or three (faid Christ) agree together to feek any thing in my Name: But let our Adverfaries, if they can, shew us, where in the Scripture it is commanded for any Man to pray in the Hearing of others, where all present have no Agreement with him? Yet we deny not, but that God upon some solemn Occasion may move to fuch a thing, especially when a publick Testimony is required: As in the Case of Stephen, who prayed audibly in the Hearing of others; all which were fo far from having any Agreement with him, that they were at that time stoning him to Death, Acts 7.

Moreover, we could eafily upon a more just Ground Retort the Question upon your own Church-Members. How many of your own Church-Members were not only for a Twolve Month, but for many Twelve Month's never heard Pray, and yet they pass among you for good Christians? It is well known, that although ye hold Family Prayer Morning and Evening to be a Duty, and the want of it a great Sin; that yet many Thousand Families in the Nation, who belong to your Church, want it: And many whole Families are fo grofly Ignorant, that none in the Family can go about it, even in that Natural Way, which ye plead for. As for us, it doth suffice unto us, that God God heareth heareth us in secret; although Men do not so fre-Prayer in

quently hear us. Yet we own with all our Hearts publick expressive Prayer, as it is performed in

Spirit and in Truth; and all of us have our Share

man Tradi-

1676. and Testimony therein, as God moves thereunto: Even those who are outwardly filent, as these who Speak; when as both agree together in one Spirit, and with one Heart and Soul join together in the fame.

SECTION VI. Of BAPTISM.

Wherein their Fourth Section concerning Water-Baptism is Answered.

IN their stating the Question, they say; The Question is not, Whether Infants ought to be Baptized? Or who have the Power of Administring Baptism? Whereas indeed these Two are a great Part of the Question betwixt our Adversary and us. For as touching Infant-Baptism, R. B. his Infant-Bap. The fis doth expresly say, It is a meer Humane tism an har Tradition; and it is well known that all the Quakers (so called) are of the same Mind: And do not the Students undertake to Confute the Quakers Principles? How is it then, that they leave out so considerable a Part of Quakerism. as they call it? Is this Quakerism Canvased, to pick and chuse at some, and pass by others? Yea, Infants Sprinkling with Water on the Forehead, is so confiderable a Part of the Question betwirt them and us, that if that be disproved, or if they cannot prove that to be a Gospel-Institution, they fall short exceedingly; seeing, that is the only Baptism in Use among them of the National Church.

Again, it is so great a Part of the Question, Who have the Power of Administring Baptism? That by this the Controversy stands or falls; for one of our main Arguments against Water-Bap-

tism, as remaining a Duty upon all Christians, 1676. is, That none are to be found, that have the Power to administer it: And the Administration cannot None have be without a Lawful Administrator. The Que Power now to adminiftion then really is, Whether these, who have no fter Water. Immediate Call to administer Water-Baptism (as Baptism. John had) have Power to administer it? Again, Whether those, who have no other mediate Call to Baptize, but what they have by the Church of Rome, which is no true Church (as the best Protestants affirm) have Power to administer Baptism? And this Question is the more proper in this Place, feeing J. M. the Students Master confesseth, his and his Brethrens Call and Ordination to be by the Church of Rome, and that they have no other, but what is conveyed down to them from the Apostles Times by that Apostate Church. But let us now Examine their Arguments for Water-Baptism in general. The First is,

Baptism with Water is to continue in the The Stu-Church, as long as Christ's Presence is to dents Arguments for continue with his Apostles, and them, who its Continuteach the Dostrine that they taught: But, ance. Christ's Presence is to continue with his Apostles, and them, who teach the Dostrine, that they taught, to the End of the World; Therefore, &c.

Where it is to be observed, That they think, all is safe as to the Minor; and therefore they altogether pass it by. Now although it is sufficient to invalidate the Argument, if the Major be false; yet we have somewhat of great Moment to say to the Minor, that is enough to overturn any Baptism that they have. For we put them to explain, who these are, That all along since the Apostles have taught the Dostrine, which the Apostles taught? For the Words are light

of Rome, and her Bishops and Teachers, we altogether deny, that they have taught the same Doctrine, which the Apostles taught: And we suppose, the Students, if they follow their Master J. M. will not affirm it. And indeed, for the same Reason the best primitive Protestants denied, that the Church of Rome in their Day had any Lawful Ordination at all; seeing she continued not in the Apostles Doctrine and Faith, as that famous Protestant Sadeel doth argue at Sadeel's Te. great Length, Lib. de legit. voc. min. where he stimmy con-affirmeth, "That the Succession of Faith is as the succession of Soul, which gives Life to the Succession of the

flimony concerning a Succession of Faith from the Apofles.

"Bishops, as unto a Body; but that Succession " without this Faith, is a dead Thing, and unpro-" fitable Carcafe. Now the same Reason doth militate as strongly against Water Baptism, and that also called the Supper, upon our present Adversaries Principle, That none have Power to administer the one or the other, but those who have a mediate outward Call conveyed down from the Apostles by a visible Succession of Ordained Bi-Shops and Presbyters. For we say, There hath been no such visible Succession, nor visibly Ordained Bishops and Presbyters, who all along have had the true Faith, and taught the true Do-Etrine of the Apostles; therefore their Ordination and Power to administer the Sacraments, is void and null.

And this is further confirmed by the Authorisepprian of ty of Cyprian, who taught with great EarnestBaptism. That the Baptism of all Hereticks was void,
and no Baptism: But so it is, by our Adversaries
Confession, That the Church and Bishops, and
Teachers of Rome have been Hereticks for many
Hundred Years before the Reformation: Therefore, &c. We say then, the Argument is fallacious as to the Miner, supposing, what is not to

be

be supposed in their Sence, viz. That either the 1676. Teachers of the Church of Rome, or any other claiming a Visible and Mediate Call from the Apoftles Times conveyed through a Visible Church unto them, have taught the Doctrine, which the Apostles taught; a Thing we altogether deny: And it lieth on them to prove. But that Christ hath had fome all along, who have both believed and taught the Doctrine of the Apostles, and that his Presence has been with them, we acknowledge; but we deny, that these have been all along a visible Church and Teachers, having a Mediate Call and Ordination: And in this we agree with the best Protestants. For indeed, the True Church hath been hid, even as a few Grains of Corn among an exceeding great Quantity of The True Chaff and Stubble; and she who hath called her Church hath been hid. felf the Church by reason of her Outward Succession, was not the True Church, though some of the True Church lay hidden in her, as Corn is hid in a great Quantity of Chaff. And that the Church is properly to be placed in the alone Grains of Corn, and not in the Chaff, Sadeel deth also shew out of Augustine, Ep. 48.

Another Fault we find in the Students Argument, that supposing Water-Baptism had been commanded to the Apostles by Christ, Matth. 28. (which yet we altogether deny) it infinuateth, That it was as long to continue, as Christ's Presence with his Church. For if Teaching had continued, though Baptism with Water had discontinued (as our Adversaries grant, That Anointing with Oyl and miraculous Curing the Sick is discontinued) yet the Promise was Ground e-nough to encourage them: And if all be still binding, that Christ Commanded to his Apostles, The Parti-why go they not forth, (we mean the National ality of the National Teachers) into all the World, and teach the Na- reachers

tions, who do not so much as believe the Gos-concerning Water-Bap-

1676. pel Historically? If they fay, This was a Command to the Apostles, and not to them; why are they fo partial, as to take one Part to them, and reject another?

But we shall now come to a more particular Examination of their Major. We have told them, That the Apostles baptized some with Water out of a Condescendency (as Paul circumcifed Timothy) and not from that Command, Matt.

28. which faith nothing of Water-Baptism.

Their First Reason against this is, They Should have Baptized with Water of their own Will, and without any Sufficient Authority. But we deny this Consequence, and they themselves have furnished us with a sufficient Answer, where they fay, Paul Circumcifed Timothy, but not without a Command; for the Law of Charity and other general Precepts obliged Paul fo to do, though it was a thing indifferent of it self. The same we say as to their Baptizing with Water: The fews having so great an Esteem of Water-Baptism, and thinking it necessary, the Apostles used it (although it was a Thing indifferent of it felf after Christ's Ascension, and giving of the Holy Ghost) the Law of Charity, and other general Precepts obliging them: But this proveth not, That the Apostles had any Command from Matt. 28. or any fuch Command any where elfe, that made Water-Baptism of it self to be a Necessary Duty to the End of the World.

And whereas they query, Will G. K. grant, that it was once lively? We answer, Yes, under John: Yet it followeth not, that it was to Continue; because John had no Commission to the Nations, but only to the Jews. And that the Apostles Baptized whole Families and Thousands (if they fo did) will not prove, that it was necessary of it felf, more than that Circumcision was; and yet even then many Thousands of Believing Fews

were zealous for Circumcision, see Als 21.20, 21. 167. Yea, many Bishops of ferusalem were Circumcifed after this, as Eusebius relates. The Reason A Condester-Baptism, because of feehing and therefore by water-the Apostles condescended to it out of the Law of Charity.

Another Question they make, Where is Water-Baptism buried? We answer; where the other Shadows are Buried: For it was but a Shadow and Carnal Ordinance, Heb. 9. 10. the Greek Word is sawling. Again: The true Water-Baptism hath been out of Use all the Time of the Apostacy; for the Apostate Church hath had no true Baptism; and so in that Respect it hath been Buried. And being but a Shadow, is not to be raised up again. And it is observable, That in the Revelution, where it is Prophesied of the Return and Restauration of the Church, there is not any thing mentioned of the Restoring either Water-Baptism, or the Use of Bread and Wine, as Signs &c.

And so their Second Reason is answered, That Water-Baptism is no more to be used out of Condescendency to the Weak, than Circumcisson, because both are long ago buried: And what is buried, is deadly to be raised up again, as Au-

gustine taught.

Their Third Reason is built on a Mistake, That the Godhead of Christ, or Names of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost were a stumbling-block to the believing Jews; For of these only we are to be understood. Also, That the Apostles used the Words Father, Son and Holy Ghost, when they baptized, cannot be proved; far less used they the Word Trinity, which was not invented long after the Apostles Days.

Their Second Argument, That the Baptism Commanded in Matth. 28. 19. is with Water, re-

folves

1676. felves at last into this, That it is God only, and not Man, who baptizes with the Holy Ghost; because he is only the proper, immediate, efficient Cause of Baptism with the Holy Ghost: But we de-

The Effect ascribed unto the In-(trumental is the Principal.

ny the Consequence, as weak and false. For there is nothing more usual, than to ascribe the Effect unto the Instrumental Cause, as truly as un-Cause, which to the Principal. Paul was sent to turn or convert the Gentiles from Darkness to Light, and to open their Eyes; and yet God only was the proper and immediate Efficient Cause of this. ny more Examples could be given, yea, the same Reason of the Students would militate against Teaching: For even outward Teaching, which is by the Motion of the Holy Ghost, hath a Power and Virtue in it; whereof the Men who teach, are but the Instrumental Conveyers, that is only from God, as the Immediate Efficient Cause.

Another Reason they give to make all sure, as they fay is, That it is only Christ, as he is God. and mightier than John, who baptized with the Holy Ghost, Matth. 3. 11. where Baptism with the Holy Ghost is peculiarly attributed to Christ. But this makes their Matter nothing more fure; for although that Baptism with the Holy Ghost be peculiarly attributed to Christ, as the principal Cause; yet it hindereth not, that Men are the Instrumental: Even as Christ said, It is not ye that speak; and yet they also spake, as Instruments. It is true, that John did not Baptize with the Holy Ghost, as the Apostles did (or rather Christ through them) because John had not fo Powerful a Ministry given him, as the Apofiles; of whom Christ said, that they should not only do as great Works as he, but greater, to wit, by his Power.

Again they argue, That giving and not grant-ing, that Baptism with the Holy Ghost could be ad-

ministred

ministred by Men, yet it is not Commanded here; 1676. for the Words then would be full of needless Tautologies. To this we answer; That this doth not follow. For suppose, That by Teaching and Baptizing were meant one thing; how usual is it in Scripture to express one thing under divers Names without any Tautology? However we believe, That by Teaching and Baptizing are meant two feveral Things, both which require the special Operation of the Holy Spirit. For a Man through Teaching by the Concurrence of the Holy Ghost is first of all Convinced of the Truth, and hath a Ground laid in him to believe; and then he is Baptized with the Holy Ghoft, upon his believing and obeying, in what he is convinced of. Nor is this to confound the Command with the Promise, for the Sence of it is this; Go ye, and Baptize with the Holy Ghost (Instrumentally) and I shall be with you, as the Principal Cause to concur and affift you: And thus there is no Tautology, the Command and the Promise being in diverso genere, id est, in a different kind.

Their next Argument to prove, That Water-John's Baptism is to continue to the End of the World tism ceased, is, That God sent John to baptize with Water; and why? Christ caused John to baptize him, and commanded

or caused his Apostles to baptize with Water; and these Commands were never formally Repealed, nor ceased of their own Nature: Therefore, &c.

But to this the Answer is easy. For John's Baptism was no Part of the Gospel-Dispensation, as serving only to prepare the Way to Christ; and he was sent only to Baptize the Jews, that Christ might be manifest to Israel, John 1.31. And it is called John's Baptism, in Distinction from that of Christ; for some were baptized with it, who had not received the Holy Ghost. And that Christ was baptized with Water, proveth not its Continuance; no more than that he

1676. was Circumcifed, proveth the Continuance of Circumcission. That Christ Commanded his Disciples to baptize with Water, we find not; and though it were, it is but as at that Time, being under John's Dispensation. But unless they can prove, That Christ commanded to baptize all Nations with Water, and that to the End of the World, they gain nothing. For what was commanded only as toward the Jews, doth not reach us Gentiles; and so we need seek no Repeal, there not having been any fuch Command.

In their Answering our Retortion, as touching washing of Washing the Feet, Anointing the Sick with Oyl, and Feet and A- Abstaining from Blood and Things strangled, They mithoil, &c. fay, 1. This Retortion hath a damnable Tendency, for Enthusiasts may arise and plead the same Way

against the most necessary Truths, &c. We an-Iwer; They have no Ground from our Retortion fo to do; because these things above mentioned are but Figures, and fuch as have no Inward, or Intrinsecal Goodness or Righteousness in them, as the other things have, which are most necesfary. 2. Whereas they fay, If these things had been Commanded, and never Repealed, it were better to admit and observe them, than to reject Baptism, &c. We answer, if by Repeal they mean a formal Repeal, we deny, that it were betzer; for all being but figurative Things, and fuch as the Inward Law of God writ in our Hearts (which is the New Covenant Dispensation) doth not require of us, they cease of their own Nature, and carry a virtual Repeal in their Bofom, although it be not formally expressed in The out- the Scripture, as to every Particular. For all ward Law the Things of the Ceremonial Law are not one by one particularly Repealed in the New Testa-

ment; but together in one Body: For the Law it felf being changed, the Things required by it

(if

(if they have no other Law to require them) do 1676. Cease. 3. They say, That Christ in Washing his Disciples Feet, did two things. 1. To seal up to his Disciples their Part in Him. 2. He intended to leave them one Example of Humility; and it is only this second thing, which he commanded to his Disciples, to wit, that they should perform Acts of Humility one to another. But we miss their Proof there altogether, that he only Commanded this, and not the Washing one another's Feet in particular: yea, this Gloss expresly gives the Lye to Christ his own Words, John 13.14. Te also ought to wash one another's Feet; where not only an Act of Humility is fignified, but an Act of Love: And also by the outward Washing of the outward Feet is fignified, how we ought to contri- A spiritual bute to wash one another's Feet in a spiritual Washing of Feet pointed Sence, that is to fay, by feafonable Reproofs and at by Christ. Exhortations to help on one another unto the Sanctification of the most inferior Affections, that are, as it were the Feet. And that Christ pointeth at such a Mystery, is clear from per. 10. He that is washed, needeth not, save to wash his Feet.

Again, they alledge, That this Ad is put Synec-dochically for all other Ads of Humility: But admit, that it be so; this proves not, that this particular Act was not Commanded, when Christ Instituted the Breaking of Bread at Supper: Among other Ends it had this also, to signify the Unity of Christians, and how they ought to love one another; shall we therefore say, it is Synecdochically put for all Acts of Love, but is not particularly Commanded? And indeed, as Washing of Feet was in Use in these hot Countries, before that Christ did wash his Disciples Feet, and Commanded it to them; so was that in Use, the Chief in the Family to take Bread and break it, and give to every one, saying, Take, eat:

1676. This was in Use among the Jews before Christ did so; as divers Historians relate, particularly Paulus Ricius de Calesti Agricultura.

Again, whereas they fay, If he had command-

ed so, some would have observed it.

To this we answer; Some, yea, many did obferve it, as they grant Ambrose and the Church of Millain did: For if they used to do so in the Eastern Countries, where there was need for it, because the People ordinarily did go baresoot; the Christians in that Country would use it the rather, that Christ commanded it. Yea, it doth appear, that it was a most ordinary Thing in

Washing of the Primitive Times, from Paul's Words I Tim. 5.

Feet obser- 10. where it is numbred among other Commandwed by Christians in the ed Duties; If she hath washed the Saints Feet. If
primitive it be said, That they used it, but not as a SacraTimes.

ment: We answer: We read not of the Word

ment: We answer; We read not of the Word Sacrament in the Scripture; it is enough, that they used it, and were Commanded so to do by Christ: And it had a Spiritual Signification, as well as those Things they call Sacraments. It is needless for us to insist more on this Particular, so as to refute Arguments of their own making, which are none of ours, wherein they fight with their own Shadow; where we leave them, and proceed to the other Particulars.

They tell us, That the Command to Anoint the Sick with Oyl carries a Repeal in its Bosom; so, we say, doth John's Baptism with Water, as preparing the Way to Christ; who is now come. And so we may return them their Axiom, Cessante sine Legis, cessat & Obligatio. But that Anointing with Oyl was only in order to Miraculous Cures, they say it, without giving any Proof, Jam. 5.14. For although it were confessed, that it were in Order to Outward Healing or Curing; yet it is clear from the Text, that it was not Exclusive of all other Things:

For

For it is not only promifed, That he shall be sa-1676. ved; but if he have committed Sins, they shall be forgiven him. And this saving seems rather to Anointing be Spiritual, than the Restoring the Body to Na-rathera spitural Health; otherwise it being absolutely pro-ritual saving mised, all sick Persons in the Church should have than a Restoring the leen always restored to Natural Health, and so Body. none should have died.

And we find Anointing with Oyl joined with Prayer, yea, We are bidden, pray one for another, that we may be healed. Nor is this ceased; but that by the Prayers of the Godly for one that is fick, and bodily diseased, it pleaseth God at Times so to answer them, that they are restored to Health by the Lord: And we dare our Adversaries, if they will deny this altogether; and this is in a true Sence Miraculous. Yea, Instances of this kind have been even among the People called Quakers: And if it were altogether ceased, according to the Students Argument; Prayer (at least, so as to pray to God to heal any sick Person) should cease also: It is better therefore to say, That Anointing with Oyl is ceased, as being but a Figure.

Their Repeal of the Command to abstain from Blood and things strangled, is not sufficiently Abstaining proved from 1 Cor. 10. 25. For let any read the from Blood and Things whole Chapter, and he shall find nothing said in strangled, it of Blood or Things strangled. That was not the Ceremonial Law, the Subject, he was upon; but Things offered to ceased. Idols, which we read not, that they used to strangle. The Sence is plain, Whatsoever is sold in the Shambles, (whether offered to Idols, or not) that eat; asking no Question, if it be offered to an Idol, or not. Beside, it is not usual to sell Flesh of Beasts strangled, in the Shambles; for they kill them otherwise, than by strangling, which is hurtful to the Meat: And if selling of strangled Flesh had been usual, it would have

1676. been no Transgressing the Apostle's Rule, if they had any Doubt, to have asked, If it was strangled: For many will not eat Flesh, that is strangled. because it is not so good Nourishment; although they have no Scruple of Conscience. Yea, the Primitive Christians even in Tertullian's Time, (as he sheweth in his Apology) Abstained from Blood and things strangled; wherein there was a great Providence of God to clear them of that horrid Falshood, as if they did drink the Blood of Children. By which it is clear, they did not understand Paul's Words, 1 Cor. 10. 25. to be any Repeal. It is therefore more fafe to fay, that it being a Part of the Ceremonial Law, it is repealed with the other Figures.

The Dec case of Fobil's and Increase of tilin.

The Words of John, He must increase, but 1 must decrease, Joh. 2. 10. they will not have to be understood of John's Baptism; wherein they are not only contrary to many of their own christ's Bap Church, (as could be shewn) but also to the Scripture it felf. For it is most clear, That Fohn spake this with a particular Relation to his Baptism: When they came to him, and told him, That Christ Baptized, &c. On this he faid. That Christ was to increase, meaning Christ's Baptifm, not with Water, but with the Holy Ghoft (for Christ baptized none with Water himself) and he, that is, his Baptism must Decrease, not his true Honour and Virtue: And the Disciples he gathered, was unto Christ. But that John's Baptism was much practised, proveth it no more a ftanding Command, than other Things of the Law.

Peter's Commanding Cornelius (and them) to be Baptized, anwered.

In the last Place they alledge, That Peter com-manded Cornelius and others with him to be baptized, out of a necessity arising from a Divine Precept: But their Proofs are weak. For 1. We ought to do all things in the Name of the Lord, when we cat, or drink, or journey: But yet all things

things are not commanded; but some left to our 1676. Freedom. 2. Peter in his Sermon told Cornelius nothing of Water-Baptism; and when after he spoke of it, he did not tell him, That he ought to do it out of a Necessity arising from a Divine Precept: let them prove it, if they can.

3. Whereas they alledge, That Peter was accused by the Disciples for Administring Water-Baptism to Cornelius, from Acts 11. It is a manifest Untruth: for there is no such Thing, either in their Accusation, or his Answer, as may be feen, if any will read the Chapter. They accu-fed him for going in to them, and eating with them; and this was all the Accusation: And though they had, the Students Consequence doth not follow; for if the Law of Charity obliged him to Baptize them, his Refusal would have been a withstanding of God.

SECTION VII. Of the MINISTRY.

Being an Answer to their Fifih Section, concerns ing the MINISTRY.

IN the first Part of their Section they plead, That a Man who is an Hypocrite and Graceless, may be a true and lawful Pastor; yet they grant, That none ought to be admitted into the Ministry; but such as ex Judicio Charitatis, id est, out of the Judgment of Charity is to be esteemed truly pious. By which Acknowledgment they destroy with their own Hands any seeming Strength, that lies in their own Arguments, as will appear by a particular Examination of them. Their First Reason is taken from Many Jewish Priests and High-Priests, and many Scribes and Pharisees in Christ's Time, who were Ministers of God's K 2.

Word :

1676. Word; and yet who will fay, they were endued with fantifying Grace? To which we answer;
That they were Ministers of God's Word, or of

That they were Ministers of God's Word, or of Ministers of the Gospel, is denied; for they were but Minister Gospel, sters of the Law, and Legal Performances, Types, and of the Law and Figures and Shadows: And as that Legal Diffshadows pensation was but Impersect in Respect of the Gespel, so the Priesthood and Ministry of it;

therefore both were to pass away.

So that to argue from the Law to the Gospel, is not equal, more than to argue, That because the Ministers of the Law were Ministers of the Figures and Types, that therefore the Ministers of the Gospel should be the same; yea, we may draw an Argument from the Outward and Legal Qualifications of the Priests, that none but truly Holy should, or ought to be Ministers under the Gospel. For as under the Law none were to be Priests, but those, who came of Levi, a Figure of Christ; so under the Gospel none are to be Ministers, but who by a Spiritual Birth and Nativity are of Christ. And as under the Law, none that were Lame and Blind Corporally,

Levi a F gure of Christ.

The Lame were to be Legal Ministers; so under the Gospel, and Blind no mone that are Lame and Blind Spiritually, are to be Gospel Ministers. But all, that want true Holines, are lame and blind Spiritually; Therefore, &c.

Again; Many of these Jewish Priests, Scribes and Pharisees were openly and manifestly Impious, especially in the Time of Christ his being in the Flesh, and could not be esteemed truly Pious in the Judgment of Charity; and so if the Argument hold, it proves, that Men may be admitted and owned to be Ministers of the Gospel, that are not Pious in the Judgment of Charity.

The Students plead for a Gracelef, Ministry.

take in their Second Argument to Patronize a Graceles Ministry. For if Judas was a Devil from the Beginning, certainly, Christ did know

him

him to be fo; and therefore could not in the 1675. Judgment of Charity esteem him to be truly Pious: How could he then admit him? But as for Fudas, they alledge indeed, That he was a Devil from the Beginning; but they have not proved it. It is faid expresly of him, That he fell from his Ministry by Transgression; we read not, that he was degraded his Office any other way, but simply by his Transgression, which was his Betraying Christ. Again, it is written of him, Let his Habitation be desolate, and let no Man dwell therein; and his Bishoprick let another take. Pfal. 109. But they, who plead for a Graceless Minister, would put another in Judas' Habitation, and so would hold up a Ministry of Covetous Men, as he was, who to fatisfy their Covetouiness, will betray Christ. For suppose, that a Man have all other Qualifications requisite, and requisite in yet be openly and notoriously a Wicked Man, a Preacher. he may plead his Right to be admitted; and if admitted, to be Continued to be a Minister: What Ground have his Brethren out of Scripture to depose him, according to the Students Argument (which is indeed the General Argument of the Clergy (as they are called) feeing he hath all the Essentials of a Minister? If they say, Paul requireth, That a Bishop or Deacon be found blameless, not covetous, but vigilant, sober, &c. then it will follow as much, that he, that is not really Pious, ought not to be admitted; as he, that is not feemingly Pious: For the Apostle doth not fay, he must be seemingly so; but simply, that he must be so, And indeed, to expound all these Qualifications of a feeming, and no real Holiness, is to mock the Scripture: For they might as well fay, That seeming Holiness only, and not real, is required indispensably of us in Order to Salvation; for the Scripture as positively requireth Holiched.

1676. Holiness unto the Function of a Bishop, as it

doth require it unto Salvation.

There is yet another thing, which they have to prove concerning Judas, viz. That Christ Sent him to preach the Gospel. He sent him indeed to work Miracles, heal the Sick, and to fay, The Kingdom of God is at band, that is to fay, the Gospel Dispensation is approaching; but that Judas was a Minister of the Gospel, which is the Power of God unto Salvation, we require them to prove: For it was after his Removal, that Christ

fent the Apostles to Preach the Gospel. Their Third Reason is, That the Efficacy of the

Words depends not upon the Worthiness of the The Effica Preachers, I Cor. 3.7. We grant the Antecedent, ey of the word pread but deny the Confequence. For although it depend not upon the Worthiness of the Preacher, yet it may and doth require Holiness, as a Qualification indispensably necessary in him; even as they grant themselves, that none are justified without Faith; yet they deny, that the Efficacy of Justification depends upon the Worthiness of him that believeth. And the Efficacy of good Wine depends not upon the Worthiness of the Vessel that bears it; yet none will put good Wine in a leaky Vessel, or unfit: And indeed, as unfit as a leaky, unclean Vessel is to receive good Wine; a Graceless Man is as unfit to receive the Dispensation of the Gospel, which is compared to New Wine in the Scripture. And, faid Christ, No Man putteth New Wine into Old Bottles: for indeed the Ministration of the Gospel

> Their Fourth Argument depends upon a Proposition, which they lay down, and offer to prove, but fall short in, viz. That they cannot know, who have true Grace. This we deny. For if they would believe in the Light, wherewith

> is a Ministration of Life and Grace, and none

can Minister that, which they have not.

Christ hath enlightned them, they should receive 1676. the Anointing; and by it their Eyes should be opened to know, who are Gracious, and who not.

But let us fee, how they prove it. 1. Say they, We cannot know it immediately: That is granted. 2. Nor can we know it by their Outward Works, unless it be out of the Judgment of Charity, which may deceive us; for all the Works which a Godly Man can do, may likewise be per-

formed, as to the outward, by Hypocrites,

To this we answer; If by Outward Works they mean fuch, as come under the outward Observation of the meer outward and bodily Senses, we grant; but there are Works, which are the Fruits of the Spirit, which, although they re- The Fruits main in the Souls of Holy Men, yet send forth of the Spirit area Sayour a Savour of that Life and Spirit, or Spiritual of Life, Principle, that is the Root of them, through which Hythe Outward Works and Conversation, which have not. can and do reach unto the Spiritual Senses of others, where they are. And this Savour and Manifestation of Life can no Hypocrite have; but it is an Infallible Evidence of Sanctification in Measure, where it is; and where the Sanctification is greatest, the Savour or Manifestation of Life is there greatest also. According unto this Paul said, We are a good Savour, &c. and Paul 2cor. 2. said of the Corinthians, That they were the Epistle C. 3. 3. of Christ: John said of the Saints, That the Name of God, and of the Lamb shall be in their Forebeads.

Many other Testimonies could be brought to prove this; we shall only add that of Christ, He that believeth in me, out of his Belly shall flow Rivers of Living Water. So here is an Evidence that no Hypocrite can have. Now what are these Rivers, but the Influences of the Spirit? And feeing, they are faid to flow out, they may K 4

1676. be discerned by others. It is true, the Natural Senses cannot discern them; but the Spiritual

Natural Senses can. Aud seeing, the Students grant Spiand Spiritual ritual Senses, if they grant them to be True and
cerning their Real, they must grant also Spiritual Sensible Obproper Objects, which may be as certainly apprehended
and discerned by our Spiritual Senses, although
the Objects themselves be without us, as Natural Objects without us may be apprehended by

the Natural Senses.

3. Nor can we know it, say they, by Revelation. But how prove they it? They only suppose they have proved already, that there is no such thing; but how weak and impertinent their Proofs are, is above shewed. But here note, that by Revelation is sufficiently understood the Revelation or Discovery, which the Fruits of the Spirit, or Spiritual Life give forth in Holy Men one to another: for as the Savour of some sweet Cyntment is a sufficient Revelation of it to the Sense of the Natural Smell, so the Spiritual Savour of the Spiritual Cyntment is such to the Spiritual Smell.

Lafty When

Lastly, Whereas they say, The Gift of Discerning Spirits was never common to all. deny, nor doth that Scripture cited by them proveit, 1 Cor. 12. 10. Otherwise they might as well fay, That Faith was not common to all True Christians, because it is said, To another, Faith. But as by [Faith] here must be understood some Extraordinary Degree of Faith, or the Faith of Miracles; fo the Difcerning must be some Extraordinary Degree, or as in Relation to Miracles; feeing, there were Spirits of Devils that wrought false Miracles. And such a Discorning as to that, we do not plead for, as Common to all; but that a Discerning of Spirits, so far as to discern betwixt them, who were Godly and Wicked, and who were Ministers of the Spirit, and who not,

The Difcerning of Spirits is common to all True Christians.

was Common to all, we prove. Because it is pro- 1676. mised as a General Priviledge, Mal. 3. 18. Then shall ye return, and Discern between the Righteous and the Wicked, &c. Again, all are commanded To try the Spirits, 1 John 4. 1. Therefore all have a Measure of Discerning, whereby to try them; otherwise they were required to do an Impossible Thing, which is absurd. If it be faid, He giveth a Rule whereby to try them, viz. Every Spirit, that confesseth, that Jesus Christ is come in the Flesh, is of God, ver. 2. To this we answer. The Rule is one thing, the Discerning the Spiriis another; and differ, as the Object and the Eye. and discerns Now, the Eye is as much required to fee, as the the true Object; Therefore all need a Spiritual Eye, to from the apply the Rule in a suitable Manner, so as to false. know, who do truly confess Christ come in the Flesh. For John cannot mean a bare Verbal Confession, because Antichrist himself may have that: Therefore he meaneth a True Living Confession in Life and Power, which no Hypocrite can have.

Having thus answered all their Arguments, we shall conclude this Particular with one Argument against them; one Part of which is their own Confession.

They who can be certainly known and difcerned to be Impious and Unholy, ought not to be admitted into the Ministry:

Impious and Unholy Men can be certainly known to be Impious and Unholy:
Therefore they ought not, &c.

The first Proposition is proved, and sufficiently confirmed from their own Confession, That None ought to be Admitted, but who in the Judgment of Charity are to be esteemed truly Pious:

not to be admitted. But if they be certainly known to be Impious, they cannot be so esteemed; Therefore, &c. The Assumption is proved above, partly by Arguments, and partly by the Resultation of what they have said against it.

Divine Interpretation.

In the Second Part they Dispute against an Immediate, Enthusiastick Call (as they call it) by way of Inspiration, being necessary, and for the Necessity of a Mediate and Outward Call. And because we plead for the Blessed Inspiration of the Spirit of God, they call us Enthusiastical Impostors, and if the Apostles themselves and primitive Christians were now living, they would give them the same Name: For we plead for no other Inspiration, but that which was given unto those

Holy Men.
But seeing, they use the Word Enthusiasm so

much in a Way of Reproach, it is fit, that it be opened. Let them tell us then, if they mean any other thing by it, than True Divine Inspiration? If they mean another, it concerns us not, for we plead for no other. But if they mean that (as the Word properly fignifies, being derived from a Word, that fignifies, God within, as the best Greek Dictionaries shew) they should not Reproach us with that, which was the Glory of the Primitive Christians, and by which the Scriptures were writ, to wit, Divine Inspiration. And here they tell us of an Inward Call, which confifts in the Disposition of the Soul; but they will not have it to be an Inspiration: But if by this Disposition they mean any Spiritual or Supernatural Gift, they must needs acknowledge, that it is an Inspiration, at least in the general Sence. For how can it be Spiritual, unless it be Inspired ? Is not every good Thing, that is Spiritually Good, from the Spirit? Surely the National Confession of Faith published in Knox's Time,

(Enthufiasm its proper Significati-

Time, doth expresly say, That Faith is the In- 1676. spiration of God. But if they say, they deny not Subjective, but Objective Inspiration, we put them to prove this unnatural Division and Separation: As if there were Inspiration in Men's Souls, that is not Objective; which we altogether deny.

But as to this Inward Call, we ask them, If it The Call of hath not in it the Nature of a Command, fo that Ministers, he, who hath it, is bound to obey it? If they lay not, then a Man may lawfully disobey it. and refift it, although it be of God: If they fay It is a Command, then it is Objective: for it is the Nature of all real and true Commands to be

Objective.

Again; If by Disposition they mean the meer Qualification, that enables a Man to be a Preacher, how can that be a Call? Seeing a Man may be fit or able for an Office, that hath not a Call thereunto, being already in another Office, that he is fit for also. So that they bewray gross Ignorance, in confounding the Ability and the Call, which are diffinct things. And here they require of us to prove our Immediate Call by Miracles, or any extraordinary thing, which can only be from God; and so cannot agree to false Teachers. And it having been told them by R. B. that the Papists made the same Objection against the first Reformers, they call this an Impertinent Pratling; but for all the Disparity they shew, the Impertinent Pratling falls upon themselves. They confess, The first Reformers had an extension readinary Call in Respect of their Heroick Gifts; former's yet they also had a Mediate Call: They owned the Holy Scriptures for their principal Rule, and Preached no other Gospel, &c. To this we an-Iwer, that all of them had a Mediate Call, is a meer Alledgance without any Proof; yea, the History of the Reformation sheweth the contrary. Again,

Writings, that the most Eminent of their own Writings, that the most Eminent of them did lay no Weight upon that Outward Call, which some of them had from the Popish Church; but did plead, that seeing the Visible Succession of the Church and Ministry was interrupted by the Apostacy, that they needed no Outward Call, but did betake themselves to the Extraordinary.

Sadeel de See for this Sadeel de Legit. Vocatione Ministrorum;

As now, if any of us called Quakers had ever had the Mediate Call from the National Churches, as some in England indeed had, namely S. F. who was a Parish Priest. Nor will it prove, that the first Reformers had an Extraordinary Call, because they owned the Scriptures as their principal Rule, and preached no other Gospel; otherwise all the National Preachers now would have an Extraordinary Call, because they pretend to own the Scriptures as their principal Rule, and to preach no other Gospel. Yea, we own the Scriptures as the scr

External Rule, and to be preferred to all other outward Writings and Testimonies; but we cannot prefer them to the Inward Testimony and Word of God in our Hearts, as neither did the most Eminent of these, called Resormers; but indeed preferred the Inward Testimony and Word to the Outward: As is proved in the Book called

Quakerism no Popery.

Now, whatever Proof or Evidence the first Reformers could give of their Extraordinary Call, the Quakers can give the same. That which they mainly insisted on, was the Soundness of their Doctrine, accompanied with the Holiness of their Life, and good Effect of their Ministry, whereby Souls were converted unto God, as Sadeel

deel in the Treatise above-mentioned De Legit. 1676. Voc. Min. Sheweth at length. And let our Adversaries disprove this Evidence, if they can; which we fay, is as good an Evidence to us, as it was to them: And though false Teachers may pretend unto the same, yet it can be proved, that it doth not justly belong unto them. As for Popery and Popery and Mahometanism, it can be proved, that Mahometanism, it can be proved, that is not ours. they are contrary to Scripture; but our Adverfaries have not proved, nor can, that our Do-Etrine is so; and we are most willing to bring the Matter to this Issue; we doubt not, but to give better and stronger Evidences from Scripture and Reason to Convince Gainsayers in a Rational Way, than our Adversaries can. But that we make the Efficacy of our Doctrine (taken precisely by it felf, and without being accompanied with the Soundness of it, &c.) an Evidence of our Call, is a meer Calumny of the Students.

Now let us see, what they have to say for the Students Their Outward and Mediate Call. They cite di-derive their vers Scriptures to prove, that the Apostles Or-and Ordinadained Elders; but doth this prove, that their tion from Ordination, which they derive from the Apostate Church of Rome, is a true Ordination and necesfary? Yea, it is clear, and confessed by the most Judicious Protestants, that true and lawful Ordination and Succession hath not continued in the Church fince the Apostles Days; but hath fuffered an Interruption by the general Apostacy. that as a Flood overflowed the Earth. And that although God still preserved a Church, yet she had not a Visible Outward Succession; because she was not visible all along her self: And before our Adversaries can make the Half of their Argument good, they must prove, that not only a True Church hath continued ever fince the Apoftles Days, but that the hath been Visible, having a True Visible Succession of Visible Teachers, who

vere

1674. were good and faithful Men all along to convey it down to this Day. But to infer, that Ordination hath continued, because of the Command, (if the Command hath been Universal) doth not follow; feeing many things commanded may be Unpractifed through Unfaithfulness to the Command. Now it is certain, that generally the Visibly Ordained Bishops have not been faithful Men for many Hundred Years, and fo kept not to the Substance of that True Ordination that was in the Apostles Times; but lost it through Unfaithfulness, and set up a Shadow in its Room: The like may be faid of other Things. And the Ordination being once lost, it cannot be recovered again from a meer Scripture · Command; otherwise all may pretend to a Power to Ordain: For the Scripture doth not command one more than an-Ordination other. Yea, we find no general Command in and laying en of Hands. Scripture for Ordination, only that it was practifed, which we deny not; and with it there was

fed, which we deny not; and with it there was a Spiritual Gift of the Holy Ghost conveyed: Which was the main and only Thing, that made the Ordination and Laying on of Hands effectual, and without which it is but a Shadow. As may be seen at this Day in the National Church: for who among them dare say, that they either Give or Receive that Spiritual Gift of the Holy Ghost, which was then Given and Received therewith?

1 Tim. 4. 14.

Their Second Argument is from Heb. 6. 1, 2. whereby they would infer, That Laying on of Hands is a Part of the Foundation of Christianity; but that Scripture faith no such thing. For the Dostrine of Baptisms, and Laying on of Hands relates to the third Ver. as a thing that the Apostle intended to open; And this (said he) will we do, if God permit; whereas he had laid the Foundation already: Therefore the Dostrine of the Laying on of Hands belongs not to the Foundation;

but

but to the Superstructure. But however it doth 1676. not follow, that Laying on of Hands it felf is a Thing to continue: For he speaks of it but as of a Doctrine, as that of Baptisms, which we confess doth continue; as the Dodrine of the Figures, Types, Ceremonies and Sacrifices doth continue to this Day : And the Apostle opened them largely in that Epistle; yet the Figures themfelves were not to continue. Besides, how do they prove, that this Laying on of Hands is Ordination, and not that used in Confirmation? Here they miserably stick; only they alledge, it is ceased among many, and is not so necessary: But how prove they, that it is not so necessary? Shew us, where it is repealed more than the other; feeing, it was as generally practifed, yea, and more; for many received it, that were not Preachers nor Elders?

In the last Place they plead, That Preachers The Preacher's should have a Maintenance; which we deny not, tenances if they need it: But may not Men be Preachers, who need no Supply from others? But many have, wherewith to be Hospitable unto others, without taking, far less forcing others to give

them.

The Maintenance then, that we are against, is, 1. A Superfluous and Unnecessary Maintenance.
2. A forced Maintenance. 3. Such a Maintenance as Preachers Agree with and Contrast for. 4. A Taking it from them, who are not worthy. 5. A Taking it from them, who do not acknowledge them to be true Preachers. Now, none of all the Scriptures or Reasons brought by them prove any such Maintenance; nor do we read, that ever the Apostles received it; or that they received any Tythes, which was the Maintenance of the Law, and not of the Gospel. And that the People ought to contract with Preachers, will not follow, because they are bound in Charity to supply their

1676. their Wants. For we are bound in Charity to Supply the Wants of the Poor, according to our Ability; yet it doth not follow, that we are to contract with them, or that they can force it from us.

they import, that they were not to make Sale of the Gospel; so also, that they were not to make Sale of the Gospel; so also, that they were not to force or compel Men to give them any thing, as a Recompence for Preaching the same. For how can we give freely that, which we force others to Recompence as for? And here they cry out upon the Quakers, as a Sacraligious Crew, for denying such unlawful Maintenance, as the Priests generally have. It seems, the Young Men are greatly concerned, they love so well the Wages of Unrighteousness; for against no other Thing do we contend.

Again, they alledge, That we bely them, in Priests sell Saying, They think, that the Preaching of the Gofthe Gospel. pel can be fold for any Earthly Wages. The Reason they give is weak: For although there is a vast Disproportion and Inequality in Worth betwixt the same, yet a Thing may be fold for less than the Worth of it; yea, when the Worth is infinitely greater. For did not Judas sell Christ 7udas-like. for Thirty Pieces of Silver? And do not they plead, That Greedy and Covetous Men ought to be received and paid, until divefted? And are not fuch guilty of Simony, and Selling of Preaching? Which they confess themselves: Therefore the Quakers in this do not belye them. Yea, do they not well know, that it is a most ordinary thing in Young Men, (and it is well, if some of these be not guilty of the same) to go unto Patrons, and offer them Money for Presentations unto Parish-Churches? Than which we Guilty of know no greater Simony used in the Church of Rome? And as for the Hospitality of Preachers,

10

it is also required in Deacons, and all good Chri- 1676. flians; will it therefore follow, that all good Christians must have set Stipends? Or if Christians are to work with their Hands, that they may have wherewith to be Hospitable; why may not Preachers also? They cite Paul, telling, That he had Power to forbear working: But they know, That Paul was an Apostle, and claimed that Power not as an ordinary Preacher, but as an Apostle, like unto the other Apostles: Mark these Words. For as touching the Apostles, they had that Power, because of a more universal Charge incumbent upon them, than ordinary Pastors; fo that they could not fo attend to work with their Hands, as others could: And yet even Paul Paul wrought with his Hands, which is more than any wrought with his of the National Teachers will do, to spare the Hands. Receiving from them, who are not able. And it is to be observed, how the National Teachers plead floutly for their forbearing of Working, from the Power of the Apostles; but when we tell them. That the Apostles travelled from one Nation to another, and took great Pains to plant the Gospel in many Places, and hazzarded their Lives to Preach it among the Heathens; they anfwer, That is not required of them. So they would take the Apostles to patronize them in forbearing Working, and taking Maintenance; but not in being at fuch Pains and Jeopardies for the Gofpel's fake, as the Apostles, which is not equal. Also when we tell them, The Apostles preached by Inspiration, and had an Immediate Call from Heaven; they tell us, that is ceased now: But why tell they not, that the Power to forbear working is ceased also? Surely, the Continuing of Inspirations, and Immediate Calls to the Ministry feemeth a more needful thing than their Stipends.



SECTION VIII.

Of Liberty of CONSCIENCE.

Wherein the Studdents Sixth Section concerning the Civil Magistrate his Power in Punishing of Hereticks, and also what they say in their Eighth Section concerning the Tendency of Quakerism to Anarchy and Treason, and Denying the Necessity of Christianity, is Considered and Answered.

Fter that the Studens have laboured what they can to overturn the Quakers, they Fersecution betake them to PERSECUTION, as their the Students last Refuge; thinking, if they can but prevail lalt Refuge.

here, and get the Magistrates to cut off the Quakers, as Blasphemers and Traytors (for such they have designed them in their Title-Page) they will be eased of the troublesom Task of Disputing a.

ny more with them.

And here, not to be unlike themselves, they begin with a Lye, faying, [They had a Dispute concerning this with the Quakers, the first of June, 1675. Whereas one of them (to wit, J. L.) was not present; and the other two proposed not one Argument in that Matter: But what was spoken was by another; who being earnestly defired by them to concur in the Account of it with them, utterly refused; as judging, neither he nor they could give a true Account of it, and absolutely discharging to meddle with that in their Book. Which yet they are not ashamed fallly to ascribe to themselves, which that young Man upon The Stu-fight of their Book from one of us, declared to dents decla- be a Lye; afferting, what is above written in this Matter, before several Witnesses of their own count of the Profession; particularly P. D. one of the Stu-

their Ac-

dents

dents Attestators. And therefore fince he judg- 1676. eth himself, (as he declared) abused in this Affair C by them, as well as we, we shall not take notice of what passed at that Time betwixt him and us. ((it being also his Defire;) but betake our selves to this Theam, as it is now proposed and urged by the Students: Wherein how miserably they are pained, the very stating of the Controverfy shews, in which they have given away their Cause.

1. They fay, They speak only of Real Here-

sies, and not what others call so.

2. They say, They speak not of Inward Alls and meer Exercises of the Mind, because it belongs neither to Church, nor Magistrate, To judge of hidden Things.

To which we answer. That fince the Students Their acknowledge, that both their Church and Magi-Church and Magilrate strate are liable to Error, yea, and that neither cannot infalof them is to be supposed Infallible, and there-libly discern fore cannot cerrtainly and infallibly discern, say) then what is Herefy; neither ought they to take up-judge it on them to punish for Heresy. And that de facto Protestant Churches have thus Erred, their Master, John Menzies and many of his Brethren can bear Witness; who have cried out against that for Error, Antichristianity and Heresy, (causing Men to be grievously persecuted for it) which now they allow, as Christian and Orthodox. But we shall improve this more hereafter, and now proceed to their Arguments.

1. They argue from Deut. 13.5. Exod, 22.20. Levit. 22. but the Question is, Whether these Commands, given particularly to the Jews, belong to us? (for that of Levit. 22, is only concerning the Priests and Levites touching the Holy Things, with their Uncleanness upon them; and is wholly

Imper-

1676. Impertinent to this Purpose.) For if these be Obligatory upon us; fo will also many other:

Ob. igatory upon us.

Particular As, that a Man may immediately with his own Commands Hand kill bim, that has killed his Kinsman, unless Jews, whe be get to the City of Refuge; seeing there is no particular Repeal of that, more than of the former. Yea, and that of Deut. 5. 9. faith expresly, That the Brother, Husband or Father of him, that confenteth to serve other Gods, shall kill him with his own Hand; which our Adversaries will not deny to be Murther. And let them shew us, where the one Part of this Command is repealed, more than the other? Or how the one Part is lawful for us, and the other unlawful, feeing both were Commanded and Lawful to the Fews? For their meer Affertions as to this (pag. 126.) are not to be regarded.

They are offended, that Matth. 5. 29. should be given for a Repeal of this; alledging, That belongeth only to private Persons, and not to Magistrates; else it should be unlawful for Magistrates

to punish Transgressors, &c.

Answ. The Consequence will not hold. For we are not speaking of things Civil, but of things Religious; though it may be lawful for them to Resist Evil in the one, yet not in the other. But that Christian Magistrates are here included, is easily proved. It this belong to all Christians, then it belongeth to all Magistrates, if they be Christians: for to say, that a Christian, by becoming a Magistrate, is dispensed of these Obligations he is particularly tyed to, as a Christian, A Suffering is most absurd: Yea, if Christian Magistrates be bound to suffer for Righteousness sake, then they Magistrates, are not to resist Evil in Matters of Religion: But the first is true; for how could they enjoy the Bleffing of those that Suffer for Righteousness fake (Matth. 5. 10, 11.) if they still resisted? At this Rate none should suffer for Christ, who could

for Righteoufness in

by

by any Means shun it by killing those that make 1676. them suffer; and who would then be those that Suffer willingly? And it seems, according to the Students, if a Man be a Magistrate, he ought not any more to suffer for Christ; which is as much as to say, That so soon as a Man becomes a Ma-

gistrate, he ceases to be a Christian.

The great Noise they make of the Two Dispensions of the Gospel, mentioned by G. K. doth pensations of the Gospel, but manifest their own Weakness and Folly. For themselves will not deny, but that where-ever Faith in Jesus Christ is professed, and he owned as the Saviour and Son of God, there is a Dispensation of the Gospel; as in the Greek, Armenian, Ethiopian, yea (and in their Account) in the Romish Church also: Yet will they not deny, but that Dispensation is more Legal and Obscure, than that themselves are under; as having many Ceremonies and Shadows not necessary. And so here is a Twofold Dispensation acknowledged by themfelves; seeing, they will not affirm, that the Use of all these Ceremonies is absolutely finful in these Churches, who are not as yet convinced of it, though it should be unlawful for them to use them. And seeing, the purest and most Excellent Dispensation of the Gospel is to be like unto Christ, who Resisted not Evil, though he was powerful to do it; and that we are bound to be like Him, then there is a Dispensation of the Gospel, in which Evil is not to be resisted. But further, if there be such a Dispensation of the Gospel, as Men shall beat their Swords into Plough-Shears, and their Spears into Pruning-hooks, and not learn War any more; then there is a Dispensation, in which Evil shall not be Resisted. Consequence cannot be denied; the Antecedent is the express Words of the Prophet Isaiab 2. 4.

Besides, this Twofold Dispensation is proved out of Bishop Forbes of Aberdeen his Exposition upon

L 3

the

1676. the Revelations, where he affirms, That the two Church upon Earth; in which Church it cannot be supposed, that Evil should be resisted by an Outward Sword.

are not to bear the Sword in vain.

Pag. 121. They argue from Rom. 12. where Magistrates the Magistrate is not to bear the Sword in vain; Hence they conclude, They ought to resist Evil: But this faith nothing as to Matters of Religion. They shew as well their Malice as Disingenuity here, infinuating, we denied that Place to belong to Magistrates now, which we never did, nor do: Only G. K. faid, He would be glad to hear, how they could prove, that it did belong to Magistrates now? And indeed, were we not otherwise per-fwaded of it, their Arguments could not in Reafon convince us, which is, That the Scripture is written for our Cause; and these Epistles are to be received and obeyed by us. But they have overturned all these themselves (as is above obferved) where in their Answer to the Apostle's Rules about Women's Praying and Prophesying with their Head covered, they suppose Rules given by the Apostle in his Epistles of Things, that not only are not pertaining to us, but even unlawful. And so unless they make us a clear Distinction of these Rules, and that by some evident Demonstration; to argue from Our Duty to obey these Commands, fignifies nothing. But while they take up the Paper to prove that, which they cannot fay we ever denied, they most shamefully omit our Chief Answer to this; which could they have replied unto, they would not have dropped And therefore we shall return it upon them, that they may not forget it, when they write next.

That of the 13 Rom. cannot be understood of the Magistrates punishing Men for Matters of Conficience, because it being written to the Church of Rome, to shew them their Duty towards their 1676.

present Magistrate, which was Nero, that Cruel

and persecuting Emperor: And then it would Not to pushfollow, That Nero had had a Lawful Power and Au-nish Men for Religious thority to punish even Christians for Errors in Matters;

Matters of Religion, though himself was a pro-like Nero that persefessed Insidel. And seeing, the Magistrate is to cuting EmExert his Power according to his Knowledge, it peror—would follow, that Nero exercised a lawful Power in causing to kill the Apostles, and persecute the Christians: which will make that horrid Crime very Slander, seeing it was no more according to the Students, but the Exercising that lawful Authority, he had received from God, according to his Knowledge.

Pag. 122. They build an airy Triumph upon their own Mistake, alledging, That since their Magistrates are not under that pure Dispensation, it is Lawful for them to Resist Evil: And so that of Matth. is not a Repeal to them. But they _But for E, have here either wilfully, or ignorantly forgot vilin Civil, ten the other Branch of the Distinction: For granting, their Magistrates may (as we deny not) and that Lawfully Resist Evil in Civil Matters; yet not in Matters of Conscience: And this is that, which was incumbent upon them to

have proved.

But it may be worth the Reader's Pains specially to notice their Reasonings in this 122 p. in Answer to that Objection given in by us from the Parable of the Tares, Matth. 13. where the Tareswhat Servants are expressly forbidden to pluck them up. they are. Here they play fast and loose to purpose; and to facilitate their own work, make no Difficulty to fasten Contradictions upon Christ himself.

1. They say, It is clearly Repealed; because Murtherers, Witches, Traytors are Tares, as well as Hereticks; and if the one were to be eximed *, * Exempt, so would the other. Is not this the Way to ar-or Excepted

L 4.

1676. gue against Christ, and to charge Contradictions Vupon him, not upon us? Wherein they fasten an Absurdity upon him, who gave this Command: Or else they must acknowledge, that by these Tares are to understood some fort of Evil-doers, with whom the Magistrates are not to meddle. But fince the Students say, This is Repealed; they must confess, it sometimes stood in Vigour, it being once commanded; we would willingly be informed then of them, (and they may remember it, when they write next) How long this Command stood, and to whom it belonged, since it bad its Rise from Christ, and was none of the Old Covenant Precepts? Or if it be one of those useless Gospel Commands they dream of, which it is unlawful for us to obey? But to go on, they fay, That by the Tares is to be understood bemasked Hypocrites; who being scarce discernable from the Wheat, are therefore not to be meddled with. No Differn- Very well then, where the Magistrate cannot discern Heresies, according to themselves he is not to punish. And then what comes of that Authority, was acknowledged Nero had, from Rom. 13. who was as uncapable to discern Hereticks, as Hypocrites? And then feeing, as be-fore is faid, They are not to Judge of hidden things, Experience hath abundantly thewn, how much the true Discerning of Herely is both uncertain and difficult even to Protestant Magiffrates, who have called that Wheat to Day, which they have called Tares to Morrow: And therefore ought (according to this Rule) to for-

Sundry Retogether.

ing, no Judging.

Their Second Argument Page 123. drawn from ligions may Rom. 13. and 1 Pet. 2. 14. (which is parallel with it) is before Answered. Afterwards they go about to play the Politicians, thewing both here, and in the following Page, How the Publick Peace is disturbed by suffering of sundry Religions: And

bear Medling in such Matters.

this they reckon fo Certain, that they conclude, 1676. It is known by all, that are but indifferently versed in Histories, &c. Now if this Conclusion hold true, it is impossible either for France, Germany, Holland or Zwitserland to be in Peace, without either the Papists rife up, and cut the Protestants Throats, or the Protestants theirs: And who but fuch as the Students can be Ignorant, that after much Blood shed and Contention (who should Oppress and Destroy each other) they have learned by sad Experience, That it is Safest, and most conducible to the Peace, and contributes most to the Publick Benefit, Bot to meddle with each others Consciences; Notwithstanding that these pitiful Statesmen can prattle to the Contrary: Who have shewn themselves in this to be very indifferently versed in History.

But they proceed Affirming; That fince the Magistrate is Keeper of both Tables, to whom is it correquire a entrusted not only the Care of Men's Bodies, but Civil Censouls; he ought to punish not only for Evil, but also Religious for Religious Offences. If all this were confessed, offences an Would it follow, that he were to punish Religi Censure. ous, as Civil Offences by a Civil Censure? Surely nay, no more than he must punish Civil Offences by an Ecclesiastick Censure. Now it remains for them to prove, That Offences in things purely Conscientions should among Christians be punished by the External Sword? Which they have not as yet done. And let it be here Observed, that notwithstanding all their Clamours for the Magistrates Priviledge, and that the Quakers detract from him; All the Power, Dignity and Honour they put upon him, is, To be the Clergy's Burrow: For as they allow him not Authority to judge, who are Hereticks, and who not; So he must only serve to be their Executioner, and perfecute fuch, as they find prejudicial to their Interest. For though they will have it to be lawful for

Preach-

1676. Preachers (fuch as their Bishops) to be Magiftrates, as Chancellor, Counsellor, Judge, &c. yet no Migistrate, nay the King himself must take upon him to be a Preacher; though we could never fee any thing in all the New-Testament making this Unlawful: yea, and David and Solomon in the Old, who were not of the Tribe of Levi, were both Prophets and Preachers, and Penmen of the Scripture. This Trick even the Prote-Priefts fout

m. dling with their Fun-Ction.

out the Ma. Stant Clergy have learned from their Father the giftrate from Pope, who shewed the Clergy long ago the Way to make themselves Princes and Judges, but to be fure to shut out the Magistrate from medling with their Function. So it may be easily seen here, whether the Quakers or the Students be the greatest Friends to the Magistrate. Lastly they Conclude, that since those that broach Heresy, do Evil, and that the Magistrate is the Executor of God's Wrath upon him that doeth or afteth outwardly Evel without any Restriction, &c. it is not lawful for usto add a Restriction, where the Spirit of God bath put none.

Who can but admire the Impudency of these Students, which do that, which in the following Line they Affirm is unlowful, by adding [Outwardly which is a Restriction! For the Words in the Text are not [Outwardly Evil] but Evil; which being taken without any Restriction, comprehends Inward as well as Outward Acts of Evil. Seeing then, they put a Restriction, (though to their own Self-Condemnation they confess it to be Unlawful) which they are forced to do, else Hypocrites would be comprehended, whom they Confess to be Tares, that are not to be medled with: We that judge it no wife unlawful (because without other clear Texts be Contradicted, there must be here a Restriction) may restrict it to things Civil and Moral, excluding Matters of Worship and Difference in Opinion, for the Reasons often

Fares.

before-mentioned. Their third Argument wholly misses the Matter, which is, The Practice of many Princes even approved of God, in Coercing Idolatries, &c. For since all the Examples they give, are of the Kings of Judah and Israel under the Law, it no wise meets the present Controversy, which is concerning the Power of Christian

Magistrates under the Gospel.

Lastly, they Argue, That the Prophets of the Old-Testament have prophesied, that it should be the Death the Office of Christian Magistrates to Coerce false of false phets. Prophets; for which they alledge Deut. 18. 20. He that shall speak in the Name of other Gods, shall die. Very well, he faith not, shall be put to death in a Judicial Way: It is faid, The Soul that sinneth, shall die; it will not therefore follow, that Every Soul that sinneth, shall be killed by the Magistrate. But though it be understood of putting to death, it reacheth not the Case, we being under the Gospel, not under the Law; where also it was not lawful fo to do for different Opinions and Interpretations of the Law, but only for Rejecting the True God and his Law, and introducing New and Strange Gods. Their other Proof is from Zech. 13. 1, 2, &c. where it is faid, That the Fathers and the Mothers of the false Prophets shall say unto them, Thou shalt not live, and thrust them through, when they prophefy: This is so far from being taken literally, that the Students dare not take it so themselves; else the Father and the Mother might do the Business without troubling the Magistrate. And afterwards the Text speaks of those, Who were not to live, of their having Wounds in their Hands, and being alive; which shews, the Understanding here is to be Spiritual. And feeing, the Students do not understand it leterally of the Persons, to whom the Text ascribes this Coercing, and that there is not the least Word of

1676. of a Magistrate in the Place; for them to affirm, that it is not to be understood of the Magistrate,

is but miserably to beg the Question.

They begin their 8th Sell. Page 126. Affirm-Quakers are ing, That Quakerism tends to Anarchy and Confu-not against Magistracy, Sion, and Treason, alledging, We would pull down the Magistrate, if we could; and set up our own Spiritual Magistrates: as John of Leyden, &c. For this malitious Infinuation they give no Reafon, but fuch an one, as destroys it, to wit, Our giving in [Resist not Evil; pluck not up the Tares] as Repeals of some Laws in the Old Test. Now let Men of Reason judge, whether Treason be the Tendency of these Men's Principles, that affirm, Evil is not to be resisted? Or how these can do Violence to the Magistrate without Contradicting their Principles: And then it cannot be the Tendency of them. And whereas they conclude. faying, That Quakerism, as they conceive, beyond all Doubt tends to Anarchy, Confusion of State and Treason; Their Conceptions are very false in this Matter; and we may upon far better Grounds The Frater- Retort this upon the Students Confraternity, the

Clergy, who thro' their Ambition and Turbulennity of the Students. Trumpeters cy did from the Pulpits blow the Trumpit of all of Wars and the late Confusion and Treason in the Civil Wars. Confusions. and shew themselves Exact Disciples of Fobn of

Leyden, acting his Pranks upon the Stage of Great Britain; a Charge, they have not to lay to

the Quakers.

Their next Effort is to prove, We deny the Ne-cessity of Professing Christianity, because we believe those not bound to believe the History of Christ, from whom God bath necessarily with held the Knowledge of it: For they confess, That we believe those obliged to believe them, to whom they are Revealed. But they must here also Act like themselves, in making that a Horrible Crime in us, which their own Chief Doctors Affirm; who

being pressed by the Arminians with this Argu- 1676. ment, That which every Man is bound to believe, is true. But every Man is bound to believe, that Christ died for them; Therefore it is true. They deny the Minor, plainly Affirming, that those, that have not heard of Christ, are not bound to believe, he died for them: So according to the Students, themselves are guilty of denying the Necessity of Professing Christianity, as well as we. But further they fay, We are guilty of this, because we set up a New Christ in every Man, that is born, and grows up into a perfect, substantial Birth. As their First Charge in this Matter hits Christ withat their own Doctors; so this Second is common christ. with us to the Apostle Paul, (for the Students Dispute, like blind Men striking at Random, that heed not, what they hit) feeing the Apostle calls Christ within, of which we speak, the Hope of Glory, which is neither a New Christ, nor yet another, than He, that died at Jerusalem; who did travel, that he might be brought forth in the Galatians, and callshim, the New Man, born in. and put on by others. So if in This the Apostle did not deny the outward Sufferings of Christ, neither do We; unless the Students can shew, how our Doctrine differs from his, or Contradicts it: which they have not yet attempted to do. As for that Question of J. Pennington, How can outward Blood Cleanse? We refer them to his own Book in Defence of that Expression, as quarrelled by J. Hicks, called The Flesh and Blood of Christ: Of which there were divers Printed Copies at Aberdeen before the Students Book was put to the Preis.

wild an until had this on a toy

1676.

The CONCLUSION.

Wherein their Observations upon R.B. his Offer, and their last Section of the Quakers Revilings (as they term them) are Examined.

N the End of the Account of our Dispute I. renew'd an Offer to the Preachers of Aberdeen, as being the Persons, we were principally concerned withal; giving the Reasons therefore, and shewing the good Effects, that might proceed there-from: as in the same Offer may be feen. At this the Students feem to have gone Mad; and Fret and Fume, like Persons Possessed, alledging, I betake my self to Railing, as my last Refuge: But whether there be any Railing in that Offer, is left to the Judicious Reader to Examine. The Students, notwithstanding their Clamours, give not one Instance of it; but whether they have any better Reason to Answer it withal, than Railing, let the Reader judge. For upon this Occasion, Page 127, 128. they call me Vain and dents railing Arrogant, like a very Thraso; Ignorant and Foolish; one whose Weakness and Ignorance is renowned; a bold Barker, but a fost Biter. These are the Modest Young Men, that profess to be against Railing (that fay in their Preface, They have abstained from Personal Criminations, and have not rendred Evil for Evil). And with this their unreasonanle Railing they mix in a Company of Lies; as that Infinuation, As if the Thefes had been written by G. K. which is a false Calumny they are dared to prove. Like unto which is what follows: That I provoked all Europe; fought Dispute in divers Places from any, who would, without Distinction; Upon the Account of this Printed Provocation boafted and gloried, that I had

Expressions . and Lies.

got no Dispute: Which contain as many Lies al- 1676. most as Words; for which they offer not the least Proof. Afterwards, as an Inflance of my Cowardliness and Vain boasting, they say; I with G. K. fled, and deserted a Dispute appointed betwixt us and R. G. my Uncle, at the Cross of Elgin: Which is utterly false; neither G. K. nor I ever spake with R. G. about such a Matter, nor made any Appointment with him: Yea, R. G. hath under his Hand declared, that being by a Friend of his defired, he refused to Debate with us in Relation to these Theses; nor was there any such Appointment ever made known to us. So the Reader may see, whether these Credible Witnesfes, that Attested their Accompt, be any better here, than those Credible Informers, from whom they had this great Untruth. As they proceed. they give themselves the Lie; for after many needless Words (of which it is hard to make Sense) they Conclude, That this late Engagement (meaning the Dispute with themselves) is a fulfilling of the Offer in the End of the English Theses: Notwithstanding they subscribed the Articles, whereof the First expresly bears, That it is Ab-Aract from it.

But First they say, The Ministers are not concerned to meet with the Quakers; because the Report of the Victory is already gone upon their Side. who are but Young-Men, and cannot do fo well as their Ministers, who are more Learned, and Grave: And yet a little after (that they may not omit here to give them themselves the Lie) they say, That such a Dispute would be a Means to stumble the Weak, barden the Fallen, and dishonour God, What Confusion rather than the Contrary. here! They are but Toung-Men, and their Masters more Learned, Grave and Able, their Dispute (if they be to be believed) has done Good, Established many Inclining to Quakerism: And yet their Ministers

1676. nisters Disputing would be a Mean to stumble the Weak? &c. They conclude, that we are not to be fought after, because we are Goats and Wolves. and not strayed Sheep: We could produce enough under their Masters Hands to Contradict this, if it were worth the Pains. They fill up the Rest of the Sest. with alledging, That publick Disputes are against the Law: upbraiding me as a Rebel, for offering one; alledging, that it is not lawful for Protestants neither in the Turkish nor Popish Dominions to Offer to Dispute against the Publick Religion authorized by the Magistrate; though they may Privately call it in Question. and diffwade from it: Whereby they openly Con. demn as Rebels the Apostles and Primitive Chridents Condemning the stians, yea, and the Primitive Protestants; as by Apostles, Oc many Instances both at Home and Abroad could be shewn. And whereas they say, We profess to Oppose their Religion and not Papists: It is another Falshood: for some of us of late Years have lost their Lives, and others deeply Suffered for Oppo-

at Home, will as unwillingly undertake, as another Dispute with the Quakers.

They begin their last Section most Impudently, alledging; That they have passed by, as much as they could, personal Criminations: How great a Lie is this, the Reader, by what is above said, will Observe. They are Angry, we should alledge, That their Ministers had put Arguments into their Mouths; Though we can shew them of the Closest of them in Manuscrips sent by them to us. And to disprove, they say, They faithfully declare it to be Lies: But what Men of Faith they are, is above shewn: Let it be left to the Reader to judge, whether they be more to be Trusted in saying, The Arguments they brought, were their own; than when they say, They had a Dispute with the

fing Popery at Rome it Self; a Task, the Students so long as they can sit at Ease, and Buy a Benefice

the Quakers the 1st of June, 1675. Though one 1676. of them was altogether Absent; and the other two were but meer Hearers. What Reason is there, they should be believed in faying, The Arguments they used, were their own; though perhaps they only Repeated them? as when they positively Affirmed, That they disputed with us; though they were meer Auditors? And to this they add another great Lie, faying; That the Quakers affirmed in their Contra-Remonstrance, that this is G. M's Work under their Cover: Whereas the Words are; It is strange, that they (to wit the Students) should undertake so hastily, what he has been so long Advising; unless this be his Work under their Cover! But a Supposition is not a positive Afsertion: It is not said, This is bis Work, as the Studends have perverted it; who are so accustomed to Lie, that such kind of Perversions pass with them but for small Escapes. They are offended W. M. should be called a Catechist; though the Bishop their Ordinary is not pleased, he should have a higher Designation: and themselves cannot deny it. And whereas they fay. This is done exceeding maliciously; for he officiated at the foresaid Place for a short Space, and long before the Publishing of their Pamphlet: They would do well to shew, wherein the Malice of this lieth; and to examine, Whether he did not exercise that Office longer, than he has done any fince? But it is their Custom to speak at Random.

They cry out against our saying, We are informed, that their Master had gone to the B. to differ confere him to complain to the Council, &c. Alledge plains to ing, That though we say, we are informed; yet the Bishop at they infinuate, it is a Lye of our making: Because Quakers, &c it is usual for us, that are damnable Hereticks, to spread a false Report our selves, and then say, we heard it. Let them instance any Report (if

1676. they can) raised up by us, for which we cannot vgive them Authors of their own Religion; whereas the most of their Reports against us have no Authors, but our Enemies. But for Answer let them know, that the same was told to R. B. by G. M. and J.S. two Chief Citizens of their own Profession; the last of which constrained him to stand upon the Street, until he should tell it him. And whereas they add, That if they would follow our Foot-steps, they could cast many horrid things in our Teeth. Answ. It is one thing, To receive Information against a People, and report things as true, spoken or written by their professed Enemies, as the Students do in their Citations out of Clerk's Examples, Hicks and Faldo; and another, to report things spoken by Members of their own Church; who if they have belied them, let them reckon that among themfelves.

Lastly, They accuse me, As having impudently Aspersed their Professor J. M. with Scurrilous Revilings, and Malicious Calumnies; Of which, they are so impudent, as not to give one Instance, and are thereto dared, when they write next, to name them; or instance one Calumny or Scurrilous Reviling, wherewith I asperse him; or

else be accounted impudent Lyars.

Whereas they fay, These Calumnies we borrowed from the spiteful Jesuits, and like Vipers spouted them out; again they declare their Folly. The Jesuit accused him of Treason, and the Students following his Example, do us; but so not 1, who only minded him, that seeing he, who says, The Scripture is his Rule, has been deceived, in pretending the Scripture said that, which now he confesses to be an Error. If the Spirit were to be rejected from being the Rule, because Men pretending to it have been deceived; so should the Scripture also. In which Instances if

he

he or they dare fay, I have Calumniated him, 1676. Let them name Wherein? and I shall prove all I have afferted in that Affair, and that without Recurring to the Jefuit's Testimony, having my Information from better Hands. And to augment their Lies, they say, It tends to bis Advantage to be calumniated by such as the Quakers: They have not proved, that we have calumniated him. And we may justly retort, That he may rather be troubled and shamed, to find himself so fawningly Flattered and Commended by such as the Students; like the Philosopher of Old, that was troubled, when spoken well of by a

Profligate Person.

Lastly, They go about to Apologize for the Long Time their Book bath been a coming out, because of their Difficulties at the Press: Which Difficulties were not fuch as we meet with, to have their Papers surprised and stop'd, as they fought to do ours; but because they could not perswade a Printer to be so foolish, as to print them without due Payment. But it is like, the Contriving and Patching it together hath been as great a Cause of Lett; since when it was out, and came from Edinburgh to Aberdeen, and after we had bought one intire Book at Edinburgh, they kept it up at Aberdeen several Weeks, advifing and confulting about it: And upon Notice of some gross Contradictions in it (which we had observed to some of their own Way) They caused the Printer there to patch two Pages to it, to help them: By which they have but rendered their Weakness more obvious. For whereas to folve that gross Contradiction before observed by us, of their making us speak in their Account one after another, and yet faying; The Auditors can testify, That we are Lyars and never spake so, They say, Their Meaning is not, that we did not speak one after another. What means M 2

no more, but that the Students intended not to contradict themselves; and instead of Bettering themselves by this Addition, they have given away their Cause. For whereas they before make a great Clamour against G. K. for afferting Permissive Inspirations, as if it had been some great Absurdity; themselves here affirm the same thing, saying, The Apostle by these Words, [it is good for a Man not to touch a Woman] doth not Command, but only Permit, (be himself nevertheless being Inspired by the Spirit of God so to do.) Is not this then a Permissive Inspiration? So that these things will but make their Folly manifest, as also their surther frivolous Apologies in that Additional Advertisement; which to the truly Judicious doth not cover, but rather discover their Weakness.

Seeing it may fall out, that this Tract may arrive at the Hands of many, who perhaps may not fee those Sheets, in which we have disproved the Students Calumnies and Lyes in Matter of Fact, as in Relation to the Dispute we had with them; we thought fit here also to insert the Certificate of four Students present at the Dispute, and since come among us: Three of which were at that Time actual Students of Philosophy in the University; and the other had been in the Class with one of the Disputants.

R. B,

1676.

FE Under-Subscribers, late Students of Philosophy in the University of Aberdeen, being present at the Dispute, do faithfully declare, That the Students have grossy belied the Quakers in their Account, making them speak that which they spake not; and also forging Arguments and Answers not mentioned upon the Place. And though we had no Intention at that time to own the People called Quakers; yet we dare not but declare. That their Answer and Behaviour bad no small Influence upon us, to make us in Love with their Way, and to fearch after it more diligently: As also the Students Arguments and Lightness did. not a little tend to make us disgust them and their Principles. And albeit that inward Peace and Satisfaction of Mind, which we enjoy in the Truth we now profess with that Despised and Injured People, doth make us bless the Day, in which it pleased God to bring us among them, yet we are not a little confirmed in the Belief of this Reproached Testimony and Witnesses, that we find, the strongest Arguments their Adversaries have against them, are Lies and Calumnies. And this we Testify for the Truth, whom the Truth bath taught not to Lye.

R. S. J. A.

And I also declare, who (being a Student at that Time in the Old-Town Colledge) was present at the Dispute, and heard the same with Attention, that the Students have grossy belied the Quakers in many things in their Account: And although that since it hath pleased God to join me unto that People, yet at that time I had no Mind to be of their Way: However when I saw their Account, I did M 3

1676. approve it, as Ingenuous, as now also I do; and disapprove the Students, as false in many things.

Alexander Seaton.

And I likewise (being a Student in the New-Town-Colledge) at that Time was present at the Dispute, and do declare, That the Students Folly and Lightness had no small Instuence upon me, to search more narrowly into the Way of that People: Which it pleased the Lord to bless unto me, so that the Eyes of my Understanding came to be opened, and I came fully to be convinced of the Truth of their Principles and Way, to which now by the Mercy and Goodness of the Lord I am joined; and do sind, by comparing the two Accounts together, that the Students have wronged the People called Quakers in divers things, as the Students Self-Contradictions do sufficiently shew.

Alexander Paterson,

Universat

Universal Love

CONSIDERED,

And established upon its

RIGHT FOUNDATION.

Being a Serious Enquiry how far

CHARITY

May and ought to be Extended towards

Persons of different Judgments

Matters of Religion.

AND

Whose Principles among the several Sects of Christians do most Naturally lead to that due Moderation required.

Writ in the Spirit of Love and Meekness, for the Removing of Stumbling-Blocks out of the Way of the Simple;

By a Lover of the Souls of All Men,

ROBERT BARCLAY.

I Joh. iv. 16. God is Love, and he that dwelleth in Love, dwelleth in GOD.

I Joh. v. 2. For this is the Love of God, that we keep his Commandments.

LONDON: Printed by the Assigns of f. Sowle, in the Year, 1717.



1676.

Universal Love, &c.

SECTION I. The INTRODUCTION.

Giving an Account of the Author's Experience in this Matter; with the Reasons moving him to Treat thereof.

Lbeit it were very desirable, that Man Godliness could from his very tender Age upwards der Age, is receive so Living an Impression not only the Happiness of few. of the truest and surest Principles of the Doctrines of Christ, but also of the Life and Power of Godliness, to leaven the whole Mind and Affections unto the pure and holy Nature of Jesus; yet seeing, that this Happiness befals to few (most of Men being by the Prejudice of Education either pre-possessed, or defiled with wrong Notions) or else those who come to receive even at first a right Impression in their Understandings, as to Opinion or Principle, do either by the Power of inward Corruption working upon their Natural Complexions, or by other Temptations from without, oftentimes fall exceeding short of this pure Life; the best Way to redeem the Time, and to repair that Loss is from the Experience we have had of the Evil, and the Memory we retain of how far we have been wrong, to Confirm and Strengthen our felves in the Good now Revealed and Embraced: Which by the Powerful Work-

ing

1676: ing of God's Infinite Goodness turning all to the Advantage of those, that Love and follow Him, makes the Ministry of such the more Effectual; as in the Example of Paul and others was manifest.

> It being then fo, that the Condition of my Life hitherto (albeit I as yet am but a young Man) gives me Experience more, than perhaps to many others, to treat of this Subject: Therefore finding a true Freedom and Liberty in my Spirit, I have willingly undertaken (for the fake of Some) to write something briefly, and yet I hope clearly thereof: that my Experience herein (if the Lord will) by His Bleffing may be made Useful to others.

thor's Education and Experience.

My first Education from my Infancy up fell among the strictest Sort of Calvinists, those of our Country being generally acknowledged to be the Severest of that Sect, in the Heat of Zeal furpassing not only Geneva, (from whence they derive their Pedigree) but all other the Reformed Churches abroad (fo called:) So that fome of the French Protestants being upbraided with the Fruits of this Zeal, as it appeared in To. Knox, Bucanan and others, do (besides what is peculiar to their Principles of this Kind) alledge, the Super-abundance thereof to proceed à fervido Scotorum Ingenio, i. e. from the violent Complexion of our Countrymen.

I had fcarce got out of my Childhood, when I was by the Permission of Divine Providence cast among the Company of Papists, and my tender Years, and immature Capacity not being able to withstand and refist the Infinuations, that were used to Proselyte me to that Way, I became quickly defiled with the Pollutions thereof; and continued therein for a Time, until it pleased God through his Rich Love and Mercy to deliver me out of those Snares, and to give me a clear Understanding of the Evil of that Way. 1676.

In both these Sects the Reader may easily conceive, that I had abundant Occasion to receive Impressions contrary to this Principle of Love herein treated of; feeing the Straitness of several of their Doctrines, as well as their Practice of Perfecution, do abundantly declare, how oppofite they are to Univerfal Love; as shall hereafter more at large be frewn. And albeit the Time it pleased God to deliver me out of these Snares, I was fo young, that it may be prefumed, my Observations could be but weak, and consequently my Experience Inconsiderable; yet forasimuch as from my very Childhood I was very Ambitious of Knowledge, and by a certain Felicity of Understanding (I think, I may say without Vanity) fuccessful beyond many of my Equals in Age (though my Observations at that Time were but weak) yet fince I have with more Leifure and Circumspection gathered thence so much Experience, as I am confident, will ferve for a sufficient Foundation to any Superstructure I shall build upon it in this Treatife.

The Time that intervened betwixt my forfaking of the Church of Rome, and joining with those, with whom I stand now engaged, I kept my self free from joining with any Sort of People; though I took Liberty to hear several: His Hearing And my Converse was most with those, that several secs. inveigh much against fudging, and such kind of Severity; seeming to complain greatly for want of this Christian Charity among all Sects. Which Latitude may perhaps be esteemed the other Extream opposite to the Preciseness of these other Sects; whereby I also received an Opportunity to know, what usually is pretended on that side likewise; and thence can say somewhat experi-

mentally on that Part also.

As for those I am now join'd to, and whom I justly esteem to be the True Followers and Ser-People's va-vants of Jesus Christ, the World speaks diversly rious Judg of them, as to this Matter; some highly accufing them of the Want of Charity, and quarrel-Quakers. ling with them as fuch, who peremptorily Condemn all but themselves: Others have a Contrary Conceit of them; each according as they are Prejudicated and Informed. What may be truly faid in the Case, shall after appear. I my felf have been diverfly censured in this Matter, being engaged in Controversy; which as they can be scarcely handled without something of Sharpness, so are seldom managed by any so successfully, as not to bear the Censure of some or other in this Respect.

Having then upon the whole Matter observed, that this Want of Charity is that, for the Want of which each Sect accuses the other, and yet are most averse to see this Defect in themselves; and that some do accuse All Sects, as guilty of this Crime; I have judged it meet to commit my Sense hereof to Writing, according to the Purpose signified in the Title afore-mentioned.

SECT. II.

The Nature of Christian Love and Charity demonstrated; the Consistency of True Zeal therewith: Its Distinction from false Zeal.

The Nature of Christian Love and Charity.

HE Nature of Christian Love and Charity is fully and abundantly described in the Holy Scriptures, where it is preferred before all other Virtues and Properties whatsoever; as that which comprehendeth in it all other Perfections, and is the Root and Spring of them. For there

can be no true Virtue, but that which proceedeth 1676. from Love; hence God himself is called Love. 1 70h. 4. 7. as being that, under which all his Innumerable and Unutterable Perfections are Included. By this Love we are redeemed from the Corruption of our Nature, and have received the Benefit of a Mediator; Job. 16. This is the Banner, wherewith God covereth his Children. Cant. 2. 4. This is that, which confrained our Lord Jesus Christ to lay down his Life for us, Joh. 15. 13. The Exercise of this is given by Christ, as the principal Token of his Disciples, Fob. 13. 35. It is numbered as the first Fruit of the Spirit, Gal. 5. 22. It is called the Fulfilling of the Law, as that, wherein all confisteth, Rom. 13. 10. For to Love God above all things, and our Neighbour as our selves, is the Sum not only of the Law, but of the Gospel also, 1 Cor. 13.13. Therefore the Apostle Paul giveth to this Love or The Excel-Charity the Precedency before either Faith or Love and Hope; in which Chapter he doth briefly, but Charity, and very Emphatecally describe it. For having first Necessity of shewn, that the Speaking with Tongues of Menterit, and Angels, that the Gifts of Prophesying, Understanding and Knowledge, that the Faith, that even could Remove Mountains, and the Giving of all to the Poor, yea, and the Body to be burnt, is nothing without it: He proceeds to the Description of it thus: Charity Suffers long, is kind; Charity envieth not, vaunteth not it self, is not puffed up, does not behave it self unseemly, seeking not her own, is not easily provoked, thinketh no Evil, rejoyceth not in Iniquity, but rejoyceth in the Truth; beareth all Things, believeth all things, hopeth all things, endureth all things, never faileth, albeit other Gifts have, I Cor. 13. from the first Verse. As by this the Excellency of Love is shewn,

As by this the Excellency of Love is shewn, so the Necessity of pressing after it, and living in it will be readily acknowledged by all: but

feeing

feeing, the Sum of this Love, as well as the Perrection thereof confifts in Loving God above all: so whatever diverteth in any thing therefrom. is not to be accounted Love, though the same Word be used to express it, and that in the Scripture it felf; fuch as the Love of Self, the Self-Love. Love of the World, the Love of any Creature. Hence for the Attaining of the true and excellent Love, the Love of all thefe other things is not only to be laid afide, and the Love of God preferred to them, but they are to be hated, as Christ himself phraseth it, He that bateth his Life, Joh. 12. 25. Yea, he useth it so, as to speaking of hating Father and Mother, Luk. 14. 26. Though when the Love to fuch is truly Subordinate to the other, it is both commended and

The Tefti-Love of God is to keep Commandments.

commanded.

The Testimony, which is required of our realmony of the ly being in the Love of God, Christ himself signifieth to us, If you love me, keep my Commandments: and as the beloved Disciple John said in the Case of Knowledge, shewing us the Falshood of fuch, as pretend to know God, and yet do not fo; faying, He that fays, he knows God, and keeps not his Commandments, is a Lyar, and the Truth is not in him, I Joh. 2.4. So may be also faid, He that faith he loves God, and keeps not his Commandments, is a Lyar, and the Truth is not in him; according as the same Apostle saith, I Joh. 5. 3. For this is the Love of God, that we keep his Commandments. Hence it is apparent, that Love without Purity is but a false Pretence; and that what soever hinders from the Practice of this Love of God, or withdraws from the Obedience of the Least of his Commands, is to be denied, and no ways to be entertained; as being either the Love of the Devil, the Love of the World, or the Love of Self, and not the Love of the Father. And as from the True Love of God (having

(having taken Place both upon the Understand- 1676. ing and Will) there ariseth a great Fervency and Defire of Mind, that it may be wholly United with the Lord, and made conformable unto his

Will in all things.

So from hence ariseth also a certain Aversion from, Indignation of, and even Hatred to whatfoever is contrary thereunto, or has a Tendency to lead from it, which is commonly called Zeal. Which Zeal having a right Bottom and Founda-The True tion, and proceeding purely from the Love of ceeds from God, is a great Virtue, greatly to be com-the Love of God. mended and pressed after; and the Defect thereof is justly reproveable in a Christian. Zeal then, thus confidered, is a thing Excellent, Pure and Holy of it felf, appears, in that it is ascribed to God himself, 2 Kings 19. 13. Where the Performance of the Blessed Evangelical Promises is to be performed by the Zeal of the Lord (Ifa. 19. 17.) He is faid to be cloathed with Zeal, as with a Cloak; and 63. 15. His Zeal is numbred with his Bowels and Mercies. Next David recommends himself to God, for that the Zeal of his House had eaten him up, Pfal. 69. 9. and 119. 139. And Paul commends the Corinthians for their Zeal, 2 Cor. 7. 11. 1 Cor. 14. 12. And for this End has the Grace of God appeared unto all, that there might be a People gathered Zealous of Good Works, Tit. 2. 14. And as this is commended and recommended on the one Hand; so is the contrary thereof (to wit, Indifferency and Lukewarmness) reproved and rebuked, as a Thing Displeasing to the Lord. Of many Instances whereof, that might be given, that unto the Church of Laodicea, may ferve at present, Revel. 13. 15, 16. Because for a Remedy against this Evil she is exhorted, Verse 19. To be Zealous and Repent.

But

But as there is a True Zeal, fo is there a False 1676. one; and it is not more needful to have the One. than it is to avoid the other. Now as the True Zeal proceedeth only from the pure Love of God,

Zeal, its Kinds and Degrees.

The Falle and fingle Regard to his Honour and Glory; fo the False Zeal proceedeth from the Love of something else, and the Regard to other Things. And of this False Zeal there are several Kinds, as well as Degrees: all of which, though they ought to be ihunned; yet some are far more Hurtful and Pernicious than others. The Worst and Highest of these is, when as Men through the Hight of Pride, Lust, Ambition or Envy shew themselves LA Enrious Furious and Zealous to fatisfy and fulfil their De-

Cain's Zeal. fires and Affections; This is the Highest Zeal for Self: From this Zeal did Cain flay Abel; Ishmael mocked Isaac, and Esua hated Facob; Pharaob Persecuted the Children of Israel; Saul, David;

and fezabel the true Prophets of the Lord.

2. A Wicked Perfecutor's Zeal.

A Second Kind is, whenas Men, that are not True and Faithful even to those Principles of Religion they profess themselves to acknowledge as True, as being Conscious to themselves, and also publickly known to be such, as are Vitious and Profligate; yet do violently Persecute and Oppress others, that differ from them; though they be not only Equal to them, but even by their own Acknowledgement exceed them in Temperance and Virtue: having nothing charge them with, but that they Agree not with them in Judgment and Practice in Matters of Religion. This apparently is a False Zeal, and not of God; for if it proceeded from the True Love of God, it would first Operate in themselves to the Removing all those Things, which they acknowledge to be Contrary to this Love of God, before it exerted it felf toward others; feeing, as the Proverb is, Charity begins at Home. And like unto this was the Zeal of the Scribes and PhaPharisees, who Persecuted Christ for a Breaker of 1677. the Law, which themselves did not sulfil: As is Scribes and also the Zeal both of the Papists and Protestants pharises instanced.

in their Persecutions at this Day.

A Third Sort is of such, who do indeed walk 3. A blind strictly and closely to their own Principles, mak zeal. ing Conscience of their Way; but yet being Blinded in their Understanding, do Persecute Truth, supposing it to be Error. Of this Number was Paul before his Conversion, Being as to the Law Blameless, and Persecuting the Saints out of Zeal, Acts 22. 3. Gal. 1. 14. And therefore in this Class he numbers his Country-men, faying, They have a Zeal for God, but not according to Knowledge, Rom. 10. 2. And perhaps among these such may be numbred, of whom Christ speaketh, saying to his Disciples, And when they kill you, they shall think to do God good Service.

A Fourth or Last Sort is, when as Men being self-willed truly Enlightened in their Understanding, but Zeal. their Will, Heart and Affections not being throughly leavened with the Love of God, do Condemn things justly Reproveable, but not out of the pure Drawings of Divine Love; but even from a Mixture of Self in the Forwardness of their own Will, as not suffering any to be Contra-

ry unto them.

This is the most Innocent Kind of Wrong Zeal; yet not so, but that it ought to be watched a-gainst: And of these few that are guilty of it, it were fit, they waited to be Redeemed from it. But the pure, Undefiled Zeal, that is acceptable to God, is that, which proceeds not only from a pure and clear Understanding, but also The Pure from a Renewed and Sanctified Will, which adduct the cizea. moves not of nor from Selt, but from and for the LORD.

SECTION III.

The Controversy Stated with Respect to the different Sorts of Christians, how it is to be fetched from the Nature of their Principles, and not from the Practice of Particular Perfons.

tue.

True Chri-flian Love and Charity is the most Excellent the most Ex-cellent Vir-of Virtues, most needful to be sought after and attained; that it is never joined, but with Purity, which it naturally leads to; and there from doth consequentially arise an Indignation and Zeal against Unrighteousness; which True Zeal is justly Commendable, and really distinguishable from all False and Ignorant Zeal.

> For the more clear Stating of the Controversy in proceeding in this Matter, these following Affertions relating thereto may be Proposed and

Affirmed

taken for granted by all Sorts of Christians.

Affertions I. That what soever Love is Consistent with the Prue Love of God, may be fafely exercifed towards all Sorts as well of Men, as Christians.

II. That what soever Love or Charity really conduceth to the Salvation of their Souls, and fo far as they are capable thereof, may and ought

to be shewed towards them.

III. That therefore the Good in all ought to be Commended, Encouraged and Loved, and no True Good either Denied, Rejected or Despised, because of any Errors peculiar to respective Sects.

IV. That the Evil either in Opinion or Practice ought neither to be Spared, Encouraged nor Fomented under any Pretence of Love whatfoever.

These

These I shall not enlarge, nor yet offer to 1677. prove (studying to avoid Prolixity) because I presume, they will be readily granted and offent -ed to by all: That then, which principally comes under Confideration, is to Confider and Examine.

Which of all the several Sorts of Christians at this Day, do most truly observe these Rules, and Shew forth most of the true Love and Zeal of God in their Way and Principles, avoiding that, which is Contrary thereunto?

Wherein that I may proceed clearly, there are fome Things previous to be warily and Seriously

Weighed. As--

First; When I speak of the Charity of the se- I. veral Sorts of Christians, I speak of such as are unsettled fetled in their respective Principles, as perswad-others, are ing themselves certainly in the Truths and Sound more repro-ness of them: So that their Love and Charity those than those chess: is really to fuch, as they judge and believe are Wrong. Seeming to have Charity to all, and be afraid to judge them from an Unsetledness in ones Self, as not knowing which is Right, or which is Wrong, is a Virtue of Necessity and not of Choice; and proceedeth no ways from the Love of God, neither has any Resemblance thereunto: For God loves and compassionates Sinners, and fuch as are out of the Way, not as being Ignorant or Doubting, whether they be fuch; but as really Knowing them. It were indeed the Height of Madness and Folly for these Unsetled, Uncertain and Straggling Souls to take the Liberty to judge others for being Wrong, while they profess, they know not yet, who is Right, and who is Wrong; being only sure of this, that themselves are not come to Rights: For they could not in so doing but be Self condemned:

1677. Not but I believe, that the Condition of such, if they be truly Forbearing, and ready to embrace the Good, when feen by them, is both more Tolerable to others, and Safe for themselves, than those, that are hardily Confident in a wrong Belief, from thence forwardly Judging others. But this I mention for the Sake of certain Men of loose and uncertain Principles, Who being really Conscious to themselves of their own Unsetledness, finding themselves at a Loss as concerning many of the Controverted Principles of the Christian Religion, which is Right, and which Wrong; and not finding a ready Way to come to any certain Determination therein, and being parhaps unwilling to undergo the Trouble and Difficulty of such a Serious Search and Enquiry, as well as defircus to avoid these troublesome Circumstances, or other Inconveniencies, which may feem to them necessarily to accompany their Imbodying themselves with any particular People or Fellowthip, and therefore find it more eafy to fatisfy and please themselves with some general Notions of practical Truths commonly acknowledged by all, and therefore Cover themfelves by Condemning that Heat, Asperity and fevere Censuring, that is among the several Sorts of Christians one towards another, as not Confistent with, nor suitable to that Love, which ought to be in all Christians: Whereas poor Men! they mind not, how much they fall in this Crime, and that in a Degree far more Reproveable, than those they thus Accuse. For-

First: Are not they found guilty of the Want pinions in re- of this Christian Love and Charity, that do ligious Cenjudge and condemn all those several Sorts of Christian and pudging each ans, as Defedive in this so principal and necessary arctound ly shut them out from being Christians or Discitual that they are found ples of Jesus? For if they judged it an Error Intenselves.

confistent with Christian Love and Charity, to 1677. Condemn or Accuse one another for difference in Opinion; Why are they found themselves so guilty of it? For fince this Censuring and Condemning of one another amongst the several Sorts of Christians is practifed by them as their Duty, and a necessary Consequence of their Doctrine, Why should their Judge them for it? If they fay, They Condemn them for it, because they believe it to be a wrong Frinciple; Shall not others be allowed the like Liberty to condemn Principles they likewise believe to be Wrong? One of two then must of Necessity hold, Either that Wrong Doctrines or Opinions may be justly Reproved, Confuted and Condemned without Breach of Christian Love and Charity, and therefore the fo ding amongst the several Sorts of Christians (upon their own respective Principles in it felf simply considered) not Evil or Reproveable, and therefore these Men Wrong in Judging it so; Or it is an Evil, and inconfistent with Christian Love and Charity to Condemnany Sort of Christians for their Doctrines and Opinions: And if so, these Men fall toully in this Crime, that do Accuse all others for this Judging of Principles; and yet themselves Judge them for their Principles herein. And-

Secondly: Their Envy in this is of an higher Degree by their own Sentence, than those others unstable thus Judged by them: Because the others proceed Judgments upon a certain Belief and firm Perswasion, that our to themthey are in the Right, and those they Condemn, selves. Wrong; and so proceed rationally Consequential to their own Principles: But these Men, albeit they be Conscious to themselves, that they are not come to a Determination of what is Right, and what Wrong, and remain yet in Doubt for want of a clear Understanding, what to Approve, and what to Condemn; do nevertheless

most Irrationally (and inconsequentially to their wown Affirmations) Reprove, Censure and Condemn All others, as destitute of, or at least Defe-Ctive in a Virtue and Quality so Necessary and Essential to all Christian Societies. To whom therefore may be fitly applied the Saying of the Patriarch Jacob to his First-born Reuben: Unstable as Water, thou shalt not Excel, Gen. 49. 4. And that of the Apostle Paul to the Romans, Rom. 2. 11. As also that other Saying of the same Apostle to the Galatians, For if I Build again the things that I have destroyed, I make my Self a Transgresfor, Gal. 2. 18. ..

Secondly, To make a Judgment of the several

II. Society is judged from its Princifrom parti-Practices.

Sorts of Christians, we must fetch it not from the A Religious Practice of particular Persons; but from their Respective Principles, by enquiring, how far theynaturally lead to, or draw from this Universal Love? Because that it cannot be denied, but that cular Persons among all and every Sort of the several Sects of Christians, there are Men of divers and fundry Humours and Complexions, fome more hot, violent and froward, who profecute what they judge Right, with great Zeal, Hast and Fury; others of more Calm, Meek and Loving Dispositions, who though they be not less desirous to advance their Way, yet do it in a more complacent, Affa. ble and Deliberate Manner: Some there are, that are naturally of a Careless, Indifferent, Galliclike Temper in fuch things, and therefore behave more forbearingly and civilly towards fuch as Diffent from them; not because of any Virtue, but because such things do not much trouble or Neither then of these Virtues, as touch them. they are incident to particular Persons, are so justly Chargeable upon a Sect or People, as from thence to make a positive Judgment of their Univerfal Charity or not, feeing, thefe are not the Confequences of their Principles, or that which

necessarily comes from them, as relating to this 1677. or that Society; but the meer Product of their Natural and Private Humours. How far any particular or fingular Persons among the several Sects have attained to the Performance of that true Love and Zeal formerly described, I shall not determine; neither does it necessarily belong to this Question: For if any should be found to do any thing, which were so far from being the Consequence of their Principles, as to be quite Contrary, their Brethren would not suffer their Principles from thence to be dif-approved; fo neither can the Excellency of any fingular Person's, while not proceeding upon their Principles, approve them as Justifiable in this Respect. As for Instance; where it is the Universal Principle and general Practice of a Sect, to Persecute even to the utmost those that differ from them (as in the Church of Rome) should there be found One or Two, or a very few of a more moderate Spirit, that should profess an Aversion from such Sort of Severity, and also practife it in their Station according to their Capacity, who would ascribe this Moderation and Charity to the Church of Rome, would take his Measure but badly. And on the other Hand, where it is the Univer-fal Principle and general Practices of a People, not at all to Persecute for the Matter of Conscience, should any private Persons of that Society be carried by their Fury and Heat to the Practice of any fuch thing, though directly Contrary to their Principles; who would charge this upon the whole People, and thence measure them, would make also a bad Consequence. Nevertheless I shall not deny, but some Principles are so Pernitious, and so strait and narrow, that they seem by a certain Malignity generally to Influence all their Followers, as shall after be observed: But I shall now proceed to the fore-mentioned Examination. SECT.

1677.

SECT. IV.

An Examination of the Principles of several Sorts of the so called Christians Compared with this Universal Love, and found Defective: As 1. Of Papists. 2. Of Protestants in general: 3. Of Socinians.

Sthere are two Ways chiefly, whereby a People or Society do fignify their Charity or towards others, that Differ from them; fo by these Two also is signified their Contrary. Principles and Practice.

The First is by a Favourable and Charitable ritable Judgment of the Condition of Men's Souls, also beit of Different and Contrary Principles from them, in supposing, or at least not absolutely demying, but that they may even upon their own Principles, if faithful thereto, obtain Peace

with God, and Life Eternal.

2. A friendly Deportment.

The Second is by a Friendly and Neighbourly Deportment towards Men's Persons, in not seeking to Ruin and Destroy them, whether in Life, Liberty or Estate: albeit their Judgment concerning God, and Things Spiritual in the Nature and Manner of the Exercise of their Worship be both Contrary and Different: Where the Desect of any of these Two is, there of Necessity must be wanting Universal Love and Charity.

1 .Uncharitable Judgment.

For thou, that hast bound up and tied the Means of Salvation to thy Principles and Doctrines, so as to Exclude from Salvation all that differ from thee, or contradict thee, hast certainly declared, thy Charity exceeds not the Limits of thy own Form; and that the best Opinion and highest Esteem thou hast of any that differ from thee, for any Virtues or Excellencies that may

may appear to be in them, resolves at last in no 1677. better than this Conclusion; For all this they must be Damned. Neither will it serve to prove the Universal Charity of any People in this Respect, that some of them may Problematically Affirm, That Salvation may be possible to some such Dissentors living invemote Parts, who are excluded from the Benefit of all Means of knowing their Principles, making this Possibility only as an Effect of God's Omnipotency, and so purely Miraculous; alledging, They will not deny, but God in a miraculous and extraordinary Way may bring some to Heaven. For this shews no Charity at all either in the Principle or People; but is only a meer feeming Acknowledgment of God's Omnipotency from a Sight of the gross Absurdity, that would follow from Affirming otherwise. Those only can be esteemed Charitable in Point of Doctrine, and truly to commend the Love of God, whose Principle is of that Extent, as naturally to take in within the Compass of it both fuch, as have not arrived to their Discoveries, and who are also Different in Judgment from them, and that without any extraordinary and miraculous Conveyance; as being the common Means and Order of Salvation appointed by God for All, and truly Reaching All.

Moreover in the Second Place, far less canst thou pretend to have Charity for me, that wilt rob me of my Life, Goods or Liberty, because I cannot jump with thee in my Judgment in Religious Matters: To say, Thou dost it for good, and out of the Love thou bearest to my Soul, Is an Argument too Ridiculous to be Answered; unless that the so doing did infallibly produce always a Change in Judgment: The very Contrary whereof Experience has abundantly shewn, and to this Day doth shew; seeing such Severities do oftner Consirm Men in their Principles, than

drive

1677. 2. Uncharitable Deportment: To destroy Men for Conscience. an Act of Malice.

drive them from them. And then by thy own Confession thou dost not only destroy my Body. but my Soul also; and canst not avoid thinking upon thy own Principle, but I must be Damned, if I persist in my Judgment: Which for thee to be the very Immediate Occasion and Author of, is certainly the greatest Act of Malice and Envy. that can be imagined; feeing thou dost what in thee lieth, thre' thy Heat of Zeal and Fury to Cut me off from obtaining that Place of Repentance; which for ought thou knowest, it might please God to afford me, were not my Days thus short-Magistrates ned by thee. To alledge the Example of putting

putting to to Death Murderers and other fuch Profligate Death Mais no Exam-Men for Matters of Confcience.

lefactors for Malefactors (which is Allowably done by the getheir Crimes neral Judgment of almost all Christians) from ple for them thence urging. That as this is not accounted a to kill good Breach of Christian Charity. So neither the other; will no way ferve the Purpose; nor yet be a sufficient Cover for this Kind of Unchristian Cruelty; because the Crimes, for which these are thus punished, are such, as are not Justified as Matters of Conscience, or Conscienciously pradised; which are unanimously condemned not only by the Confent of All Christians, but of all Men, as being Destructive to the very Nature of Mankind, and to all Humane Society: And 'tis confessed even by all such Malefactors themselves, I know not if One of a Hundred Thousand can be Excepted; and the Punishment of such is Justifiable, as all generally acknowledge. But to kill Sober, Honest Good Men meerly for their Conscience, is quite Contrary to the Doctrine of Christ; as has been elsewhere upon other Occasions largely Demonstrated.

This being premised, I shall briefly Apply the fame to the feveral Sorts of Christians, that thence may be observed, whose Principles do most exactly agree with, and lead to that Universal Love and 1677. Charity, so much in Words commended by all; and for the Want of which every Sort take so much Liberty to Judge and Condemn each other. There are many other Particulars, by which the several Sects may be Tried in this Respect; but these Two fore mentioned being the Principal, I shall chiefly insist upon them in this present Application.

-To begin then with the Papifts, there is nothing The Patifts more commonly acknowledged and affented to Maxim; without the among them, than that Maxim, Extra Ecclesiam Church is no nulla Salus, without the Church there is no Sal. Salvation. vation; which Maxim in a Sence, I confess to be true, (as shall hereafter appear) but according as it is understood among them, it does utterly destroy this Universal Love and Charity. Forby this Church, without which there is no Salvation, they precifely understand the Church of Rome; reckoning, that who seever are not of her Fellowship, are not faved. And this must needs neceffarily follow upon their Principles, feeing, they make the Ceremonial Imbodying in this Their Chil-Church fo necessary to Salvation, that they ex cluded from elude from it the very Children begotten and their Rigor. brought forth by their own Members, unless formally received by the Sprinkling or Baptism of Water. And albeit they have a certain Place more tolerable than Hell for these Unbaptized Infants; vet hence is manifest, how small their Charity is? And how much it is confined to their particular Ceremonies and Forms? Since if they think Children born among them for want of this Circumstance are excluded from Heaven, albeit never guilty of Actual Transgression; they must needs judge, that such as both want it, and also are guilty of many Sins (as they believe all Men are, who are come to Age, especially such,

1677. as are not in the Church) go without Remedy to Hell.

The Pope's Secondly, All Dissenters and Separatists from the Yearly curse Church, Instidets, Turks and Hereticks, which (in and Excome thort) are all that profess not Fellowship and munication of all with Communion with the Church of Rome, and own out them. her not as their Mother, are in a most solemn

her not as their Mother, are in a most solemn Manner Yearly Excommunicated by the Pope: And it were a most gross Inconsistency to suppose, that such, as are so Cursed and Excommunicated, and given over to the Devil by the Father and chief Bishop of the Church, can in the Judgment of the Members be saved; especially while they think, he is Approved of God, and led by an Infallible Spirit in his so Excommunicating them.

And Lastly, To suppose any such Universal
The Foun-Love or Charity, as extending to Persons either
dation of the without the Compass of their own Society, or
Romish
Cherch, the Dissenting and Separating from them, so as to
Superiority
of Peter.

reckon them in a Capacity or Possibility of Salvation, were to destroy and overturn the very
Basis and Foundation of the Roman Church;
which stands in Acknowledging the Superiority
and Precedency of Peter and his Successors, and

in believing that Infallibility is annexed there-

Now, such as are not of the Roman Society, cannot do this; and those that do not thus, are such, to whom the Church of Rome can have no Charity; but must look upon them as without the Church, and consequently as uncapable of Salvation, while there abiding.

object. If it be Objected, that the Church of Rome professeth Charity to the Greek, Armenian and Ethiopian Churches, albeit vattly differing in many things from them.

Answ. I Answer, that what soever Charity the Church of Rome either doth, or ever hath professed to

any

any of these, shall be found to be always upon a 1677. Supposed Acknowledgment made by them to the See of Rome, as the Mother-Church and Apostolick Seat, from thence seeking the Confirmation and The Church Authority of their Patriarchs, at least, as the of Rome pro-Romanists have sought to make the World believe rity to some show true, is not my present Business to anything.

(how true, is not my present Business to enquire) And all these Differences of Ceremonies, that are betwixt them, are only approved by the Romanifts, as such which are indulged to them by the Pope, who pretends out of a Fatherly Care and Compassion to yield these things unto them: Which, if it be true, is done by him rather to uphold the Shew of his Authority, and because Distance of Place and other Incommodities hinder him from pressing more upon them; since in Places nearer Hand, and where fitter Opportunities have been presented, he hath shewn himself very Zealous and Violent to bring all to a ready Obedience to the least of his Commands: As by many Examples could be largely proved. Yet some are of the Mind, that all these Stories, and pretended Acknowledgments of Patriarchs to the Romish See, are but the meer Effects of the Policy of that Court to uphold their Grandure, and nothing better but pretty Comedies to amuse the Vulgar and Credulous, and augment their Reverence towards the Pope and his Clergy: But whatever be in this, it is abundantly manifest, That there can be nothing more contrary to this Universal Love and Charity, than Romish Principles, and that no Man of that Religion, without deserting his Principles can pretend to it.

As to the other Principle of Persecution, how persecution much it is preached and practised in the Church practised and of Rome, will need no great Probation: Those ted in the that are acquainted with, or have heard of the Church of Spanish Inquisition, of the many Inhuman Butch-

and the Netherlands upon Men, meerly for the Matter of their Consciences; the many Plots, Consultations, Combinings and Wars contrived, fomented, and carried on by the Bishops of Rome themselves, and the chief of their Clergy yielding large and voluntary Contributions thereto, for to Ruine and Root out the Dissenters from the Romish Society, after Luther appeared; cannot but see, how natural and consequential it is to Romish Principles so to do? And thence also observe, how contrary and opposite these things are to Universal Love and Charity? And how much they act the Hypocrite, when they pretend Christian Charity to any, that differ from them?

And this manifestly appears in these two, which none of them that has Understanding to know. or Honesty to confess their Principles, can deny. I might also add a Third, which albeit by some Popish Doctors in some Nations it be denied; yet is no less firmly believed and contended for by other Eminent Persons among them, especially Bellarmine, and approved by the Pope himself, to wit, The Popes Power to depose Princes in Case of Herely, and give their Kingdoms to others: Allowing them upon that Account to fight against them, and accounting their so purchasing of them Lawful. Small Offences heretofore would have provoked to this Sentence, as appeared in the Example of Hildebrand and others; but of late he is more sparing and deliberate in his Denuntia. tions, finding his Authority less regarded, and The Pope's his Power to bring to Obedience much Abbrevi-

The Pope's his Power to bring to Obedience much Abbrevi(abbreviated) Power of ated. But furely, this Principle cannot confift
Deposing with Univerfal Love, when that, which by all is
Princes in
Case of He. acknowledged to be an Ordinance of God, doth
not secure the Lawful Administrators thereof
from the Bitterness of it: If you will not allow

a King

a King to be a King, albeit his Right and Title 1677. fo to be is every way as good, as fuch among your selves, unless he be of your Mind; certainly there is but small Hopes of your Charity to other Persons ?- There might be much more faid and enlarged as well upon this as other things, which sufficiently evidenceth, The Church of Rome can have no just Claim to this Universal Love; which I purposely, to avoid all manner of Prolixity, do omit.

The great Variety of Doctrines among Pro- Protestants testants (so called) will make it somewhat harder Principles. to decipher them particularly in this Matter; vet to take first Notice of those, who by their most publick Confessions, as well as by their Possession of whole Nations, are most commonly and univerfally understood to bear that Name, (to wit) the Lutherans and Calvinists feems most r. Luther-

regular.

And because of their Unity, as well as of several others in that Doctrine and Practice of Persecution, I shall make my first Observation Persecution from thence; which being not only held by their Dothem, but also by feveral others diffenting from Practice. both of them, may be termed (the more the Pity) A General Doctrine of Protestants: How far this contradicts Universal Love, is before-mentioned. But as to them, it has this additional Aggravation (which is very confiderable) That they do, and that upon their owned Principles, fo rigorously Persecute one another, not permitting one another the free Exercise of their Conscience in their respective Dominions; albeit they acknowledge, that they agree in Fundamentals, and that they have both upon the same Design of Reformation departed from Antichrist. then can these Men pretend to any thing of Univetfal Love or Charity, who upon so small Difference, by themselves not esteemed Fundamen-

ans and Calvinists.

1677. England instanced at Variance.

tal, do deny one another the very common and natural Benefits of the Creation? And of this the Island of Great Britain can give good Evidence. For albeit the Protestants there be in a Sence all Calvinists, or at least not at Variance in that, which was and is the great Controversy among the Lutherans and Calvinists abroad; and that their Difference lies only in the Matter of the Government of the Church, and some other Ceremonies: many of which Calvin himself confesseth not to be of that Consequence, for which the Godly should be at Variance; yet how little Charity they have to one another, and how great and irreconcilable Prejudice and Malice, is openly known to the World!

So that upon this Account the Prelatick Party has perfecuted the Presbyterian, and the Presbyterian them, as they respectively found themselves in a Capacity to do it; not only to the Ejection of the Clergy for this Difference out of their Fun-Excommu-ction, and the Excommunication and publickly

picating one Curfing one of another; but also to the turning another. in and out of State-Officers, to the Imprisoning,

Bloodshed.

Forfeiting, Banishing, yea, and Judicial Execution both of private and publick Persons. Not to mention the horrible Devastations and publick warsand Wars, whereby this Island became as a bloody

nants, Idolatrous and Superstitious.

Tragedy to the Ruin of Thousands of Families; which took its Rife from their Differences, and was carried and fomented from the very Pulpits under this Notion. Also those common and usual Denominations, wherewith they used (and yet do design on) one another, are not unknown, as, Self-feeking and felf-feparating Hypocrites, feditious Incendiaries, presumptuous Rebels; and on the other hand, Proud and Bloody Prelates, Ignorant and Ungodly Curates, Wicked and Hateful Malig-

I could

I could also (were it not both tedious and 1677. troublesome to repeat such stuff) give Instance of not much less Severity and Reproaches very near of the same Quality, that have passed be-severity and twixt other sub-divided Species of Calvinists; as Reproaches betwirt Rebetwixt the Remonstrants and Contra-Remon-monstrants, firants in Holland, upon the Difference of their &c. and Principles, albeit they agree in the Matter of ans and In-Government; and the English Presbyterians and dependents; Independents, who quarrel not much (at least the Generality of them, as themselves are willing the World should believe) about Principles, but only in a small Circumstance of Government. All which doth well witness, how void those Men are of True Charity! And how far from that True, Universal Christian Love so much commended and pretended to by them? Especially confidering, that these are not only some Personal Infirmities, or Escapes of private Persons among them; but the universal, necessary and consequential Effects of their respective Principles, which proceed from them as Men so circumstantiated and related to fuch and fuch Fellowships and Societies.

There is another Principle not only common The Buil both to Lutherans and Calvinists, but even to those of National Churches, Sub-divided Calvinists (I mean the Episcopalians Parishesald and Presbyterians) which cannot but obstruct and Preachers, ac. set up necessarily hinder this Universal Love; to wit, and Esta-The pressing after, and seeking to establish a Na-blished, tional Church; whereby upon the Magistrates, or great Part embracing any Religion, they diftribute the whole Kingdom into several Parishes or Congregations, and appoint Preachers of that Way to them all: So that a Man cannot be a Member of the State, without he be a Member of the Church also; and he is robbed of the very Priviledges, which he ought to enjoy as a Man, unless he will agree (albeit against his Consci-

1677. ence) to every Circumstance of that Church. which is Established in the Country he lives. For the making of which the more effectual, the Law in our Country provides, that when a Man is Excommunicated or cast out of the Church,

Excommu. he is also cast out of the State. So that a Man nication, the upon the Churches Censure for a Matter meerly Consciencious, incurs the same Hazzard of the Loss of his Estate and Liberty, as if he had been guilty of some hainous Crime towards the State. But how can those pretend to Universal Love, that have thus confined all, both Spiritual and Temporal Bleffings to their Sect, that they think not Men worthy to live as Men, or breathe the common Air, unless they will subscribe to all their Sentiments? Surely, this is far from the Nature of God's Love, that causes his Sun to rife both upon the Just and Unjust! Thus far as to Persecution, and what depends upon it.

> I observed before, that the general Defect of this Universal Love did proceed from wrong Notions concerning the Love of God; for fuch as confined God's Love, did consequentially confine

their own.

Now the chief Way, whereby Men by their own Narrow Opinions feek to limit and confine the Universal Leve of God, is by proposing the necessary Means of Salvation appointed by God, as not reaching to all; for fuch, to whom they reach not, we cannot suppose to have any real Love extended to them: In this the Generality of Protestants are deficient; albeit some exceed others in a particular Respect, as shall be hereafter observed.

Lutherans That wherein they are generally wanting, is &c. hold, No by affirming, That there can be no Salvation with-Salvation without the explicit Knowledge of Christ, and Benefit of the Scriptures. This is held both by Luthe-Knowledge rans, Calvinists and Arminians, I mean, by these the Scrip-Churches

Churches; whatever may be the private Senti- 1677. ments of particular Persons among them.

Now whereas all these do acknowledge, That many Thousands, yea, whole Nations have been and. are Excluded from the Benefit of this Knowledge; they must necessarily conclude Salvation impossible to them, and so they can have no Charity for them, so as to suppose a Possibility of Salvation to them. And this goes directly against, and destroys the Nature of Universal Love; which cannot be entertained towards any, but upon the Supposition, that they are under a Possibility of being Saved; or that those Circumstances they are under, not being in themselves (simply confidered) finful (as being fuch, as are not in them to help) do not absolutely exclude them from it. I confess, that I cannot have Charity to a wicked Man, that he can be faved, so long as he continues wicked; and if thence they should seek to infer, That so likewise-

We cannot have Charity to those Men, that want the Scriptures and the Outward Knowledge of Christ, that they can be faved, so long as they want that: Therefore as the one is not contrary to Uni-

versal Love, neither is the other.

I answer; The Comparison holds not at all; Answer because the Ground of my not having Charity to him, is his continuing in a Thing, which himself knows to be displeasing to God by the Law of God, which he has revealed to him, and acknowledges to be Good; and therefore the Ground of my Hopes of him, that he may be Saved, if he Repents, is, because he knows the Means how to repent, and be converted. So my The Cfound Universal Love reaches to him not only in that I of our Unibelieve, 'tis possible for God to bring him out of his Wickedness; but in that I acknowledge, that God in order thereto has actually made manifest

Object.

to him the Way, how he may be converted:

of God, which I suppose in that Manifestation The Defect of his Will to have already really touched and of the Scrip-reached him. But the other Case has no Pa-

is providential.

rity with this. For first, That Defect of the Knowledge Scriptures and outward Knowledge of Christ in the Indians an Indian or American, &c. is no wilful, malitious Act of them against any Law known to them; but is the meer Providence of God by them inevitable: Neither is it unto them any Moral Evil, that they are born or brought up in these Parts, or come not to those Places, where they may learn and find that Knowledge. If I then judge, that God's Love reaches not any fuch, whom by this Providence he has permitted to be thus Born and Educated in Order to Save them, my Universal Love can no ways reach to them, so as to suppose Salvation possible to them, not because they refuse or resist the Means of Knowledge and Salvation appointed to them of God; but because they want it by the Will of God, who thought not meet to give it to them. I look not upon it as my Work in this Treatife, to refute this Doctrine, and shew its Contrariety to Truth and Scripture, having done that largely else-where, (as in my Apology Expl. of the 5th and 6th Proposition;) it is enough here, that I shew, that such as hold this Opinion cannot justly pretend to Universal Love: As doth evidently appear by what is faid, and needs no further Question.

Perhaps the Socinians may step in here, or others of more general Principles, who will Affirm, They do not believe, that the want of this Explicit Knowledge doth necessarily Exclude Men from Salvation. It is true, there are some of that Mind; but it were hard to rank them under any particular Denomination: It being rather a Notion of those Men, of whom I made men-

tion

tion before, that are uncertain in their Princi- 1677. ples, and join with no People absolutely; than acknowledged by a People or any publick Confeffion of any United or gathered Church and People.

The Socinians generally lay very great Stress upon the outward Knowledge of Christ, and do be - socinians lieve, the outward Knowledge of Chrift, or of the Belief of the Outward Refurrection at least, to be absolutely needful, knowledge holding the Sufficiency of that alone upon Rom, of Christ, and 10. 9. But passing that, and taking it for grant- as necessary ed, that the Generality of Socinians, and fe-to Salvation, veral others with them (who being all taken in Cumulo) may pass; for as much as a whole Body of People do believe and affirm Salvation even possible to such, as are by an inevitable Fate excluded from the Benefit of that External Knowledge, in that they fay,

That such, as will improve that Light of Nature, which all Men have given them of God, and Exercise that common Principle of Reason, may from the Works of Creation and Providence certainly conclude, That there is a God, forfake many Evils, and do much Good; and that such as do thus improve this Natural and Common Light, do obtain of God to send them miraculously either some Man or Angel to signify to them the Outward Knowledge or Resurrection of Christ, that they may

believe it, and be faved.

I Answer: This doth not reach the full Extent of Universal Love; because it still limiteth it to this External Knowledge, and supposeth no Means of Salvation without it. And next, because it supposeth somewhat Miraculous; which as has been before observed, is a Limitation not to be admitted in this Case. But if any would affirm, That the Improving of this Natural Light proved to them a Means of Salvation, without supposing any Necessity of having the Outward Knowledge of Christ at all; albeit

1677. it would feem by the Extent of their Charity, that their Love were very Universal; yet they do not establish true Universal Love, more than the other. Because nothing is true Universal Love, but that which naturally proceedeth from the true Love of God, and is founded upon good and found Principles deduced therefrom, and which hath not its Rife from the Love of Self, or from a Selfish Principle: Which though it may have a Shew of Universal Love, is not really fuch; else he that would affirm, He believed, that all Men, as well the Wicked as the Godly, the Unbelieving as the Believing should be faved, and that no Wickedness can hinder a Man from being Saved -- Might be faid to be a truer Preacher of Universal Love, than any, and most Charitable of all Men; and yet how would this be justly condemned by all Christians? There can then be no true Universal Love, but that which is built upon the Love of God, and is pure, and of the Nature of it.

So then, those that affirm, That Men may be faved, even without the Outward Knowledge of Christ, and of the Scriptures, if they improve the Light of Nature. Whether it be that they judge.

The Light of Nature; Whether it be that they judge, of Nature that the Light of Nature can carry them through in Order to Salvation, and to the End, and accomplish the Work; or that Exalting of they suppose, the Improving of it will procure corrupt Rea-any such Miraculous Revelation; do not truly Operation, Preach or Establish Universal Love, because the

Preach or Establish Universal Love, because the same is not sounded upon the true Love of God, but is an Exalting of the Nature and Reason of Man, which is really defiled, and proceeds from Self. Since these Men for the most part do look upon Grace, or the Operation of the Spirit in the Saints, as but a meer Fancy. So I say, these Men do not commend the true Love of God, which is contrary to Self; but only their own corrupt Nature and Reason: And do therefore

really oppose and slight the Universal Love of 1677. God, in that they suppose Man capable of himfelf to fave himself without Christ the alone Mediator; in and by whom the Universal Love of God to all is only extended. For whom-ever God loves, he loves them in Christ, and no other ways; and this Love of God in Christ cannot be truly received and entertained to the Salvation of the Soul, but as the Old Man, the first Man with his Deeds (which are altogether corrupted, and can claim no share in Man's Salvation) is put off and done away; and as the New Man, that proceeds from a Divine Spiritual Seed, which is not of nor from Man's Nature, comes to be born

and brought forth in the Soul.

But much more do they contradict and declare The Printhemselves void of and Strangers to the Univer-ciple of Ab-fal Love, who hold the precise Decree of Repro-probation is bation, with the other Principles depending there- God's Uniupon, in believing, That the far greater Number versal Love not only of Mankind, but even of those that pro- and Invitation. fels the Name of Christ, are necessarily damn'd, and that by Virtue of God's Absolute Decree, who from all Eternity ordained to create them for that very End, and appointed them to walk in such Wickedness, for which he might condemn them, and punish them Eternally: So that not only such, as are ignorant of the History of Christ, and of the Scriptures, are certainly Damned; but even most of those who have the Benefit of this Knowledge, are notwithstanding Damned also, for not right using and applying the same, which miserable Crime they necessarily fall in, because that God, albeit He publickly and by his Revealed Will doth invite them all to Salvation, yet by a secret Will unknown to Men, He doth with-hold from them all Power and Grace so to do.

Now I fay, whoever are of this Mind (as all Calvinists generally are) cannot justly pretend to Universal-

1677. Universal Love; for seeing they limit the Love of God to a small Number, making all the rest only Objects of his Wrath and Indignation, they must by Consequence so limit their own Love alfo: For God being the Fountain and Author of Love, no Man can extend true Christian Love beyond his; yea, the greatest and highest Love of any Man falls infinitely short of the Love of God, even as far as a little Drop of Water falls

short of the great Ocean.

Now, none of these Men, without manifestly contradicting their own Principles, can pretend to have Love to any of those, that are thus predestinated to Death: For what Sottishness were it, and Inconfiftency to pretend Charity to fuch, so as to suppose a Possibility of Salvation for those, whom God hath appointed to be Damned, and to be Sons of Perdition? For to fay, We ought to have Charity to all, because we know not, who is appointed to Life, or may be called to it, saith nothing to folve this Difficulty.

Reasons. I.

Because even to such, as may be supposed to be Elected, I am not to have Charity, fo long as I fee them in Wickedness, and in any Unconverted State; for that were to walk against all true Judgment, and a putting Light for Darkness, and Darkness for Light; a calling Good, Evil; and Evil, Good, which is expresly forbidden.

2.

Next. This were to make true Christian Love as blind, as the Heathens supposed their god of their Lustful Love to be : For I ought to love Men for some Real Good I see in them, as feeling them to partake of, and grow in the Love of God; and not upon a meer perhaps God may do them good, perhaps they may be called; unless I believed, there were fomething of God in all, given them in order to call them,

Thirdly,

affirm, That as God in this Case appoints the End, so he doth the Means also; They are not only obliged upon their Principle, without all Charity to conclude as Damned, and appointed to Damnation all such, as have not the Benefit of these Means they think needful; but even all such among themselves enjoying these Means, in whom appear not (according to their Notion of it) True and Convincing Tokens of Conversion. And as this Doctrine naturally leads from any thing like Universal Love; so (as I observed before) it so leaveneth and defileth with an Unlovely Humour such, as strictly and precisely hold it, that for most Part they are observed to be Men of peevish and persecuting Spirits.

For these two Principles, to wit, That of there the Room being no Salvation without the Church among of that Papists (as precisely understanding it of the bloody and Church of Rome) and this of Absolute Reproba-Principle of tion among Protestants, are the very Root and Persecution. Spring, from whence flows that Bloody and Antichristian Tenet of Persecution for the Case of CONSCIENCE; and therefore both it and they are directly contrary and diametrically opposite to the True, Catholick, Christian Love

of God.

SECT.



SECT. V.

Some Principles of CHRISTIANITY proposed, as they are held by a great Body of People, and whole gathered Churches in Britain and Ireland; which do very well agree with True Universal Love.

Such as most commonly complain for the Want of Universal Love, do alledge this Desect to proceed from the Nature of a Sett, unto which they think this Want of Charity so peculiar, that from thence they conclude, that it is impossible either for any Sett universally to have this Universal Love, or for any Member of a Sett, so long as he stands to his Principles, or is strictly bound up and tied to a Sect, to have this Universal Love. And indeed, this in a great Measure is too true, if the Nature of a Sect be rightly understood; and therefore to avoid Mistakes, it will be fit to Inquire somewhat in this Matter.

A Sett is commonly and universally taken in the worst Part, and always so understood, where it is mentioned in the Scripture; as being either really understood of such as are Justly so termed, Asts 5. 17. ch. 5. 5. ch. 26. 5. or Reproachfully cast upon such, as ought not to have been a sea justly so denominated, Asts 24. 5. ch. 28. 22. For a so called. Sett is a Company of People following the Opinions

A sea justly so denominated, Alts 24. 5. ch. 28. 22. For a so called. Seet is a Company of People following the Opinions and Inventions of a particular Man or Men, to which they adhere more, and for which they are more Zealous, than for the Simple, Plain and Necessary Doctrine of Christ. But such as are not

The Follow. a Seet, nor of a Seet, are those, That follow the ersot Christ Faith and Doetrines of Christ, and receive and are of no believe the same, as purely Preached and held forth

by

by him unto them; and not as by the Recommen- 1677. dation of Man, as clouded and incumbred with' their Interpretations and Additions: And so are meer Christians, and yet true and Faithful Ones

too; yea, the most True and Faithful.

Now, which of the feveral Sorts of Christians are to be accounted Sects, and which not, is a great Question; and would take a larger Difcourse, than is proper to be inserted in this Place, truly to inquire into it: And therefore it shall fuffice me at this Time to have afferted this in general, which, I judge, will be generally accorded to by All; and leave the Application to each understanding Reader: For the Clearing which there may several weighty Observations fall in hereafter. Probably this will be almost granted Societies by All, and I am sure, may be truly affirmed, universal That What soever People hold forth and preach Love are Dodrines, which in the Nature of them contradict seas. and are inconfistent with true Universal Love, such may truly be termed a Sect; Which may be eafily applied to those heretofore mentioned: And fo confequently, Whoever hold forth Principles and Doltrines consistent and agreeable thereunto, are and may truly be esteemed meer Chri-Alians, and no Sect.

Next, The Nature of a People's Society, and the Causes drawing them together, with the Method of their being gathered, does much contribute to Evidence, whether they are to be esteemed a Sect or not? First, Whose Fellowship stands meerly in Judgment, and in that which reacheth of a Sect. the Understanding, and so are joined together in and for One Opinion, are only to be esteemed a Sell, however true their Notions may be supposed to be. For the true Principles and Doctrines of True Princip Christ albeit they do truly reach the Understand plesare of an operative ing, and require its Assent; yet they are of an Nature to

Operative Nature: However they may be held Conversion, Dy not fo.

1677. by one or two Members of the Church, without working upon their Hearts; yet cannot be held; nor never was by any entire Society, without Purifying their Souls, and reaching to and working upon their Hearts to a True and Real Conversion, I mean, upon the greatest and most considerable Part : But false Doctrines may be firmly believed by a whole Society without having any Operation. For the Gathering of the Apofiles and Primitive Christians was an Uniting of Hearts, and not of Heads only; Those Three Thousand Converts were pricked in their Hearts, and not in their Heads only: Yea, where there may be a Dissent in some things in Point of Judgment, if there be this Unity of Heart through the Prevailing of the same Life of Righteousness, yet the true Fellowship is not broken: As appeared in the Example of the Apostles themfelves.

Now these People, who hold forth the Principles and Doctrines hereafter to be mentioned, were not gathered together by an Unity of Opinion, or by a tedious and particular Disquisition of Notions and Opinions, requiring an Affent to them, and binding themselves by Leagues and Covenants thereto; but the Manner of their Ga-The Gather-thering was by a fecret Want, which many tru-

ing of the church ly tender and ferious Souls in divers and fundry

its Rife and Selts found in themselves: Which put each Selt Foundation upon the Search of something beyond all Opinion, which might fatisfy their weary Souls. even the Revelation of God's Righteous Judgment in their Heart to burn up the unrighteous Root and Fruits thereof; that the same being destroyed and done away, the inward Peace and Joy of the Holy Spirit in the Soul might be felt to abound, and thence Power and Life to follow him in all his Commandments. And fo many came to be joined and united together in Heart and Spirit in this

this One Life of Righteousness, who had long 1677 been wandring in the several Sects; and by the inward Unity came to be gathered in One Body:
From whence by Degrees they came to find themselves Agreed in the plain and simple Doctrines of Christ. * And as this Inward Power they longed for, and selt to give them Victory over Sin, and bring the Peace that follows thereon, was that, whereby they were brought unto that Unity and Community together; so they came First thence to Accord in the Universal Preaching of this Power to All, and directing all unto it: Which is their First and Chiefest Principle, and most Agreeable to this Universal Love, as I shall hereafter shew.

And it is very observable, that as those, whose Gathering and Fellowship arises from this meer Unity of Notions and Opinions, do usually derive their Name and Designation from their First Authors, Inventors and Fomentors of those Opinions (as of Old the Arians, Nestorians, Manichans, &c. and of Late the Lutherans, Calvinists, Armenians, Socinians, Mennonites, &c.) so those People, whose Unity and Fellowship did arise from their Mutual Sense of this Power working in and upon their Souls, that Society derives not their Name from any Particular Man; and therefore are providentially delivered from that great Mark of a Sect. But as the Ungodly will be always throwing some Name or Reproach upon the Children and Servants of God; it being

obset-

^{*} Which External Agreement as well in Dostrines as in the Practices necessarily following thereupon, became as one External Bond and Tye of their Outward and Visible Fellowship obvious to the World, whereby they are Distinguished even to the Observation of Man from the several Sects Professing the Name of Christ; as the True Christians of Old were by their Adherence to the Orthodox Principles from other Hereticks, that laid Claim likewise to be Christians.

observed, that through the deep and inward Operation of this Power in them a Dread took hold on them, not only to the begetting of God's Fear in their Hearts, but even to the Reaching and Instructing of their Outward Man; hence the Name of Quakers or Tremblers hath been Cast upon them: Which serveth to distinguish them from others, though not Assumed by them. Yet as the Christians of Old, albeit the Name of Christian was cast upon them by way of Reproach, gloried in it, as desiring above all things to be accounted the Followers of Christ; so they alfo are glad, the World Reproacheth them as fuch, who Tremble before the Lord, and who work out their Salvation in Fear and Trembling. And truly, the Lord feems by his Prophets of Old to have foretold, that his Children should be so Reproached; as Ifa. 66. 5. Hear the Word of the Lord, ve that Tremble at his Word; your Brethren that hated you, that cast you out for my Name's Sake, said, Let the Lord be Glorified, and He shall appear to your Joy, and they shall be ashamed: Where a Joyful Appearance of God is promised to these Hated and Repreached Crentblers or Quakers. And Fer. 33. 9. does more clearly Prophely, how this Reproachful Name, when cast upon his Children, shall be Owned and Countenanc'd by the Lord, in thefe very plain and comfortable Words: And it thall be to me a Pame nour where of Jon, a Praise and an Honour before all the Pations of the Earth, which shall hear all the Good, that I do unto them, and they that Frar and Tremble (or Quake) for all the Coadnels and for all the Prosperity, that I

with the Lord will Honour his despised Peo-

* Alias." Them.

procure unto * it

2. Mark ot a Sect.

As the Nature of a Sell ariseth from the Love of Self and its Production, so in the Last Place there can be no more fignal or certain Mark of a Sect, than When a People feek to advance and propagate

pagate their Way in the Strength of their Own Spi- 1677. of by their own Natural, or Acquired Parts, from the without the Necessity of the Inward Motion of the Love of Holy Spirit, both lawful and commendable; and Self; not only so, but the Advancing and Establishing of the same by Outward Force and Violence. For here is Man working without God or the Guidance of his Spirit in his own meer Strength and Will, to fet up his own Images and Inventions, under a Pretence of Truths and pure Christianity: But those that dare not seek to Advance e Truth from ven that, which they are perswaded is Truth, the Denial in their own Will and Spirit (far less by outward of self. Force and Violence) but in and by God's Spirit, as he leads and moves to it by his Life and Power, shew, that such are not a Sect, nor Followers of Man's Inventions, but of Christ alone, waiting to follow Him, as He acts and moves them by his own Spirit and Power: And therefore are no Se-Starians, but meer Christians. The Chief and First Principle then held by I. those Christians, which (as I observed before) na. That there

The Chief and First Principle then held by I. those Christians, which (as I observed before) nather there turally ariseth (and was assented to by them) from what of their Inward Sense, that Tied them together, is, God, his Life and That there is Somewhat of God, some Light, Light in All fome Grace, some Power, some Measure of the Menable to Spirit, some Divine, Spiritual, Heavenly, Subbing them to Salvation. ftantial Life and Virtue in All Men, which is a Faithful Witness against all Unrighteousness, and Ungodliness in the Heart of Man, and leads, draws, moves and inclines the Mind of Man to Righteousness, and seeks to leaven him,

its Self; whereby an Inward, thorow and real Redemption may be wrought in the Hearts of All Men, of whatfoever Nation, Country or Kindred they be, notwithstanding whatfoever

'as he gives Way thereunto, into the Nature of

Out-

1677. 'Outward Knowledge or Benefit they be by the Providence of God necessarily deprived of: Because whatsoever they want of that, yet such a Measure of this Light, Seed, Life and Word is communicated to all, as is sufficient truly to Convert them from the Evil of their Ways, purify and cleanse them, and consequently bring them to Salvation. And in the Affirming of this, they do not at all Exalt Self or Nature (as do the Socinians;) in that they freely acknowledge, That Man's Nature is defiled and corrupted, and unable to help him, or further him one Step in Order to Salvation; judging nothing more needful, than the full and perfect Denying and Mortification of Self in Order thereunto. Nor do they believe, this Seed, Light and Grace to be any Part of Man's Nature, or any thing, that Properly and Effentially is of Man; but that it is a Free Grace and Gift of God freely given to All Men, in Order to bring them out of the Fall, and lead them to Life Eternal. Neither do they suppose, this Seed, Word and Grace, which is sufficient to lead to Salvation, to be given to Men without Christ; for they believe it to be the Purchase and Benefit of Christ's Death, who tasted Death for every Man: So that they Confess all to be derived to them in and by Christ, the Mediator, to whom they Ascribe all. Yea, they believe this Light, Grace and Seed to be no other, but a Measure of that Life and Spirit, that was In Christ Jesus; which being in Him, who is the Head, in the Fulness of it, is from Him (in whom it Resideth) as He is Ascended up unto, and Glorified in the Heavens, Extended to all Men, in Order to Redeem them from Sin, and Convert them to God.

Thus according to this Principle, without attributing any thing to Self, or to the Nature of Man, or Claiming any Thing without Chrift,

The

The Universal Love of God to All Men is Exhibit- 1677. ed: whereby the Means of Salvation by Christ, and Reconciliation unto God is fo Afferted, that No. Man is altogether Excluded from it; but each fo Reached, as puts him in a Capacity to be Saved. Such then, as Believe and Preach this Doctrine, must of Necessity be esteemed great Advancers and Affertors of Universal Love, as those that truly Establish it not through any Uncertainty or Doubtfulness in themselves, but upon their own Firm and Acknowledged Principle; fince that doth necessarily Extend their Charity to the not only Supposing, but even Concluding Salvation possible to on possible not only to the several Sorts of Christi-Heathens in ans, but even to fuch, who by the Disadvantage the most Barbarous of Education, and the Remoteness of their Habi-and Uncivitation are Ignorant of the Name of Christ. Pro-lized Places viding, that this Seed, Grace, Word and Light, world. which is in them All, and the Free Gift of God to them all, Receive Place in their Hearts, so as to work out the Fruits and Nature of Unrighteousness; and to beget them unto Righteousness, Purity and Holiness: Which according to this Principle is believed to be very Possible, where the External Knowledge is thus unavoidably Wanting. For albeit those, who hold this Principle, do believe, that the Outward Knowledge of Christ, and those other Advantages, which from the Use of the Scriptures are enjoyed among Christians, are very Comfortable, and conducing to facilitate Salvation; yet they reckon them not absolutely Needful, holding them only to be Integral, and no Essential Parts of Christianity: For they place the Essence or Being of Christianity only in the True and Real Conver-sion of the Heart, by vertue of the Operation of this Light, Seed and Grace there,

P

thew.

* Even as the Essence and Being of a Man Confifts in the Unity of Soul and Body, which is enough to Denominate One a Man, albeit he should want a Leg or an Arm, an Eye or an Ear, or have some other Defect, or even should be destitute of some of the Faculties of the Mind, as of the Memory, &c. that other Men have; yet fuch a one would still, and that truly be called a Man, albeit not a compleat and entire Man. Yea, those that live in the most Barbarous and Uncivilic'd Places of the World, where they are deftitute and ignorant of all the Liberal Arts and Sciences used among us, and of all those Conveniences, which fo much Conduce to the facilitating of Humane Society, and accommodating of Mankind in their Living together; I fay, those are ftill truly accounted Men, as having that which is truly Essential or Constitutive of a Man, as fuch. In like Manner, this Principle supposeth the Possibility of Salvation both to those commonly called Heathens, and to many among the Dark and Erroneous Sects of Christianity, in that this Essential Part of Christianity is Extended to them, albeit they want those other Integrals and Comfortable Parts, which may in and with Respect to the Spiritual Man be fitly Compared to these Defects, that those Barbarous Nations want, which we Enjoy, as to the Natural Man. none can deny, but this Principle is most Agree-ing to Univerfal Love; so the Practice of all even of those that deny it, doth evidence and

^{*} Let not the Reader think strange, that I Assert this Principle, and enter not upon the Probation of it shaving done that largely else-where, as in my Apology) it not being my Business here so to do; but only to shew, how such and such Principles do not agree with Universal Love: As on the other Hand, when I make mention of other Principles, I do not offer to Resute them; it being enough here to Demonstrate, that they are Contrary to Universal Love.

shew, how agreeable it is as well to the Love of 1677. God as to Right Reason, where, when ever any of the Seds come to deal with the Heathen or any fuch, against whom they cannot urge any thing from Scripture or Tradition, as being Principles not acknowledged by them; then they are always forced to recur to an Inward, Innate Light in the Soul, to which they labour to make manifest their Principles: Albeit they differ about the Nature and Sufficiency of it: yet they are forced to Concede, That this is God's Love to Man-kind, and that in this the Universal Love of God is Extended to ALL. So that to every one, to whom they come to Preach, they may find Something, by which they may urge or commend their Doctrine: Of which I shall give one singular Example out of the Words of Franciscus Xaverius, a Jesuit, whom that Tribe for the high Esteem they have of him, term the Apostle of the Indies; as it is Recorded in Barnhardus Vanerus his Descriptio Regni Japonia, P. 195. Cap. 8: where he puts down the Words of Francis Xavier's Letter thus, (p. 247.) The Amargurians, Franc. Xd-before they received Baptism, were straitned ter of the with an odious and troublesome Scruple, to wit; Conversion That God seemed not unto them Merciful and Be-ponians. nign, who had Condemned all the Japonians before our coming to Evernal Punishment; especially, who ever did not Worship God, according as we Preached: And therefore they said, that He (to wit God) had wholly negletted the Salvation of all their Predecessors, in permitting, that these Mise. * rable Souls should altogether be destitute (to their 'utter Ruin) of the Knowledge of Saving Truth. This most odious Thought did much draw themback from the Worship of the True God; but by the Help of God this Error and Scruple was taken from them. For we First did demonstrate unto them, that the Divine Law was the Oldest of all;

yeas

'yea, before any Law was made by the Antients. The Japans knew by the Teaching of Nature, that Taught by it was unlawful to Kill, Steal, Forswear and o-Nature not to Kill, Je. c ther things contained in the Ten Divine Laws; as was evident in that, when any of them Com-'mitted these Crimes, they were Tormented by the Pricks of their Consciences: That hence Reason its self doth Teach to flee the Evil, and 'follow the Good; and therefore was Implanted in the Minds of all Men by Nature: So that all have the Knowledge of the Divine Law from Nature, and of God the Author of Nature, before Disci-'pline be added. Of which, were it doubted, Trial might be made in some body altogether Void of Discipline, who has been Educated in some Mountain or Wilderness without any Knowledge of the Laws of his Country: For if such an one, thus altogether Ignorant and Unacquainted with Humane Discipline, were asked, Whether to Kill a Man, to Steal, and these other Things, which the Law of God forbids, were Sinful or not? Or if it were not right, to forbear these things? Truly, I say, such an one utterly Ignorant of Humane Discipline, would so answer, that it would easily appear, that he were not Void of the Law of God. From whence then Shall we judge, he has drawn ' his Notion, unless from God himself, the Author of Nature? If then this be manifest in Barbarous 'Men; how much more in Men Civiliz'd and well 'Educated? Which being so, it necessarily follows, that the Divine Law was Implanted In Man's "Heart, before all Laws made by Man. This Rea-" son was so manifest to them, that they were fully ' satisfied; and so being delivered from these Snares, did easily subject themselves to the sweet Yoak of Christ. Thus far Xaverius.

Thus it may seem, that to satisfy these Japonians, that their Fore fathers were not all necessari-

ly Damned; and to shew, that the Universal 1677. Love of God reached unto them to put them in a Capacity of Salvation; This Cunning Jesuite could not find an other Way, than by Afferting this Principle: Albeit it be no ways Congruous to the Doctrine of the Church of Rome. For these Antient Japoniams could not be esteemed Members of the Church of Rome; and as not being fuch, according to the Romish Principle (who fay, There is no Salvation without the Church, that is, without the Church of Rome) must needs have been Damned.

Secondly: That Notion and Definition of a II. Church, which naturally arises from this Princi-Virtue of ple (and is accordingly believed by the Assertors that Light of it) doth also both very well Agree to and Esta-Men may be Converted, blish this Doctrine of Universal Love. For by and become vertue of this Seed and Light Extended by Christ Members of the Church, unto the Hearts of all, it being supposed, That without Men may thereby be truly Converted, and con-salvation. fequentially United to Christ, it naturally follows, That such may become Members of the Church: Else none of Old, but the Families of the Patriarchs and of the Jews could have been judged to be Saved; nor yet any, during all the Time of the Apostacy: Which as it is False in its felf, will be hardly Affirmed by any. And therefore fince such might be Saved, they must be esteemed Members of the Church; without which (in this large Sense) there can be no Salvation, as including the whole Body of Christ: Of which Body who are not, are certainly Excluded. And therefore it is, that the Church Catholick or Univerfal is not so Confined to any Sett, Form or External Profession, as that those, that are not Initiated in those Forms, are Excluded absolutely from being Members of the Church; unless it be upon Refusal or Resistance of the Will of God really manifest to them, as drawing them to the

1677. Practice of particular Things. For it hath pleased God at several Times to Require several things both of Particular Churches and Persons, which he has not of others: As to the Jewish Converts, To Abstain from things strangled and Blood; and to the Churches of the Gentiles, Not to Circumcife; (which was permitted to the Christian Jews for a Time): And from particular Persons many particular things have been Required: Which, albeit they were not general Obligations upon all Christians; yet in so far as manifested to, and Required of them, were sufficiently Obligatory: And their Disobedience to them should have been in them a Breach of their General Obligation of Obedience (which we owe to God in all things He Requires) and confequently Pernicious; however others, to whom they have never been Revealed nor Required, might have been Saved without them.

III. diately in the Hearts of all true Christians.

Thirdly: As the Afferting of Principles, which That God Commend the Love of God, and shew the Great by his Spirit Extent of it to Mankind, do most agree with will Imme. Universal Love; so this People in another Chief Principle of theirs do greatly shew it. For as by the Preaching of this Universal Principle of the LIGHT, they shew the Extension of God's Love to All; fo by Preaching, that God both doth, and is willing to Reveal his Will Immediately by his own Spirit in the Hearts of all those, That Receive his Light, that so they may be guided, acted and led thereby, and know the Mind of God thus Inwardly Immediately in themfelves; they hold forth the Intension of God's Love to all those, that follow and obey him: fo that they neither bind up this being led by the Spirit of God only to themselves, nor stint it to singular and Extraordinary Occasions; but hold it forth as a Common and Universal Priviledge to all true Christians and Members of the Church.

Now this Doctrine greatly commends the Love 1677. of God, and Establisheth the Principle of Univer (al Love, in that it shews, how universally God hath offered this bleffed Priviledge to all, in that He hath given his Light unto all; upon the receiving of which this Immediate Guidance of the Spirit followeth, as a necessary Concomitant: For such as deny this Immediate Revelation of God's Will by his Spirit in the Hearts of his Children, to be a Common and Universal Priviledge to all true Christians and Members of the Church. must needs suppose the Knowledge of his Will necessary for them, to be communicated to them by fome other External Means, as by outward Writings and Precepts; infomuch, that all fuch, as are robbed of this Benefit, are necessarily Excluded from partaking of the Univerfal Love of God, in Order to the Salvation of their Souls. Fourthly: As the Confining of the Gifts and

Graces of God to certain external Forms and That the Ceremonies, are directly opposite and contrary Work of the Ministry is to Universal Love, such as the Limiting of the not limited Work of the Ministry to Outward Ordination, and to Outward to a particular Tribe of Persons; The making and Litera-Humane Parts and Arts more necessary thereto, ture than the Grace of God, so that the Grace of God. is not judged sufficient to make a Man a Minifer, or to priviledge him to Preach without those other Artificial and Ceremonial Things; fo I fay on the other Hand, those People to the Commending of the Universal Love of God to all, but especially to the visible Church, do Affirm, That as this Light and Grace of God is given to all in order to Save them; so whoever finds himself truly called in his Heart thereby, and fitted to Minister to others, may lawfully Preach and Declare to others the good Things that God has done for his Soul, and Direct and Instruct them, as by the same Grace he is enabled, how they may come to

the

1677. the Experience and Attainment of the same things;

albeit he have no Outward Ordination or Call from Men: be not initiated in their Ceremonies and Orders, nor yet furnished with their Learning and School-Education. This greatly commends and holds forth the Love of God, because it does not confine the Ministry unto such a narrow Compass, as by the several Sects it is done; but supposeth, that among those that had not the Scriptures and outward Knowledge, there might have been Ministers or Preachers of Righteousness: as * Noah, it was faid of * Noah, that he was one, who was, Job, Socrates, Pithaere the Scriptures were writ; and Job was angoras, feve-other: Besides Socrates amongst the Greeks, Pyral Arabians Indians, E-thagoras amongst the Latines, and several others that might be named among the Arabians, Indians and Ethiopians. And this Doctrine doth ve-Righteoufry much hold forth the Universal Love of God in the Visible Church, in that it excludeth none from Ministring his Gift, as he hath it, albeit a poor Tradesman, or Mechanick Men; as is done among the far greater Parts of the Sects of Chri-

That Walb. ing or Sprinkling, and Bread and Wine, are not the Seals of nant with Man.

them.

thiopians, Lovers of

nels.

Fiftbly: These People do greatly commend and hold forth the Universal Love of God to all, In Denying the Use of Outward, Carnal Things, which perish in the using; such as Washing or Sprinkling with Water, or Eating of Bread and Wine, to be the Seals of God's Covenant with Man, or to be God's cove-the Means or Channels, by which Grace and Spiritual Refreshment is ministred to the Soul. For thereby they confine not God's Love in the Coinmunicating of his Gifts and Graces to the Application, or not using of Elementary Things, which may be as truly (as to the Matter of them) performed by the most Wicked and Greatest Hypocrite of the World, as by the most Godly and Sincère; as do the greatest Part of the Sects among

stendom by the Presumptuous Usurpation of

mong Christians, who make such a Noise of the 1677. Sacraments and Ordinances, and do fo quarrel and jangle about their Matter and Manner of Performance: But do affirm and believe, that Increase of Grace, and Refreshment in and by the Life of Christ is conveyed unto the Souls of all those, that are faithful, as this Seed receives a Place, and grows up in their Hearts, without these outward Ceremonies. This cannot be performed, but by fuch as are Sincere and Godly indeed; for such can only be truly faithful, and wait in that Place, where Grace and Refreshment, Strength and Power, Wisdom and Courage, Patience and all other good Gifts are received.

Sixthly: These People do much establish Uni- VI. versal Love, in that they affirm, Persecution of That Per-Men for the Matter of their Consciences to be Un- Secution for Conscience is christian and Unlawful; believing, That as God Unlawful. can only inform and enlighten the Conscience, so it is contrary to the Universal Love of God, for Men to feek to force and restrain it: As hath hi-

therto been sufficiently shewn.

Lastly: They do very truly and observably VII. Establish Universal Love, in that they Preach Love That Ware to Enemies, and the Necessity of bearing and suf- and Fightfering Injuries without Revenge; holding it Un-ings for christians lawful for Christians to Fight or use Carnal Wea-are unlawfa! pon evento Resist such as Oppose them, and wrongfully prejudice them. As this is most agreeable to the Doctrine and Practice of Christ, so is it to the Universal Love of God, whose Long suffering Patience even towards the Wicked, Stiff-necked and Rebellious after many Provocations, doth above all declare his Love; in which they cannot pretend to be Followers of him, who believe it lawful for them to Revenge every Injury, to give Blow for Blow, and Knock for Knock (and To know not, what it is patiently to receive and fuffer

1677. suffer an Injury) if they have any Opportunity of Revenging themselves: And so consequently cannot have Univerfal Love, which necessarily supposeth and includes Love to Enemies. he that will beat, kill, and every way he can. destroy his Enemy, does but foolishly Contradict himself, if he pretend to Love him: And those that do not Preach and Practise Love to Enemies, but that which is quite contrary, cannot justly pretend either to the Doctrine or Practice of Universal Love. Wherefore I desire, the Reader may make Application hereof, as to the feveral Selfs of Christians, and Examine, Whose Principles and Practices do most Agree herewith? And feriously consider, Whether there be any Intire, United Body of Christians, except these here mentioned, who do Unanimously hold forth so many Doctrines, so directly Establishing and Agreeing to True Universal Love ?

> Written in Aberdeen-Prison, the First Month, 1677.

Epistle of Love

Friendly Advice,

TO THE

Ambassadors of the several Princes of Europe, met at Nimeguen, to Consult the Peace of Christendom, so far as they are concerned.

WHEREIN

The True Cause of the present War is discovered, and the Right Remedy and Means for a firm and setled Peace is proposed.

By R. BARCLAY.

A Lover and Traveller for the Peace of Christendom.

Which was delivered to them in Latin the 23d and 24th Days of the Month called February, 167%. and now published in English for the Satisfaction of such as understand not the Language.

Pfal. 2. 10. Be wife therefore, ye Kings, be instructed, ye Judges of the Earth; serve the Lord with Fear, and rejoyce with Trembling.

Kiss the Son, least he be Angry, and ye perish from the Way, when his Wrath is kindled but a little: Blessed are all they

that put their Trust in him.

1677.

AN

Epistle of Love, &c.

To the Ambassadors and Deputies of the Christian Princes and States met at Nimeguen, to Consult the Peace of Christendom, R. B. a Servant of Jesus Christ, and hearty Wellwisher to the Christian World, Wishes Increase of Grace and Peace, and the Spirit of sound Judgment, with Hearts inclined and willing to Receive and Obey the Counsel of GOD.

ET it not feem strange unto you, who are Men Chosen and Authorized by the Great Monarchs and States of Europe to find out a speedy Remedy for the present great Trouble (under which many of her Inhabitants do groan) as such, whose Wisdom and Prudence, and Abilities have so recommended them to the World, as to be judged sit for so Great and Dissicult a Work, To be Addressed unto by one, who by the World may be esteemed Weak and Foolish; whose Advice is not Ushered unto you by the Commission of any of the Princes of this World, nor Seconded by the Recommendation of any Earthly

Earthly State: For fince your Work is that, 1677. which concerns all Christians; why may not every Christian, who feels himself stir'd up of the Lord thereunto, contribute therein? And if they have Place to be heard in this Affair, who come in the Name of Kings and Princes; let it not feem heavy unto you to hear him, that comes in the Name of the Lord Fesus Christ, who in the truest Sence is the Head and Governor, and Chief Bishop of the Church, the Most truly Christian and Catholick King: Many of whose Subjects are concerned in this Matter, and the Blood of many in Hazzard, for whom he hath shed his precious Blood. And yet who shall not seek to obtrude upon you the Belief of the Truth or Certainty of his Commission because of his own Testimony; but leave it, as well as the Things he therein delivereth, to the holy and pure Witness of God in all your Consciences, to be Received or Rejected by you, asit shall there be Approved, or not Approved.

Know then, My Friends, that many and often times my Soul has been deeply bowed down under the Weighty Sense of the present State of Christendom; and in secret before the Lord I have mourned, and bitterly lamented because thereof. And as I was Croffing the Sea, and being the last Summer in Holland, and some Parts of Ger- The Bur-many, the Burthen thereof fell often upon me, was upon the and it several Times came before me to write Author in unto you, what I then faw and felt from God of this Matter. these Things, while I was in those Parts. But I Waited, and was not willing to be Hasty; and now being returned to my own Country, and at my own Home, I chearfully accept the fit Seafon, which the Lord has put in my Hand, and called me to therein, to fignify unto you those Things, which in His Name and Authority I am

commanded to do.

And for this End the Lord has shewn me, what

ry Cause of Mischief first to be

removed.

the Causes are of all this Mischief and Confufion, and Defolation; which are necessary to be made known unto you, and deeply and ferioufly to be Considered by you; Else ye can never be able to Apply the Right Remedies. I speak of The Prima the Primary and Original Cause, as it proceeds from him, and is hatched by him, who is the Author of all Mischief, and the great Enemy to, as well as Envyer of the true Peace and Profperity of all good Christians; and who fows in Men's Hearts that Evil Seed, and fomenteth that bad Ground, from which all Evil rifeth. For unless this be seen, discovered, and removed in the Ground, although the Secondary and more Immediate Causes be seen, (to wit) The Projects, Defigns and Councils of Men, and in Part be anfwered and removed by giving way to some, and taking from others, according as they are more or less Formidable and Considerable, Measuring these Things by the Rules of Humane Wisdom, and Carnal Prudence and Policy; yet that is not fufficient: That may Allay the Heat for a Time, but will not remove the Evil; and you in so doing, will prove but like those Physitians, that do mitigate the Pain and Violence of a Disease for a Time, but do not take away the Ground and Cause of it: So that it shortly again returns, and in the End destroys him that is afflicted with it.

The Chief Ground, Cause and Root then of all christians this Misery among all those called Christians, is, in Name, and Because they are only such in Name, and not in not in Na-Nature, having only a Form and Profession of ture. Christianity in Shew and Words, but are still Strangers, yea, and Enemies to the Life and Virtue of it; owning God and Christ in Words, but denying them in Works: And therefore the Lord

Jesus Christ will not own them as his Children, 1677. nor Disciples. For while they say, they are his Followers; while they Preach and exalt his Precepts; while they Extol his Life, Patience and Meekness, his Self-denying, perfect Resignation and Obedience to the Will of his Father; yet themselves are out of it: And so bring Shame and Reproach to that Honourable Name, which they assume to themselves in the Face of the Nations, and give an Occasion for Infidels (Turks, Jews and Atheists) to Profane and Blaspheme the Holy Name of Jesus. Is it not so? While so much Ambition, Pride, Vanity, Wantonness and Malice, Murther, Cruelty and Oppression, Abomina-yea, and all Manner of Abominations abounding in the and are openly practifed; yea, while those that courts of should be Patterns and Examples of Justice, Vir-Christian tue and Sobriety to others, do for the most Part exceed most in those Things. So that the Courts of Christian Princes (who while in Words seem more to glory in being Professors and Protettors of Christianity, than in their Outward Crowns) which should be Colledges of Virtue and Piety. are mostly Scenes of greatest Wickedness, and Nefts and Receptacles of all the Buffoons, Stage-Players, and other vilest Vermin not fit to be mentioned. I fay, Is it not fo? While upon every slender Prætext, such as Their own small Discontents, or That they judge, the present Peace warsca they have with their Neighbour, cannot suit with lamities. their Grandeur and Worldly Glory, They sheath their Swords in one another's Bowels; Ruine, waste and destroy whole Countreys; Expose to the greatest Mifery many Thousand Families; Make Thousands of Widows, and Ten Thousands of Orphans; Cause the Banks to overflow with the Blood of those, for whom the Lord Jesus Christ shed his Precious Blood; and spend and destroy many of the good Creatures of God. And all this while they pretend

1677. tend to be Followers of the Lamb-like Jesus; who came not to destroy Men's Lives, but to save them; The Song of whose Appearance to the World Luk. 2, 4. was, Glory to God in the Highest, and Good Will and Peace to all Men: Not to Kill, Murther and The War- Destroy Men; not to hire and force poor Men to

riors are forced to Stians, whom they never had Evil

run upon and murther one another, meerly to kill of their fatisfy the Lust and Ambition of Great Men Fellow Chri-they being often-times Ignorant of the Ground of the Quarrel, and not having the least Occa-fion of Evil Will or Prejudice against those their will against. Fellow - Christians, whom they thus Kill; amongst whom not one of a Thousand perhaps ever saw one another before. Yea, is it not so,

that there is only a Name, and nothing of the True Nature of Christians especially manifest in the Clergy, who pretend not only to be Professors, but Preachers, Promoters and Exhorters of others Preachers to Christianity, who for the most Part are the

the War's Promoters.

greatest Promoters and Advancers of those Wars: and by whom upon all fuch Occasions the Name of God and Jesus Christ is most horribly abused, prophaned and blasphemed, While they dare Pray to God, and Thank him for the Destruction of their Brethren Christians, and that for and against, according to the Changeable Wills of their several Princes: Yea, so, that some will The Priests join in their Prayers with and for the Prosperity

Confusion and Instability in their Prayers.

of fuch, as their Profession obliges them to believe to be Heretical and Antichristian; and for the Destruction of those, whom the same Profession acknowledges to be Good and Orthodox Christians. Thus the French, both Papists and Protestants, Join in their Prayers, and Rejoyce for the Destruction of the Spanish Papists and Dutch Protestants. The like may be said of the Danish, Swedish and German Protestants, as respectively concerned in this Matter. Yea, which is yet more strange, if either Constraint or Interest do

engage any Prince or State to change his Party, 1677. while the same War and Cause remains; then will the Clergy prefently accommodate their, Prayers to the Case, In Praying for Prosperity to those, to whom instantly before they wished Ruine; and fo on the contrary: As in this present War, in the Case of the Bishop of Munster is manifest.

Was there ever, or can there be any more horrible Profanation of the Holy and Pure Name of God, especially to be done by those, who pretend to be Worshippers of the true God, and Disciples of Jesus Christ? This not only Equals, but far Exceeds the Wickedness of the Heathens: Worse than Heathens. For they only Prayed such Gods to their Assistance, as they fancied allow their Ambition, and accounted their Warring a Virtue; whom they judged Changeable like themselves, and subject to fuch Quarrels among themselves, as they that are their Worshippers: But for those to be found in these Things, who believe, there is but One only God, and have, or at least profess to have fuch Notions of his Justice, Equity and Mercy, and of the Certainty of his Punishing the Tranf-gressors of his Law, is so horrible and abominable, as cannot sufficiently be neither faid, nor written.

The Ground then of all this is the Want of The Ground True Christianity, because the Nature of it is not of War. begotten, nor brought forth in those called Chriflians; and therefore they bear not the Image, nor bring not forth the Fruits of it. For albeit they have the Name, yet the Nature they are Strangers to: The Lamb's Nature is not in them. but the Doggish Nature, the Wolfish Nature, that will full be quarrelling and destroying; the Cunning, Serpentine, Subtle Nature, and the Proud, Ambitious, Luciferian Nature, that fets Princes and States a work to contrive and foment Wars, and engages People to fight together,

1677. some for Ambition and vain Glory; and some for Covetousness and Hope of Gain: And the The Clergy's same Cause doth move the Clergy to concur with Prayers turn their Share in Making their Prayers Turn and and twine. Twine; and fo all are here out from the State of True Christianity. And as they keep the Name of being Christians; so also upon the same Pretext each will pretend to be for Peace, while their Fruits manifestly declare the Contrary. And how hath and doth Experience daily discover this Deceit! For how is Peace brought a. bout? Is it not, when the Weaker is forced to give way to the Stronger, without Respect to the Equity of the Cause? Is it not just so, as among the wild and devouring Beafts? Who when they Fight together, the Weaker is forced to give way to the Stronger, and so defift, until another Occasion offer? So who are found Weakest, who are least capable to hold out, they must bear the Inconveniency; and he gets the most Advantage, however frivolous, yea, unjust his Pretence be, who is most able to vindicate his Claim, and preserve it not by Equity, but Force of Arms: So that the Peace Contrivers Rule is not the Equity of the Cause, but the Power of the Parties. Is not this known and manifest in many, if not most of the Pacifications, that have been

It is therefore in my Heart, In the Name and Behalf of the Lord Jefus Christ, to warn you to consider of those Things: And therefore be not unwilling to hear One, that appears among you which ca-for the Interest of Christ his King and Master.

which Ca-for the interest of Chiff his king and Watter.

Lowny fome Not as if thereby he denied the Just Authority of aptto throw Soveraign Princes; or refused to acknowledge the upon us, by Subjection himself owes to his Lawful Prince Reason of some in and Superior; or were any ways inclined to fathose Countreys, that have so as of Crying up King Jesus, and the Kingdom of strends.

Christ,

made in Christendom?

Christ, either deny, or seek to overturn all Civil 1677. Government; Nay, not at all: But I am one, who do Reverence and Honour Magistracy, and acknowledge Subjection due unto them by their respective People in all Things Just and Lawful; knowing, that Magistracy is an Ordinance of God, * and that Magistrates are bis Ministers, who bear * Rom. 13. not the Sword in vain. Yet nevertheless I judge 1. 4. it no Prejudice to Magistracy, nor Injury to any, for one that is called of the Lord Jesus, to appear for him in this Affair; for he is not a little concerned, as by all their Confession, so by Right are his Subjects (unless they wilfully render themselves to another, even to the Adversary) for He is Heir of All, and therefore it is fit, that they, who speak in his Name, be heard; for his Honour and Glory is concerned: His Authority bas been contemned; his Laws broken; his Life Oppressed; His Standard of Peace pulled down and rent; bis Government incroached upon: What shall I say! His precious Blood shed, and himself a fresh Crucified, and put to open Shame by the Murders and Cruelties that have attended those Wars. If then ye come not under a deep and weighty Sense of those things, so as to apply your selves to seek after some Effectual Way to remedy these Evils; however you may feek to please Princes and States, by patching up a Reconciliation, and troubling your felves to fatisfy their Covetous and Ambitious Wills, who make fuch a Noife and Stir in the World about their Glory, and do not mind the Glory and Honour of the Lord Jefus Christ, so as to give him the Right, that is due unto him in the first Place (not in a bare Sound of Words; he will not accept of fuch a Complement, while the Evil Works remain;) I Testify in his Name, and Power and Authority, Your Work will be Imperfect, and not prosperous.

For

are now at Variance, may be by your Means brought to lay down Arms, and appear to be good Friends and dear Allies; yet unless the Lord Jefus Christ can be restored to his Kingdom in their Hearts, and that evil Ground of Ambition, of Pride, and Lust, and Vain Glory be removed, that so they may Rule in the Wisdom and Power of God, and not according to their Lusts; that Evil Ground and devouring Nature being still alive and predominant in them, will quickly stir some of them up again, so soon as Opportunity offers sit for their Advantage: They will kindle

The World's the Flame again, and all your Articles will not Pcace is foon bind them; but they will break them like Straws:

And their Counsellors, who flatter them, and seek to please them, will quickly find out a Pretext for a Breach, such as have taught them these Hellish Maxims, Qui nescit dissimulare, nescit regnare, i. e. That such, as make Conscience to Lye (or serve the Devil) but to Obey Christ, are not sit to rule; and that Kings must not be Slaves to their Words. And perhaps, if they find it dissicult to hit upon any probable Ground or Pretence; if they judge themselves strong enough, they will neither trouble themselves, nor the World to give a Reason, but tell, That to be at Peace is no longer consistent with their Glory: And when they have brought about what they have determined, they will let the World know the Reason of it.

Hath not manifold Experience proved those Things to be true? And seeing it is so, there is, nor can no setled, firm, established Peace be brought to Christendom, until the Devil's Kingdom be rooted out of Men's Hearts, from which Jam. 4. 1,7 Wars come, as the Apostle James testifies; and

the Kingdom of Jesus come to be established in the Hearts of Kings, and Princes, and People,

whole

whose Kingdom is a Kingdom of Righteousness and 1677. Peace, and Joy in the Holy Spirit: Until he come to Rule in and among them, and his Enemies, viz. Every Evil Lust be thrown out from him, so that his Heavenly Wisdom may take Place, which Jam. 3. 17. is pure, and peaceable, and easy to be intreated. And therefore to bring this about, is the One and Great Thing needful to be minded and confidered of, and effectually to be pressed after, as that, by the Accomplishing whereof the present Evils can alone be Cured and Removed.

Therefore be not mistaken, neither deceive your selves to think, Te can Accomplish this Work by your Worldly and Humane Wisdom; the Wisdom of the Flesh will not do it, neither that of the first Birth, which must Die and be Crucified, e're the Heavenly Wisdom, the Beginning whereof Prov. 1. 7. is the Fear of the Lord, be revealed, by which alone this Work can both be truly begun and finished. For the Worldly and Carnal Wisdom is the Cause of the War: It is by it, that Men have been, and are stirred up to it, even the Wisdom of the first sleshly Birth, which leads Men not to be content with their own, but to Covet their Neighbour's, and to Quarrel and Fight in Hopes of Advantage. Therefore that Wisdom, which is the Cause of the Mischief, will, nor can never Cure it.

Try and Examine your felves therefore ferioufly in the Sight of God, whether you be Led, Acted and Influenced in your present Negotiation by the Wisdom of this World, the Wisdom of the first Birth, which is Senfual, Devilish and from below; or by the Heavenly and Pure Wisdom of God, which is from above, and is the Fruit of the fe-Jam. 3 17? cond Birth, the New Birth by Fesus Christ formed and brought forth in the Soul, and the Light of Fefus Christ in you, which shews you all your Thoughts, and has reproved every one of you for

Q 3

1677. your Unrighteousness, even from your Childhood up: That will manifest unto you (if you mind it, The Glory and heed it) which Wisdom you are acted by;

of God, and and discover to you, whether it be your Thoughts.

Ease of the ond Purposes, to Glorify God over all, and to Rebe minded. move, so far as in you lies, what is contrary to

his Holy and Pure Will; and whether you be more concerned for the particular Interest and Interests of your feveral Princes, to satisfy or obviate their Designs, or to bring about that, by which God's Holy Witness in every Conscience may be answered, and the Pure Life of Jesus: That by these Doings the Oppressed may be eased, and suffered to arise.

For if this be little in your Minds, as a thing not much regarded, but neglected by you, I must intimate to you in the Name of the Lord, that your Work will not be bleffed by Him; neither will it prosper: For although you may make Peace for a Time; yet (as I have afore faid) it will not be firm, nor of any long Continuance; but the Old Root still remaining, will fend forth its Evil Fruit again, and all your Labour will

quickly be undone.

Let me Exhort you then feriously to Examine your felves by the Light of Jesus Christ in you, that can alone discover unto you your own Hearts, and will not flatter you (as Men may) Whether you be fit for this Work you are fet about? Which you cannot be, until you have feriously applied your felves to the Killing and God a last-Crucifying of that Nature in your selves, from which all this Evil flows. If the Warring Part be removed out of you, and the Corrupted Wifdom done away, and the Peaccable Wisdom brought up, then are you fit to confult and bring about the Peace of Christendom. But this cannot be accomplished in you, until you have first believed in the Light of Jesus Christ, wherewithal you,

In the peaceable Wildom of ing Peace is Eltablish Ed.

as well as all Men, are enlightned; and which is 1677. given you as a fufficient Guide and Leader, to lead out of Darkness, to lead out of Strife, to lead out of the Lusts, from which the Wars come, Jam. 4.1. unto the Ways of Righteousness and Peace; which leads not to destroy, but to Love and Forgive Enemies.

It is the minding of this, and being led and guided by it, that only can fit you for so Great and Good a Work; for this is the Fruit of the Father's Love to Mankind, and the Gift of God, even Christ Jesus, who was given for a Light to 162. 49. 6. enlighten the Gentiles, and for his Salvation unto Luc. 2. 37

the Ends of the Earth.

So it is by turning to this, and following it, and obeying it (in which is Sufficiency, and which gives Power to the Receivers of it to become the Sons of God) that the true Nature of Christianity can be brought forth and Restored; and by which Kings and Princes, Rulers and People may be brought out of Lust, Envy, Warring and Strife, to true Peace with God, and one with another.

And therefore the Cause of all the Mischief, that is in Christendom, is, Because this Light has not been minded, nor regarded in the Heart; but bas been hated and overlooked, as a low and in-Sufficient Thing: And therefore the Seed of the Kingdom, this Gift of the Father's Love, this little Leaven, this Pearl of great Price, and this Talent being hid in the Ground, Condemned and Despised, and the World and Worldly Mind being fet over it, notwithstanding all the Preaching and Praying, and Professing of Christ in Words (that has been only one outward Shew and Appearance, by which Men might the more eafily be deceived, and live more fecurely in their Wickedness) the Innocent Life of Christ hath not been known, and all Christendom hath not

Q 4

been

1677. been known, and all Christendom has brought forth bitter and sower Grapes under all their Talk and Forms of Worship; and not the sweet and Peaceable Fruits of Righteousness: Which can never be brought, until all come to him, to the Light of Christ in their Consciences, to fol-

The Light low and obey it, and acknowledge it, as that of Christ which is given them of God, and sufficient to and to Irith lead them to Life and Salvation. For as this is and Peace. thus received and entertained, the true Nature of Christ will be begotten and brought forth in People; and then the contrary Nature, in which

the Enmity and Strife is, will die and pass away: And so Truth and Peace will come to be

fetled and firmly Established.

And for this End the Lord God Almighty is arifen, and arifing in his own Power and Glory, who out of his infinite Compassion, having regard to the present distracted and desolate Condition of Christendom (as seeing them Strangers to his Life and Power, and led and guided at Will to the utter Ruin and Destruction both of Body and Soul, by the Adversary of Mankind's true Happiness) that he might Reveal the Light of his Truth, even of True Christianity to those, who have the Name only, hath turned many, who are Strangers and Enemies thereunto in their Minds by wicked Works, to this precious Light, by which Fudgment has been laid to the Line.

Matt 12 20. and Righteousness to the Plummet in them, and the
Evil Works and Nature in them have been judged and condemned; and they have willingly abode under it, until it hath been brought forth to
Vistory in them, And many of them, who have
been Wise according to the Wistom of the World,
have learned to lay it down at the Feet of Jesus,
that they might receive from him of his Pure
and Heavenly Wistom; being contented in the
Enjoyment of that by the World to be accounted

Fools:

Fools: And also many of them, who were Fight- 1677. ers, and even renowned for their Skill and Valour in Warring, have come by the Influence of this pure Light to Beat their Swords into Plough- 16a, 2.4. Shares, and their Spears into Pruning-hooks, and not learn Carnal War any more, being redeemed from the Lusts, from which the Fighting comes. And the Rage there are Thousands, whom God hath brought of the Devil against here already; who see to the End of all Contentity Lord's tion and Strife, and that for which the World chofen. contends: And albeit the Devil be angry at them, and rage against them in the meer Nominal and Literal Christians, because he knows, they strike at the very Root and Foundation of his Kingdom in Men's Hearts; and therefore he prevails in his Followers, to wit, in these Literal, Nominal Christians, to Persecute, Kill, Beat, Banish and Imprison, and many Ways Vex them: Yet because the Lord has Chosen them to be a First Fruit of that Glorious Work, which he is bringing about in the Nations, therefore they hitherto have, notwithstanding of all that Opposition, and yet shall prosper: By a patient Enduring in the Spirit of Jesus they do and shall OVERCOME.

And therefore there is nothing can so much tend to the Good and Universal Peace of Christendom, than for all and every one to mind this Gift of God in themselves; and not only to suffer, but to rejoyce at the Preaching and Promulgating of the Universality of this Glorious Light, whereunto God is now calling many: For as the Resisting and Slaying of this in themselves, as well as in those, who come in the Name of God to declare it, is the Cause of all the Mischief, that Christendom labours under; so also its being received and taking Place, would remove and do it away.

Be not therefore easily engaged by the Enemy to slight and reject those things as foolish and

weak,

1677. weak, and too low for you to confider, or give Place unto; for thereby the Enemy always laboured to vail and darken the Counfel of God, and hindred it from being received by Men.

I King. 2.8. Thus the King of Israel despised the Counsel Luc. 21 2. Mat. 27.20. of Micah at the Instigation of his Mocking Pro-Job. 13.40. phets; but remember, that you profess to be Followers of Jesus, who was loaden with many

Followers of Jesus, who was loaden with many Reproaches, accounted a Disturber, and to whom Barrabas a Murtherer was preferred, by the Counsel and Advice of the wise Rabbies and great Professors among the Jews; and remember, that you profess your selves to be Owners of that Gospel, whose first and chief Ministers and Preachers

Acts 2. 7. were accounted Foolish and Illiterate Men, Movers ch. 4. 13. of Sedition, Idle Babblers and Turners of the 19, 20. World upside down: And therefore be not easily ch. 21, 28. frighted by these and such like Reports and Rech. 24. 5, 6. frighted by these and such like Reports and Rech. 25. 7. proaches from hearing those, whom God hath

Called and Chosen, that in and amongst them he may be glorified, and by them may restore that in Reality in the (so called) Christian World, which for several Generations they have only had the Shadow of, but have not enjoyed in the

Substance.

And because many are the Calumnies, that such are Reproached withal, as holding forth strange and pernicious Doctrines; therefore I have herewith sent you a large Apology for the True Christian Divinity, held forth and preached by them; That therein you may see, how the truly Christian Principles, which have been lost in the Apostacy, while the Life of Christianity was not to be found, is Restored by their Testimony: Desiring you seriously to Read and Consider the same, as well as Transmit it to the several Princes you are Employed by; that both you and they may see, That the Day of the Lord

is Dawned; and may Learn to Walk in the Light of it; which would bring Peace and Quietness, and Felicity to all, both outward and inward: And thereby all may be stirred up to receive with Gladness such, as the Lord will move to Preach and declare this Day, as it is dawned and made manifest in them; following the Apostle's Rules In Receiving Strangers willingly; for that some in Heb. 13.21 so doing have entertained Angels unawares. And that none of you may be like the Pharifees, who cried, Crucify Him: Nor like those, who intreated him to depart out of their Coasts; and like those who would have none of him to Rule over them; lest with them ye receive the like Condemnation. However, I shall be clear of all your Blood, in so far as I have faithfully answered, what God required of me towards you, and Discharged my Conscience in Love to your Immortal Souls; as well as to the Common Peace and Good of Christendom. Whereof, and of all those that Profess the Name of Christ, I am

> A True Friend, and Hearty Well-wisher,

ROBERT BARCLAY.

This came upon me from the Lord to write unto You at Ury, in my Native Country of Scotland, the Second of the Month called November, 1677.

1677.

Opies of the foresaid Epistle in Latin, were upon the 23d and 24th Days of the Month called February, 1678. delivered at Nimeguen to the Ambassadors of the Emperor, of the Kings of Great Britain, Spain and France, Sweden and Denmark, of the Prince Elector Palatine; as alfo of the States General, and of the Duke of Lorain, Holstain, Lunenburg, Osnabrug, Hannover, and the Pope's Nuncio, to wit, One to each Ambassador, and one to each of their Principals; together with so many Copies of the Book, whereof the Author makes mention in the Letter, the Title whereof is,

Roberti Barclaii Theologiæ veræ Christianæ Apologia, Carolo secundo, Magnæ Britanniæ, &c. Regi oblata. Robert Barclay his Apology for True Christian Divinity, Offered to Charles the Second, King of Great Britain.

Typis Excusa, 1676. proJacob Claus, Bibtiopola habitante Amstelodami. Printed 1676. for Jacob Claus, Bookseller at Amsterdam.

APOLOGY

FOR THE

True Christian DIVINITY

VINDICATED FROM

John Brown's Examination and pretended Confutation thereof, in his Book called,

Duakerssu the Path-Wap to Paganism:

In which VINDICATION John Brown his many Gross Perversions and Abuses are Discovered, and his Furious and Violent Railings and Revilings soberly Rebuked.

By ROBERT BAKCLAY.

Whereunto is Added

A Christian and Friendly Expostulation with Robert Macquare, touching his Postscript to the said Book of J. B. Written to him, by Lillias Skein, Wife of Alexander Skein, and delivered some Months since at his House in Roterdam.

Ifaiah 51. 7. Hearken unto me, ye that know Righteousness, the People, in whose Heart is my Law, fear ye not the Reproach of Men, neither be ye Affraid of their Revilings.

Matth. 5. 11. Bleffed are ye, when Men shall Revile you, and Persecute you, and speak all manner of Evil salsely against you for my Name's Sake.

LONDON: Printed by the Ashgus of f. Sowle, in the Year, 1717.



THE

Preface to the Reader.

Serious READER,

Shall not need to trouble thee here with a Long Preface, most of what is commonly -Inserted in such Epistles being proposed to thee in the First Section; only I will take Occasion here Ingeniously and Solemnly to profess, That no Delight in Controversy hath Induced me to undertake this Treatise, but pure Necessity to Vindicate the Truth professed by me, from the many gross Perversions, wherewith this Author hath Abused It. For as for his personal Reflections at me, which are very frequent, and whereby he labours to reprefent me to bis Reader, as the Veriest Fool, Ignorant, Sensless, Non-sensical, and yet Pround, Presumptuous and Blasphemous Miscreant (for such are his Expressions) that can be imagined; I should not bave troubled my felf nor the World with a Vindication: Being perswaded, none, who truly knows me, will believe him; and that none of Solidity and Judgment, who knows me not, will so easily Agree to this Censure. As for such credulous Creatures, if his Book find any such (for I have heard of several of the Same Faith with him, who much Condemn bis Railing Style) who will judge of me upon so small and suspicious Evidence, I must be Contented (as many better Men have been before me) to abide the Rash Judgment of those Inconsiderate Souls. As for the Book, from which he Assumes and Pronounces this Character of me, thou wilt find it here Vindicated, and see that hideous Mask, wherewith he laboured to Vail it, that he might Rail the more Securely,

curely, Taken off. I could eafily shew the Lightness of his Judgment, by filling the other Scale with a pressed down Measure of the Testimonies both by Word and Writ of several Persons at Home and Abroad, who are not Quakers; and yet such, to whom without Disparagement be must give the Precedency both for Parts, Piety and Learning: but I desire not to Raise my Reputation that way, it is his Work, that needs a Post script of that Nature. And truly, he bath saved me this Pains, while at other Times he manifestly Implies a Contradiction to this Character, while he perswades the Reader of the Necessity he was under to write so great a Volumn; as if the whole Christian Commonwealth had been in Danger to be Overturned, and many Souls in Hazzard to be Hurt by the Quakers (among whom both he and his Brother R. M. C. give me and my Writings a Chief Place, as their Goliah, Patron, Sharpest and Neatest Pen) if not seasonably supplied by this his Antidote. For sure, had it been so Inconsistent and Contradictory a Peice of Work, as he sometimes Represents it to be, as being written by so filly and pitiful an Ignoramus (as he is sometimes pleased to term me) there could not have been fo great Cause of Fear, nor such need of a great Volumn, especially to such, as could not understand mine: being not yet Extant in a Language they Skilled, to whom he principally directs his: And though they had, could have no great Hurt; if he speak true, when he represents me frequently to write things Unintelligible: And yet he is so Wise as to Apprehend, he has Refuted, what he confesses he doth not Understand. But the Reason of his thus Contradisting Himself is, that albeit his Malice to the Truth and my felf was fuch, that he could not fay enough to Render both It and me despicable; yet forgetting himself at other times, he was forced to Acknow-

knowledge, what I say, Considerable, that he might render his own Work of some Value, and himself a Champion: Which he could never have done, albeit he might be supposed to have Rebuked and Re-futed a Piece of pitiful Non-sense. Thus the Man, while he stretcheth to Exalt Himfelf, and Abase me, overturns on the one Part, what he Affirms on the other. But if he can have so far Pity upon bimself, as to think it time of Repenting, I do with my whole Heart freely forgive him; and whether be do, or not, I can Assure him, as I should never have valued my self upon his Commendation, so I am nothing moved by his Abuses, save only to Pity and Commiserate him. I must Intreat this of the Reader, that, if he desire to be fully informed in this Controverly, he will be pleased first to Read my Apology; which for his Benefit will, I hope, be Extant in our Language, e're this comes to his Hands: And then perhaps he will little need an Antidote against the pretended Confutation: But if any Scruple there remain, it will easily be Removed by seriously perusing this Vindication. And because before his Book be placeth a great List of that he accounts the Blasphemous Assertions of the Quakers, that so he may prepossess his Reader with Prejudice at the very Entry; to Remove them, thou wilt find Inserted at the End a List of so many of them, as are utterly False: Besides many of them are Perversions, and not owned in the Terms he As-Serts them: And yet a great Part of them he pretends not so much as to Deduce from any Words written by me; but has fished for them in the Writings of others of our Adversaries: Which Piece of Injustice is in the last Section Demonstrated. Not desiring to Detain thee any longer, I shall only Wish The God of all Grace to Minister to thee such a Meafure thereof, and give thee such Light and Under-Handstanding by the Holy Influence of his Divine Spirit, that thou may'st for his Glory and thy Soul's Salvation make a Right Judgment of the present Controversy, and come truly to Discern, which Dostrine it is, and Who, that speaks most Consonantly to the Holy Scripture.

So Wisheth he, who is a Real Friend to all Men.

R. B.

ADVERTISEMENT.

T is hoped, That the more Moderate, Sober, and Serious among the Presbyterian Preachers, and who have a true Regard as well to the Peace of their own Consciences, as to the Christian Reputation of their Cause and Interest among Sober and Honest Christians, will shew their Readiness to do Juflice to themselves, as well as Right to the Injured Author of this Treatise, not only in Respect to the Appeal added to the End of the last Section; but also to the many other gross Abuses, Falshoods and Railings Detected herein, to be most Impudently Afserted by John Brown; since he comes forth under no less Character, than a Presbyterian David, and that given him by so Eminent a Man, as Robert Macquare is Reputed among them. Which Justice is also the more hoped for, since the more Moderate Presbyterians have themselves felt the Fruit. of J. B. bis Violent, Furious and Unchristian Temper in his Fomenting Divisions among them, and encouraging Cameron by his Letter; whom they repute an Heady, Turbulent Incendiary, and the Effects of whose Work strengthened by J. B. have produced no small Mischief both to the Cause in general, and to many poor People, who have been thereby Ruined; if the Occasion some of themselves Represent of the late Rising in Scotland, be True.

R. B's

1679.

APOLOGY

FORTHE

TRUE

Christian Divinity

VINDICATED

FROM

J. B's Examination and pretended Confutation thereof in his Book, called

Quakerism the path-way to Paganism.

SECTION I.

Containing the Introduction, and the Method, the Author proposeth to himself in this Treatise; with the Reasons, Wherefore? Together with some general Considerations Relating to J. B's whole Book; and Remarks on his Epistle to the Reader.

MONG the many Evils, that abound amongst those, that bear the Name of Christians, this is a great One, that in the unhappy Difference they have among themselves, there appears so much Malice, Bitterness and Envy, and so little R 2

1679. of that Candor and Sincerity, True and unmixed Zeal, and of the Meekness, Peaceableness and Gentleness of Jesus: So that there is often-times observed an eager Willingness to represent their Opposites other ways, than they are. But among all Sorts of fuch, as profess Christianity, I know none have more Reason to Complain of this Abuse, than we: Who, albeit we have not a little Laboured to make known to all the plain Truth held by us; yet our Words have been most miserably Perverted upon many Occasions, and we most horribly Mis-represented. As is abundantly manifest to many, who are acquainted with the Books writ against us, and our Answers; wherein many, if not most of the Arguments used against us, are not Levelled at those things we truly hold, but at the Monstrous and horrid Consufferings by ceptions, which our Adversaries have framed to our Adversa-themselves, and them would needs fasten upon us, as our Principles and Doctrines. Many of us have been thus exercised in the Controversies, wherein we have been Concerned; and I my felf, in some small Rencountres, that have heretofore fallen to my Share, have had my Part; but I confess, Interior to many of my Brethren: But now that J. B's Work appears, I think (confidering the Bulk and Nature of It, hereafter more particularly to be Veiwed) I may come up with most. For I scarce think, that ever a Man's

Words were so Horridly and Constantly throughout Perverted, or that ever a Book of Controversy of it's Bulk, to wit, (as I take it) betwixt 70 and 80 Sheets of Paper, was fo Stuffed with a Continual Strain of Railing, from the very first Page unto the last: Yet, when we consider the Man's Design, which appears from the Nature of his Work, perhaps there will be less Occasion of

Our fad ries mil representing us and perverting our Principles and Doctrines.

Wonder.

T 2. For either he, or some Brother of his A- 1679. broad, having without any Provocation from us, the People called Quakers, fallen into the most Gross and Vilest Sort of Railing against us in a Poffscript to S. R's Letters, and that without the The Advanleast Offer of Probation; it seems, they judged has upon his themselves concerned to give the People some Implicit Reason for their so doing. And there could not be a finer Knack to beguil the Credulous and implicite Multitude, than to Answer a Book writ in Latin, and not Extant in their Mother Tongue; for there a Man, as to them (who cannot Read, Understand and Compare it with that, to which it relates) may pervert Words as he will, draw Confequences at pleasure, and make to himself what Monsters best please his Fancy, or like his Humour best to batter: And yet he cannot find in it (by all his Perverting) enough to make us fo black, as he would have us; fo that he is oftentimes constrained to Fish for this, by citing the Writings of some, that have writ against us, and brings us up some of their Old, Thread-bare Calumnies, long ago Answered by us: In which his Injustice shall be afterwards observed. And so he, being thus furnished, can the more eafily Abuse, especially while he is almost Secure, that the Generality of those he writes to, are such, as will not call in Question, as to the Truth of it. what is faid by one esteem'd by them a pretious and gracious Minister, and Sufferer for the good Caufe to boot. But blessed be God! the Number of such Implicit Believers groweth daily lefs, and many, that had wont to do other-wife, begin to love to see with their own Eyes, and not to Pin their Faith so much upon the Clergy's Sleeve, as they had used formerly to do. For this Cause, had I to do only with the more Judicious and Learned, who could have well understood the Latin Edition, I should have thought my self R 3

the

the less Concerned to have said any thing to this Answer: but knowing, that his may come to the Hands of many, and may be Read by them, who do not understand Latin; and that not a few, who do understand it, love rather to Read and Confider things in their Own Language; this made me haften an English Edition (not one Sheet whereof was Committed to the Press several Weeks after J. B's Book came out.) And now it being Abroad, as to those, who are diligent and Judicious, and willing ferioufly to Compare as to the Argumentative Part, I should not be so much concerned to Answer him; judging the English Edition with all fuch a fufficient Reply to this pretended Examination, However, he oftentimes fings a Triumph to himself, saying in ma-7. B's fing-ny Places, What will our Quaker Say now? Coning a Tri-

the Conquest.

umph before trary to the Rules of Sobriety, and to what the Scripture teaches him, faying; Let not him boaft, that puts on his Armour; but he that takes it off: Besides what his Brother in a most fawning, flattering Manner adds in his Postscript, (to which fomething may be faid hereafter.) But because too many out of Malice, Prejudice and Ignorance may be too apt to Credit him, I resolve here to take notice of his gross Perversions and Abuses upon every Thesis, and of his most Unreafonable and Brutish Railing: Which being subje-Cted to the Reader's View, will give him a great In-fight in the Matter, and let him fee, what kind of Man this is, and what kind of Work it is that comes from him? And likewife in respect he Infults very much; I may labour to Allay it, in taking notice of his Chifest Arguments, that are any ways to the Purpose. This, I know, will fatisfy the Moderate and Judicious, who bring not a long with them an Understanding already Prepoffessed; but are willing patiently to bear

hear both Parties, and then make a Judgment accordingly. And as for others, who are wholly Prepoffessed with Malice and Prejudice, and have no Ears to hear, but (according to the Author of the Postscript his Advice) Avoid the least of that Kind, as Poyson; I say, as for such, I wish the Lord open their Eyes, and give them a Heart more Just and Equal, I shall not be much concerned, if my Writing have no great Insluence upon them at present.

¶ 3. But if any Strange, that so small a Treatise, as this may seem to be, should Answer so great a Bulk; the Considering of these Particulars following will easily remove that Wonder.

lars following will eafily remove that Wonder.

1. If we Consider, how much is taken up in The Railing meer Railing, of which few Pages are found free; of J. B's a and sometimes takes up near the whole Page; his Book. besides that almost every Paragraph ends with a Dish of this Desert, saying; O what Hell-hatched Heresies these abominable Quakers maintain! And the like: Besides many little Sentences, such as, This is an Answer sit for a Quaker: This is like the Quakers Non-sense: I see the Quakers can dream waking, and fuch like Stuff. I need not set down Pages to prove this; for as thou wilt find a Specimen of it in the first Half side to the Reader, fo indeed thou'lt scarce open the Book, but thou wilt meet with it: So that I may fafely fay (to speak within Bounds) there are 20 Sheets (if it were all put together) that are meer Railing neither by way of Admiration, Deteftation or Execration; which have nothing of Argumentation either from Scripture, or Reason, but the meer strong Affirmo of the Affertor. which (albeit I may Remark it, as I go on) I think not my felf concerned to Answer; nor do I Conceive, will any fober Man Judge, I am: And my Answer thereto, as now to the Bulk of it, so may perhaps prove not much more all along, than, R 4

1679. The Lord rebuke that Railing Spirit in thee J. B. and, If it may fland with his Will, Redeem thee from it, that thou mayst learn Sobriety of that Grace of God, thou so much fightest against? It is a Trade I love not, nor do I skill or think to learn it; I will readily grant him both the Preference and Victory in this Art of Billings-gate Rhetorick, or (to speak yet more plain to all our Scots Capacities) of Rail-Wives Oratory: So I fay, Let all this Railing in his Book be laid aside. And whereas he would Infinuate in feveral Places, as if there were much Railing in my Apology, faying, I Rage, and fuch like Expressions: How great an Abuse this is, I leave to the Judgment of the Intelligent Reader.

7. B's Excurfions and

2. If all his Excursions be laid aside, wherein he runneth out often-times into long Homilies by Preachments way of Explanation of their Judgment, descanting upon the feveral Opinions of their Divines (as he calls them) in which he often-times not only bestows several Pages, but sometimes divers Sheets, as in its Place may be observed: In all which Tedious Preachments (some whereof are may be Shives of Old Rufty Sermons, that have been lying by him) I think my felf no more concerned, than it the Man had writ a great Volumn of their Divinity; which I should not perhaps have bestowed the Pains to Read, far less look'd upon it as my Business to Answer it.

His other Helps and Irrational Proofs.

3. If all his Citations out of Hicks, Faldo and others, that have written against us (all which are long ago answered, though not heeded by him) were laid aside, which is not only most impertinent, but likewise unjust; (as shall be after more particularly observed:) And likewise his long Citations out of the Westminster Confession of Fuith, and larger Catechifm (a good Part whereof he hath transcribed, and inserted in his Book; Albeit it had been a great deal easier, to have cited

cited the Chapters, and referred to them, the 1679. Book being so common; but it seems, it pleaseth U the Man's Humour to fee a great Bulk go under

his Name, however it be filled up.) And-

4. Lastly, If his many Perversions be considered, wherein he either wilfully or ignorantly mistakes my Meaning, and sets up to himself a Man of Straw, and then batters at it; I fay, this being laid aside, which takes up no small Part of his Work, will make a confiderable Abatement. Now all these things considered, and all this superfluous and chaffy Stuff being laid aside, which is little or nothing to the Purpose, the Reader will find, that what remaineth, will go into a pretty narrow Compass, and bear no great Difproportion, if any at all, with these my Observations.

¶ 4. But e're I make an End of this Section, I 7. B's Injudge it needful to take some Notice of his E-troduction piftle, where the manner of his Introduction is ous Railings. very odd. Men use to be sober and moderate. that write Controversies, in the Beginning at least, and not seek to prepossels the Reader with Prejudice against their Adversaries, until by the Strength of their Reasons they have proved them to deferve it; but this Man is so full fraughted with Malice, and fo in Love with Railing, that he cannot forbear the first Page, where we have him calling us, Locusts, of whose Ministry the Devil makes Use, only Masculine in Malice against Christ, &c. -- Breathing forth nothing, but that putred Poyson, that innate, Serpentine Venom, &c. And of this Strain is the Whole of his Epistle, where we are termed Apostate-Quakers, pag. 2, & 3, Renegado Quakers, &c. But methinks, it should have been more Rational to have forborn this, until he had proved us fuch; and not to have begun thus to Rail without the least Probation: But however this may take with Malitious or

Credulous

1679. Credulous Persons. it will give the Judicious a Vsufficient Taste of the Nature of his Work; and we are not afraid or great Prejudice by this kind of Arguing. But as he goes on, he gives us a clear Specimen of what Spirit he is of; and abundantly shews, that if either he had Power, or were able to influence the Magistrate thereunto, he would have faved himself the Labour of all His Perfe. this Confutation, by making short in Cutting us caring Spirit all of. For fince he reprefents us not only as the worst and Vilest of Hereticks, but as the Sworn and most desperate Enemies of Jesus Christ in all his Offices; we need not doubt, confidering his Judgment expressed in the Case of Liberty of Conscience, what he would have done with us. And albeit it might have been Judged, that in Prudence he should have let it alone, until that Place; where it feemed to be most proper, and only might be inferred by way of Consequence: Yet in this, as in most other things, his Malice outdoes his Prudentials; and therefore he cannot let three Pages pass, and that in the Epistle, till he discover his Temper in this. For there he tells a Story of a Turk, who caused punish a Jew for Blaspheming of Christ, to the Shame of Christians, who have not so much Zeal, &c .- and a Redress of this (faith he) is called for at the Hands of one and other, according to their Place and Sta. tion. The Language of this is sufficiently underflood, and beareth no small Reflection upon Magistrates, as not being so forward to Persecute, as J.B. would have them. And if we consider that Flood of Railing, that follows, the Application is easy; and then, as he goes on, he takes a very convenient Way, that he may Rail fecurely and Calumniate without Fear of being discovered. For he would Fright People from so much as coming near us; yea, he will have all

fleeing from us more hastily, than from Persons hav-

ing

ing the black Botch (such is his comely Expression) 1677. yea, and under the dreadful Hazzard of incurring Anathema Maranatha, fo much as to enter into a Friendly Communing with us, giving us the least token of Kindness and Affection by Word or Deed! (What; not feed us, if ready to Starve? Or cloath us, if Naked? Which Christ commands all his Disciples to do to their greatest Enemies) ver. 21. But how would this Man have a Husband be Rom 12,20. having towards his Wife, or a Wife towards her Rom. 7. 2. Husband? If turning Quaker they must shew one Eph. 5. v.25, another no Token of Kindness and Affection either in Word or Deed; when the Apostle encourages Christian Husbands and Wives to live with one another respectively, if they be willing, albeit professed Heathens and open Idolaters; But with him they must not so much as shew a Token fo much as by one Cast of the Eye, far less by more Homely Discoursings. And after the same Manner a little after he would have all forbear fo much as looking into our Writings; to which, according to his ordinary Style, he gives such Epithets as his Railing Genius affords him: A very ready way for him to Bely and Calumniate us at Pleasure. But upon this Occasion I would ask him this Question, If he judgeth it reasonable, that he, that readeth his Examination, should look into the Apology, to which it relates, or unto that which is faid by way of Reply to it, or if he would have them taking all upon Trust from him? Were not this a brave Way of Examining Controversies? And doth not this fairly lead to the blind Ignorance and Implicitness of the Church of Rome, and to the Custom of the Turks and Mahomet's Rule? (whom he hath so often in his Mouth.) I would willingly know, if the Man would avow himself so Irrational, as to require or defire this of any Body in their Wits? And yet he must be so Irrational, or otherwise

1679. allow the breaking of the Rules he fo earnestly

~ presseth.

of Railing, accusing us of Devilry, and what not, (as for the Number of the poysonous Assertions, which he saith he has gathered together, it shall be spoken of hereafter, and his gross Abuse therein detected) he comes at last to apprehend. That some an in this has a last to apprehend.

7. B's Rea-hend, That fome will think he is too large; but fon for his he has a quick Way of folving that Difficulty, Prolixity. 16 by Answering himself with a contrary Appre-

hension, That more will blame him for not being larger: And so this Objection is easily dispatched. As for the Reason he gives of his Prolixity, to wit; Because of the Temper of the Quakers, who would have been ready to Vaunt and Triumph, if he had Omitted any thing: But for all this Boast it shall be shewn, that several times (as large as he is) he hath omitted whole considerable Paragraphs, where he found he would be Pinched and that the Matter was too het for his

His proofs Pinched, and that the Matter was too hot for his from West. Fingers, that he durst not meddle with it. At tession and last he comes to an honest and ingenuous Confescatchism, sion, That in most of the Heads he hath adduced them before for Confirmation only their Confession of Faith and Scriptures. Catachism. A work plain Acknowledgment of

Catechism e A very plain Acknowledgment of the Nature of his Work; for he is very good at Begging the Question, and proceeding upon Principles denied by him he hath to do with. But the Judicious Reader may judge, whether his Proofs be very Valid and Binding, which are only confirmed by that, which is denied by me; and which needs to be confirmed no less, than the Arguments deduced from it; since I account it no Confession of the True Faith: This is just, as it a Papist, Arguing against a Protestant, should tell him, He useth only for Confirmation the Decrees of the Council of Trent. How ridiculous

this is, any Judicious Man may judge. But fince 1679. he hath so great a Veneration of the Confession of Faith, and also such an Itch of Scribbling, methinks, he thould not fuffer it to lie fo long under the Censure of that Examen, which was written several Years ago; and lieth yet (for ought ever I could learn) Unanswered: All the Notions of which, albeit I will not espouse, yet I think, all J. B's Clergy and Reason will not solidly reply to it: And I am well affured, it hath difgusted Hundreds of that Confession, who are not Quakers; and also how weakly the Confesfion is confirmed, and how grofly the Scriptures are perverted to make them ferve it, I have given a Taste in the last Chapter of my Book, intituled, A Catechism and Confession of Faith: which is not only extant in English, but he will find it also Printed in Low Dutch; and should in Reason have been removed by him, e're he had used it only for Confirmation in Controverfy against me. But there is something more in this Expression: For when the Confession of Faith and Catechism is only adduced for Confirmation, what becomes of the Scriptures, that in Words are fo highly exalted? It feems, notwithstanding all these Verbal Commendations he has no more Use for them, than for an Old Almanack: The Confession of Faith and Catechism is that, which is to be minded. It feems, what he brings of them in this Controversy, is only pro forma, for the Confession of Faith is only adduced for Confirmation; it is the good Antidote against the many Errors of the Times: And whereas he speaks of Apposite Passages of Scripture, those that will compare them with the Things they are pointed to prove, will find in most not the least Correfpondence: Of which I have given some Proof in that Place before mentioned.

¶ 6. But

of the Matter. For all their great Talk of the Scripture, it is manifest to such as will narrowly look into it, that not the Scripture, but the Confession of Faith and Catechism is their Rule of Faith and Manners: For the Scriptures must serve the Confession of Faith, not the Confession of Faith answer the Scriptures; which must be turned, twin'd and wrested to suit the Confession of Faith. Hence if a Man believe the Scriptures ever so firmly, and square his Faith accordingly, unless he agree to every Point of the Confession of Faith, all is to no Purpose, he must pass for an Heretick.

At last to conclude, he having, it seems, said all he has to say, makes Provision not to be put upon the Necessity to Vindicate his gross Perversions and Calumnies. As for his Comparison of Rats and Mice, their Dealing with Books, he must know, I intend not to square these Observations to gratify his Humour; it will be enough for me to satisfy the Candid and Judicious Reader. He doubts not to make a Judgment of Things not

Judge in his that Shall savour of Reason, Religion, Candor and own Cause. D.

Plainness: We have feen that of him, which gives us Ground to believe, he has had enough Thoughts of us: But however he must not expect to be Judge in his own Cause. And whereas he saith, He will not be troubled at our Railings and Barkings; one may wonder, the Man has the Considence to accuse others, of what himself is so highly guilty of: But he shall not need fear to be troubled with such Stuff; and whether he gives or gets most of that, is referred to the Judicious Readers, to whose Judgment and Censure, whether he will or not, as his Writings will be liable, so to them, and to their Christian Consideration I freely submit, what is written in these Observations.

SECT. II.

Wherein his Two First Chapters, containing Remarks upon my Preface, and the First These Of the true Ground of Knowledge, are Considered.

¶ 1. Pon the Preface of my Theses, which is but about half a Dozen of Lines, he bestows no less than Twelve Pages; all which being either bare Affertions, or Railing (as cannot escape the Diligent Reader's Observation) will therefore require the Shorter Reply. He hath not got the Length of a Dozen of Lines, J. B's vain when with a Piece of Confidence he will feem fo Modelly. Modest, as Not to Præ-occupy the Reader's Judgment, by calling the Theses, Ethnical or Diabolical; but methinks, if he has not forgotten his Epifile, which we in Reason suppose the Reader to have first viewed, in which (as is above observed) there is enough of that fort said to Pre-occupy his Judgment: So that he must needs put out his Eyes, that doth not fee, that his pretended Modesty and Forbearance is not Real.

T 2. Next, because these Theses are directed by me to Clergy men of all Sorts in the Christian World, he will needs have it, that I acknowledge a Christian World, to which my self, and those 1 patronize, do not belong; but how he makes this Consequence appear, he leaves us to divine: For there is no Proof brought for it, but his own Af- The chrifertion. He needs not wonder, that I acknow-fian World ledge a Christian World, unless he had known me from its outsomewhere to deny it; for in Respect of Pro ward Profession (which Distinction himself elsewhere useth) Christ. all these may be accounted of it, who make an Outward Profession of Christ: Besides that I have

1679. Sufficiently acknowledged my Belief, that in severals of them the Inward Life of Christianity is to be found. As for what follows, he needs not

by the Au-thor for Diflinction's

The Word doubt, but I am as much against the Distinction clergy used of Laity and Clergy, as himself can be: But fince I writ to fuch, many whereof own it, my Using it to them for Distinction's sake, will not infer my Approving of it. With his usual Candor he will have this Direction to import no less than a Chartal, to provoke all those it is direded to, to a Dispute; as if a Man for removing of Mistakes and Mis-representations could not give an Account of his Faith, without it be e-Reemed a Provocation to Dispute: If he really believes I intended fo, I must tell him, he is greatly miftaken; and I apprehend, I should know my own Intentions large as well as he. He is offended, that our Doctrines should be thought as different from Papists, as Protestants; but with how little Ground, will after appear: And he also refers it to a fitter Probation. Then, after he has knocked as hard as he can upon me, for my Confidence, he tells me, That there is little faid by me, but what was Refuted, e're I was born, by the Orthodox Writings against Pelagians, Socinians, Arminians, Enthusiasts, Anabaptists and Papists: But methinks then there was the less need of troubling the World with his Volume. Yet he has for that a ready Salvo, He must Answer a Fool according to his Folly, left he be wife in his Some other Reasons he adds for own Conceit. Ingaging in this his Work, which the Reader may judge of, whether they be of any Weight.

1 3. As he goes on, he is greatly offended, I should style my felf a Servant of the Lord; and will have it to be upon no better Ground, than Thomas Muncer and the Anabaptists of Munster; But because all this is founded upon the Supposition of my being a false Prophet, and Preaching

must overturn mine with some stronger Argu-

another Gospel than the true, we must leave it to 1679. the Reader's Judgment, after he has taken Time to consider of the whole Debate. But Because he speaks here of the Producing Credentials, I would willingly have him producing his Credentials for which are being a Minister of the Gospel; and it may be Credentials then seen, if I cannot produce as valid, for any sor his Minister of the priodice as valid, for any sor his Minister of the produce as valid, for any sor his Minister of the produce as valid, for any sor his Minister of the produce as valid, for any sor his Minister of the produce as valid, for any sor his Minister of the priodice of the priod thing I style my Self: Only he must remember, nistry p.c-That as his must have something more than his own Affirmation, or those of his Party; so he

ments, than meer Railing.

1 4. He needs not apprehend (as he would insinuate) That the Omission of any Words in the Theses prefixed to the Apology, proceeds from my being ashamed of the Name QUAKER; since himself bears witness in the very same Page, that I fully acknowledge it in the Explanation of the Eleventh Thesis. Here he has a Descant upon Trembling, and feems to strange, that any Quaker should bring the Example of Moses and Habbakkuk, to shew, That such a thing was not so much to be wondred at in the Saints; but why this should be esteemed impertinent by him, he doth not tell us. As for the Foaming at the Mouth he talks of both here and elsewhere, it is returned upon him as a Calumny, and he is defired to prove it; but it must be by some more credible and impartial Testimony, than his Mr. Stalham: for Parties use not to be admitted as Witnesses. For his denominating us by that Name of Distinction, I shall not quarrel: But as for his Infinuation in the Beginning of pag. 5, where he faith, It is like, we would gladly have them casting away their Bibles;

as no more to be regarded than the Turks Alcoran; 7. Bismatit bespeaketh the Height of Malice: As to which litious Institute I shall only say, The Lord forgive him for so gross gainst our a Calumny, which he, that is the Searcher of win on the Hearts, knows to be a most horrible Lye! He and Schools

goes of Learning.

1679. goes on after his usual Manner, saying, I inveigh against all Humane Learning, that bath been any ways made Use of in Theology; but where he finds this afferted by me, I know not: Whether the Words he would deduce it from, to wit, (That Man has rendred the plain and naked Truth obscure and mysterious by his Wisdom) will bear such a Consequence, is left to the Reader's Judgment. But he thinks he has found out our fecret Defign of being against Learning and Schools of Learning (which is neither our Affirmation, nor Principle, but his own false Supposition.) We would (faith he) have all those banished, that we might the more easily prevail with our Errors: But methinks, the Man should be more wary in venting his own false Imaginations, unless he could bring some Ground for them: For his Affertion is so far untrue, that if he had been rightly informed, he might have known, that we have fet up Schools of Learning, for Teaching of the Languages, and other needful Arts and Sciences, and that we never denied its Usefulness: Only we denied it to be a Qualification absolutely necessary for a Minister; in which Case alone we have opposed its Necessity.

If s. He confesseth, I speak not amiss, in saying, The World is overburthened with Books; but thinks, that my Apology of Fifty Sheets adds some considerable Weight. But methinks, he of all Men should have here been silent, who has troubled the World with an Examination of it a great deal larger; albeit he consesseth, All that is in it hath been refuted by the Orthodox long ago: And not only so, but since that he shas written a Book near twice as large upon one Point, to prove the First Day of the Week to be the Christian Sabbath; and yet is but the First Time, and seems but to be the Porch, of what he intends upon that Subject. With his usual

Can-

Candor he saith, I am against Disputes and De- 1679. bates, or Books written of that Nature: But to infer fimply, That I am against all such, because solid con-I reprove the Vain Jangling, that hath been and troverly for clearing the is among the School Men, is an ill Consequence. Truth, use-He shall not find me any where speaking against useful and solid Controversies for Clearing and Maintaining of Truth. He seemeth not to disapprove, what I speak against School-Divinity, confessing the abuse of it; albeit he thinks, it hath been of Use. And as for this Imagination of my being acquainted with it, we will place it among his other Mistakes. He proceedeth pag. 8. to say, I am against the Labours of those, that have writ Commentaries; but his Conclusion here is like others of this Nature. When I mention Commentaries, it is with Relation to what goes before: He will not deny, but Many Books are written under the Notion of Commentaries on the Scripture, by which the Truth has been more darkned, than cleared; will it therefore follow, that he condemns Commentaries indefinitly? As for fuch Writings tending to the Opening of the Scripture, in which the Authors are Acted and Influenced by the fame Spirit, from which the Scriptures came, and which alone can give the True Meaning of them, I am fo far from Condemning them, that I highly approve them, as very Beneficial to the Church of Christ. As for his Talk here of our Difrespect to the Scriptures, I shall have Occasion to take Notice of it, where they are particularly treated of: But he is Apt to think, that the Real Ground of my Prejudice against such Books is, because so much is to be found in them against my Old Errors; for I cannot but know (faith he) that whoever reads thefe, must see my Nakedness and Folly without much Study: As for his Imagination we must take it with much more, upon Trust; but this helps

1679. to prove the Needlesness of his large Examina-

¶ 6. At his usual Rate of Perverting he goes on to say, That the Account I make of all the Learned Men of the World, is, that they are Scribes and Disputers of the World, &c. But for Proof of this we have nothing: He confesseth, the Words to be those of the Apostle; and how he proveth, that I have a different Meaning from the Apostle, I know not. After he hath commended his Learned Men, and loaded the Quakers with Reproaches, he concludes this Paragraph, pag. 8. with another Falshood; and vet he will have it remarked, to wit, That according to my Judgment, the Pure and Naked Truth of God was never unfolded nor declared, until the Generation of the Quakers arose. But where he finds me faying fo, he tells not, and indeed cannot; fince fuch a Thing was never afferted by me. For Answer to my saying, That God has laid aside the Wise and Learned, and made Use of Illiterate Men, as to Letter-Learning; after he faith, It is Affirmed without Proof, (not confidering, how improper it was, not to expect any formal Probation upon the Occasion and Manner it was delivered) he gives us divers Citations out of the Apostle Paul, warning against Seducers: All which I acknowledge to be true; but the Question lieth in the right Application. And yet fince, (albeit he believes, they very appositly agree to us) he thinks it not his present Business to demonstrate it; it will need no Reply. After he has proceeded in his Tenth Page. according to his usual Sort of Railing, affirming the great Difference betwixt our Doctrine, and that of the Apostles, he brings forth a migh. ty Charge, That I usurp the Throne of God, and Judge of Men's Hearts and Intentions: (but how Guilty himself is of that Crime, hath been in

Part already shewn, and will hereafter more ap- 1679. pear) But why do I fo ? Because I say, The Clergy have clouded the Truth, that the common The clergy People might Maintain and Admire them: Busclouding the have not Protestants, and that truly Asserted this the People of the Papish Clergy? And is not the Thesis di might ad-rected to such? Will it not then hold true (ac-mire and maintain cording to his own Judgment) of a great, yea, them. the greatest Part of those, to whom it is directed? What then will become of his Clamours? Yea, If it were needful I could give Instances of very mean Thoughts he and his Party have of many of the Protestant Clergy; yea, and Re-flections not much (if any thing) inferior to this, to verify, with how little Ground he quarrelleth with me here. As for his Malitious Aspersion, That there are shrewd Presumptions, our Stock lies at Rome; he should have produced some of them, if he could: We could never yet obtain for this Old Calumny from our Adversaries the least Probation; and it will be found as hard for him to prove it, as he may think it for fuch, who strongly affirm, Their Great IDOL, the COVENANT, was contrived at Rome, and came from thence. As for his Reflection upon our Church, as being All Eyes and Ears; it will be proper to speak of it in its own Place. Next, to prove the Positions of the Quakers to be such. as overturn and destroy the Gospel, he bringeth (Page 11.) divers Citations out of Mr. Norton J. Bis and Mr. Stalham (as he terms them) adding, More Raffe Witand may be had out of Mr. Hicks: But such Witnes-tributing to fes will have small Credit with impartial Rea-his bulky Book. ders: If he himself had dealt impartially, he should have first Read our Answers to them, e're he had given them such Authority. It were easy for me, by way of Reply to Transcribe, what our Friends have written particularly by way of Answer to them, did I as much affect to

1679. have my Writings bulky, as it feems he doth. → He closeth up this with a Fit of Railing: And after he has quarrelled with me, pag. 12. for having an high Conceit (as he imagines) of my Thefes. he falls fresh to that Work again, telling, They have Weight to fink into the bottomless Pit the poor Soul that embraces them. I never fought, any should receive Doctrines as Truth upon my bare Testimony; and therefore he needs not upbraid me with fo doing. And whereas on the contrary (as himself immediately observes) I leave what I fay, to the LIGHT in every Man's Conscience; it shews, with how little Reason he made his former Alledgance. After he has pleafed himself with making an impertinent Conjecture of the Import of these Words, that so he might, if he could, render them Ridiculous; he cometh at last to the true Understanding of them: And truly, he needed not fear at my being offended, that he should make a Judgment of what I writ, according to his Conscience; but he went the wrong way to work, when his Labour is to pervert and wrest, and make them fpeak, what they do not. This apparently proceeds from Malice and Prejudice; and the Light of his Conscience, if he had minded it, would never have prompted him fo to do. Thus I am come to the End of the First Chapter.

¶ 7. In the Second Chapter, intituled, Of the true Ground of Knowledge, I find, he cannot contradict what is afferted by me; only he must be Carping, he makes a Noise, that Joh. 17. 3. cited by me, So much of the Sentence was not set down in the First, as Second Edition. What a pitiful Cavil this is, the Reader may easily judge; since the Place was noted, it was enough, though never a Word had been set down; but this with him is a bad Omen. Let the Judicious judge of this Man's Judgment in the Matter. But because

ne

he cannot Quarrel at what is faid, he will quar- 1679. rel, That so much is not said, as he judged meet: We But he may be pleased to understand, that I judged my felf under no Necessity to advise with him, what was needful for me to write. (faith he) since I take upon me to Teach the whole World, (it is strange, it should be so natural for this Man to write Untruths, fince I direct my Theses only to the Christian World: But if it may render me Odious, such Peccadillo's pass with him, it seems, but for Pie Fraudes :) I intended never to write of those Things, concerning which we do not differ from others. But let me see, wherein he accounts me Defective. I have Written nothing (saith he) of the Nature and Attributes of God. I write not to Atheists, but Christians, who already acknowledge; and I judge it not my Work to write Books to perswade Men of that, they already profess to believe. But I write not Expresly and Distinctly of the Tri- Trinity (so nity; yet himself after acknowledges (pag. 24.) called spo-That it would seem, I am Orthodox herein; that the Author. be finds not any clear Ground to the Contrary. I writ as Expresly and Distinctly of that, as is Expressed in Scripture; which I hope, J. B. will not fay is defective in sufficiently Expressing this

¶ 8. The Third Challenge is, I speak nothing of God's Decrees, by which some are prædestinated to Life, others Fore-ordained to Death; (for the Man without Ceremony takes the Doctrine for granted:) But if I have spoken nothing of this, (though perhaps not in the Method he would) how extravagant must he be, that writes a whole Chapter upon Reprobation, as pretending to Refute what I have said concerning it. With the like Considence (not to say Impudence) he accuses me of Silence in Relation to the Covenants; to the Redemption purchased by Christ; his taking

Article of Faith.

S 4.

Flesh

1679. Flesh upon him; to the Work of Grace and Sandissipation; to Obedience to the Law of God: Which gross Abuse any one that reads my Book, will easily see; considering, how much, and how particularly these things are spoken to in the Explanation of the 5, 6, 7, and 8 Theses.

Last of all he accuseth me for giving no Ac-Refurrection of the Body count of the Resurrection of the Body: But do I owned by us not expresly in my Conclusion affirm, That those that accuse us of Denying of it, belye us? And doth not that clearly import an Owning? But as to that Matter (because I love not Repetitions. as he doth, who will be upon one Matter often, and out of its proper Place) I will refer, what further I have to fay, until I come to his last Chapter. At last, after he has Confessed in Part to what I affirm, he craves Liberty, because some may put a wrong Foundation for the right, to Examine, what by me is placed for it; which Liberty is freely granted him: (For I am a great Enemy to Implicit Faith, as well the Popish, as Presbyterian, who in that are much-what alike) And I will take also Liberty, to Re-examine his Examination, that I may free my felf of those many Abuses, wherewith he has injured me.

SECT. III.

Wherein his Third Chapter of Inward and Immediate Revelation is Considered.

That I may not trouble the Reader with a long and tedious Pursuit of J. B. in all his Extravagant Rambles and Unreasonable Railings (wherein he accuses me as an Ignoramus, writing Non-sence and Confusion, pag. 39. (more of that kind in pog. 31.) while yet to his own

Confusion (pag. 40, 41.) he faith, He knows not 1679. what I mean, nor what I would prove; nor what my Arguments must conclude: Wherein if he The Priest's speak true, he declares himself Uncapable to professed 18judge of, and far less to Answer my Arguments) a large Disquisition of his Impertinency in which Things I willingly omit; and will consider this his Chapter, as well where he misses, as where he truly in any Measure urges the Matter. And first to dispatch what is superfluous, all that is faid by him against False Revelations and Delusions of the Devil (against which he speaks sometimes more largely, sometimes more overly in Fale Repag. 21, 22, 34, 35, 36, 47.) no Judicious Reader pelufions will think, is any thing to the Purpose; fince I disowned by never did plead for Fulse Revelations, but for the Necessity of the True Revelation of the Spirit to all real Christians. And though it could be proved, that either I, or any other Quaker, (so called) were deluded by a false Revelation; yet it will not thence follow, That our Afferting the Necessity of True Revelation to the Building up of True Faith, is Erroneous, more than in J. B's own Sence the Arminians or Socinians Afferting false Doctrines, pretending to have for them the Authority of Scripture, will make him Judge, that their Asserting the Scripture to be the Only and Adequate Rule of Faith, is false in his Judgment, fince he therein agrees with them. And therefore his Difingenuity, as well as Weaknefs doth notably appear, pag. 46, 47 and 48. where coming to take Notice, of what I have faid, in thewing, how the same may be returned upon fuch, as own the Scripture, Reason and Tradition to be the Rule of their Faith, he gives it no Anfwer; and most Effrontedly comes up with his oft-reiterated Story of John a Leyden and Mun-fter (with which we are less concerned, than himself.) Notwithstanding that I shew, that

1679. even Men pretending to the Scripture, and to be led by it, and in particular his own Brethren, had done no less vile Actions, than those of Munster; and yet he would not think it well Argued to infer thence, that it were Dangerous to follow the Scripture, as the Rule. To all this he returns no Answer, which takes up fix Pages in my Apology (Lat. Edit. pag. 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31.) unless it be a sufficient Answer to say. He needs not take Notice of my Trifling Answers, and that it is a meer Rhapfody. But the Truth is (to use his own Expression) It was too hot for his Fingers; and therefore he judged best to shuffle it by so easily. But his Unfairness in this is so much the more considerable (where the Pinch of J.B. finds the Question lay, and his own and his Brethe-

ren's Reputation was fo highly concerned, as with Truth being charged as Guilty of no less Abominations, than the Monsters of Munster) in that he boasts in his Epistle to the Reader, That he hath Examin'd every thing afferted by me, particular-ly; which he gives as the Reason of troubling

him with fo Prolix a Treatife.

1 2. Now albeit I might in Reason pass his new-inforced Objection till he have fatisfied to this so shameful an Omission; yet lest he should fancy any Strength in it, and to shew him the Silliness of it, I will here confider and Remove it. It runs

thus, Pag. 46.

17. B's Ar. gument.

to touch

If, since the Apostles and other Extraordinary Officers fell asleep, and after the Cannon of the Scriptures was Compleated, All that have pretended to Immediate Revelation, have been led by a Spirit of Error, Then that is not the Way of Christ.

But the former is true: Therefore so is the other.

Such an Objection is not like to fignify much, Answ. where in both Propositions the Question is most miferably bly begged, and the thing in debate taken for grant. 1679. ed. For albeit the Connexion of the Major should be granted; yet the Question is there in a great Part of it begged, to wit, that such Officers in gues without the Church, as were the Apostles, are not now, root. neither as to the Nature of their Office, nor Manner of their being led by the Spirit. Next, That the Cannon of the Scripture is Compleated: That is to fay, No Writings are ever hereafter to be expected or believed to be written by the Spirit; both which I deny, and he has not fo much as offer'd to prove: and therefore his Argument, if I should go no further, can conclude nothing. Next, his Minor, to wit, That All pretending to Immediate Revelation, have been led by a Spirit of Error, is not at all proved by him: For albeit it might be faid of all those Old Sects named by him, and of the German Enthusiasts; yet that is not sufficient Proof, unless he can make it appear, that there was never any other, but were To also: which yet remains for him to prove, and will trouble him to Effect. For to Affirm, there were never any, because he has never heard nor read of them, were an Argument a great deal more Ridiculous, than Rational. And for his Challenging me to shew them, (albeit the Instance of the Quakers be enough to spoil all his Argument, as will after appear) yet by his good Leave, I am not bound; Affirmanti incumbit Pro-And that this Answer is sufficient, I have the Testimony of his learned Brother John Men-zies, Professor of Divinity at Aberdeen, in his Book Intituled, Papismus Lucifugus, where he Answers the Jesuit's Minor the same way, and proveth it to be sufficient. And surely, he has not taken notice, that by this he has Condemned, as led by the Spirit of Error, all the Primitive Protestant - Martyrs, that Prophesied at any time; fuch as John Huss, and George Wishart

1679. our Country Man; and many others, by reason of whose Prophesying J. B. and his Brethren have 7. B's con- valued their Cause: fince these Prophesies were demning the faid by them to proceed from Inward and Immedi-Martyrsas ate Revelation, and so they pretended to it; alled by a Spi beit not as the Ground of their Faith and Obedience in all Mattersof Doctrine and Worship, yet as the Ground of that Faith, by which they believed these Revelations to proceed from God, and not from the Devil; and of that Obedience, by which they Published and Declared these things. Moreover he overturns all by the last Instance, which he gives to prove it, to wit, That the Quakers, who pretend to Immediate Revelation, are led by a Spirit of Error: For Proof of which we have only his bare Affirmation; and yet till this be proved, his Objection is naught. For indeed, this is a rare Way of Debating with an Adversary, to make use of an Argument, by which he must be Concluded already as Erroneous, in order to Convince him, that he is fuch: If this be not, as they fay, To put the Plough before the Oxen, I know not, what can be said to be so. For J. B's Argument, to make it plain,

J. B's Argument against Immediate Revelation.

If the Quakers be led by a Spirit of Error, Then the Quakers Err in Affirming, Inward and Immediate Revelation to be the Ground and Foundation of True Faith:

But The Quakers are led by a Spirit of Error: Therefore, &c.

Which is just, as if I should Argue, thus:

If J. B. be a Knave, a manifest Lyar and Calumniator, Then he is not a true Minister of Christ, nor sit to write in Religious Matters:

But J. B. is such: Therefore, &c.

amounts to this:

Is not this a notable Way of Arguing, and a 1679. quick Way to despatch Controversies? What faith Robert Macquare? Doth not this well become his fingularly Acute, folidly Learned, and truly gracious Author? (Postscript Pag. 559. The next Thing to be cansidered, is, His Stating the Controversy; Where, according to his Custom, he all along begs the Question. For having writ down his Opinion, and taken it for granted, without offering to prove it, he goes on and builds thereon without more Difficulty, as if it were not to be further questioned. This appears in Pag. 20, 28, 29, 30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 40, 43, 44. in which Places he states his Opinion of the Immediate Revelation of the Spirit, as will have not being such, as presents any Truths to be believ. Revelation by the spied objectively; but only in removing the Vail of the rit to be? Eye of the Understanding, and spiritually Illuminating the Mind, and working effectually upon the Heart, to embrace and receive the Truth already revealed and proposed in the Scriptures. Now for not using this Distinction and holding Revelation in this his Sense, he greatly blames me, as jumbling things together, and darkning and prejudging the Reader; and bestows upon me ever and anon many Railing Words: with the Repetition of which I will not trouble the Reader. And yet notwithstanding this Accusation, in Contradiction of himself he cites me Pag. 42. and 28. taking notice of this very Distinction, as used by some, and also Resuting it: Surely, the Man must have miserably forgot himself; and will verify the Proverb: Liars should have good Memories. Next: Since he judges I err, in not holding this Manner of Revelation; and that he builds all his Superstructure upon it, as the Truth; he should have offer'd to prove it to be Such: For fince he faith, They willingly grant to these Scrip-

tures noted by me, As many as are led by the Spi-

rit

1679. rit of God, &c. Rom. 8. 9, 14. together with VI John 2. 27. Joh. 6. 45. Joh. 14. 16, 17. By which Scriptures he cannot deny, but the Manner of the Apostles being led, as well as of All Christians, is Included; fince some of them were directed to the Apostles particularly: In all which there is no Ground for his a Distinction and Asfertion. It is not said, The Comforter, that I will send, shall lead you, the Apostles, Immediately, by proposing Truth to be believed objectively to you, and this shall be accounted Extraordinary; but after you it shall only lead other Christians by Illuminating their Understandings, and that shall be the Ordinary Leading. And fince then it is a Rule granted by all, that we must hold to the plain Words of Scripture, unless an Urgent Neceflity force us to the Contrary; he should shew us, where this Necessity lies? and prove, his Affertion to be the true and genuine Meaning of the Words; and that we ought not to take them, as we do, according to their plain and Naked Signification and Import. For I would willingly hear any Ground from Scripture of this Nature of Extraordinary and Ordinary Revelations, as pertinent to this Debate: For albeit Things extraordinary may be Reveal'd to fome, and not to others, that only respects the Things Revealed, not the Manner of Revelation. For a Man telling me Extraordinary things, and Ordinary, albeit the things may differ in their Nature, yet neither my Manner of Hearing, nor his of Speaking do thence necessarily differ.

¶ But perhaps the Man doth Apprehend, that what he faith, Pag. 20, 30, 31, 40, 44, 45. is some Proof of his Affertion; (which if he do, the Reader may easily observe his Mistake) where he would Infinuate: As if the Manner of Immediate Revelation by the Spirit (afferted by me) rendered all other Means, even those of Teaching

and

and Exhorting (which are appointed by God) use- 1679.

less, and took away all Obligations of Obeying the Mediate InCommands of God conveyed by others. And yet he straction not
taketh notice, Pag. 23. that I acknowledge O. Inconsistent
with immether Means of Knowledge as profitable; neither diate Revehas he ever heard me deny: But Men are obliged lation. to obey the Commands of God through one another. as well as in themselves; as the Children of Ifrael were those of Moses and the Prophets, and the Christians those of Christ and his Apostles. But I suppose, he will Affirm with me, That no Man's Obedience to any Command will avail him a-'ny thing, unless upon Inward Belief and Conviction, that the thing commanded is of God; since whatfoever is not of Faith, is Sin. If he fay, That albeit I do not deny such an Obligation; yet it necessarily follows from my Principle: That this is untruly Alledged, will eafily appear; fince I suppose, he will Deny, but the Rest of the Apoftles, who were alive, when Paul's Epiftles were written, were obliged to receive them, and Obey them, as the Dictates of the Spirit. yea, and were Benefitted by them; and fo the Apostle Paul by others: Albeit on both fides he will acknowledge them to have had fuch Revelations; as he accounts Immediate and Extra-ordinary. And fo we fee, that to have fuch Revelations, and yet to be mediately Instructed, are not Inconfiftent; nor do they render one another Useless: And indeed, to affirm they do fo, is rather a presumptuous Accusing of God, who has Appointed both in their Order for the Edification of his Church, than a Refuting of such that Affert them. Such are his Reasonings, Page 45. Besides that, this Objection may be easily refuted: for fince J. B. Affirms, (as particularly Pag. 42.)

That the Scripture is a Compleat Rule in all things concerning Faith and Manners in reference to Salvation 3

drc. Exposi-

Uncertain.

vation; Might it not be faid, that this takes away the Use of all Commentaries and Expositi-Then J. B's ons, and other Books, especially, since he and his Brethren do withal Affirm, that it is Clear Commenta- and Intelligible to all, in things Effential to Salvation? Let him shew, how this is weaker as to him, than other, as to me. With the like Prefumption he Blasphemously Afferteth, That even these Revelations (which he himself calleth and acknowledgeth to Inward, Immediate and Extraordinary) are Uncertain for this Reason, because many Men have been deluded by the Devil: On which he also infifts in the following Page. And Pag. 34. and 48. where he fums up his Matter in this Question, How comes it, that others pretending to Revelation as much as I, have been deceived? But as I faid before, How comes, that others pretending to be led by the Scripture, as the Rule, as much as J. B. have been deceived, since the Scripture declares nothing but Truth? But how filly this is, I have above shewn; and more largely in my Avology in those Paragraphs, which I observed, he most foully Omitted. And indeed, this is a fine Argument he has provided for Atheists and Scepticks; for it renders all Faith, even that of the Patriarchs, Uncertain. For fince the Ground and Warrant of their Writing the Scriptures was (in his own Account) Inward, Immediate and Extra-J. B. Af ordinary Revelations, and if fuch be as he Affirms, ferts Revela-Uncertain, then the Truth of the Scriptures, which depends upon such, must necessarily be Uncertain; fince the Stream cannot be more pure, than the Fountain, nor the Superstructure more fure than the Foundation. And therefore most weak is his Reasoning, Pag. 46. where he pleadeth, That such Revelations cannot be more sure than the Scriptures, which are the Objective Revelations of the Apostles written down; fince the Certainty of these Writtings depends upon the Certainty

tainty of these Revelations, by which they were 1679. Written. And certainly, if in any Case that Waxim of the Schools do hold, it must in this, Propter quod unumquodque est tale, illud ipsum est

magis tale.

¶ 5. It will not be amis here in the Third Place to take notice of his most Uncharitable and Unchristian Infinuations, contrary to all Christian and Fair Rules of Debate, As first, Pag. 24. where he will needs infer our Denying of the Trinity: Albeit he cannot deny, but he finds it owned by me; groundlesly coupling us with the Socinians. And to help him in this, he brings in the Testimony of one Mr. Stalham (as he terms him) an open Opposer of ours; which Witness to receive against us, is most Unjust. But I desire here in the Entry, that it be observed, that I intend to take little or no notice of his many Citations, to prove what we Hold, out of the Writings of our open Oppofers; and shall give fuch a sufficient Reason for my so doing, 'ere I make an End, as I am hopeful, shall satisfy all Judicious Readers as well of our Innocency, as his Injustice therein. But by this the Man's Temper may be feen, and that his Defign is not fo much to Refute, what we truly hold; as to make the World believe, that we Hold what we do not, to render us the more Odious. And thus he proceedeth also basely to Insinuate, That I deny Jesus of Nazareth to be the Sonof God; albeit he doth forcing talle not so much as pretend to any Colour for it Beliefs and Doctrines from my Words: Only he finds, Some Quakers upon the give an Indistinct Answer in this Matter; but who dusters from the ly-they are, or what their Answer is, he tells not ing Books of In Pursuance of this in the following Page he In-their Oppofinuates, As if I mean'd not the First, but the Second Creation; and fo joined with Socinus: Which is a gross Calumny, like the former: As

1679. also is, what he saith Pag. 31. Num. 18. where he Raileth against me, as Writing things contrary to the Scriptures, and as one, whose Revelations are not from God, but from Satan. For all this the only Proof is, [f. B. faith fo:] which I must plainly tell him, is with me of no Weight at all. Of the same Nature is, what is Afferted by him Pag. 33. N. 20. wherein he infinuates, That we Contemn the Scriptures; telling a lying Story from his Author Mr. Hicks of one Nicolas Lucas: Which I defire him to prove the next time not by Hicks (for he is an Accuser) but by some more indifferent Witness; else to be justly held as a Calumniator. And whereas he faith, We should not obtrude any thing upon them without Scripture: This is another Lying Infinuation. For where do we obtrude any Doctrines without offering to Confirm them by Scripture, as much as he and his Brethen? For if he fay, That our Confirmations are not Valid; that is not to the Purpose: We can easily say so of his, and do as truly believe it. But the Question is, Whether we obtrude any Dostrines upon any to be believed, telling them, they ought to believe it; albeit we either will not or can not Confirm them by the Scripture? Now he knoweth in his Conscience this to be a Lye; fince I Affirm of the Scriptures, Apol. Lat. Ed. p. 47. & n. 60. That they are the most fit Outward Judge of Controversies; of which himself also taketh notice in that Place. And Lastly, of the Nature of these Malitious Infinuations is, what he faith Pag. 48. and 49, and last Paragraph of this Chapter; where, after he has Repeated, what he terms my Monitory Conclusion, he infers; That I mean, that a Man should believe, that Nature's dim Light is the Spirit of God and the Holy J. B's Ca-Ghost; and that he may burn the Bible, and with Confidence affert, he is Led by the Holy Ghoft; what-

lumnious Meaning he puts upon the Author. ever Scripture or Common Sense say to the Contrary,

This is all Affirmed by him without the least 1679. Proof; which as it is the Height of Injustice, so it is with respect not only to my Words, but Belief and Intention, (God the Searcher of Hearts knows) a most-horrid Falshood and Calumny.

¶ 6. Now, albeit what is faid, may feem fufficient for a Reply to this Chapter, and is indeed enough to give any Sober Man a Difgust of it; yet that he may not have Reason to Complain, that any thing, wherein he may judge there is Weight, and is directly to the Purpose, is Omitted; I will now in the last Place Consider and Answer, what he saith against the Validity of my Arguments, to which an Answer hath not been Included, in what is already said. To begin then like himfelf (which to be fure is with some Calumny or other) he faith Pag. 14. I stigmatize with the black Mark of being Carnal and Natural Christians, all that assent not, to what I say: But he takes no time to prove it, and indeed cannot: For albeit I say, that It is like, many Natural and Carnal Christians will condemn, what I fay; yet it will not follow, I account them all such, who will not fully agree with me in this Matter. Of the same Kind is his Calumny p. 22. n. 5. where he alledgeth, The Citations of the Fathers (so called) prove no more, than his Sense of Revelation above expressed: But whether he speaks true here or not, the Reader may judge by feriously reading over these Citations; and then let him see, if they do not hold out An Inward and Immediate Teaching of the Spirit of God in the Soul, as the firm Ground of Knowledge, without which all outward Teaching is in vain. But to infer this, he tells, They writ against such, as being Impostors and led by the Spirit of the Devil, pretended to Revelations. What then? Cannot Men write against false Revelations, without they deny the Necessity of true Ones? That is an odd Conclufion: T 2

1679. ficn: If F. B. were well acquainted with the Writings of the Quakers (so called) he would find them as much against False Pretenders, as any other. But Pag. 24. and 25. he findeth fault with my Argument deduced from these Words, That there is no Knowledge of the Father, but by the Son; because I take notice, as a first Instance, of God's creating all things by Jesus Christ; adding, Was this so difficult a Point to be proved, that I was constrained to go back to the first Creation for an Argument? Answ. No: But I judged it not improper (however he may) to shew First, as Preparatory, God's more general Way of working by his Son Jesus Christ, 'ere I come to that, which is more particular; and this was the Reason as well of my putting these Propositions into that Order as of my using of that Instance, by which that pretended Abomination, which he pretends lurks under Words, evanisheth. For the Man is very good at drawing Inferences from other Men's Words, which they, that spake and wrote them, never thought of; as I for one can very well witness, fince the least can be allowed me, is to know my own Thoughts and Purpose; which how he should come to Assure himself, be knows better than I, is more than I can fathom. For the fame Reason above-mentioned I used the Instance of God's moving in his manifesting himself in his Creatures, and of the Spirit of the Lord moving upon the Face of the Waters; which p. 26. he flouts at, but doth not Answer. And it is strange, that he of all Men should be offended with fuch preparatory Considerations, where the Matter is in a few Pages after closely come to; who has used so many Remote Arguments and fcveral not Pages only, but Sheets, yea Quires of Paper in order to prove the First Day of the Week to be the Christian Sabbath. He Objects Pag. 26. against my Affirming, That God's Communion with

Man was by Immediate Manifestation of the Spirit, 1679 from Adam to Moses, because so few are mentioned; and he supposeth, the Rest not mentioned: Immediate Revelation had it only by their Instruction: But since these under the few, that are mentioned, are faid to have had Law not Ceafed under Immediate Revelation, and that the Rest had no the Gospel. written Rule, as J. B. will Confess, it seems, there was more of God's Immediate Revelation in those Dark Times (even by his Confession) than now under the Gospel, where the Chief Pastors of the Church, according to him, are to Expett no such thing. Neither is it proved, that Others not mentioned, had no Immediate Revelations; albeit they might have been Instructed by these Patriarchs: Which I have shewn before to be very Consistent. And thus may be easily Anfwered (fetting aside his Railing) what he saith Pag. 27. against my Urging the Frequent Revelations, that Men had during all the Time of the Law, betwixt Moses and Malachy, (by which himfelf confesseth, the Scriptures of the Old and New Tastament to have been Written) that that doth not prove, that every one had fuch Revelations: What then? I lay not the Stress of the Proof of Every one's having Immediate Revelations upon this; but this is clearly proved from it: That since Immediate, Inward and Objective Revelations were so frequent during all the time of the Law, which was the less-glorious Administration, and that of the Letter; it is grosly absurd to say, as [. B. and his Brethren do, that they are now Ceased under the Gospel, which is said to be more-Glorious, and the Pouring forth of the Spirit more abundant and Universal; and that not only for a little time, to wit, to the Apostles, with Restriction to them and their Times, (for which he never produc'd the least Proof from Scripture) but to the End of the World. And if so, that T Im-

fpake his last Church at the End of vions.

1679. Immediate Revelation be not ceased, there is a great deal of the Point gained; albeit 7. B. confidently affirms, That there can be proved no-J.B. be. thing by these Reasonings, but what no body lieves, God will deny; fince the Divines of Westminster have words to his denied, and J.B. no doubt, with them will denv. That Immediate Revelation now is; fince they pothe Revela. Sitively say, That it is ceased: And James Durham, whom 7. B. applauds as a Reverend Brother and Pastor of the Church, hath most absurdly affirmed in his Treatife upon the Revelation, That when John finished that Book, God spake his

last Words to his Church. 7. When he cometh, pag. 28. to my Proposi-

tion, Afferting, That these Revelations were of Old the formal Object of Faith, he beginneth to enquire and Conjecture, what I mean by the formal Object? And upon that he bestows the following Page. For answering then his Scruples in that Matter, I fay, In a Divine Revelation two Things are to be confidered, 1. The Thing Revealed, and 2. The Revelation. The Thing Revealed is indeed the Material Objett; the Revelation is the Formal Object: In which may be con-The Ma- Edered not only the Manner of the Revelation, that is, The Voice or Speech of God unto the jest of Faith Soul, or his Imprinting in the Soul by a Divine diffinguished. Manifestation the Things revealed; but also God himself so Operating: both which, to wit, Deus loquens, id est, God speaking, is the formal Object of Faith; He Himself, his Veracity is the Original Ground of our Faith; His Voice, Holy Influence and Manifestation, by which he expresseth himself, gives us the Certainty and Asfurance, that it is He; and is very diffinguishable by those of a Spiritual Discerning, from the most subtil Appearance and Transformations of the Devil: Since Christ faith, My Sheep hear my Voice.

terial and Formal Ob-

Voice, and will not hear that of a Stranger. Even 1679. as the Voice and Appearance of two Men of the most contrary and different Humours, Statures and Complexions, are different and distinguish. able by a Man of a sharp Sight, to whom those Men are well known. But of this I wrote more largely in my Letter to a certain Ambassador, Printed the last Year at Roterdam, at the End of the Letter written to the Ambassadors at Nimeguen, whereto I refer him for further Satisfaction. But I wholly deny the Confequence deduced by him, That if God's Veracity, (because it is God that speaketh and commandeth) be the formal Object of Faith, therefore it is all one, whether it be mediate or immediate: Since albeit that be the Original Ground; yet the Immediate Revelation is necessary, that we may certainly know that it is He. For what avails it me to believe, That all that God commands is true, and ought to be Obeyed; if I do not certainly know the things I believe as Truth, do come from him? And the Question is, Whether certain Knowledge can be had without Immediate Revelation? And therefore to this his Question, in the following page 30. What was the formal Object of the Faith of the People, to whom the Patriarchs and Prophets faid, [Thus faith the LORD?] I answer, The Inward Testimony of the Spirit in their Heart, affuring them, That the Things spoken were from the Lord, and not the Divinations of the Men's Brains that spake them; and therefore inclining their Hearts to receive and acknowledge thefe Things as the Commands of God unto them: Since, as J. B. confesseth, They were not to believe them, because spoken by those Men, but because of the Authority of God; It must be, that which wrought this Perswasion and Assurance in them, was the formal Object of their Faith, as the Things spoken were the Material: Even as the Light ferves

1679. ferves by way of formal Object, to make us fee, what is proposed unto us.

¶ 8. Pag. 31, and 32. he acknowledgeth, That Divine and Inward Revelations need not be tried by the Scripture, as a more Noble Rule, by him who hath such a Reveletion; but by those, to whom be delivers it: And then giveth the Instance of the Berkans being commended. To which I shall willingly affent; judging, no Man that delivers or declares a Revelation to another, ought to be offended, that he try it by the Scripture; which no true Revelation can contradict. But that such The spirit may not also try it by the Testimony of the

of God in the Heart lations by, is a more than the Scriptures.

Spirit of God in their Hearts, I cannot deny; to try Reve- and that it is the More Noble Rule, as being most Universal: Since some Divine Revelations, such Nob'e Rule as Prophecies of contingent Truths, or Things to come, cannot be tried by the Scriptures; as was that of George Wishart concerning the Cardinal's Death: For had another taken upon him at that Time to Prophely the quite contrary, I would willingly be informed, by what Scripture it could be deduced or known, that the one was false, or the other true? Yet who will be fo abfurd, as to deny, but that it could by the Immediate Teftimony of the Spirit? As for his Proof, That the Scripture is the most certain Rule, taken from those Words, 2 Pet. 1. 19, 20. We have also a more sure Word of Prophecy, &c. It is but a Begging of the Question, in supposing, That Peter by this understood the Scripture; and indeed is most Ridiculous to affirm. For fince the Apostle reckons J. B. p'eads this Word more fure, than the Voice they heard with their outward Ears, and the Vision they faw

the Scripthres to be the more Jure Word of prophefy.

with their outward Eyes; it were absurd to affirm, That the Description or Narration of a Thing were more fure, than the Immediate See! ing and Hearing it. Can any Description I may receive of J. B. however true, give me fo certain a Know-

a Knowledge of him, as if I faw him and spake 1677. with him? Yet without any Abfurdity it may be faid, That the Inward Word or Testimony of the Spirit in the Heart is more fure in Things Spiritual, than any thing that is objected to, or conveved by the Outward Senses, as that Vision was, of which the Apostle there speaks; since the Inward and Spiritual Senses are the most proper and adequate Means of Conveying Spiritual Things to the Soul, by which the Saints, after they have laid down this Body. and have no more the Use of Outward Senses, which are seated in it, do most surely enjoy the Blessed Vision of God, and Fellowship both with him and one another. As for that of Isa. 8. 20. To the Law and to the Teflimony, &c. and that of Joh. 5.39. Search the Scriptures, &c. mentioned here by him, I shall have Occasion to speak of them hereafter. It's true, We are not to believe every Spirit; but it will not thence follow, that the Scripture is a more fure Rule, than the Spirit for fuch a Tryal. Page 35. He thinks, my faying, That the Divine Revelation moveth the Understanding well disposed. Confirmeth what he faith, and spoileth all my Purpose; because then Every Revelation pretending to be Divine, is not to be submitted to: But where did ever I fay fo? What he talks further of this well-disposed Intellect (pag. 36.) I spake to in my Ansewr to Arnoldus, pag. 18. 19. to which I refer. For I believe, All Men in a Day have, by the Gracious Visitation of God's Love, an Understanding well disposed to some Divine Revelations; which becomes disposed for others, as these are received: Which will after in its Place be difcussed. And some Divine Revelations, which are Prophetick of Things to come, may fo far manifest themselves by their Self-Evidence even to Men not Regenerate, as to force an Assent; as in the

1679. the Case of Balaam mentioned by him, did appear. What he faith further, pag. 36 and 37. inquiring, How and after what Manner these Revelations were the Object of the Saints Faith of Old! Is eafily answered, by applying it to what is before mentioned in Answer to his Queries and Conjectures of the formal Object. For those of Old, that had these Revelations Immediately, the formal Object of their Faith was God manifesting himself and his Will in them, to them by such Revelations: And those, who received and obeyed the Things delivered by the Patriarchs and Prophets, those Things so delivered (as he con-The Mate-fesseth) were not the Formal, but Material Object

rial and For- of their Faith; but the formal Object was GOD. of FAITH. by the Secret and Inward Testimony of his Spirit, perswading them in their Hearts, that these Things declared to them were really his Command, and thence inclining and bowing their Minds to an Assent and Obedience to them. And albeit pag. 38. he terms this a Wild Affertion; yet he hath but faid, and not proved it to be fo; and till he prove, he needs no further Refutation. Neither is it Non-sence, nor yet a destroying of the Cause, as with the like proofless Confidence he affirms, pag. 37. That where Revelations are made by outward Voices, or in a Manner objected to the outward Senses, the Cause or Motive of Credibility is not so much, because of what the outward Senses perceive, as because of the Inward Testimony of the Spirit, assuring the Soul, that it is GOD fo manifesting bimself. Which Testimony, to anfwer his Question, is distinguishable from what is objected to the outward Senses; albeit it go always along with it fimul & semel, as they use to fay: Since he with me accounts it a ferious Truth to fay, The Devil may delude the External Senses; and he can far more easily deceive them, than the True, Inward and Spiritual Senses of the Soul, by

Spirit: Since by that the Apostle saith, We know and partake of that, which neither Eye hath seen, nor Ear heard.

¶ 9. Page 39. He confesseth with me, That the formal Object of the Saints Faith is always the fame: But yet, that he may fay fornething, he spendeth the Paragraph in Railing, accusing me, As writing Non-sense, and being an Ignoramus; because I bring Instances, which relate to the Material Object, which himself Confesseth also to be the same in Substance: But by his good Leave for all he is so positive in his Judgment, I must shew the Reader his Mistake. For those Examples of Abraham and others, are adduced by me mal object to shew the Oneness of the formal Object; nel ham's Faith. ther has he shewn, that they are impertinent for that End: Since as the formal Object of Abraham's Faith was God's speaking to him by Divine Revelations, so is the same the formal Object of the Saints now; and therein stands the Unity or Oneness of our Faith with him, and not in the Material Object, which often differs: For to offer up his Son was a Part of the Material Object of his Faith, which is none of ours now. And so forasmuch as he desires to know of me, What was the Material Object of Adam's Faith before the Fall? (A Question not to the Purpose) he must first tell me, why he fo Magisterially and Positively denies Christ to have been the Object of his Faith? And then he may have an Answer. And whereas he flouts at that Reason, That Actions are specified from their Objects, as Non-sensical; he should have proved and shewn, wherein? And then I might have answer'd him: He might have Wit enough to know, that no Man of Reason will be moved by his bare Railing Affertions (pag. 40.) besides a deal of Railing, wherein he accuseth me of Confusion and Darkness. He accounts my Argu-

1679. Arguing for Immediate Revelation from the Reve-Vlations the Patriarchs and Prophets had, Impertinent; to which I Answered before: The Sum of which is, that since these Immediate Revela-Revelations tions were fo frequent under the Law, it must be

frequent un-very absurd to say, They are ceased under the der the Law. Gospel. He himself proveth, pag. 41. that under the New there is a more clear Discovery, according to that of Paul, 2 Cor. 3. 18. But we all with open Face beholding as in a Glass the Glory of the Lord, &c. which being brought by him, albeit against himself, I leave him to Answer. In this Page and in the next 42, he alledgeth the Say. ings of Christ and his Apostles brought by me, and my Arguments thence do prove no more than

Spirit is a diffinct Perfon in the Trinity, no Proof in Scripture for it.

Judgment. Here, according to his Custom, (tho' I Condemn the Socinians) he will be infinuating that I Agree with them, to whose Notions of the That the Spirit, albeit I affent not; yet I defire to know of him, in what Scripture he finds these Words, That the Spirit is a distinct Person of the Trinity? For I freely acknowledge, according to the Scripture, That the Spirit of God proceedeth from the Father and the Son, and is God. And by what Authority he feeks to obtrude upon others Expressions of the chief Articles of Faith not to be found in Scripture, or to accuse such as will not accept of them, and affent to them; or whether any has Reason to think, he truly makes the Scripture the Rule of his Faith (notwithstanding his Pretence) when he either will not or can not find Words in it to express the chief Articles of his Creed ?

he confesseth. But whether they prove not all I plead for from thence, is left to the Reader's

To. Pag. 43. By a strange Mistake he would have me prove, Since I make Use of these Promises of Christ relating to the Spirit, I would prove, that

all

all have Warrant to write Scripture: As if no Man 1679. could have Immediate Revelation, without he write Scripture? Whereas himself confesseth, that many of the Patriarchs had it before Moses, who yet wrote no Scripture; yea, and Cain, whom I suppose he judgeth to have been no Writer of Scriptures. And by the like Mistake, pag. 55. He confesseth all I plead for; and contradicts all he has been fighting for, in affirming, That Be- J. B's Self-lievers now have free Access to Christ, the great Contradiction Teacher of his People, always to get his Mind known on in grantand written in their Hearts; but not to get Pro-tion. plead for Prophetick Revelations, as common to all? And whether the former Words do not grant Immediate Objective Revelation, in the largest Sence I plead for it, I leave the Reader to judge. Here he accules me of speaking basely of the Scripture; but neither tells me where? Nor what I fay? Which is indeed a base Way of Reviling, though familiar to him. To my last Argument, pag. 49, \$ 35. he answers little, but Railing. The Minor sto wit, That whereas Protestants call the Scriptures their Rule, yet if asked, why they believe them? Do say, because in them is delivered the Will of God, which was Revealed Objectively and Immediately to Holy Men] he faith, destroyeth the whole Argument: But why? I know not; fince furely that proves, They at last recur to the Immediate Testimony of the Spirit, as the Certain and Infallible Ground of Faith, which is my Conclusion. That I thence infer, That Protestants are for the Uncertainty of Immediate Objective Revelation, is most fallly and disingenuously Afferted by him: For I feek not to infer any fuch thing from the Medium of that Argument, but having shewn thereby, how they are forced to recur to this Revelation, as the primary Ground of their Faith, I add, That it's strange then, they Thould

1679. Should seek to represent that as dangerous or un-V certain, which they are thus forced to recur to. And whether he doth not fo, ever and anon repeating the Story of Delusions to Nauseating thro' this Chapter, and they that read it, may fee and eafily perceive his base Disingenuity in that Part: As also in the following Lines, where he faith, Their Concession makes nothing for the fallly pretended, Immediate and Objective Revelations, which Quakers boast of; For where doth he find me pleading for any fuch? Neither is it the Question, Whether the Quakers do falfly pretend to Immediate Revelation, yea or nay? But, Whether Quakers do well, and are found in believing, that Immediate, Divine, Inward Revelation is necessary to every Believer for the Building up of true Faith? But it is usual with him, where he cannot Answer, to turn by the Question, and fill up the Paper with Railing and Reviling!

SECT. IV.

Wherein his Fourth Chapter of the Scriptures is Considered.

Scriptures by the first Sentence, which contains a Lye, saying, He finds the Third Thesis in some Things altered, and more clearly set down in the Apology, than in the Single Sheet; whereas there is not one Word of Difference, but the Mis-placing of a Word by the Printer: But it is become so familiar with him to speak Untruth, that he cannot forbear it! Indeed, this whole Chapter is a Complex of Railing, Calumnies, and Malitious Groundless Insinuations. And indeed

indeed the Man is fo troubled, that he cannot 1679. find any thing in what I write, (which he ought according to his Title and Undertaking only to Examine and Confute) that instead of that he bestows several Pages out of Stalham and Hicks, and his Confiderations upon them; whose Lyes and Calumnies are long ago answered, and unreplyed to by them. So that the Parties concerned having already Vindicated themselves, it is not my Place to meddle in it; and if J. B. would do any thing in this to the Purpose, he should take up this Debate, where his Friend Mr. J. B's Stalham and his Brother Mr. Hicks the Anabap-his Lies and tist (whose Authority he useth so often, and to Calumnies; whom he gives fo much Credit) have given it over, by a Reply to these Answers. Having solaced himself in the Repetitions of these Men's Calumnies (for that appears to be his Delight) he digresseth to prove The Scriptures to be the Word of God: But if they be granted to be the Words of God (which no Quaker, that ever I knew of, did or will deny) wherein are they derogated The Scripfrom, fince they are many Words, and not One? tures are the Words of But if he will plead. They are the Word of God God; and not expectly, or per Eminentiam: To fay so, seeing, word. the Word of God is ascribed to Christ, must either Equal them with him, or speak Nonsence; feeing, that one Epithet cannot be predicated of two Things nal' ¿ξοχήν, without a gross Contradiction. That the Word of the Lord came to the Prophets, and that what they spake, was the Words that came from that Word is granted; nor was it ever denied by us, who are against all false Revelations and lying Fancies of Men's Imaginations, as much as he, which he here in this Chapter repeats over and over again to Nauseating: But it will not thence follow, that the Word ipoken of by the Apostle, 2 Pet. 1. 19. is the Scripture; which he has not yet proved,

1679. and I have shewn the Contrary in the former Section.

1 2. At last, pag. 54. n. 5. he coines to Treat J. B. Con-reckons it Consusson and Self-Contradiction in himseit. That the Authority of the Scriptures, and doth not depend upon any Efficacy or Virtue placed in these Writings; but is wholly to be ascribed to that Spirit, from whence they came; and yet within Half a Dozen of Lines he confesseth the same. faying, We stoop unto the Authority of the Scrip. . tures of Truth, because delivered by the Inspiration of God: So the Confusion and Contradiction is his own. Yea, the Examples he brings of the Alts and Statutes of Parliament, do very well prove what I say: For we do not submit to these Statutes, because of the Matter in them, or Things Commanded; but because of the Authority Commanding. For when the Parliament by an Act appoints a Tax of fo much Money to be levied from the Subjects, it is not the Matter or Substance of this Act, that makes us Obey it; but because of the Magistrates Authority. But he faith, They are Divine Revelations, and therefore must have the Stamp of Divine Authority. Answ. The Stamp of Divine Authority lies not in the Things revealed; but in the Manner of the Revelation, as being the Voice and Manifestation of God; else great Absurdity would follow. As I shall briefly thew, being to pursue him in this Point, as he has it lying up and down in his Rambling Discourse: Whose Way is not to follow one Matter to a Period; but to touch it here and there, Intermixing other Things: That fo his nauseating Repetitions and oft-reiterated Railings may be the more Covered. And therefore I intend not to tie me felf to follow him Page after Page immediately, lest I thould Embark my felf in the like Diforder, and make fuch

a Confused Hodg-Podg, as he has done; but to 1679. follow every Matter, as he has it scatter'd up and down: And of this I thought fit to acquaint the The Method Reader in this Place once for all, as being the the Author Method I propose to use throughout this Treaduse. tife. So from this 55 Page we have him not up. on this Matter, until Page 61, where he takes Notice of my Citations out of several Protestant Confessions, and Calvin, and will not have them to favour me; giving most disingenuously as one Reason, because they expresly say, That the Work of the Spirit is by and with the Word, and not an Inspiration distinct and separated from it: Thereby he would make his Reader believe, as if this were faid by all of them; whereas it is only faid by the Westminster-Divines, of whom I particularly observed, that they spake not so clearly as the other. The French Confession saith, It is by the Inward Perswassion of the Holy Spirit; and the Belgick, That it is by the Testimony of the Spirit in our Hearts; and Calvin faith, The Spirit of God must inwardly Teach us, that Moses and the Prophets Spake from God. But that Testimony of the Spirit, which is in our Hearts, and by which the Spirit teacheth us there, albeit it be not different from and contrary to the Things it teacheth us of ; yet it is certainly distinct and separate; albeit all the Things taught were the very same, which here is not. Elle because a Man may be taught that by a Jefuit at Rome, which J. B. may teach another Man in Holland; therefore that Jesuit and J. B. are not distinct and feparate. Are these good Reasonings? But let us now see, whether these be any better, by which in the two following Pages (62, and 63.) he profecutes the same Matter; the Sum whereof amounts to this, That there are such evident Characters of Divinity in the Scriptures, which do as manifestly prove them to be of God, as the Sun doth 113

its shining to a Man, whose Eyes are opened; and What is the Spirit's taking off or Opening by the Spirie ?

that the Work of the Spirit is only, to take the Vail from off Men's Eyes, that they may see these Characters of Divinity, and not, that the Spirit the Vail, but by any Inward, Immediate Revelation doth signify to the Soul by way of Object, that these Books proceeded from the Distates of the Spirit of God: In which he places the Difference betwixt himself and the Quakers. Now whether these aforesaid Testimonies of Calvin and the rest do not confirm this last, rather than the other, I leave the Reader to judge. But further, it's like the Man has not been aware, into what inextricable Difficulty he has run himfelf by his Reasoning here. For if this Opening of the Eyes by the Spirit, be needful to perceive these Divine Characters, as the Opening of the Natural Eyes is needful, to fee the Outward Sun; then the Characters cannot be feen, but by those whose Eyes are thus opened, that is to fay, who have a well-disposed Intellect. And thus recur upon himself all the Difficulties and Absurdities he would urge upon me in his former Chapter, for faying, That Divine Revelations are evident to a well-disposed Intellect. For it may be query'd, Whether all have this welldisposed Intellect, their Eyes thus opened? If yea, then all Men have Subjective Revelation; yet at other Times he accounts this a Priviledge of the Saints, and thence denies it, in Confeshing (Pag. 63.) That some are blind, and see not. And Some ima- then again the Question recurs, How a Man knows he has it; so that he may not think, he sees it, and has it, when he has it not? This cannot be decided by the Scriptures, for they are the Matter under Debate; and that were to run in a Circle. And fince, as he faith, The Devil is God's Apc; and that there are fo many Delusions of the Devil, and false Imaginations of the Fancy, which Men are subject unto, as he has told

gine they fee, when they are blind.

told over and over again: How is he fure, that 1679. he is not thus Deluded by the Devil, and abused by his Fancy, in imagining he feeth, when indeed he is blind? And to give him his own Argument and Query, fince some, and even * Protestants * The Exa-have affirmed, Books denied by him to be of the miners of Canon, such as the Wisdom of Solomon and Esdras, minster con-and to have these Divine Characters; and * o-thers deny some to be of the Canon, and to have theraus. these Characters, as the Epistle of James, which he faith, has it: How is he fure, that they are blind and deceived, and not He? So that he must either Confess all his former Reasonings (as also here Pag. 83, 86.) to be to no purpose; or else acknowledge, that all he faith here for the Scriptures, is of no Force: and that he has no better Certainty nor Ground for his Faith of the Scriptures Verity (to give him back his own Durty Example he throws at me p. 64.) than for the Turk's Alcoran. And thus is dispatched also, what he faith p. 66. n. 18. where he confesseth; Some approved Books, which others Rejected. 7. B. brings And whereas he faith (86, and 87.) That fad Ex-his own Auperience has taught the World, what Devilish Do-Devilish Do-Devilish Do-Urines have been invented under the Notions of Ctrines, New Revelations: Of which after he gives a List (since the same sad Experience has taught the World, what Devilish Doctrines have been taught under the Notion of being Revealed in the Scripture) fuch, as (in his own Account) those of the Socinians, Arminians, Antinomians and present English Anabaptists (to wit, his Author Hicks, and his Brethren:) And yet what will more follow from the One against the Spirit's Revelations now, than from the Other against the Scriptures?

¶ 3. Like to this are his Reasonings Pag. 87, concerning the CANON of the Scriptures, that there are just so many Books, neither more

nor

1679. nor less. For I have proposed this to be proved by Scripture, it being an Article of their Faith, fince they judge, all fuch should be proved by Proofs for the CANON Scripture: To this instead of offering any Scripture-Proof, he faith; They have the Characters of Divine Light: The Weakness of which is above mined. observed. And then he brings two Examples, one of the Acts of Parliament; another of a Man's writing Ten Letters to his Wife: But Examples are poor Arguments, espicially to prove Articles of Faith; when not One Scripture can be brought to do it by fuch as fay, The Scripture is the Adequate and only Rule of their Faith. Neither will his Examples do: For if in a Nation one Part should differ from the other, alledging Spurious Acts not made by the Parliament, were by the Industry of some, Printed and Recorded with the Right, as the Case is now among the Professors of Christianity concerning the Canon of Scripture; the Written Acts could never decide this Question; but either these Legislators, if alive, or a a New Parliament, having Equal Authority and Legislative Power with those, that made the former. And if a Woman should doubt, that Five of the Ten Letters subscribed with her Husbund's Name, were not his: she could not know the Certainty, but by her Husband's own Testimony: And fince he himself has faid, That to Discern these Characters, a Subjective Concurrence of the Spirit is Necessary: Which fince he faith, some bave not; they can then not be fure of this Article of Faith. His Example of the Five Fingers is yet more filly, than the former: And albeit he Confidently Affirms, he has above shewn this: we shall by Examining it, shew the Contrary. As p. 74, and 75. answering to that of mine, where

The Prohi- I thew, that in Prov. 30. 5, 6. there is the same Probition of not hibition of Not adding, that is in Rev. 22. v. 18.

Adding to Prophecy, and therefore it would follow, That all written after Solo-

Solomon's time, was against the Mind of God: 1679. To this he gives a rare Answer! What is spoken of that Book (I suppose he means the Revelations) and elsewhere of the Commands of God, is consequently to be understood of all: But this is to Repeat that, against which the Argument is formed, instead of Answering it. Either that of Revelations must not be understood, ashe doth it; or that of Proverbs makes the same Exclusion; fince the Words are the same, and the Authority also. But the Prophesies of the Prophets (saith he) were but Explications of the Law of God: But fuch Explications go to make up the Canon; and will he admit that yet? No. But the Lord did not (faith he) bind up his own Hand; But has he bound up his Hands now, that he cannot move any of his Servants by his Spirit to Write? I suppose he will not say, he hath. He Confesseth, there were Prophets after John's Days, who truly foretold Events, but were not to write Scripture: But is not a Part of that, which he accounts the Canon, a Fore-telling of Events? And yet that Excludes it not from the Canon. Here, because he is pinched, he takes his usual Retreat by falling a Railing, and Comparing us with Papifts, who, he faith, use this Argument: And what then? I could tell him an Hundred Arguments used by him, which the Papists also use against us; Will he fay, it follows they are Invalid? But at last he thinks he has found a Mysterious Riddle, that will do the Business; and therefore he leaves it with a Defiance: Let him unriddle this Mystery, J. B's Queif he can; to wit, When shall our Canon be Com-pleat Canon,
pleated? When will there be no more need of Reve. and Revelations ended. lations? But might not this same Question have tions ended. been proposed to the Christians, that lived, before John wrote his Book of the Revelations? And as (I suppose) They would have Answered (to many of whom perhaps it was not revealed,

1679 that John should write such a Book afterwards) of shall I directly Answer his Question; When it shall please God: in whose Power it is to Reveal himfelf, when, how and fo long as he pleases; and who (as he faith) has not bound up his own Hand.

¶ 4. I come now to confider, what he faith of the Perfection of the Scriptures: And because he is very Clamorous in accusing me, as derogating there-from; it will be manifest, whether he has any Reason so to do. P. 55. n. 6. he quarrels, I forget the Narration of the First Creation; and that the Examples are Instructive: But who will deny, or when did I, that the Remarkable Providences of God towards his Children are Instructive? Do not I expresly shew, how they are Instructive (p. 46. *) which himself also noticeth? And was

See the Apo. the First Creation no Part of God's Providence towards Man, who was to Rule over it? Is it not then there Included? But I make no mention of the Promises and Threatnings: But are not they any Part of the Doctrines of Christ, nor included in any Part of these Precious Declarations, which I fay the Scripture Contains? Next, he Carps at my faying [The Chief Doctrines of Christianity] asking, Where we may find the whole Dostrines of the Christian Faith? I Answer freely, In the Scriptures: And let him prove, if he can, this to be any Contradiction; seeing, my saying [The Chief Dostrines of Christianity] is indefinite, excluding none. And therefore most base and abominable is that Lye he makes of me in the last Part of this Paragraph, where he faith; I fay, The Scripture only beareth Testimony to some of them, to wit, of the Chief Heads of Christianity; which I dare him to prove, ever to have been faid or written by me. And of the like Nature are his lying Conjectures, and his malitious Infinuations from my Words in the two following Paragraphs; which I utterly renounce, and

return upon him as his own False and Fictious 1679. Apprehensions. For do not I declare the Authority of the Scripture, when I testify, They are from the Spirit, and that such Commands require The Authority of the Obedience, as has been above shewn? But what scriptures is he urgeth of this further, p. 57. and 59. from from the the faying of some Quakers, affirming, That is not a Command to them, which is given to another: Albeit I might justly reject it, as impertinent, till he prove it, for the Reasons upon this Occasion above declared; yet, because he mentions Benjamin Furly in Roterdam having some Knowledge of that Matter, I answer: Whether will he Say, All the Commands in Scripture to every Perfon there mentioned, are binding upon every Individual now? If he dare not fay, they are, as I know, he dare not; How must I then distinguish betwixt what binds me, and binds me not? Must it not be by the Spirit (suppose it were only Subjectively, as he will Confess) Inlightning the Underflanding?" To make this Distinction then, it seems it is the Operation of the Spirit, that makes them know their Duty; and fure, they cannot Obey, before they know. But if he fay, That though they should want that Operation of the Spirit, and did not know, nor acknowledge them to be their Duty, yet they are binding upon them; Neither B. F. nor any Quaker will deny, but even the Commands of God's Spirit, and the Precepts of the Scripture, which now concern all, are binding upon all; fo that they shall be justly Condemn'd for not obeying; albeit that by the Perver sness of their Hearts and Wills they either Refuse to Obey, or will not acknowledge them. So that his urging of that p. 60. and 61. n. 13. and his pleading for it, is Unnecessary, and needs no Anfwer; yet who would fay, they could Obey to any Advantage of their Souls without this Operation of the Spirit, fince Whatsoever is not of Faith,

1679. Faith, is Sin? But as to these Words said to be written by B. F. he is here Challenged to prove, They are his, without adding or diminishing? (and it's well known, the adding or diminishing of two or three Words in a few Lines will quite alter the Sense.) And before he has answered this Challenge, and free'd himself from the just Centure of a Calumniator; albeit he take the Help of his Author Hicks, he will find his Folly. in accusing Men at second-hand Proofs, and upon Testimony of their Adversaries. What follows in this Paragraph and p. 60. is meer Railing and Perversions, Comparing us with Papists, as is before observed, and indeed, all of it is overturned by that one Affertion of mine, that What Revelations are Contrary to the Scripture, are to be Rejested.

5. Pag. 57. n. 10. He faith, I come nearer to the Core of my Design, which is, to set up Enthusialms, in affirming; That the Scriptures are not the Fountain, but a Declaration of the Fountain: And yet the Man within three or four Lines confesset it himself; ascribing it to my Folly to Dream, any Man thinks fo: (thus he goes backward and forward!) Which he Illustrates by the Example of Laws: But if it be fo, are not they to be blam'd, that account them the Principal O. niginal of all Truth and Knowledge? Whether the other Branch of my Deduction follow froin this, That they are not to be accounted the Primary Rule of Faith and Manners, will appear, when the Arguments and Objections relating to that, come particularly to be mentioned. And whereas he thinks, this is Absurd, and not making for my Design, because God himself is the Fountain, and The Scrip yet not the Rule; he mistakes the Matter, as urgturceare not ed by me: For I Argue, That the Scriptures are the Original not the Original Ground of Knowledge, but GOD

Truth, but (not simply Confidered, but) as Manifesting him-GOD.

felf in Divine, Immediate Revelations in the 1679. Hearts of his Children; which being the New Covenant's Dispensation (as in the last Section is proved) is the Primary and Adequate Rule of Christians, for I was never so Absurd, as to call God simply confidered, or the Spirit of God in Abstracto (not as Imprinting Truths to be believed and obeyed in Men's Hearts, not contrary, but according to Scripture, for He cannot Contradict himself) the Rule of Christians: And this may ferve to Answer all his Cavils upon this Theam. And whereas he wondereth in the following Page 38. Why any Revelations even from the Spirit Should be more Primary, than the Scriptures, since they are confessed to come from the Inspiration of the Spirit, (for why he useth the Latin Word Afflatus, and doth not Interpret it, I know not; unless to fright Ignorant Folk, that they may think, it's a Piece of the Witch-craft of the Quakers, whom he accuseth) it is strange, he should have so little Sense, as to make it a Matter of Admiration; as if that were not more Primary to a Man, which cometh immediately from the Spirit of God In his Heart, than that which (albeit it come from the Spirit) yet is through another, and fo must needs be but Secondary: albeit it be confessed, they writ them not for themselves, but for others; which I deny not. Of the same Nature is, and the same Way is Answered, what he saith p. 65. n. 19. to wit, That I confound the Principal Leader with the Original Rule, because I Jay, The Spirit is the Prime and Principal Leader: But I deny his Consequence; neither doth his Example of the Wind and Compass prove it: The Spirit is the Principal Leader, as imprinting upon Man's Soul the Rules he should walk by. But indeed he would prove a very Uncertain Pilot, that had no Compass, but only a Descripti-

1679. on of it; and a Journal, how other Men had Steer'd that Course: And such Pilots is he and and his Brethren according to their own Confesfion. But he thinks, I drive at fomething more intolerable, to wit; That the Revelations the Quakers pretend to, or the Light within, is to be preferred, as the more Primary and Principal Rule, to the Scriptures: If the Quakers did affirm, any Revelations they speak of, as coming from that Light, either were or could be Contrary to the Scriptures, he would fay fomething; otherwise it will amount to no more, but that Commands, within, the Increated Spirit.

as they are imprinted upon the Soul, that is, The Law written in the Heart by the Spirit, is more primarily and principally the Rule, than the fame things written and received only from another. As to which I will only ask him, Whether those things, which the Apostles received immediately from the Spirit, commanding them to go here or there to preach the Gospel, or the like, were, as to these Ends, more primarily and principally the Rule to them, than any thing, that was Recorded in the Scripture, where they could not learn their Duty, as to those Particulars? And that I make not the Scriptures and the Spirit all one, I have above shewn; and therefore his Malicious Infinuations of Socinianism falls to the Ground. But he thinks, he has found out a mighty Dilemma in the End of this Paragraph, P. 66, Or will I say, that the Light within me is really the Increated Spirit? This (faith he) must be Blasphemy with a Witness-to be heard with Horror; and therefore needing no other Confutation: Poor Man! How apt is he to make a Noise about nothing? If there be any Blasphemy, it is his own. For what, if I should say, Is not GOD a LIGHT? And is not be in every Man? And is not this Light within, the Increated Spirit? The Reader may judge, how easily these windy Boasts of his are blown

blown away. How the Spirit Rulethus, and yet 1679. is not confounded with the Rule, I have above shewn; so that what he saith to that in the rest of this Page, where he Vapours and Rails, is but Superfluous. Next, after he has a little plaid the Pedant upon the Words magis Originaliter, he concludes his 22. Paragraph with asking me; Why the Revelations I pretend to, should be accounted more One with the Spirit himself, than these Revelations, by which the Scriptures were distated? But this is his Allegiance, none of my Affirmation. Next, I never faid, that the Revelations, by which the Scriptures were Dictated, were less Primary, than any other what soever; albeit no Revelation, which is written and transmitted to a Man only by the Report of another, can be fo Primary and Immediate to him, as that which he Receives in himself. He confesseth here with me, p. 67. That the Spirit is the Prime and Principal Leader; whether that makes for my Cause, as also what follows, will after in its Place be Examined.

¶ 6. But because he foundeth his Assertion of J. B's my detracting from the Perfection of the Scriptures, the Scripbecause I deny them to be the Primary and Ori-tures, to be ginal Rule, (for he acknowledgeth, that I con-the Primary fess them to be a Secondary One) I will Examin the Ground, by which he goes about to prove it; as also his Answers to my Arguments, proving the Contrary. His first is from the Parable Luke 16. 31. where it is said; They have Moses and the Prophets, whom if they hear not, neither will they be perswaded, if one be raised from the Dead: But the Prothis proves only, that one raised from the Dead is phers to be not able to Convince those, that will not hear swered. Moses and the Prophets; not that the Scripture is a more Primary and Principal Rule, than what the Spirit Immediately Reveals in the Soul: For that Consequence will not, nor doth follow,

1679. nor is in the least proved by him, neither can be, ounless he first prove, that, albeit the Testimony of one from the Dead be less powerful to perswade, than the Scriptures, yet it is more than the Immediate Testimony of the Spirit in the Heart; which I deny, and rests for him to prove, before he conclude any thing from this Place. this Parable was used by Christ to the fews, to shew them their Hypocrify, who, albeit they deceitfully pretended so much to Reverence and follow Moses and the Prophets, (as many now adays do the Scriptures;) yet they did not really hear them, else they would have acknowledged him, of whom Moses and the Prophets did so clearly write: Since He also did as great and convincing Miracles before them, as if they had the Testimony of One raised from the Dead. And this leads me to take notice, of what he faith p. 68. n. 24. in Answer to my Argument drawn from the Difference betwixt the Law that is written without, and the Gospel that is written within; where he Accuseth me of Contradiction. because of my Argument drawn from the Revelations, that were under the Law and the Sameness of the Object: But I have Answered this Cavil in the former Section. Yet fince the Strength of this resolves in his supposing I Affirm, There is no written Rule under the Gospel. which he after Concludes; the whole falleth to the Ground: For I never denied the Scripture to be a Secondary Rule, and that is some Rule; for to fay, I Affirm, There is no written Rule, because the Written is not the Primary, is a wild Conclusion. And therefore all the rest of his Talk to prove, That Christ Inspired the Apostles to write things to be a Rule to Christians, is meerly superfluous; fince that, that is a Rule, though not the Primary, was never denied by me: And it may be

be here observed, that all his Arguments to prove the Scripture to be a Rule, unless they prove them to be the Primary and Principal One, Conclude nothing; and are against me to no Purpose.

7. His Second Argument is deduced from 2 Tim. 3. 16. where he cites the Apostle saying of Their makthe Scriptures, They are able to make wife unto Salvation Salvation, and to make the Man of God perfect : and Pertection, Answer-Where is first to be observed his perverting of the ed. Apostle's Words by an Addition of his own (and therefore no Wonder, that he so frequently pervert mine.) For the Apostle saith not, They are able to make the Man of God perfect; but, All Scripture given by Inspiration is, that the Man of God may be Perfect; that is, Contributeth in its Kind and Order towards the Perfection of the Saints: But it follows not thence, that they are the Primary Rule; no more than though F. B. will Affirm, that his Book is written, That the Man of God may be perfect (that is, to help him to Perfection) that thence it is to be Esteemed the Primary and Chief Rule. Thus is Answered that of John 20, 31. But these are written, that ye may believe, &c. cited by him p. 74. for his Book is also written for that End; yet the Consequence will not follow. That they are able to make wife unto Salvation, is not denied, in so far as they declare of the Grace that brings Salvation, and direct to the Light, which leads to it: But how he thence Inferreth, They are the Primary Rule, he must inform us the next time, since he has forgotten to do it now. And this may ferve to Anfwer those Places, where he (according to his Custom) Repeats it over and over again, as p. 74, 77, and 82. where he hath again the fore-mentioned Perversion, and enumerates the particular Uses applyed to the Scripture, he concludeth its Perfection, as wanting nothing. Now I deny not, that every Book as well as Chapter and Verse

the Scrip-

16/19. of Scripture is Perfect, as to its End, that is, of far to Express the Mind of God, as he was perfection of pleased at that Time; and also with a Respect to tures urged its Author, as being written by the Dictates of by 7.B. to the Spirit; but that Place will not conclude its the Primary Perfection, either as the Primary, only, or Ade-Rule or Ca-quate, that is Entire Rule: Else all the Other Scriptures, which were written after that Epiftle of Paul, (as he will not deny, but there were fome So written) must be Denied being any Part of the Rule, and so to be any way necessary for that End. The like Absurdities follow upon his using 2 Cor. 3. 14. where the Apostle speaks of a Testament; fince he dare not deny, a great Part of that Testament was written afterwards. And thus is also Answered, what he urges from Pfal. 19. 7. (Pag. 74 and 79.) The Law of the Lord is Perfett, &c. and from other Scriptures of like Import: For if he understand Perfection in the first Sense, it is not denied; if in the Second (which indeed is the Question) it concludeth nothing, without rendring all the Scripture written afterwards, no Part of the Rule or Canon (to use his own Term.) As for that of *Peter*, which he infifts upon in the End of his *Paragraph*, (p. 70.) I deny it to be understood of the Scripture; and gave my Reasons before: and yet the Man takes that for granted, and thence Argues from it; which is a most filly Manner, albeit very Familiar to him to beg the Question.

¶ 8. Next, he comes to Confider my Answer to their Objections; but he Removes them, may be judged by the First he Observes p. 71. where, instead of Proving, That these Words of Isai. 8. 20. usually brought by them, To the Law and to the Testimony, &c. are meant of the Scripture; which I defire, e're any thing could be Inferred from it; He Answers: As if any, that ever read the Bible could be ignorant, what is all along mean-

ed by these Words. Is not this a goodly Proof, 1679. Reader? I am one, that have read the Bible, and know, by the [Law] is fometimes meant the Outward, fometimes the Inward; and Thousands more goodly Proof are yet to be convinc'd, That that Place speaks only meant by of the Outward: And will need fame better Ar Law and Tegument, than this of his, e're we Change our flimony. Judgment. But to proceed: He thinks, my faying, That the Law was in a more special Manner given to the Jews, and more principally than to us to be a Railing and Roving, and a Contradicting, what I said in the former These: But this Cavil, often repeated before, I did Answer above. The like he Judgeth my arguing there-from, That as they were to Try all things by the outward Law, so we are to Try all in the First Place by the Word within : But here his base Disingenuity appears: For he has left out these Words [in the first Piace] The Scripthat he might introduce the better the Difficulty tures a Sehe fancieth to himself to have brought me to af- condary and Subordinate terwards; for by this Argument (faith he) I prove Rule, more, than I ought, to wit, That the Scriptures shall not be so much as a less principal Rule. Who will be so foolish, as to Conclude, that the saying, Things ought [in the first Place] to be tried by the Word within, excludes things [in the second Place] to be Tried by the Scriptures? And is not that still to own it as a Secondary and Subordinate Rule ? And so he may see, my Feet here are easily rid; and that he held them not so sast, as he fancied. And as for the other Part of his Alternative, the Consequence is of the like Nature, That what was a Principal Rule then, is now only Subordinate: For albeit I said, it was more Principal to them, than now to us; yet I said not, it was the most principal to them, or then more principal, than what came immediately from the Spirit, which he confesseth to have been frequent under the Law, yea more frequent, than now according to his Principle:

1679. ciple: And my faying fo could only infer that Consequence. He Rejects, what I urge from the Version of the Septuagint, as Spurious; but for that we must take his Word, else want a Proof. And then because he cannot come off better, according to his Custom he Concludes with a gross Perversion and Falthood, saying; It is my Opinion, that the Law, id est, the outward Law, was given the Tews for a Rule even above the Spirit's Revelations: Which if it be mine, (as I utterly renounce it) I defire to know, where I have Afferted it? He might have been at the Pains to mark it; but he knew (it's like) it was not Con-Scriptures to venient. Next, he comes to prove, that these bestearched Words, Search the Scriptures, &c. John 5.39. not the Pri- do Evince, The Scriptures to be the Primary and mary Rule. Adequate Rule; because if Christ's Doctrine should be Tried by them, much more private Enthusiasms: But who denies that? Yet he doth not thence prove, that the Scriptures are the Primary Rule, by which all things must be tried in the first Place, which is the thing in Question. Secondly; I wolud ask him, Whether the Words Christ spake to the Fews, which are Recorded in Scripture, were less a Rule to them, or less binding and obliging upon them, than the Sayings of Mo-Jes and the Prophets? It he fay, they were less; then he overturns all his own tedious Reasonings, by which he labours to prove the Obligation, of what Christ and the Apostles delivered, (p. 84. at the End) as well as what Moses and the Prophets, without the need of a New Obligation: And likewise he must shew us, How these Sayings come to be as binding upon us now, as Moses and the Prophets? or how they acquired a greater Authority, after Christ spake them, than they had then? Or why they wanted then that Authority? If he fay, They were binding and obliging to the

Tews.

Jews, because spoken by Christ; then his Proof 1679. falleth to the Ground. He is Angry, that I say, The Words may be interpreted, Ye fearch the Scriptures, as well as Search the Scriptures (albeit the re Search Greek Word fignify the one as well as the other) and Search and for Answer very Magisterially tells, It is se. quite contrary to the very Words of Command, Search the Scriptures: But the Question is, Whether that be the Words? And that was, what he fhould have proved. But he makes no Bounds of begging the Question, telling; Tolet and Maldo. nat fay; It is so taken by all the Fathers, except Cyrill. And what then? Did I undertake to Subscribe to all these Authors Writings? He must give me a Reson, Why? e're I do it: And let him deny it, if he dare, that the Greek Word fignifies, Te Search the Scriptures, as well as, Search the Scriptures? And if it do, before I conclude the One more than the Other, I must have some better Argument, than his bare Affirmation. But to finish this, he will conclude all by the Words of the Apostle James, Chap. 1. Ver. 25. where he faith: The Apostle calleth the Scriptures The perfect the perfett Law of Liberty; But that doth not berty, the prove them to be the Primary Rule. Suppose it Royal Law in the Heart? were granted, the Apostle meant the Scriptures, which remains yet by him to be proved; and is not done, by what he citeth Chap. 2. 8. by his denying them to Fulfil the Royal Law, according to Scripture, Thoushalt love thy Neighbour as thyself; which proves it not at all: Yea, to understand it of the Scripture, were to make the Apostle's Words scarce good Sense; as if he had said, Fulfil the Scriptures according to the Scriptures: Whereas it futes the Place much better, that the Apostle meaned, They should fulfil the royal Law in their Hearts, which was one with the Scriptures, that also command the same thing. That the Apostle means the Outward Law, and not that Written

Scriptures.

1679. in the Heart, Chap. 4. 12. he hath affirmed, but onot proved. Next, he comes to the Berwans being commended commended for Searching the Scriptures, Acts 17. for Search- 11. But this is the same way answered, as the former. For if the Berwans were obliged to believe and receive Paul's Testimony, because he preached the Truth to them by Authority from God; then their Using, or his Commending them for using the Scripture, will not prove the Scripture to be the Primary Rule; yea, more a Rule, than the Doctrine, they Tried by it. In the rest of what he saith in this n. 28. he but fights with his own Shadow; for I never faid, They excluded the Law of Nature, in affirming, the Scripture to be the Rule; or did I ever deny, but that the Scripture reveals things, which Nature could never have Discovered? But the Question is. Whether that Truth, that Man is the Off-spring of God, from which the Apostle argues with the Athenians, was discovered to any by meer Nature, or by a Divine Principle? And this is that he should have proved; and therefore yet remains for him to do: But to be like himself, he concludes this also with a gross Lie, saying, I affirm, the Scripture to be no more our Rule, than the Heathen-Poets; which no ways follows from my Words, neither hath or can he ever prove it.

¶ 9. He thinks, The Scriptures not determining of many things, nor having any Rule for them (which he feeins to acknowledge) is no Argument against their being the Primary and Adequate or Only Rule, for that (he apprehends) no rational Man will think needful to a Compleat Rule, [Why?] because General Rules are enough: And thence he thinks it would follow, That the Quakers must have a new, particular Revelation for every Alt and Word, Such as Eating, Drinking, Walking, &c. But I deny this Consequence. These Acts, as fimply Confidered, are Natural; and it. will

will not follow, because to Spiritual Acts, relat- 1679. ing to Faith and my Immediate Service towards Spiritual God, I need a Spiritual Motion and Influence of Acts and the Spirit; that therefore I need fuch a thing Motions distinguished to Natural Acts. If he say, These Natural Acts from Naunder some Circumstances may be Sin or Duty: I tural. Confess, then the Revelation of the Spirit is needful. For if I be sitting, sleeping or eating in one Place, when it is the Mind of God, I should be preaching and praying in another; I do Sin: But how can the Scripture give me a Rule here? All that he answers to this, p. 76.77. resolves into this: That all such Doubts may be solved, applying the General Rules of Scripture by Christian Wisdom, Prudence and Discretion, &c. But how shall I know, that I truly make this Application? And (to give him his own often-repeated Argument in the Case of Revelation) have not some thought, they have made this Application by Christian Prudence, when they did not? And not to go further, than J. B's own Brethren, the Presbyteri-Christian ans, yea, the Chief and most Eminent Teachers call'd)doubtamong them, Did not some of them judge it sul and un-Christian Prudence according to the Scripture-certain. Rule, to draw near and Adhere to the Remonstrants, which others, called Publick Resolution-Men, denied? Do not some of them think it Christian Prudence, to go here the Bishops Curates, which others Deny? Did not those Chief Menamong them (as George Hutcheson and others) think it Christian Prudence, to Accept of the Indulgence Anno 1668. in Entring, according to the Limitations proposed by the Council, to their Places, which others, especially of the Banished Brethren, and perhaps Himself was highly offended at? Whence these Men were termed Council-Curates: Other Instances among them I could give. But how shall all this be Decided? What Scrip-

1679. Scripture-Rule can he affign, that clearly do it? Let him Answer this distinctly, and not pass it over; lest he be suspected to Leap, where he cannot Step. He confesseth to my alledging I Cor. 12. and Rom. 12. and after a little Railing he fells, p. 78. That he that is to Rule, is to do it with Diligence, &c. but that the Scripture faith not, that James or Peter should take on this or that Office: By which Confession he distroys all; since the Question is, How James and Peter knew, they should take upon them to Rule? This he faith he has thewn above; but how Infufficiently, my Reply will Evidence. He thinks no less Impertinent (p. 78.) for me to Argue against their being a Rule as to all things, because they do not tell a Man, that he has the Marks of true Faith, upon which Knowledge the Assurance of Salvation is founded: As if I must think, the Laws of the Land must prove, that R. B. is a Quaker; or that if R. B. had murther'd a Man, it is a sufficient Defence to say, The Law doth not name R. B. But such Examples are poor Arguments, and do miferably Halt: R. B. confessing himself to be a Quaker, acknowledging every one of their Doctrines, is enough to prove him One in the Sense of the Law of the Land; and the Judge to Condemn him a Murtherer, if Convict by Witnesses, that he really did the Deed. And both these relate to outward things, which can be proved by outward Testimonies; for without the Certainty of the Evidence the Judge cannot pronounce his Sentence. But is a Man's own Confeshing or Affirming, he hath the True Marks of Faith, enough to prove, he has them? And what are the Witneffes (to apply the Example of committing the Murther) by which a Man shall know, he has these Marks? And who must Examin the Witnesses, and judge of the Certainty or Clearness of their Evidences? Must it be the Man, that is Accused? Who useth that Method? Doth

J. B's Halting Examples to prove True Faith.

not the Man see, how miserably his pitiful Ex. 1679. ample claudicates?

Jio. To my Objection against the Scriptures being the Only and Adequate Rule, the Example of deaf Persons, Idiots, Infants, such as cannot Read, and are ignorant of the Original Tongues, fo called (all which in some Measure, less or more Deas Perare deprived of the Benefit of the Scriptures, so the Light as to apply them to themselves immediately and may toffieffectually for a Rule) he asketh, Whether if any writings, Such Person in a Land should kill a Man, or do any oc. cannot. Thing contrary to the Law, would it not punish them? (and this he repeats n. 35. in other Words) Which urgeth nothing; but upon Supposition, that the Will of God cannot be known otherwise, than by the Scripture: which Supposition is false, and therefore his Argument concludes nothing: Yea, himself confesseth, that some things, and in particular, Murder, may be known by the Light of Nature; and so overturns his own Argument. But he asketh, What Use can Children, or Idiots, or Mad-men make of the Light within? Answ. The Light within being affirmed by us to be a Living Principle, that quickens the Soul, may Influence such Persons; but so cannot any Writings. As for his Learned Dr. Owen's Book, which he recommends; he may find it answered long ago by Samuel Fisher a Quaker: Which because the Doctor found too hot to reply to, J.B. Conf. B. that is so busy a Body, may supply that selles to a Rule obvi-Want. But most Rare of all is his Answer (pag. ous to many 80.) to my Conclusion; [That Christ would not Doubts. leave his own to be led by a Rule obvious to so many Doubts] which is, And yet we see, he hath done it. If this be not to beg the Question in the highest Degree, the Reader may judge. He confesseth, The Spirit is the chief Leader; but to feem to come off with fome Credit, he falleth a Railing npon me for not Distinguishing, but Confounding

the

1679. the Spirit's Work and the Scriptures: And then bestows many Words to prove, they are distinct; with a Heap of Citations in the next p. 81. All which he might have spared, until he had proved first, that I denied, they were distinct, or shewn, where or when I confound them? What he writes (n. 38, and 39. p. 82.) is meer Railing, as the Reader by looking unto them may observe. He flouts there at my Affirming, [I knew one, that could not Read, discover an Error in the Version faying, But the good Luck was, himself was Judge. What he would infer hence, I fee not; unless that their Version is free of Errors: which if he will adventure to affirm, his Mistake may be thewn by the Testimony of Learned Men among themselves, and his own Correcting it divers times; which will after be observed. He saith; My speaking soberly of the Scriptures is only out of Policy; because the Quakers could not effectuate their Point, which was, To have the Scriptures quite laid by, as an Old Almanack: But such malitious Lies and Railings need no Answer. 7. Bis großthis he adds two other groß Calumnies to con-

Carumnies, denying our using Scripture Testimonies.

Sthis he adds two other groß Calumnies to conclude his Paragraph, That it is the Quakers fixed Opinion, that the Scriptures are not to be made use of in their Assemblies; it being below them to Expound any Portion of it there, or to adduce any Testimony there-from for Consirmation of their Assertions. This can be proved to be a manifest Untruth by the Testimony of many, that are not Quakers, who have been Witnesses of the contrasty. The other (which he calleth Their constant Opinion) is, That when one cometh to hearken to the Light within, he hath obtained the whole End of the Scriptures, so that they become wholly useless to him. This is also a horrid Calumny.

¶ 10. In his Examining of what I affert, to be the End and Usefulness of the Scriptures (p. 83, 84.) he cannot find fault, with what I ascribe

to them; but that I give them not all; and whe- 1677. ther I do wrong, denying that to them, which U he would feem to give, the former Debate will shew. But that he may be here like himself, he seeks to infer from my Words most gross and ma-litious Consequences, which are utterly false; and till he prove them, they need no other Anfwer. but to observe them and deny them, which I utterly do: Such as, That albeit Christ has Or. F. Bis false dained Pastors, and the Scriptures under the Gos- against our pel, to make the Man of God perfect; yet the Qua Afferting the kers think, they may be both laid aside, as useles and Truth That, according to me, the Scriptures are not foof the Scripmuch as a subordinate Rule: That the Quakers tures. would have all others, fave themselves, to look upon themselves as not concerned in the Scriptures; that so they might be the sole Keepers of these Oracles: And then (he faith) they shall quickly know, what shall become of them. And that the Quakers always suppose, that what the Spirit within them faith, cannot contradict the Scripture; and therefore what they say contrary to the Scripture from the Spirit within, must be supposed to be seeming, and not real (This he repeats again according to his Custom in the next Page.) If he mean the Spirit of God, I hope, he will not deny it; and if he mean any other Spirit, we deny it: But he would be fastening that upon us here, which may be justly said to them of their Exalting their Confession of Faith above the Scriptures; as in the first Section upon his Preface I observed. But he hath an Objection which he urgeth pag. 67: and by which he thinks to overturn all; asking, If I believe the Testimony of the Scriptures to be true? Yes, I do believe them; because the Testimony of the Spirit in my Heart obligeth me fo to do: and therefore being perswaded they are true, I make Use of them, though, in Respect to my self, not

1679. in the first and primary Place, but in the secundary, next to the Spirit: Yet as to him, I may urge them every way, because he accounts them fo. And as to their Testimony for the Spirit's being the Principal Leader, upon my using of which he founds his Objection, (albeit, fince he acknowledgeth it, he has the less Reason to carp at it) I believe it from the Scripture-Testimony; but not as the Primary Ground of my Faith, which I derive from the Spirit it felf: yet as a Ground, and that a very weighty One. As for his other Question, Whether I be of the same Mind with other Quakers, of whom Mr. Hicks reporteth? I answer; That what is there reported by Hicks, is false; and I here dare 7. B. and his Author Hicks to prove it, to have been faid by any Quaker: Which till they can do by good and fufficient Proof, they are both to be held as Lying Calumniators.

SECT. V.

Wherein his Fifth and Sixth Chapters, intituled by him, Of Man's Natural State, and Of Original Sin, are Considered.

he complains, I speak darkly; and having given his usual Malicious Insinuations; that I do it of Design, and have some Mysteries under it; he takes upon him to endeavour to guess at my Meaning, and bestows many Pages to frame one Conjecture after another; and then spends many Words to resute these Shadows, and Men et Straw of his own Making. And yet at the End of all he confesses, He doubts, whether he

has

has got or bit my Meaning; and to be fure then, 1679. he must be as uncertain that he has refuted it: And therefore knoweth not, but all his Reafon J. B. Con. ings against his own Conjectures are impertinent felf in the For after he hath written one Conjecture, and Dark with bestows much Labour in Resulting it; his own Trying his Words are (p. 91. n. 5.) If this be not his true Conjectures. Meaning, let us try another Conjecture: Which shews, he knows not whether what he faid before was to the Purpose. Thus he spendeth Pag. 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 95, 97, 98. in which last Page he is very Angry, that I should condemn the Socinians and Pelagians; but the Reason is manifest, because he would so willingly have it believed, that I am one with them. And albeit I could not in Reason be obliged to say any thing more to these Pages ; yet that none of these fictitious and false Conjectures may catch any unwary Reader, I do freely affirm, that I believe. Man fell, and was degenerated both as to Soul and Body: And I understand, the first Adam, (or The Fall Earthly Man) to Comprehend both. But that the Breath there was something in Adam, which was no Part of Lives of his Soul and Body, nor yet Constitutive of his Spiraculum being a Man (in my Judgment) which could not remaining in degenerate, and which was in Adam by the Fall Adam. reduced to a Seed, and could never have been raised in him again to his Comfort, but by a New Visitation of Life, which from Christ by the Promise was administred unto him, and is to all Men in a Day, (for to fay, the affirming fuch a Seed remained in Adam when he fell, doth infer, his Understanding was not hurt; and, as he doth p. 94. is a Consequence I deny, and remains for him to prove) That to believe, there was fuch a thing in Adam, which the Scripture calleth Spiraculum Vitarum, the Breath of Lives, is no Newcoin'd Doctrine; as those may see, that will read Albant

I will be

Athanasius de Definitionibus, and his Third Dia-1679. I logue de Trinitate, and Fourth Oration against the Arians, and Cyrillus Alexandrinus in his Treatife upon John, lib. 2. and 3. and lib. 8. 47. and in his The faurus lib. 4. and others that might be mentioned. As for his Arguing (p. 96.) that because I affirm, The Seed of God is a Substance, therefore (according to me) the Seed of Sin must be a Substance also; which Consequence I deny: And therefore what he builds against me upon that Supposition, falls to the Ground. What he saith here and there scattered in these Pages of the Light, will in its proper Place come more fully to be confidered.

1 2. Pag. 98. n. 17. after he has faluted me with the Titles of Effronted and Impudent, he will have me one with Socinians and Pelagians, because I deny, Outward Death to be a Consequence. of the Fall; but where he proves I do so, I see

Eat.

Adam did not. It's true I fay, The Death threatned (Gen. not die an 2. 17.) was not outward Death; for Adam did not Death, the so die the Day he did eat; and I do still believe Day he did so; neither offereth he me any thing to give me Ground to alter my Mind: But to conclude thence, I deny Outward Death to be a Confequence of the Fall, was too hastily inferred. But what! if I were undetermined in this Matter, and that it remained a Mystery to me? (For I believe not the being positive therein Essential to Salvation) which if I were, truly, what he faith seems not to me sufficient to Proselyte me to his Opinion. For albeit I willingly confess with him, That Sickness and all the other Miseries attending this Life, yea, and Death it felf, considering the Anguishes wherewith it is now generally accompanied, are the Consequence of the Fall, and of Sin; yet I fee not how it would thence follow, that Adam should not have died; feeing Death to him, if he had not fallen, would have have been freed of all these Miseries, and rather 1679. a Pleasure, than a Pain: Which has been known to have befallen many Saints. As for his n. 19. he confesseth; the Matter of it is left to the next

Chapter, where I may meet him. ¶ 3. Pag. 100. n. 20. He goes on at an high Rate of Perverting. For after he has faid, Who would suspect, but I mean honestly? He applieth to me the Saying of Solomon, He that bateth, difsembleth with his Lips, we must not believe him; for there are seven Abominations in his Heart. But why am I with him guilty of this great Charge? Because albeit I affirm, That Man is wholly degenerate; yet I fay, Whatever Good Man doth in his Nature, that doth not proceed from him, but from the Divine Seed in him. Answ. These Words are none of mine, but a Forgery of his own; so incident it is for the Man to lie and pervert: And therefore all his Vapouring and abfurd Inferences drawn from this throughout this Paragraph, fall to the Ground. My Words are, That the Nature, by which the Apostle How the faith, The Gentiles did the Things contained in Gentiles did the Law, cannot be understood of the proper cor-of the Law rupt Nature of Man, but of a Spiritual Nature, which proceedeth from the Seed of God, as he receiveth a New Visitation of the Divine Love: Where it is very plain, I confider Man as visited a-new, and that in the Strength of that Grace thereby received (not of his degenerate Nature) he doth that which is good. Nor do I any where fay, as he falfly infinuates, That this Spiritual Nature is in all Men; though I do fay, That all Men are visited by God, in order to beget this Spiritual Nature in them; As will after come in its Place to be spoken of. Now all his battering of this my Affertion in the three following Pages depends upon this Supposition, That the good Asts done by the Gentiles, are not done by Virtue of any such from the side of the Visita .

1679. Visitation, but only by a Light of Corrupt Nature. which remained in them after the Fall. So that it is but a meer begging of the Question, until that be first debated. But he thinks, he has brought me under a great Dilemma, p. 103. urging, That since I say, All their Imaginations are Evil, I must fay, Every Heathen has this Spiritual Nature in him. yea, and the Devils must be Partakers of it; because they believe, there is a God, which is a good The Dif. Thought. Answ. He is too hasty in his Reasonings; for that the Knowledge a Man may receive ference between Headfrom the Divine Seed, makes him instantly to par-Knowledge and the Far take of the Divine Nature, is not proved by him : taking of the and he knows, I believe all Men to be Vifited by Divine Nathis Divine Seed, which may give them an Head Knowledge, which they may retain (as some Men do the Truth) in Unrighteousness; and yet not receive it in the Love of it: So though they have it from a Divine Seed, yet it will not follow they must necessarily so receive it, as to become Partakers of the Divine Nature. And as for the Devils. he will confess that once they had this Knowledge from a Spiritual Nature; and though they have fallen, yet they may retain the Memory of it: for that their Fall and Man's is every way alike, he will not affirm. He faith, p. 102. That to be. lieve Good done by Heathens (that is, by such as have not the Benefit of the outward Knowledge of Christ) is done in Virtue of a Divine Seed, overturns the Gospel; but he leaves the Confirmation of it to the Sequel, where I shall attend him. N. 25. he tells me very fairly, The Apostle doth not contradict himself; as if I had ever imagined he did: But the Question is, Whether the Meaning he gives the Apostle's Words, implies not a Contradiction? Which indeed he can no ways reconcile, but upon the Supposition above denied: And the Reader may Judge, whether he or I do most fully acknowledge Man's Fall, and most truly exalt the Grace of God; he that affirmeth,

That

That Man, notwithstanding the Fall, yet retaineth 1679. some Reliques of the Image of God, yea so, that the Law of God, which is Holy, Just, Good and Spiri- J. B's falle tual, is written in his Heart, pag. 105. and all Affertions, That Man this considered as fallen Man, without receiving any retained Grace and Benefit from Christ: Or I, who affirm, Some Relias That Man by the Fall was wholly degenerated, mage of God retaining nothing of the Image of God; in whom in the Fall. albeit there remained a Seed of Righteousness, yet no otherways, than as a naked Seed in barren Ground, in Virtue of which he can do nothing, until visited by a New Visitation, which he receives by Virtue of Christ, as Mediator. And yet while he ascribeth all this to unregenerate Men, he faith in a few Lines, That the apostle and all Regenerate Men are in a certain respect Carnal: So his Divinity will run thus: The Devil and all Unregenerate Men are in a certain respect Spiritual; and the Apostle and all Regenerate are in a certain respect Carnal.

A. But he thinks, in the following Page 106. he has gotten me in a notable Contradiction; for that he concludeth, if I may have Occasion to Contradict the Truth, I care not, how often I Contradict my Self; and that is, by asking me this Question: Wherein appeared the Wisdom of the wise Men among the Greeks, if not in the Know- The Wisledge of the Things of God? I answer: In the Greeks ap-Wise and Prudent Management of worldly Af-peared in fairs; For he hath not proved, that is necessarily affairs.

ly united to a Knowledge of God and Things Spiritual; fince it is faid of some Beasts, that they have something of this, such as Bees and Ants, &c. And whereas he asks, Wherein Men differ from Brutes then? I say, In many things; as in the Knowledge of Numbers, and Mathematical and Mechanical Demonstrations: Is the Know-

ledge of such natural Truths (as 2 and 3 makes 5: And the whole is greater than the Part, and all

that's

may be known of

1679. that's deduced therefrom) the Knowledge of the Things of God? And yet is not this further than what Beasts know? And to shew him his Forward. ness in this, let him shew me, if he admit not this, how the Wisdom of this World is Foolishness with God, and the Wisdom of God Foolishness with Men? At last he comes, p. 107. and to the End of this Chapter, to prove, That there doth remain in Man some Reliques of the Image of God, notwithstanding the Fall; Which he builds upon that saying of the Apostle, Rom. 1. 19. Be-cause that which may be known of God, is manisest in them, and the Reason he urgeth, is, Because it was known not to a few only. Answ. This is very true, but makes nothing for him: for here (as for the most Part elsewhere) he with an unparallel'd Confidence (not to fay Impudence) every where begs the Question. First, in that he supposeth that this To yvwsov, or what is to be known That which of God, is somewhat, that Man retained in the Fall, and no New Visitation of Light and Grace, God,&c are which he knows I deny. And Secondly, That it not J. B's Relicks. must be so; because all Men have it: where he supposeth, that all Men receive not such a Visitation; which he knows I also deny: and yet he concludes, without offering to prove either of them. Who but one Desperate, and that cares

not how Ridiculous and Absurd he be, if he can but heap together a Company of Railing Words, would urge his Adversary by Mediums, which he knows he denies; without first proving them, or at least Attempting so to do?

¶ 5. Next followeth his Sixth Chapter, Intituled, Of Original Sin: in which nothing of what he faith can touch me; but so far as he proves, That those who never actually sinned (such as Infants) are guilty of Adam's Sin: Therefore what he faith of others, who affirm, That Man sustain-

ed

ed no Hurt by Adam, but by Imitation, Concerns me not; fince I fay no fuch thing: And yet he thinks it a Paradox for me to fay (albeit he cannot deny but it is true) that I deny the Errors of such. And of this Nature is what he writes in the first four Pages of this Chapter; in the last of which he goes (after his Custom) as it were, to pump for the Meaning of my Words, that he may infinuate to the Reader, as if I wrote all in the Dark, and had great Mysteries under them: Whereas any one that reads them, may fee, they are so plain, that they need no Commentary. For who is so weak, as not to understand me faying, That the Seed of Sin is not imputed to Infants, until That the they altually join with it? He comes, p. 114. n. 8 is not imto Examin, what he faith, I say in Defence of puted to the this Error: And first he will take Notice of what they actually I say of Augustin, whom he alledgeth I abuse, join with it. because I say, That he was the first among the Antients, that opened the Way to his Opinion in his declining Age, out of Zeal: But will he deny, that Augustin wrote most zealously against Pelagius in his declining Age? Next he shews here his great Disingenuity: For while he names many of the Antients, as being of the same Mind, and whom Augustin also cited against Pelagius, he gives none of their Words; that it might have been seen whether it was in this that they Condemned him, to wit, That Infants are not guilty of Adam's Sin. For these Citations may relate to that, which was accounted indeed Pelagianism, to wit, That Man by Nature without the Grace of God could fulfil the Law, yea, that he needed not Grace to perform the Will of God; which was the Thing for which Pelagius was Condemned by the African Synod. As for the Citation he gives of Augustin, saying, He was of the same Mind since the Beginning of his Conversion; seeing in this Place Augustin's Words, which he faith he has held, are

no

1679. no more than the express Words of the Apostle, Rom. 5. 12. which F. B. has not yet proved to import, That Infants are guilty of Adam's Sin : So if he has no better Way to prove Augustin's pofitive Judgment in the Case, than this, he doth but give a Token of his own Effrontedness and shameless Boldness, not of Mine. But since he feems fo great an Admirer of Augustin, as an honoured Instrument of the Lord, and an Holy Father (as he terms him) then I defire to know, Whether he will agree to all that Augustin hath written? Which if he will not do, he doth ill to accuse me for Condemning Augustin, as Errone. ous in some Things: And if he will, I may then flew him, That Augustin both Commended and Practifed Things, which he and his Brethren cry. ed out against as Superstition, Will Worship and Abominable Popery and Idolatry; and for far less than which they have Excommunicated their Fellow-Preachers. Which shews in Effect meaner Thoughts of him than I have yet expressed. My Argument drawn from Ephes. 2. 3. (where the Apostle ascribes the Reason of Men's being Children of Wrath to their Evil Deeds) he faith, was the Fathers against Pelagius. And what then? Doth that render it null? But his own Answer to it is Rare, faying, "He thinks, I put out my" Eyes, that do not observe, how the Apostle "changes the Second Person, saying, [Among " whom also we all had our Conversation in Times " past, - and were by Nature the Children of Wrath Whence the Man wifely infers, That Paul and the Iews were the Children of Wrath; which is not denied: But they must have quick Eyes indeed, that fee it from thence to be inferred, that they were fuch, e're they Committed any actual Sin; fince the Apostle expresly mentions his and their having had their Conversation among the World, as a Reason of their having been in the same

Children of Wrath their Evil Deeds.

Condition. He faith further, I confess 1 Cor. 2: 1679. that Juxinds is to be understood of the Rational Soul: And what then? Therefore fo foon as they partake of the Rational Soul, they become Children of Wrath; This is indeed a rare Consequence? But he must excuse me for not admitting it, till better proved. It would feem much more rational to fay, that so soon as they come to the Exercise of their Rational. Soul, and then do Evil, they become guilty; for he cannot deny, That the Gospel nor Condemneth, nor Threatneth any Man, but him that has Actually Sinned: And whether this destroys not his Cause, the Reader may judge. That, Except a Man be born again, he cannot enter the Kingdom, I never denied. Albeit Children be capable of Death, yet it will not follow, that they are Guilty of Sin, fince Death is no Punishment, but rather an Advantage to fuch; to whom it's a Transition to a better Life. He thinks, p. 117. that my faying, Such as homologate their Fathers Sins, God will visit the Iniquities of their Fathers upon them, is not worth Noticing; but whether his Answer be worth noticing, the Reader may judge, which is: That Adam's Sin was not a personal Sin, as other Mens are, and his own After-sins, but the Man forgot to prove this, and therefore may do The Chilit next. But he thinks, the Children of Core, &c. Inflan-Dathan and Abiram, of Achan and the Sodomites, ced. were judged guilty of their Fathers Sins; for un-less he proves that, he saith nothing: But for what Reason, I know not; unless that they were outwardly Destroyed: But until he prove, that infers Guilt, he must forbear making his Conclusion. He is highly offended I thould say, Their Opinion is Contrary to the Justice and Mercy of God; alledging, It is without Proof: But if to account one guilty for a Sin committed by another Thousands of Years, e're they had a Being, and to punish for it, be not against Justice, and inconsifrent

ed, fome

1679. Stent with Mercy, I desire to know of him, what is more Unjust and Unmerciful? To say, that this is an Accusing of God, is but a filly begging of the Question, until he has first proved his Opinion to be True: It's no Accusing of God to Condemn Mens Opinions, when contrary to his Nature. He will have it to be a Rapsody of Non-sense when I say, 'This proceeds from Self-'Love founded on their Opinion, of Absolute Reprobation; but whether it be, or not, the Reader may judge: Sure, his faying it, makes it not so. That this of Infants being guilty of Adam's Sin, and therefore many of them being dam-ned, depends upon their Doctrine of Reprobation, no Man of Sense, that knows their Doctrine, will deny; fince they fay, Some Infants are faved. because elected: Are not the Rest then (according to them) damned, because reprobated? He Some In- gives me nothing here in Answer, but Railing; fants Electand so concludes this Paragraph with this nota-Reprobated, ble Saying; Wo, I say (that is, J. Brown for-J. B's Opi- sooth) and thrice Wo to such, as drink in this Man's J. B's Opinion. Dostrine, and live and die accordingly (p. 118. n. 14.) He thinks, my faying, Papists are more charitable in allowing a Limbus to Children, shews my Affection to them; but he has not heard me allow of their Notion of a Limbus, as he does (in the Chapter of Justification, p. 310.) of the Opinion of a certain Popish Cardinal; preferring it not only to what is faid by William Forbes, a Protestant Bishop, but even (as it would appear) to Richard Baxter, his ancient Presbyterian Brother: And in Pursuance of this he asks, How they come to Heaven (meaning Children) who have nothing to do with Christ? But then what will he say of those, he accounts elect Children? Go they to Heaven without Christ? It not, the Difficulty is the same way resolved. To prove Children are under a Law, and subject to Transgression, he

gives

gives the Common Practices among Men, who 1679. forfeit Children; yea fuch, as are unborn, with their Fathers for great Crimes: But in what Country do they use to kill all the Children, when the Father is put to Death for a Crime? And unless this were done, his Comparison infers not the Point. His plain Answer (he saith) is, Adam his being a Publick Person; of which hereafter. To my Citation Ezek. 18. 20. The Son Shall not bare the Father's Iniquity, he preaches at large hall not upon the Words, alledging, his Meaning is, that bare the Fdthose Persons he wrote to, had so much Sin of their quity, how own, that God might justly judge them; albeit he did Explained by not visit them for their Fathers Iniquities: And this is the quick Dispatch he saith this Place receives: It is a quick Way to dispatch indeed, if it were Valid, to make the Meaning destroy the Text; but Men of Sense use not to be sudden in receiving such Dispatches. The Words are plain and positive, The Son shall not bear the Father's Iniquity; therefore, until he give Ground from plain Scripture to take it away, it must stand to the Overthrow of his Doctrine: For the greater Sinners those Men were, the more justly and defervedly might their Father's Iniquity be laid upon them.

6: Pag. 120. n. 17. He cometh from my f. E. infers Confession, That Adam was a Publick Person, to Original Sia infer, That the Guilt passeth from him to all: And from apublic to the Guilt passeth from him to all: And lich person. first in this Page he affirmeth, That this Sin of A-lick Person. dam's, from whence Original Sin proceeds, is the Sin of the whole Nature of Mankind, and not like Adam's After-Sins, and the Sins of other Men; which he confesses, are not the Sins of the whole Nature. And because upon this dependeth much, of what he infers; he had done well to have proved this in the first Place by some Scripture: Till which time his Inference is not to be received. For did Adam Cease to be a publick Person, after he had Committed that Sin? If he fay, Tea, Y 2

1679. let him prove it by plain Scripture; for I deny Vit: If not, then his other Sins must be Imputed to all Men (which he denies) or else nothing can be urged from his being a publik Person. And while to urge it, he asketh, Did ever any hear one stated as a publick Person, whose Failings could have no Effect, until the Persons represented did testify their Approbation of it? For here speaking of Failings, he must either Conclude in Contradiction to himself, That Adam's Sins are laid to the Charge of his Posterity; or his Instance is wholly Impertinent. And yet (to go round again) he takes notice, p. 125. That the Apostle names One Offence in the singular Number, as if thence he would infer, that one Sin is only transmitted: But how he proves his Confequence thence, he has not shewn. For albeit by that First Offence he gave Entrance for Sin, that being his first; yet it will not follow, he then Ceased to be a publick Person: and if not, nothing can be proved from granting him to be fuch, as is above observed. Next, the Words are, The Offence of one, and offence of Next, the words are, The Offence of one, and one, &c. ob- not, One Offence, as he would infinuate; which (though in the fingular Number) may include many, yea All his Offences. For whatever way he feeks to urge this from this Place as to Adam, the Parrallel will allow it to be interpreted of Christ; where the Apostle speaking of his Righteousness useth also the Singular Number: and thence according to him we might fay, that it is only the first Act of Christ's Righteousness, that is Imputed unto us, and none of the Rest; so that we have nothing to do with his Death, Sufferings and Resurrection. What thinks he now of his own Divinity? Let him loofe his Knot the next time (to give him one of his own modestest Proverbs.) The Abfurdity he feeks to draw from denying this Consequence of his being a Publick Person, That if Adam had stood, Infants should have no Advan-

102C

icated.

tage by him, since they have no Hurt by his Fall, 1679. Toucheth not me at all; who no where fay, That Infants have no Hurt by Adam's Fall. Adam Adam by by his Fall lost his Glory, his Strength, his Do-came obnoxminion, by which he could have easily withstood ious to the Devil; and came under great Weakness, whereby the Enemy's Tentations had a ready Access to him, and he became very obnoxious to fall under them: And fo all his Posterity are come under the same Weakness and Obnoxiousness to the Enemy's Tentations, who influenceth them by entring into them, and powerfully inclining them to Sin; and this Malignant Influence is that Seed of Sin in all Men, whereunto they become obnoxious by reason of the Fall: which though in its self really Sin, yet is it not Man's, but the Devil's, until Man give way to it. But I deny not, but the least Yielding is Man's Sin; among which I reckon Concupiscence to be one; and so differ from Papists. For albeit the Tentation fimply confidered, or as presented by the Devil, be not Man's Sin: yet if he have the least Love or Defire to it, albeit he join not Actually, that shews, his Mind is already Defiled and corrupted, and that he is become a Partaker of it. Thus are answered his Reasonings and Questionings, How this Seed of Sin can be, and yet not the Perfons Sin? p. 121, 122, &c. as the Reader by Comparing may observe. Only it is remarkable, p. 121. where he feems to put a great Strefs upon the Judgment of Augustin, and citing him, he brings him in faying these Words (among others) concerning Infants; Shall they sin, that are Infants are under no under no Command? Now fince they, who are un- Law. der no Commad, are under no Law (for every Law imports a Command) How will he reconcile this Saying of his Holy Father, which he brings as a Matter of Authority, with his accounting it both foolish and strange in me p. 119. to prove, Y 3

Chil-

J. B. taken in his own. Spare.

Children are under no Law? So that either the Authority of Augustin he brings, is not to be regarded; or his Reasonings to prove Children under a Law, that is, a Command, must be naught: let him chuse which he will, and clear himself of Impertinency. His Argument in this Page, That as the Seed of Grace denominates a Man gracious, even while not exercising Works of Grace; so the Seed of Sin must denominate a Man sinful, is but a begging of the Question: as in its Place will appear, when I come to treat of the Seed of Grace.

7. When he cometh p. 123. n. 18. to Reply to my Answer to their Objection, Rom. 5. 12. among his preliminary Observations the first is very proper, where he saith; It is observable, the Apostle makes Comparison betwixt Adam and Christ.

As Christ's Rightcoufpels, so Adam's Unrightcoufnels is not
Imputed to
Men, before
actual Joining with either.

Apostle makes Comparison betwixt Adam and Christ. I Answer; It is indeed so: for as the Righteousnels of Christ is not Imputed to Men for Fustification, until they Actually Join with it, or apprehend it by Faith, (as himfelf will acknowledge, for I suppose, by his accounting the Antinomians Hereticks, he will not with them affirm, That Men are Justified, before they Believe;) so neither is the Unrighteousness and Disobedience of Adam Imputed to Men for Condemnation. until they Actually Join with it : But this Comparison spoils all his Doctrine. Then after he has beg'd the Question a while by meer Allegations, affirming; his Doltrine to be so clear from the Apostle's Words, that it cannot be Contradicted, without doing Violence to the Text; he forms an Argument thus:

That Sin, which is so described to us by the Apostle, that he saith, it brought Death upon all Men; that Men sinned by it, and
were made Sinners, even they who could not
as yet actually Sin; that thereby all became
guilty of Death and of Condemnation;
that

that Sin by Imputation is the Sin of the 1679.
whole Nature, included in Adam, and renderth the whole Nature obnoxious to Death, and to Condemnation.

But
The first Sin of Adam is described to us by the Apostle, &c.

Ergo
That Sin is the Sin of Nature, &c.

This Argument may perhaps satisfy such, as are already Profelites of this Theam: but will not Convince one, that either believes other ways, or doubts; since the Major is a meer begging of the Question. And if any thing be a foisting in of Words to the Text, this must be it : fince he foists-in the Thing in Debate, and Words not in the Text, fuch as Even they, who could not f. B. foilt's as yet actually Sin and joineth them with the in Words of his own to Words of the Text without distinction, and not as deceive his an Interpretation; that his unwary Reader may Reader. Conclude them to be of the Text. And yet the Man has the Impudence in the fame Page to Accuse me of Intolerable Boldness, as foisting Words into the Text, while I expresly shew, it is but an Interpretation, by faying, That is, &c, fo much is he blinded with Self Interest: But I am Content, there be neither Addition, nor fo much as Confequence made use of. Let him shew me the plain Scripture, that saith, Infants are guilty of Adam's Sin? If he say, It must be necessarily inferred from these Words in whom all have sinned I say, it as necessarily follows, that it is only to be understood of all that could sin, which Infants could not; as not being under any Law, as I have above proved, and Augustin (whom he so much Reverenceth) doth affirm, if his Citation from him be true. And therfore finding this to pinch him, he brings it up again p. 126. where bringing me in saying, Infants are under no Law, he an-Iwers; But the Apostle saith the Contrary: He

fertion of

DEATH argues Guilt in them.

would have done Charitably to have told me Where? That I might have observed it. What he F. B's proofless Af. faith In this, as well as the former Page in answer to my Affirmation, that & may relate to Death, and that it's understood [upon which Occasion Man finned urging Absurdities by the like Application of Christ's Righteousness; is solved by a serious Observation of the Comparison, as stated by me betwixt Christ and Adam. His arguing from Children's Dying doth not conclude, until he whether prove Death simply considered, necessarily to infer Guilt in the Party dying, of which I have spoken before. P. 126. n. 20. to my Answer to Psal. 51. 5. alledged by them, wherein I shew, that David faith not, My Mother conceived me sinning; and therefore it proves not his Assertion. His Reply is (after he has given a Scoff) It quite croffeth David's Design: But why so? because in that Psalm he expresseth his Sorrow and Humiliation for his Sins? And what then? Might not David Lament upon that Occasion, that he was not only a Sinner himself, but also came of such, as were fo? But when I urge this Place further, thewing, their Interpretation would make Infants guilty of the Sin of their Immediate Parents, fince there is no mention here of Adam; His Answer to this is a Repetition of his own Doctrine: A rare Method of Debate, very usual to him! Aud then taking it for granted, he aiks me; Whether this originated Sin (of which he supposed David spake, for he never offers to prove it, though it be the Matter in Debate) came from an other Original than Adam? What he affirmed here of my infinuating Marriage-Duties to be Sin, is but a false Conjecture : But as to the Hurt, and Lofs, that Man got by Adam, which I a-Scribe to no other Original (as being no Manichee) I spake before. But he should first prove, before he obtrude fuch things upon others (and I defire yet to be informed of him) In what Scripture 1677. he reads of Original Sin? And whether, if the Scripture be the only Rule, he cannot find Words in it fit enough to Express his Faith? Or must he shift for them else-where?

¶ 8. Pag. 127. N. 21. He urges Paul's faying, The Wages The Wages of Sin is Death; and to my faying of Sin is Death, prof. This may be a Confequence of the Fall; but ved Eternal. that thence it cannot at all be Inferred, that I-'niquity is in all those that are subject to Death he faith; It is in plain Terms (but my Modesty dare not speak it out) to say, the Apostle speaketh not Truth. Answ. Is not this to take upon him to judge of another Man's Heart, which elsewhere he counts a great Presumption? And why takes he no notice, or gives he no Answer to the Absurdity I shew, followed from thence, since the whole Creation received a Decay by Adam's Fall, and yet we fay not, Herbs and Trees are Sinners? And while he would make out this great Charge of my Contradicting the Apostle, he forgets the Half of his Business, which is To prove, the Apostle meaned in that Place Natural Death, and not Eternal, fince the Apostle opposeth it there to Eternal Life: And Eternal Death he will confefs, is the Wages of Sin, which the Apostle thews they shun by Jesus Christ's obtaining Eternal Life; whereas Natural Death they do not avoid. Likewise he should have proved, that all the Scriptures mentioned by him, p. 128. are meant of Natural Death; which he will not find very easy. As for his citing Death, as mentioned by the Apostle, I Cor. 15. the Apostle's Words, Ver. 56. Confirm, what I fay, That Death is only a Punishment to the Wicked, not to the Saints: For the Words are, The Sting of Death is Sin; fo where Sin is taken away, there Death has no Sting; and that is the Saints Victory. Now he cannot apply this to Infants, without supposing,

1679. that they have Sin; which were to beg the Queftion. And whereas he asks, Whether Death be NO Punishment for Sin? I answer, that I said not so; neither is that needful for me to affirm, seeing it is sufficient, if it be not always a Punishment of Sin: Which if it be not, it cannot be Concluded, that because Infants die, therefore they must be Guilty of Sin. Since then the Absurdities he after urges, follow from his Supposition, That Death is no Punishment for Sin (which I say not) they do not touch me. He judeth, p. 128. n. 22. That I run wilder, than Papists, in saying, 'We will rather admit the supposed Absurdity of saying, All Infants are Saved, to follow from our Doctrine, than with them ' fay, That innurable Infants Perish Eternally, not 'for their own, but only for Adam's Fault: This he reckons a Contradicting of my Doctrine of Christ's dying for all, saying, I here grant, That all Infants will be faved without Christ. Christ, J. B's rible Lie is this? Where say I, That all Infants will horrible Lie. be faved without Christ? If he say, it is by Confequence, that I fay so, (which he must needs do, or else be an impudent, unparallel'd Lyar) then he infers it either from my faying, Christ died for all: Therefore if all Infants are Saved, it must be without Christ; or that, If all Infants be faved, Christ cannot have died for All: for one of thele two must be, If I Contradict my self. But such Consequences are only fit for such an Author, as feems to have abandoned all Sense of Honesty and Christian Reputation, and resolves per Fas aut nefas, and without Rhime or Reason (as the Proverb is) to bespatter his Adversary. As for his adding, They that have no Sin, have no need of a Saviour to fave them from Sin, He overturns it all by afking me, (in which also lies the Pinch of his Matter) Since Laffirm they have a Seed of Sin in

them,

them, which is called Death and the old Man, how 1679. can they put-off this, and sing the Song of the Redeemed, which all that enter into Glory, must do? Does not this then shew, I believe, they have need Saved by of Christ, as a Saviour, who died for them, to deli Christ, who ver them from This? And is not the Contradiction Diedfor his own in urging this Question? Which I thus Answer: How are those he accounts Elect Infants, Saved, whom he affirms to be really Guilty of Adam's Sin, and so in a worse Condition, than I affirm Infants to be? (for he will not fay with Papists and Lutherans, that the Administring of that they call the Sacrament of Baptism, does it?) When he Answersthis, he will folve his own Argument. To infinuate, That some Infants are damned, he asketh me; What I think of those of Sodom, Jude v. 7. the Words are these: Even as Sodom and Gomorrah, and the Cities about them in like manner giving themselves over to Fornication. and going after strange Flesh, are set forth for an Example, suffering the Vengeance of Eternal Fire. But it is strange, the Man should be so desperately audacious, as to proclaim his own Sottishness to the World: Is there a Word here of Infants? Is not the very Reason of fuffering the Vengeance of Eternal Fire given, because of their giving Children, themselves over to Fornication? Which Reason &c. not could not touch Infants. Pag. 129. he thinks, I their Fawrong Zuinglius upon the Credit of the Council of thers Trans-Trent; but if the Council of Trent wronged Zu-greffions nor of Adam's, inglius, in Condemning him for that he was not guilty of, He and his Brethren have the Honour to have their Judgment approved by that Council, while ours is Condemned: And let him remember how he useth to upbraid me with Affinity with Papifts; yea, in this very Chapter upon less Ground. Pag. 130. he goes about to prove his Matter from several Scriptures; but how shallowly the Reader may eafily observe. (1.) He citeth

Gen.

Guilty.

1679. Gen. 6.5. Man's Thoughts are evil continually: What then? Are Infants therefore Guilty of Adam's Sin? That's the Thing in Question? But the Hebrew fignifies a purities, from their Infancy: What then? How proves that the Case? I do not deny, but Children may become guilty of Sin very early; but the Question is, Whether they be guilty of Adam's Sin even in their Mother's Womb? And hereby we may see, he thinks not their Version so exact, but 7. B. must take upon him to correct it, to help himself at a dead Lift, as they say. The fame way is Answered the other Scriptures, that follow, Ezek. 16. 4. Matth. 15. 19. Eph. 2. 3. which are yet more impertinent; as the Reader by looking to them may fee, and I might eafily by examining them particularly shew; if it were not, that I study Brevity, and delight not to glory over the Man's Impertinency. And though Infants Perished in the Flood, and that was Infants Perishing in the Flood, proves brought upon the Men and Women that Sinned, for their Iniquities; yet it will not follow thence, not them that Infants are Guilty of Sins, until he better prove, that Natural Death is always, and to all, the Wages of Sin: Albeit I confess with the Apoftle, Eternal Death is. And indeed, if thefe Infants were punished at all, it must have been for the Sins of their Immediate Parents; which he will not affirm, fince the Flood is not faid to have come for Adam's Sin, but for their own; So this Instance clearly overturns his Assertion. I leave to the Reader's Judgment the Scriptures not mentioned at length, but fet down by him, in this to judge, whether they prove the thing in Debate, to wit, That Infants are guilty of Adam's Sin? The Citations out of Augustin and Origin brought by him in the next Page 131. the Reader may alfojudge of, (in case they be truly cited, which I cannot Examin at present) whether they have Weight

Weight enough to overturn, what has been here 1679. proved from Scripture. The Words of Eliphaz (Fob 15. 14.) speak of a Man, not of a Child; and therefore not to the Purpose: Neither do I believe, though the Spirit of God gave a Relation, of what Eliphaz faid, that we ought to build our Faith upon his Affirmations. Next he urges Gen. C. 5. V. 3. And Adam -- begat a Son in his own Likeness, after his Image; but this would prove Adam's Sons as Guilty of all Sins, as that first, which he denied; or let him shew a Ground for fuch a Distinction. And thus is further anfwered, what he faith next Page. Gen. 17. 14. where it is said, The Man-child that is Uncircum. Circumsifi-cised, shall be cut-off; which he thinks so strong, not Infants that in a Vapour he defires me to Chew my Cud Guilty. upon it: For if this Cutting off was a Punishment of these Children for Sin, it must be for that of their immediate Parents, who neglected to Circumcife them; which Adam could not do, and therefore could not Sin in omitting it: And fince he will not fay this, he can Urge nothing from that Place. He faith, The Fathers used to make use of the Words of Christ, Joh. 3. 5. Except a Man be born of Water, &c. But their using it was upon their Mistake, that Baptism took away Original Sin; and that therefore Infants Unbaptized could not be Saved. That Regeneration is need- Regenera-ful to Infants, I deny not; and whereas he asks, fants. How they are Regenerate? I answered that before, asking him, How those he accounts Elect Infants, whom he confesses to be guilty of Adam's Sin, are Regenerate? He confesses, The Fathers Argument, taken from sprinkling Infants with Water, (which they and he falfely call Baptism,) will conclude nothing against me. But fince he names here Initial Sacraments in the Plural Number, which the Fathers made use of; it seems, they had some more, than Baptism: And since he and his Bre-

1679. thren make use of no more as Initial, but Baptism, it feems he differs from them, in what they judged Needful here, as well as the Quakers. I have shewn above, how I Evite both Contradicting my felf, as to Universal Redemption, and Excluding Infants from the Benefit of Christ's Death. as for his last Question, Wherein did Christ Excel other Infants, if they be born without Sin? (he fhould have faid, not guilty of Sin;) I Answer:

Christ's In that he had no Seed of Sin in him, as other Inoutsin, and fants have; and that not only, but he had nothe Birth of thing of that Weakness and Propensity to yield to the other In-fants differ. Evil Influence thereof, as other Infants: But was

in greater Strength, Glory and Dominion over It, than Adam, even before he Fell. This shews his Priviledge above others, and in nothing Contradicteth, what I have said before.

SECT. VI.

Wherein his Seventh and Eighth Chapters of Reprobation and Universal Redemption are Considered.

¶ 1. In his Seventh Chapter of Reprobation he Exspatiateth himself at great length in large and tedious Homilies, which will make my Reply the shorter, who look not upon it as my Concern to answer them; because these Controversies are largely handled by others, and what is faid by him, is abundantly Answered: Yet if he will affirm, he has faid fomething that is new upon this Theam, and point to it, it is like, it may not want an Answer. And indeed, the Reader may observe him much pained and strained to put a fair Face upon these foul Doctrines; and though what he faith here, may be, and (it is

most probable) is to be understood of the Reason 1679. he gives in his Epiftle, in being fo large, because of the Opposition of others besides Quakers (and also, because I touched these Things but passingly, as being a Theam much Debated, and Common to us with others) I might pass it by, with a Reference to those Authors, who largely Treat of them; yet I will take notice, of what he faith in direct Answer, to what by me is Affirmed. And first, as for his Accusation of me, as not being positive and punctual enough, in setting down my Judgment of the Decrees of Ele-Gion and Reprobation, It is of no Weight: All do at times confess, That it is not safe nor proper, too curiously to Inquire into the Decrees of God; though this Man dive into them, and be as positive in telling the feveral Causes of them, as if he were upon the Secret Council of the Almighty! I judge, I have said that which is needful and sufficient, to wit, That God calleth Every Man Eve-God calleth ry where to Repent and be Saved through Faith in to Repen-Fefus Christ, who tasted Death for Every Man, and tance and Salvation, is given for a Light to inlighten the Gentiles, and who has not to be God's Salvation to the Ends of the Earth: And fore-ordaintherefore that Every Man ought to apply himself Damned. to Repent and Believe, and Obey, without believing that God has fore-ordained him to be Damned; and therefore has with-held from him Grace and Power to do, what he finds himself Commanded and Obliged to do: Which if it were true, as he supposeth it to be of most Men, there can be no Reason. Whythey ought not to believe the Truth. If he fay, they either ought or need not, because they know it not; Let him remember, what Pains he has been at in the former Chapter to prove, That Ignorance of a Truth doth not take away the Obligation of believing it: So he must either overturn all, or be Content, this Absurdity stick to his Doctrine. As for his saying, That the

1679. the Opposers of it do arraign God, and give a Sign of their Pride and Arrogancy, because they cannot comprehend it with their corrupt and Blind Understandings; it is but a filly begging of the Que-ftion: And supposing it to be true, thus every Imposter might Intrude upon Sober Christians, Wild, Absurd and Non-sensical Notions, Contrary to God's Justice and Mercy; and because they would not accept of them, tell them, They arraign God, are pround and arrogant, and not receiving the Truth, because not Comprehended by their corrupt Understandings: Would not this, thinks he, be wifely Reasoned? But pag. 135. n. 3. he thinks, I run so furiously against this Dostrine; that I run my self Blind: And why so? because I say, They affirm, That God did predestinate to everlasting Damnation the most Part of Men, without any Respect had to their Sin, only to demonstrate the Glory of his Justice: And upon thishe Rants, as a ridiculous and false Representation of their Meaning: But this Storm is quickly blown away, westminster for all his great Noise. For their Westminster Confession of Faith saith Chap. 3. expresly; 'That falseing says, GOD ordained such, as are not Elected, for That some Dishonour and Wrath, to the Praise of his glorious Justice: And the same Confession saith in the fame Chapter; 'That nothing future, or what was to come, even as fore-seen by God, was the Cause of God's Decree: and this himself also affirms p. 137, 138, 139. What then is become of all his Boast? But if he place it here, and say; Sin became the Cause, so soon as, To demonstrate the Glory of his Justice, became the End; and therefore (they say) It was for their Sin he so decreed: This may serve for a Rattle to please Fools and Children; but not fuch as are spiritually Wife, and look more narrowly unto things: fince they so manifestly contradict themselves, telling; Sin, nor any future thing is not the Caufe of

Confession are Ordained by God for Wrath.

God's Decree; and yet in a few Lines, That God 1679. Ordained or Decreed Men to Wrath for their Sin, & to manifest the Glory of his Justice: Which is as much as to fay, God decreed Men to be damned without respect to Sin; and yet he decreed them to be Damned for their Sin: How makes he this hang together by Scripture-Proofs? Besides all will contess, that the Cause of all God's Decrees is his own Glory, which is exerted in his Divine Attributes, whereof fustice is one, so that this must be a Cause, before Sin can have any Place to be a Cause; fince they deny, it has any. He tells me, p. 136. n. 5. That the Orthodox have written copiously on this Subject, and very far above my Reach; There was the less need then for him to write fo many Pages upon it: which must be little, but a Transcribing out of their Writings; unless he think, he has written more accurately and copiously than any of them (which I judge he will hardly affirm.) I might eafily, if I would, trouble the Reader with a tedious Difcourse, also transcribe an Answer out of those, who write Copiously against these his supposed Orthodox (buttruly Heterodox) Men; but I rather chuse to pass it by, (not affecting to be Admired for the Bulk of my Writings) but to come to what he faith directly in Answer to me, which is the Bufiness properly in Hand.

¶ 2. After he has premised, what he thinks meet, he comes p. 143. n. 12. to take notice of what I say; and first, wherein I misrepresent them, in which, he saith, he has found no less than twelve Untruths: But how untrue this Assertion is, shall shortly appear. The first is, that I say, God for perfecting of this (that is, for bringing this Decree to pass) did appoint, that these miserable Souls should necessarily sin; this he saith is a Missake: But if the Testimony of Calvin, Zanchius, Piscator and others cited by me (whose Testimos)

monies

+ Z

calvinifts
Principle,
That God
Predeftinates Men
to Sin, and
to the Caufes of it.

monies must have more Weight than His, to prove the Calvinists Principles in this) do not prove this to be a Mistake, then I may Conclude, that 2 and 3 makes not 5. Calvin faith, That God not only predestinated Men to Sin, but to the Causes of it, which is Sin: The Reader may look the other Passage of it in my Apology. Several of his other Untruths (p. 144.) he builds upon supposing, that I infinuate, That they believe, the Gospel is once preached to every Person; That every Reprobate had the Knowledge of Christ, and that God had given to every one, that heareth the Gospel, sufficient Grace to embrace it: But truly. I was never fo Mad, as to Infinuate, they believe these things; for not believing of which I Condemn them. Neither will his Pedantism upon the Word Subtrahendo make it out; fince to Withdraw or With hold may be faid of things that Man never bad, without any great Impropriety: And yet, according to him, all Men had a Will and Power to obey God's Law in Adam; fo his Ordaining, Adam should Fall, was even in that Sense a Withdrawing of what they once had in their Fæderal Head, according to his Phrase and Notion. Another of his alledged Mistakes is, that I fay, They offirm, God did decree, Men should not obey; but whether these Passages I cited out of their Authors, do not make out this, the Reader may judge; yea, his Confession doth ascribe the With-holding of Mercy, which is the Means, to Agree to the Decree of Reprobation; fo that all the Fig · leaf · Coverings, whereby this Man would fain shelter this Opinion contrary to their Publick Confessions of Faith, and positive Sentences of their Chief Doctors, are too short and narrow to hide the Ugliness of it. He Confesseth, the Antients say little of this, before Augustin: I never fo used their Testimony, as to build my Faith upon it, or to reject their Doctrine meerly

for

for it's Diffent from them, which he Infinuates; 1679. and yet to his own Self-Contradiction confesseth I fay, I would not much regard all that, if it had any Ground in Scripture. And he denies not his Union with the Dominicians: And that he may 7. B. Rejeshew, how little he cares for good Company, he ching the willingly Rejecteth the Chief and First Refor-Chief and First Refor-First Reformers, to wit, the Lutherans; whom (according mers. to his Charity) he denieth so much as the Name

3. Page 146. n. 16. He cometh to prove.

of Reformed Protestants.

That this Doctrine maketh not God the Author of Sin; but he laboureth here like a Man in a Sweat, and giveth so little of a direct Answer, as scarce deserves a Reply: Such as amounts to this, being by way of Retortion, That if I acknowledge, God fore-saw Sin, permitted it, and might have bindred it; I will make God the Author of Sin too: But I deny the Parity; and he has forgotten to prove it. His other Answer is from the Authority of Cicero and Plautus, who oppose Author to Dissuasor; and then he asketh, Whether they say, God perswadeth any Manto Sin? But Zanchius, one Zanchy's era of their Doctors, saith, He moves the Thief to drine, That kill; and that he sinneth, God putting, yea, forc-God moves ing him to it: And fure, that's more than per swad-the Thief to ing. But the Poor Man must be at a low Ebb, when he is forced to go to the Heathens (of whom he has expressed, he has so mean Thoughts) for a Shelter to his Doctrine! At last, to come off with some seeming Credit, he desires me to Confute the Apostle, Rom. 9. 11, 12, 13. because that he thinks, from that, as much as from their Doctrine this Charge may be Inferred; but here he doth only beg the Qestion. He and I do both Agree, That the Apostle makes not God the Author of Sin; but it doth not thence follow, That their Do-Ctrine doth not Infer it; fince from the positive Saying of their Doctors and the Doctrine it felf

1679. it is manifest: as is more largely shewn in my Apology; and this remains yet by him to be Removed. For his desiring me to Refute the Apoftle, is no more Answer, than if to all his Arguments in his Book I should only say, Confute the Scripture, which Contains our Doctrine; and therefore dispute no more against us, until thou first do that: Would he reckon this sufficient? As for their Misapprehensions of Rom. 9. he may find them Refuted in many Authors, that have written upon that Subject; particularly in the Examination of West. Confest. of Faith, Chap. 3. to which I refer him. To the Citations I give him of their Authors, making God the Author of Sin, he faith; If they give more Ground, than the very Expressions of Scripture, he will not own them: And what then? The Consequence is but very finall; whether he will or not. It is enough for me, that I have shewn the Absurdity of their Doctrine, which even by the Testimony of their Chief Doctors makes God the Author of Sin; unless he will reply, All this is nothing, because I, I. B. will not own them: And if to lay, He that forceth another to do a thing, is the Cause and Auther of it; Who, without Contradicting their own Reason, can deny, they make God the Author of Sin? As for the many Testimonies of Scripture brought by him, I own them; and both Agree, they make not God the Author of Sin: But that the Saying of their Divines doth it, what is above faid doth Evince. Page 149. He calvinifis Cometh (but as may be observed, Unwillingly) to the Vindicate the Twofold Will they ascribe to God, to Repent; and the other Secret, and quite Con-

between the the one Revealed, by which he commands Men and Secret Will of God. trary: How is he pained here, the Reader may observe by his Ifs and Ands, thinking to turn it by without any direct Answer. The Sum of

what

what he saith, resolves in this, That the Purpose 1679. of God is not of the same Nature with his Command: But what, if that should be granted? The Question is, Whether they be quite Contrary, and that in respect to one and the same Subject? So that, when a Man is Commanded by God to do a thing, by his fecret Purpose he is forced to do the quite contrary? P. 150. n. 19. He comes to answer my faying, That their Affirming, Man sinneth willingly, will not avail; because, according to them, his Propensity of Inclination to Sin is necessarily Imposed upon him by God: To this instead of Answer he refers me to Rom. 9. of which before; and for want of Reason he falls a Railing, calls me a proud Quaker, saying, I Agent the Devil's Cause: But whether that be to Remove my Objection, or Vindicate their Doctrine, the Reader may judge. Page 151. n. 20. In Answer to my shewing, their Doctrine is Injurious to God, because it maketh him delight in the Death of a Sinner (Contrary to Ezek. 33. 11. 1 Tim. 2. 3. 2 Pet. 3.9.) he faith nothing directly; but would be Retorting, That if I prove any thing from this, then I must say, That God did absolutely decree, that all Men should be Saved; but I deny this Conse- F. B's silly quence. Albeit it is Injurious to God, to fay, He Shifts and E-valions overdecreeth that, which he declareth to take no De-turned. light in; it will not follow, that it is Injurious to him, to say, He permitteth, what he delighteth not in. For on all Hands it is confessed, he permitteth Sin; and yet on no hand, that he delighteth in Sin: So that this Injuriousness of their Doctrine to God is no ways removed by him; albeit he would fain be mincing and covering it, faying, They do not fay, That God purposes to punish any not for their Sins, but meerly to fatisfy his own Pleasure: But such filly Shifts must only satisfy blind Men. Do not they fay, God purposed to damn many to Eternal Torment, and that Sin is no ways the Cause of this

1679. Purpose? And will he say, to be Eternally Tormented is no Punishment? And was not this a Purpose to punish Men, and not for their Sin? His alledging in this Page, that this is not Injurious to Christ's Mediation, is upon the Supposition, that Christ died not for All; which comes after to be Examined.

¶ 4. Pag. 152. n. 22. He comes to prove, Their Doctrine makes not the Gospel a meer Mock; as I shew it did, by proposing the Offer of Salvation to many, who yet by an Irrevocable Decree are Excluded from receiving any Benefit by It: And to this he gives the Instance of Moses being 7. B's In fent to Pharaoh, whose Heart was Hardned; and Isaiah to the People of Israel, to make their Ears Hearthard- heavy, and shut their Eyes, with others of like Import. But this is eafily Answered, confidering I grant, many Men Out-live the Day of God's Vifitation to their Soul, and are justly Hardned; and yet the Offers of Mercy and Peace is no Illution, because they were once in a Capacity to have by it Received it. But he thinks here, he has gotten me in a Contradiction; because he supposeth, that I willingly grant, That the Light within may continue to Exhort Such to Repent and Turn, whose Day of Visitation is expired: But it is no Wonder, the Man's Arguments are weak, that are built upon fo groundless Suppositions. For I will never grant, that the Operations of the Light are every way the same in Man after, as they were before his Days of Visitation were Expired: For albeit before they judge, reprove and Condemn for Sin, yet this is accompanied with a gentle Drawing and Invitation to Life; but that he has this afterwards, I utterly deny: as is clear by Christ's Weeping over Jerusalem. To prove P. 153. that this their Doctrine is not Injurious

to Christ's Propitiatory Sacrifice, by making it a great Judgment and Plague to many, he asks;

stance of Pharaoh's ned, and Eyes Shut, 3:3

Must not Christ be for the Fall of many in Israel, 1679. Luk. 2. 34. citing other Scriptures of the like Import: Answ. All this urgeth nothing, but upon Supposition, that all these never had a Day of Visitation: So that he doth but beg the Question. His supposed Contradiction, which he repeats again here, is before removed. P. 154.n. 25. To prove, their Doctrine putteth not Men in a worse Condition than Devils, he faith; Devils are under no Offer of Mercy now, and hear not the Gospel: But is not this a pretty Solution? Whereas he confesseth, this Offer of Mercy and Hearing is no Advantage, nor was ever intended to Advantage those who are Damned; and therefore fore-feeing the Weakness of this, he brings in my Words, where the Pinch Men putin of the Matter lies, to wit, Devils had once a Post-dition than fibility of standing, but so not Men, according to Devils by y. their Doctrine: To this he has no Answer; but Doctrine, That all Mankind once stood in Adam. But did not God decree, that Adam should fall? Let him answer me this directly: Where then was their Cacity of standing, or his either? If he fay, not; let him take home his own Reasonings, that fomething came to pass, which God decreed not, and confequently, according to him, fore-faw not. But suppose, this Difficulty were solved; let Men of Sense and Reason judge, Whether Men be not put by their Doctrine into a worse Condition, than Devils, while they affirm, That Devils had once a Standing, and fell by their own, per-Sonal Disobedience and Presumption; but Men had only a Standing in Adam, fell by his Act, and not by any of their own, all of them, before they had a Be. ing, and many several Thousand Tears before. But to befool his Reader he faith in the End of this Paragraph, Their Doctrine is consonant to that Rev. 22. 17.—and whosoever will, let him take of the Waters of Life freely: and this he repeats in the End of the next Paragraph. But how deceitful he is in this, cannot be hid from the underftand-

1679. Standing Reader; fince that Invitation fignifies nothing to those, that are by an Absolute Decree Excluded from the Benefit of it; and is but to deal with fuch invited Ones, as the Poet feigned

The Exam- of Tantalus, who was up to the Chinin Water, but ple of the Poet's Tan- restrained from Drinking: which he takes notice

move it, butin vain. What he faith to that End,
Refolves in this Question; Have Heathens or Reprobates as great a Desire to Salvation, as Tantalus had of Drinking? And what, if they had not? The Comparison is not impertinent: for he, that hath resolved to starve a Man, whether he do it by hindring him to Eat, or by destroying his Stomach, that he has no Appetite, and therefore doth Perish, doth equally contribute to his Death. And the like doth their false Doctrine most Injuriously ascribe to God. As for the Scriptures here brought by him, fuch as; All Men have not Faith, 2 Theff. 3. 2. and others of the like Import, they are not to his Purpose; for the Question is not, Whether All Men have the Exer-

cife of those Gifts, that lead to Salvation? but Whether the Most of Men be by an Irrevocable Decree, before they had a Being, yea, from all Eternity Secluded from all Means of obtaining these Gifts, that they may be Saved; and that because Ordained to be Damned; albeit by the GOSPEL as the Revealed Will and Command of GOD, Invited to Repent and be SAVED.

J 5. Now I come to his 8th Chapter of Univerfal Redemption; where I shall not have much ado for many Pages. For after, according to his Custom, he has Introduc'd himself with Railing and Reproaches, and that in the first 4 Pages he has told the Various Opinions of those, that held Universal Redemption, and at last his own, as conceived in the Westminster-Confession of Faith; he goeth about to prove, That there is No Universal

The Quallion Stated.

Redemption, and that upon this Medium: That 1679. there was a Covenant betwixt God and the Media-tor, which would be destroyed by such, as assert Proof against this Universal Redemption; because, according Universal to them, it might have fallen out notwithstanding Redemption. that Eternal Transaction, that not one Person should be faved. Upon this he enlarges, endeavouring to shew the Absurdity of it both from Reason and Scripture, unto Page 194. All which toucheth me not at all, who do not fay, That Christ by his Death purchased a Meer Possibility (against which he battereth through all these Pages) fince I have exprelly affirmed, and he himfelf observes it, that Christ's Death purchased not only a Sufficiency of Grace for All; but also such a Prevalency for some, by which they were necessarily brought to Salvation: and yet is so Unjust as to affirm, That I am for this Meer Possibility, saying, p. 178. n. 28. I embrace this Opinion with the Armenians, and p. 179. n. 30. he faith-Or as this Quaker faith, who in Effect faith, that it may fo fallout, that there shall be no Application: Whether this be Malice, or Forgetfulness, himself best knows. But this is fad, he feldom forgets to be malitious; but often to be just: Yet as to the Bulk of his Reasonings of that Matter, perhaps he bestows them for the Confutation of those others he speaks of, besides the Quakers, against whom he faith he writes; who, if they judge it their Concern, may Answer it. Yet in this prolix Disputation he has cast in some Arguments, which feem not only to urge against this Meer Possibility, as he terms it; but also against Christ's dying for All in any Respect (such as from Page 169. N. 19. to Page 175.) But these are such, Doctring, as His usually are, which only proceed upon the That Christ Question's being begged. For whereas he saith, away Peo. That those, for whom Christ died, he died to take a ples Sins way their Sine. It is not decided, and the said of the way their Sins; It is not denied: provided, they re-pentance,

1679. fift not the Grace purchased thereby, so that V Faith and Repentance be wrought in them. But he urges this in the following Page (170.) That since this Non-performance of the Condition is a Sin, if he died for all Sins, he must have died for this also; and if there be another Condition imagined, for that too: and fo in Infinitum. I Answer; This Reasoning would infer those to be Saved by the Death of Christ, who never Repent; especially with those who judge, Men may sin, yea, must sin all their Life-time, and yet be saved: Neither doth the Abfurdity reach those, who affirm Christ to have died for all, as to obtain Remission of Sins that are past, and Grace sufficient to work Faith and Repentance; yea, and Restore those that may Fall into Sin after their Conversion, if not Resisted: And this is sufficient to infer, that Christ died for all: Neither can that Abfurdity more reach them, than the Apostle, who speaks of such, as Denied the Lord that bought them. And fince the Evangelist placeth the Benefit upon the Reception, saying, But unto as many as received him, he gave Power to become the Sons of God; all these Scriptures afterwards cited by him, fignifying the Efficacy of Christ's Blood, is not denied; they themselves Confess, it was Sufficient, and of Value enough to have Redeemed every Man: but that doth not hinder it from proving Ineffectual to fuch, as will not Receive it; as is above shewn. Christ died And therefore his Question (p. 172.) If Christ died for the Sins of all Persons, how cometh it, that they are not all actually pardoned? is easily answered; Because of their Non-reception of the Grace, by which his Death should be made Effectual to them: And albeit this maketh Free-will Author of Condemnation, as himfelf will acknowledge; yet not of Salvation: as shall after appear. next Argument (p. 174.) is, That if Christ had

died

Why all are not benefitted by Christ's Death.

for all, yet all are not Saved; and Why?

died for all Men, all Men should be saved, because 1679. be hath purchased Faith and Salvation for all, for whom he has died; and this he supposeth he has shewn before: But his Confidence in his own Arguments doth not Influence other Men; I am yet to fee, where he has proved any fuch thing. The Scriptures he brings (fuch as Rom. 8. 32, 39, &c.) to prove this, speak of those, who had really received the Grace, and in whom Regeneration was working by it; and do indeed very well prove, that Christ died for them; yea, What if I should say, bath purchased them Grace prevalent to Salvation? Yet they will not prove, that he has not died for others also, also that may miss of Salvation. Page 175. N. 25. he faith; It is considerable, that no where in Scripture we find it expressly affirmed, That Christ died for all Men: Why then is all Trouble made? But is it not exprefly faid Heb. 2. 9. That we fee Jefus, - That he by the Grace of God (hould tafte Death for every Man? Let him tell us, what less that Importeth? Yea, if it be not more emphatick to fay, Christ tasted Death for every Man, than to fay, Christ died for all Men? It is much, the Man would so proclaim his Ignorance!

6. After more of his tedious and superfluous

Reasonings against this Meer Possibility (as he calls it) he comes (p. 194. n. 48.) to overturn my Grounds for Universal Redemption; and first, in Answer to the Angels Song Luk. 2. 10. urged by me, wherein they hold forth the Coming of Christ, as Tidings of great foy to all People, This good Tidings (he saith) is to shew, the Offer was to be made now were to all to all Kindreds, Nations, Tongues and Languages. Well in generall Particulars of these, since the Word A L L, in the Common Acceptation Comprehends every Particular, as well as all Sorts; and he should have given some Reason from Scripture, why he restricts it here: But instead of that, he Contra-

licts

10/9

dicts himself in the very following Words, fay-Ving; For he was to Reign over the House of Jacob, Luk. 1. v. 13. for this, if it urge any thing, it will Exclude his former Concession; if it be not Exclusive, he can prove nothing from it. Neither doth he, more pertinently alledge Mat. 1. 21. That he was to fave his People from their Sins: For that Scripture doth not fay, That he purchased not a Capacity for some to be his People, who by their Resisting lost the Benefit offered them: How often would I have gathered you, -and ye would not? faith Christ, Mat. 23. 37. Luke 13. 34. He saith further, This would not have been glad Tidings, if it had been a meer Possibility: But I affirm no such thing. To my urging Christ's Commission, Mark 16. 15. To preach the Gospel to every Creature; and that of Paul, Col. 1. 28. he faith: It will no more prove, That Christ died for all Men, than for Devils and Beasts; for they are Creatures: But how filly and perverse this Answer is, is easily apparent. For isit Lawful to Preach the Gospel to Beafts and Devils? Or is it as unlawful to preach the Gospel to any Men, as it is to do it to Beafts and Devils? But on the Contrary: fince he will not deny, but it is a Duty to preach the Gospel to all Men Indefinitely, yea, in this Place he acknowledges it, they being the proper Subjects of it; fo that of them must be understood [every Creature here mentioned. Page 196. N. 50. To my arguing, The Gospel inviteth all; and that it would be a mocking of Men, if Christ died not for all, to command them all to believe, that Christ died for them: He faith; This is built upon an Untruth, that the Gospel doth not Command all, to whom it is preached, to believe, that Christ died for them; but only to flee to an All-Sufficient Saviour. But what's the Preaching of the Gospel (especially in his Senfe, even as a little before acknowledged by. him) but a Declaring and Offering of Salvation to all,

F. B's Perversion of Christ's Commission to preach the Gospel to every Creature for Salvation.

all, to whom it is Preached, Mercy and Good- 1679. will through the Merits of Christ, who died for them? Next, the Argument still holdeth good; If the Gospel commands (as he faith) to flee unto an All sufficient Saviour: For unless it be possible for fuch, who are fo Commanded, to do it, the preaching of it to them is a mocking of them, and that to purpose; if this Impossibility be Imposed upon them by him, by whose Command the Gospel is thus preached. The Example of Moses to Pharaoh, and Esaias to the Jews has been before answered. He ends this Paragraph begging the Question; As if the Gospel could be faid no where to be, but where there is an outward Dispensation of it by the Ministry of Men.

7. Pag. 197. n. 51. He confesseth, There is no Scripture, that faith, Christ has not died for all Men; and there is, that faith, He has tasted Death salvation politible for for every Man: Which is rather more, and not a all, because Probation by Consequence only; as I have alrea to pray for dy shewn. Then he cometh to consider my Ar-All. gument from I Tim. 2. 1, 3, 4-6. shewing, That Salvation cannot be Impossible for all, fince we are commanded to pray for all; and that fince Christ gave himself a Price of Redemption for 'all, it cannot be Impossible, that all should be faved: As is more largely Illustrated in my Apology. Now how he is pained in Answer to this, and in his Nibblings about the Particle [all] even unto Page 204. the Reader may easily observe. First he distinguisheth upon the Word Possible: It is meant (faith he) here of such a thing, as may be, abstracting from the Decree, yea, in respect of the Decree, the Contrary whereof is not decreed by God; but not a thing simply so. The Man it's like thinks, he has found-out a very subtil Distinction; but it ferves for little, fave to shew his own Confusion. For to be possible, abstracting from the Decree, and with a respect to the Decree, is for

a thing

SECTION. VI. 366 1679. a thing to be Impossible, if God had not decreed the Contrary; and yet to be possible, because God has not decreed the Contrary. But to leave this Piece of confused Pedantry; he denies, That we J. B. de- may pray for every one, because John saith, - There nies praying is a Sin unto Death, I do not say, ye should pray for it. But this is in plain Words to fay, The Apostle Paul was deceived; and therefore his Brother John reproved him: For the Man labours more in this to make these Two Apostles Contradict one another, than to Refute me. But for all this we fee, thay are no ways at Variance: We may pray for all, because all may in a day be saved; though when fome have out-lived that Day, it may not be fit to pray for them: But if Salvation were by an absolute Decree made Impossible for most of Men, it were Madness to pray for them. He and to give thinks, it may as well be inferred, That we should Thanks for give Thanks for all Men: This I suppose he reckall Men.

ons Absurd; But why so? May not Men give Thanks to God for and in the Behalf of all Men, for his Grace that he has given to all Men, and alfo for his daily Care and good Providence over all Men? That which he faith afterwards in many Words, amounts to this: That Men have prayed allowably for that, which by reason of God's Decree was Impossible; and therefore may pray in Faith for that, which is Impossible. Of this he gives one great Inflance from Christ's praying; Save me from this Hour: Which is always with a Submission to God's Will. But this may divers

praying, Fa-Hour, &c.

-Christ's

ther fave Submillion to God's with the fave Submillion to God's with the front this Ways be answered: for he has not proved, That Christ's praying to save him from this Hour, was in him a real Desiring, however submissively, that he might not undergo that, which he knew he came into the World to do. Neither can this be Affirmed without importing, That Christ was unwilling to do his Father's Will, and desirous to shun it; which to Affirm were Blasphemy; to Accuse him him, who in all things was found Willing and 1679. Obedient. So that his Prayer was not a Defiring, the thing might not be; but that he might be faved and preferved from being overwhelmed with the Difficulties and Diffresses, that in that Hour did and might Attend Him: And in This his Prayer was answered; for albeit these Difficulties were not Removed, yet he Triumphed over them. That a Man pray for the Life of his Father or Friend, who notwithstanding dieth at that Time, is not denyed; but it will not thence follow, that it was Impossible, that those Prayers could have been Answered. For to conclude from the Events, that things could not have been other ways, were to conclude, all things came to pass by a Stoical Fate: So that God himself were Agens necessarium; and to savour of Spinosa: The Stoical Then it had been Impossible for J. B. to have omit fate believes God ted (though at the earnest Desire of his Friends) one an Agens ne-Word of what he has written, or to have added ceffarium, and all things one Word more; and yet he saith in some Places, to come to He might have said more. But the Apostle's de pas necessarily, after firing to pray here, is founded upon the positive an inevita-Mind of God, who willeth all Men to be faved: ble and Un-This (he faith) is most false in the Sense asserted by Manner. me; else all Men should be saved; But I never took it in that Sense. The Question is; Whether in any true Sense it could be faid, that he will all Mentobe faved? and that given as a Reason, why we should pray for all, if God had made it always simply Impossible for many to be saved? To shew, that God's Will of Precept (as his Phrase is) may be impossible in respect of his Decree, he faith; God commanded all, perhaps Devils and Damned, to love him perfectly, and yet this is not now Possible. But this [perhaps] spoils all this Inferrence: For until he be Certain of it, he can conclude nothing from it. He bestows divers Pages upon the Universal Particle ALL, to shew, how it is diversly taken; and by an Instance

1679. stance of several Scriptures to prove, it sometimes is not taken for All and every One: But in this (had he not loved to be longfom and tedious) he might have spared his Pains; since that was never denied by me. But the Question is, That since the proper, common and most universal Signification of fall is to signify every one, Whether in the Places brought by me, the most common Signification should not be made Use of, according to the general Rule of all Interpreters? And therefore if he had faid any thing to the Purpose, he should have proved, that in these Places there must be a Restriction, and not have beflowed many Words to prove [all] fometimes to be Restricted; which I never denied: And the Pinch lieth here, wherein I desire to be satisfied; but find not as yet, he has given any Answer. Where J. B. &c. is [all] made use of in Scripture, to express of two make the Numbers the leaft? Which yet, according to their Word All expressof Principle, they make it to do; fince they usualtwo Numly affirm, that the Number of the Elect is much bers the Least, to be less, than that of the Reprobates. After the like Elected. manner, e're he make an end of this, he would turn by the Word [World] as being understood of a Part, and not All; but he is mightily pinched upon this Occasion, where he comes p. 208. n. 64. to answer, what I Urge from I John 2. I, 2. where Christ is said to be a Propitiation for the Sins of the whole World; and that he may do it the more easily, he omits a long time the Word [whole] to shew, that the Word World is sometimes taken with a Restriction. And at last he tells us fairly, that the Phrase, the Whole World, cannot prove any thing; and that It is but rati-J. B. by onal to suppose, that the Whole World bere denotcth no more, &c. and for this he referreth to Rev.

3. 10. and 12. 9. and 13. 3. &c. But these Scrip-

tures are fo far from hurting me, or making a-

gainst what I say, that they Confirm it: for I

argued.

the Whole World understands only the Elect, Falfly.

argued, that All and every One was included by 1679. the Apostle in these Words, wherein he saith, Christ was a Propitiation for the Sins of the whole World, because he mentions the Saints before, -not for ours only, but also for the Sins of the whole World; and so it must be the Whole World, as Contradiftinguished from the Saints. Now these Places of the Revelations cited by him, do denote All and Every one, as Contradiftinguish'd from the Saints; which himself, I judge, will not deny: For will he fay, That the Hour of Tentation (Rev. 3. 10.) came upon Every one, as Contradiftinguished from the Saints? And that the Beast (12. 9.) did in this Sense Deceive the World, that is, All and every one? And that (13. 3.) All the World wondred after him? The other Places marked by him, have no Relation to the whole World, in the Sense I here urge it; which is, that the Whole World, when used in Contradiftinction from the Saints, expresseth All and Every one: And the thing he should have done, if he would have truly Refuted me (which he has not fo much as attempted) was to prove, That the Elect, or any Part of them, as expressed by the Word [we] or [us] by any of the Pen-men of Scripture, are contradiftinguished from the Elect, or any Part of them, under the Term of the [whole World?] Until he do which, he no ways overturns my Argument; and therefore what he faith besides this, is beside the Purpose.

¶ 6. Page 204. N. 59. In Answer to Job. 3. 16. compared with 1 Joh. 4. 9. God so loved the World, lous and &c. and God sent his only begotten Son into the wicked Ex-World, &c. he tells; [Whosover] albeit Indesi ceptions a nite, is not Universal, unless it be in a necessary so loved the Matter; which this is not. But he should have de World; &ca

fined, what he means by a Necessary Matter distinctly; and then proved this not to be such:

till both which be done, that's now omitted by him, his Answer is deficient. His next Quibble is; That the [World] in these two Places is not the same; the one being understood of the babitable World, and the other of the Inhabitants. But the last may be understood of the Inhabitants, as well as the first: Where is the Absurdity of faying, God fent his Son into the World, that is, unto Men, or among Men? (3.) He supposeth, I will not fay: God fent his Son into the World, that all Inhabitants might live the Life of Faith; For all Men have not Faith, and all Men will not be faved, or God should be disappointed of his Intentions; and therefore he adds, as his Commentary upon Rev. 2. 3, 4. What if some do not believe? Shall their Unbelief make the unchangeable Purposes of God of none Effect? No. Answ. I perceive, as most of the Man's Reasonings are built upon Suppositions, so most of his Suppositions are false. For God fent his Son into the World, to put all Men into a Capacity to live the Life of Grace; and therefore who do not, the Fault is their own. Nor are God's unchangeable Purposes of none Effect; fince God has not unchangeably purposed to Damn any, which he supposeth, be did: And upon this meer and unproved Supposition, according to his Method he builds his Matter. He adds: John 3. 16. is derettly against the Meaning of his Adversaries (I judge, be means all those, who Affert Universal Redemption) who build much upon it; albeit I had not the Wit to improve it. But it feems had I had a great deal more Wit, than I have, he judgeth himself to have Wit enough to prove it all to no purpose: Why? because according to the Greek it is ; For God fo loved the World, -that all believing (or all Believers, or every one that believeth) in him, might not perish, &c. And what then? We must prove, that either all are or shall be Believers; and then be will easily grant without

without Dispute, that Christ died for them all. 1679. But the Man has not here well heeded, what he faith: There is no Necessity of proving, That all are, or shall be Believers; it is enough to prove. that, All are put in a Capacity to Believe, and that Faith is not made by an Absolute Decree Impossible to most: This in Part is done already, and more of it will appear hereafter. That Christ by this Place intended to flew, that his Death should not be Restricted to the Advantage of the Jews only, is not denied. In Answer to Heb. 2. 9. That he Tasted Death for every Man, faith; that the Greek here, for every Man, importeth, in their room and stead; shall we think, that Christ died so for every Man, and yet many of these Men died for themselves? But if any Absurdity be inferred here, it will redound upon himfelf (no less than upon me) who will Confess (as his after-words make manifest) the faying here, Christ tasted Death for every Man, Imports his Dying here for the Elect; and yet do not many of the Elect die for themselves if he mean a Natural Death; but if not, I see no Reason of admitting his Figure: nor is there any Strength in it to prove, that it imports his Dying in their room and stead, as he would have it. Here again, he faith, This sheweth, the Benefit of his Death is not restricted to the Jews; which is granted: But that proveth not that it is not therfore Universal. Next, he taketh notice of the Context, where it is faid, It became him in bringing many Sons unto Glory, &c. and therefore these are the All, for whom he died: but this is ftrongly to affirm, not to prove. Albeit Christ brought many Sons una to Glory, and called fuch Brethren, it doth not follow, he Tasted Death only for such: The Apostle sheweth us first the general Extent of Christ's Death, in faying, He tasted death for every Man; and then sheweth us, how it became Effectual to Aa2 many i

1679. many: And yet the Man is fo confident (albeit he has urged nothing, but only affirmed) that he adds; If this Context do not Sufficiently confute this Conceit, we need regard the Scriptures no more. But here he has spoken-out the Truth, as it is: For this evidently shews, that for all their Pretence to Exalt the Scriptures, yet they regard it no more, than it favours their Opinion. This is the Account, for which they regard the Scriptures; if it favour their Opinion, and confute their Adversaries; but if it do not, They need no J.B. &c. more regard it; else surely he should have faid, Regard the If the Scriptures do not confute that, which he Scriptures no farther esteems an Error, then he will not judge it so any than it tavours their more, but regard the Scriptures more than his own Opinione and Judgment: but on the contrary he is resolved, if Contutes their Adver-the Scriptures do not Confute what he thinks a faries. Conceit, that he need no more regard them. Likewife in the rest of this Page he gives himself a notable Stroke: For to my faying, That their Doctrine would infer, that Christ came to condemn the World, contrary to his own Words, Joh. 3. 17, 12, 47. he answereth, That Prejudice has fo blinded mine Eyes, that I cannot fee the Beamin mine Eye; for in my Opinion, not one Man might have been saved, because Christ only procured a Meer Possibility, and no Certainty for any one Man, &c. But as I have above observed, I affert, as my Judgment, the express Contrary, that Christ has so died for some, that they cannot miss of Salvation; and this himself also noticeth afterwards, p. 276. I would know then, and let all honest Men judge, if there be any Spark of Honesty left in him, whether himself be not the Man. whom Prejudice has blinded. Almost at the same Rate, p. 207. he asketh me, If my Argument from 2 Pet. 3. 9. The Lord is-long-suffering to us-ward, not willing that any should Perish, but that all should come unto Repentance, do hold; What

will

will I do with those, that out-live the Day of their 1679. Visitation? Is the Lord willing to give them Repentance? I answer, No: And yet no overturning of my Argument: For in respect All had a Day of Visitation, wherein they might have Repented, God may be said to be Long suffering, and not to have been Willing, any should have Perished, &c. But this cannot be said, if none ever had fuch a Day or Seafon, as they Affirm. He would Infinuate, as if This made all to depend upon Free-Will; but how Frivolous this Calumny is, will after appear. And whereas both in this and the following Page he Rants at an high Rate, as if I did fight against God's Omnipotency, saying: God will be God, whether I will or not; and that Christ must turn Petitioner, and Supplicate Lord Free-Will, exclaiming, O cursed Religion! The Man doth but shew his Malitiousness and Weakness. For if God's Omnipotency, because he God's Omdoth whatsoever he Will, be Urged to prove, that hipotensy Men cannot resist his Will, and that therefore wilch not wicked Actions. whatfoever Men do, even the wickedest Actions ons. are willed by God; then Violence is offered to the Will of the Creatures, and the Liberty and Contingency of second Causes are necessarily taken away: Which yet is expresly denied by the Westminster Confession, Chap. 3. Nor will all his Distinctions, far less Affirmations solve this, that Peter speaketh only of the Elect, because he mentioneth them else where: unless he prove [All] here to be Restricted, is but a begging of the Question.

¶ 8. Pag. 210. n. 65. He quarrelleth my bring. Testimonies? ing some Testimonies of Antiquity, agreeing of Antiquity with what I say; which he terming a Fouling of J.B. as not! Fingers with humane Writings, saying; Himself being for his layeth not so much Weight upon the Authority of Men in this Matter. And yet afterwards he cites some, as making for his Purpose. He may

Aa3

know.

1679. know, I as little build upon the Testimony of the Antients, as he can, for the bottoming of Faith: And yet to flew their Agreement with us, and against them, is a good Check to their shameless Objection of Novelty; confidering, how the fame is objected to them as ftrongly, and with no less Reason by their Mother, the Church of Rome, whom, when pinched by us, they begin to Run to for the Ground of their Church, Ministry and Maintenance. That ever I faid, The Quakers (whom he terms to be of Yesterday) have only found the Truth, is false; albeit I say, they have a more Clear and Full Discovery of it: But one would think (notwithstanding his pretending) he lays little Weight upon the Authority of Antiquity in this Matter, that it is not so; else why doth he so often in this Matter Upbraid us with the Herefy of Pelagius, as Contradicting the Sense of the Antient Chruch and their Doctors? Who are those, whose Testimony he calls the Authority of Men in this Matter.

SECT. VII.

Wherein his IX. Chapter Of Universal Salvation Possible: his X. Of Universal Grace and Light: XI. Of the Necessity of this Light to Salvation, and his XII. Of the Salvation of Heathens, without Hearing the Gospel, are Considered.

I. E beginneth his oth Chapter Of Universal Salvation Possible, (according to his Custom) with Railing, accusing me of Ignorance, Pride and Pedantry; but he thinks it not worth his Pains to spend Words

to discover it: Yet he gives a main Reason for all, 1670. to wit, I suppose, our Opinions were never known in the World, before we were raised up to declare them: Which being a manifest Untruth, and never faid by me, the Reader may thence judge of the Grounds he has for this his Railing. However he supposeth, They are but old Errors cloathed with new Notions; and which himself has sufficiently enough Enervated in his former Chapter of Reprobation and Universal Redemption: Which being the Basis of them, is by him (if he may be admitted Judge in his own Cause) already overturned. And then he thinks, It was Impertinency to fay, That Quakers can by sensible Experience be Consirmed in their Dostrine; and so brings to an End his first two Paragraphs. His next Work is to play the Commentator, and to tell his Reader J. B's Commy Meaning, which to be fure is to pervert it; ment and falle Infinite. as he doth in this Chapter throughout: Affirm-ation putuping, It to be my Belief, that every Man has Power on our Doand Ability moral to lay hold of Salvation, and that Grace and there is not requisite thereunto any new Grant of Salvation. Grace and divine Help to quicken the Man; -he bas a Stockfrom his Mother's Womb, which is Sufficient: This he calls the proper and native Face of my Doctrine; and this he putteth down as my Opinion, and chargeth me with it, p. 214. And p. 218. he faith it further, Without any Concurrence of divine Grace, p. 220. he faith, I conclude, Man has Power to believe and obey the Gofpel without the Spirit of God; as also the like p. 221. twice. And p. 222. he faith, I conclude, That the Wicked have Power of themselves, without the Spirit of Regeneration and Grace, to do what is commanded in reference to Life Evernal, and further p. 223, 224, and 226. he affirmeth the like of me; which is utterly False, and was never Belived nor Asserted by me. And it's observea-A a 4 ble.

1679. ble, that in all these Places, where he thus Charges me, he doth not so much as once Point to any one Page in my Apology; and not only so, but not so much as from the Words or Writings of any other Quaker, borrowed from some of his usual Authors, which is his most frequent Refuge: And therefore the Reader may judge, what he builds upon his false Supposition, or batters against it, falls to the Ground, without further Refutation. After he has branded this Brat of his own begetting, p. 214. with Pelagianism, Jefuitifm, Arminianism and Socinianism, thence accufing the Boldness and Confidence of the Quakers, and of my felf in particular, in terming it a New Discovery of ours; he endeth this Page with a Fit of Railing: And beginneth in his The Heat next to Wonder, how the Heathens can be faid to

tation.

then have a have a Day of Visitation, since nothing can be called a Day of Visitation in reference to Salvation, but what is in and through the Preaching of the Gospel. But this Wonder is built upon his Supposition, that the Preaching of the Gospel is no where, but where there is an outward Administration of it; wherein his Mistake will come hereafter to be manifested: Into which Mistake he falls in the next Page, and else-where in this Chapter, where I shall pass it over, until I come to speak of it in To none God its proper Place. In this Page 215. he thinketh,

denies the Means of Salvation.

that fince I Affirm, their Dostrine makes God unjust, as denying to some the Means of Salvation; that which I affirm, may be likewife so charged, because some may think, God is unjust, in not granting to all an equally long Day of Visitation. But the Question is not, what some may think? but Whether these Thoughts be built upon Justice and Reason? All Men know, it is manifest Injustice to punish a Man, and torment him for Non-performance of that, which He that Commands him to Perform, has by an invincible Necessity barred

barred and hindred him from doing; and there 1679. fore to suppose this of the most-just God, must be a great Error and Abuse: But it is no Injustice to punish a Man for not performing that, which he had received sufficient Power to do; albeit another had received more: To fay fo, is like the Labourers, whom Christ Reproves in the Parable for murmuring, that those that came in after them, received Equal Wages with them, Matth. 20. 12, 13, 14. That the Preaching of the Gospel is not a Mocking of those, whose Day of Visitation is Expired, as it is to the Reprobates among them; I have in the former Section shewn: But he asketh here, Whether such become obdured Obdurate-before, or after their Day of Visitation be expired? nels of What if I should say both, though not in the Heart, when fame Manner and Degree? It was before Re-begotten? moveable, but not after; fince albeit fimply confidered the fame, and always pardonable, yet with a Respect to certain Persons and their Circumstances, unpardonable, or not pardonable. That God permitteth Sin to be in the World, I never denied; nor accused their Divines for so saying: But whereas he faith, It is a manifest Untruth, that I would make the Reader believe, they fay, God doth Impel Men to fin necessarily; he seeketh to hide their Doctrine, and beguile the fimple Reader: Since P. Martyr upon Rom. 1. faith expressy, blasphemous That God forceth the Will of wicked Men unto Doctrine of great Sins: And Piscator faith, That the Wicked God's forcare absolutely decreed necessarily to sin; and there creeing Men fore to sin, that they may be justly punished: Now, to sin. these being more Eminent Divines among them. than I suppose J. B. presumes (for all his Scribling) he is to be Accounted; The Reader may judge, and by the Passages else-where cited by me, Whether he doth not here most Untruly Charge me with Untruth? That the Sins charged upon the Gentiles were only such, as were against

ration to

1679. the Light of Nature, he has Affirmed p. 217. but not proved: For the great Reason of their Condemnation is, because What was to be known of God, is manifest in them; and that this is not only the Light of Nature, will after appear. If, what he urg sfrom Rom. 11. concerning the Jews, and the Imprecation those brought upon themfelves, who faid, His Blood be upon us, and upon our Children, hold True, we must suppose, no Jew fince that faying of Paul and Barnabas, Act. 13. 46. to have been really Converted: But how came any of them to be Converted before, fince that Imprecation was long before Paul and Barnabas spake these Words of their Turning to the Gentiles? And according to this Reasoning, all the Preaching of the Gospel, which the Jews have The Day of Since heard, and do hear, is in Vain. I have sufficiently explained, what I mean by this Day of God's Vift-God's Visitation to Every Man, in the Explica-Man, Spoken tion of the 5 and 6 Thefes in my Apology, n. 17. And albeit he think otherwise; as I know I have fatisfied many moderate Readers, who are not Quakers, fo I hope to have satisfied all, that are truly Unprejudicate. After he has (p. 218.) given large Citations, to shew their Doctrine out of the Confession of Faith and Catechism, and thereaf-

> ¶ 2. And first to my Argument drawn from the Reproofs in Scripture to Men, for Rejecting of God's Visitation and Love, he answers, That my Proposition is Universal, and these Complaints and Reproofs only particular; and fo can prove nothing. The like he answereth (p. 224.) to what I urge from Esai. 5. 1, 2, 3, 4. where the Vineyard is Expostulated with; as likewise Mat. 23. 23. Mark 12. 1. Luke 20. 9. and p. 225. to what I urge from Mat. 23. 37. Luke 13. 34.

> ter made a kind of Preachment thereupon, he comes at last (p. 221.) to Examin the Proofs I bring

for my Affertion.

and 19.41, 42. where Christ Expostulateth with, 1679. and lamenteth over ferusalem: But for Answer to all this, albeit these Places were granted all of them to be particular; yet so much is gained by them, that some, that did Perish, had a Day of Visitation, in which they might have been faved: And thus his Doctrine, Salvation was never pof-did Perish, fible to any, but to such as must necessarily be sa-hada Day ved, is overturned; and he should at least have contrary to answered them, as to this. Further, all the J. B's false Scripture-Proofs and Complaints are not particu-Polition. lar, but some of them general; and One general one is enough to prove my Affertion: (albeit as to that, I may see what he saith hereafter to anfwer that, as to the Universality, it is more particularly proved in my Apology) such as Gen. 6. 3. which is spoken of Men Indefinitely. And whereas he supposeth, This Striving of God with Men to be only by his Word and Servants, meaning the outward Word; he doth but beg the Question. Likewise that of Mic. 6, 8. He bath shewn thee, O Man, what is good; And what doth the Lord require of thee, but to do justly, and to love Mercy, and to walk humbly with thy God? Where the Word [But] doth shew, this is all, that is required; and that no more is Required, than is shewn to Man Indefinitely: Others might be mentioned. And whereas in this and other Places he faith; My Argument will not prove, that Men have Power to ay hold on Salvation without the Grace and Spirit of God; It is true: For as I never affirmed any fuch thing, as is above observed; fo I never intend to bring any Argument to prove it. Page 222. n. 14. in Answer to 1 Per. 3. 20. brought be me, he faith; I fore faw, it would be answered, that the long-suffering of God there mentioned, was not unto Salvation: But the Man is unhappy in his Conjectures of other Mens Thoughts.

1679. Thoughts. It will not follow, it was not to Salvation, because the Parties, towards whom it was, remained obstinate, and so perished: And albeit the Apostle Peter (2 Pet. 3. 15.) be speaking to his Brethren, who might have been advanced in Grace; yet he shews not, how it thence follows, that the Long-suffering there mentioned, is re-stricted to them only: The Text saith no such thing. And what, though this Epistle of Peter was not particularly directed to the Romans, to whom Paul wrote; yet this being a general Epistle, Included the Church of Rome among the Rest: And others had need to have feen Paul's Epistles to the Romans; fince (according to him) it was a Part of their Principle, and only Rule of Faith and Manners. But to overturn, what I observed here from Peter's taking notice of some Wresting Paul's Writings, he bringeth nothing, but his own Affirmation. His Answer, to what I urge from the Riches and Bounty of God towards Men, spoken of Rom. 2. 4. which could not be, if Sal-J. E's Ex-vation were Impossible to them; is, That the planation of the Riches of Riches there mentioned is understood of the good

God's Good-things of this Life: Contrary to the Express nels, doc.
contrary to the Text, which shews, That the Nather Text. ture of that Riches and Long-suffering is, to Lead to Repentance; and v. 7. Eternal Life is proposed, as the Reward of such, as by not despising of those Riches are led to Repentance, and continue in well-doing. And whereas he adds, This cannot be done by the meer Strength of Nature without the Grace of God; I never faid fo: And therefore like to this Calumny is what he faith p. 225. where, that he may take Occasion to Rail and reflect, he would make his Reader believe, that I argue. That because Men can do evil, they have therefore a Power to do Good; then pleases himself to add: These are Quaker-like Inserences, that want all Solidity, and no little of Sobriety. At last he desires me

me to prove, that by the Talents mentioned Mat. 1679. 25. 15. is understood Saving Grace: But if he think that be not meaned by them, I would know the ralents, of him what is meaned? For it is observeable, faving Grace. he doth not (because he dare not) deny, that Saving Grace or the Means of Salvation is meaned by them. Doth not Christ make Use of this Parable to compare the Kingdom of Heaven to it? And is it not of the same Import with the former of the Ten Virgins, five whereof, who had Oyl in their Lamps, (I suppose he will confess this was Saving Grace) entred with the Bride-groom? Is it not faid to those that improved their Talents, Well done, good and faithful Servant, enter into the Joy of thy Lord? It is much, the Man had Confidence to infinuate so much as a Denial, that Saving Grace is here meaned. As to what he adds of this being not Universal, and not proving, that Men have Power of themselves without Divine Help and Grace, I have answered it above.

If 3. I come now to his Tenth Chapter, intituled, Of Univerfal Grace and Light; where he
grows warm to purpose, and rails almost constantly. He is scarce well entred this Chapter,
when he accounts the further Piece of our Divinity, (as he terms it) and against which himself
writes as Non sence, and the Foaming of a distrassed Brain; yea, p. 228. Such, as he doubt stows near
whether it can be understood at all: pag. 230. whose results tows near
whether it can be understood at all: pag. 230. whose results UninMeaning is unintelligible. But what need he be-telligible
stow near Fourty Pages to restute unintelligible he calls it.
Nonsense? For if it be so, he cannot be sure he
has resulted it; since no Man can be sure he has
answered that sufficiently he doth not understand. And his Malice has so over-driven him,
that he writeth down his own Judgment, saying,
(p. 227.) Some may justly blame him, for spending
Words, and wasting Time upon such a Nonsensical,

self-

1679. felf-contradictory Proposition: Yet the Man will be doing that, for which he confesseth he is justly

against the Quakers.

g. B's blameable; and so much the more, as he further Railing Stuff confesseth, p. 261. That Non-sense cannot be well answered. Of this violent Railing take one Inftance, p. 248. where (in Answer to my faying, "That the Light of Christ will not consent to a-"ny Abomination, but taketh away Blindness, " openeth the Understanding and directeth the "Judgment and Conscience; he addeth, "And while the Quakers preach up this, as a sure "Guide to Life Eternal, they are abominable " Pelagian and Socinian Deceivers, who should be "fled from, as the most impudent and sworn E-" nemies of the Grace of God and of his Gospel, "that ever appeared out of the bottomless Pit; "a Company of pure Pagan-Preachers, whose "Doctrine is Paganism and driveth thereunto. The Reader may judge of the rest, which he may find in Terms no less Abusive very frequent, Pag. 227, 233, 234, 237, 238, 240, 248, 258, 260, 261, 266. All which Railing, as it occurreth in these Pages, needs no Answer but that of Michael to the Devil, who is the Author of such Stuff, The Lord rebuke thee. This Method of Answering is no less unreasonable than his Rail. ing; for it is either by supposing things not proved by him, by Concluding things not following from my Affertions, or by manifest Perversions, all improved by the Height of Abuse, to render the Things that displease him absurd and ridiculous: 7. B. Sup- Of these I shall take Notice in Order. First, He

the Outward Preaching.

possnothing supposeth nothing to be the Gospel, fave the out-Gospel, save ward Preaching; and that there is no Gospel, where there is not an Outward Administration of it: And this he never offers to prove. What he faith, to contradict my Afferting the Gospel to be, where the outward may not be, will after be Examined. Upon this his meer Supposition he accounts

counts me absurd, pag. 226. and upon this Sup- 1679. position he urges All Men's not having Grace, as not having the Gospel, p. 235, 236, 240. That to Preach the Light within, is to despise the Gospel, p. 244. That according to me, The Preaching of the Gospel is not necessary to Salvation. Another of his Suppositions is, That because the Light within is common to all, therefore it can be nothing but Nature. And upon this false and unproved Ground he raileth and enlargeth, p. 229. where he calls it the Pelagian Grace of God, that is Man's Free-Will; doubting, whether I will fay fo much as did Pelagius: Which is nothing to the Purpose, neither proveth his Inference, which is falle; as the Scriptures brought by me in my Apology to prove, There is a saving spiritual Grace given to all, do Evince: What he faith in Answer to any of them, as it occurs, will hereafter be considered. And yet upon this false Inference he concludes, p. 233. The Height of the Quakers Divinity is but what a Natural Conscience can teach a Man-Eater; and to the same Purpose, p. 234. and then battereth against it, p. 237. saying, Christ in the Saints, the Hope of Glory, is not brought about by Nature: Which I never faid; and therefore he but fights with his own Shadow, as he doth upon the like Occasion, pag. 231, 232-236. 238, 241, 256. where he faith, "That Men are not made Partakers of the Priviledge of the "Saints in their Natural State; and the Scrip-" ture faith not, There is any Thing in the Heart " of Man by Nature, which produceth Christ in " the Soul, &c." Which Things were never afferted by me. More of his Mistakes of this kind may be seen, pag. 257, 262, 265. where he concludeth, The Quakers Religion and Gospel to be nothing but what meer Nature teacheth: But it is observable, that in that almost one and only Arguthat beareth witness against all Evil Deeds, he ask-

1679. Argument, which he bringeth to make this Inreference good, (albeit much of his Work and Exclamations depends upon it) he involves himself in a notable Contradiction. For (p. 234. n. 7.)

J.B. de-to prove, There is no Universal Light, or Seed. nies the Light to bear Wimes eth, " How came it, that this Light and Seed against Evil in the Cilicians and Meffagetians.

"did not bear Witness against the Cilicians, who " lived upon Theft? And against the Messagetians, " who use their Wives in common? ---- And " against such as used to kill Men, and eat them?" Now these can make nothing for his Purpose, unless for this Reason, that because these People commonly and avowedly did these Things, therefore they had no Light that reproved them for them; otherwise their doing of them will not import, the Light did not bear Witness against their so doing: More than Men under the Presbyterian Ministry committing Adultery and Murther, will import, there was no Witness born against these Sins by the Presbyterian Preachers. 7. B. over. But he has overthrown this his Reason himself. by affirming, p. 232, and 235. "That there is a

throws his every Man.

former Rea-" Natural Conscience, or the Law of Nature left the Law of "in every Man, as God's Deputy, informing of " fome Good, and testifying against some Evils; of which elsewhere he particularizeth Murther and Adultery: And yet here he faith, " 'Tis "observed, there is hardly one Point of the Law " of Nature, which some Nation hath not vio-" lated, not only by their Customs, but by their "very Laws." If then their thus Violating the Law of Nature do not prove, they had not the Law of Nature, or were not reproved by it (which he himself has confessed all had) then neither will their Doing those Things prove, they had no Divine Light nor Seed, or were not thereby reproved: for if it prove, they had not that, it

it will as much prove they had no Natural Con- 1679. science, no Law of Nature; which yet he con-

fesseth is in every Man.

1 4. In this Chapter also he would infinuate and infer, to render that which he writes against odious, That the Afferting of an Universal Gospel, by which Salvation may be possible to such as want Outward Preaching, renders Outward Preaching needless: But this Cavil used often before by him, is already answered in the 3 and 4 Sections; and therefore what he repeats of this again here, p. 229, 236, 245. needs no further Answer. And whereas he asks upon this Occasion, p. 244. How Thenstocan the Believing of the History of the Gospel is necessary (as I say it is) to such as hear it, if they necessary may be saved without it? Because God commands every one to believe these Truths, to whom he bringeth the Knowledge of them (albeit not them to whom he hath render'd it impossible. Has he forgotten their own Distinction of some Things being necessary Necessitate Pracepti, that Necessitate are not so Necessitate Medii? Neither do I intend & medii. by this Belief (which the Proposing of the outward Knowledge requires) a Belief meerly Hiftorical; as he maliciously would infinuate. I shall now take Notice of his gross Perversions and Calumnies, which, as he advances, I observe grow thicker, and are in this Chapter very numerous. As first, from my saying, "That we understand "by the Light or Seed, a Spiritual and Heavenly "Principle, in which GOD, as he is the Father, "the Son and the Spirit, dwelleth:" From this he infers, p. 231. It may be he doth not acknowledge a Trinity, &c. But if there be any Ground for fuch an Inference from these Words of mine. I leave it to all Rational Men to judge. P. 255. Because I say, It is Christ's Flesh and Blood which came down from Heaven, he asks, If Christ bad no other Flesh and Blood? And then, as if I had an-Bb

fwered He had not; he concludes us Deniers of the Incarnation of Christ, asking; Whether the Death of Christ, his Resurrection and Ascention, and all the History of his Life be but Dreams and Lies? Which Malitious Infinuation and Perverfion is returned upon him, as falle and ground-And whereas he faith here, He will ask one Word more; Where I read, that Christ's Flesh and Blood came down from Heaven? (For fo my Words should be translated) it seems, he is either very ignorant, or forgetful of the Scriptures; and 7. B's 1g. therefore let him read John 6. 51. where Christ norance of faith, he is the Living Bread that came down from the Scrip-Heaven, adding, that Bread to be his Flesh. tures. like Manner is his other malitious Perversion denied, and returned upon him, where he would infer upon us, That each of us esteemed our selves as much the Christ of God, as Christ was: So that the Blasphemy he exclaims against is his own, who speaks Evil of others without a Cause. Another of his Perversions is, p. 236. where repeating my Words he rendereth them thus out of the 7. B. fallly Latine: This is that Inward Christ, of which we Translates the Author, to seek Ad- been translated, Which we so much and so often

Only and so often speak; whereas it should have vantage a. Speak for, as the English Edition doth verify; the Latin Word tantum fignifies so much, as well as only; and was so intended here by me: That it must be so, both the Context and what I say elsewhere sheweth. But he would have it only, that he might pervert and rail the more liberally; albeit he cannot be ignorant, that the Latin Word tantum fignifies so much, as ordinary Dictionaries thew; and Cicero faying, Nectantum proficiebam, quantum volebam, nec quicquam posthac non modo tantum, sed ne tantulum quidem praterieris. Those who debate fairly, use not to strain their Adverfaries Words to abuse them, when they know they may bear a better Interpretation. His next Per-

Perversion is yet more gross and abusive, p. 238. 1679. where from my denying, "That we equal our felves to that Holy Man, the Lord Jesus Christ, " Gc. in whom the Fulness of the Godhead dwelt "bodily," He concludes I affirm him to be no more but a Holy Man; and because I use the Words Plenitudo Divinitatis, that I deny his Deity: which is an abominable Falshood. I detest that Doctrine of the Socinians, and deny there is any Ground for their Distinction; and when I confess him to be a Holy Man, I deny him not to be GOD, as this Man most injuriously would infinuate: for I confess him to be really both true God and true Man. And whereas he rails and Christ true exclaims here and in the following Page at a mon- God and strous Rate, as if the Comparison I bring of the Difference betwixt every Saint and the Man Jefus, from the Sap its being otherways in the Root and Stock of the Tree, than in the Branches, did further confirm our Equalling our selves to him; he doth but shew his Folly: Since Christ himself useth the same Comparison, Joh. 15. 5. I am the Vine, ye are the Branches, to which I alluded: And upon this he runneth out in a vehement Strain of Railing, p. 239. exclaiming against us, as if we denied the Deity of Christ and his Incarnation; which is utterly false: And therefore his Work there, to prove what I deny not, is in vain. And yet he repeateth this Calumny, p. 242. adding, That my faying, "That we believe what " is written of the Conception, Birth, Life and "Death of Christ, &c. to be true, doth not vin-"dicate us from it;" And then he subjoins, "Do " you believe, that that Body which was Cruci-" fied at Jerusalem, rose again and is now in "Glory? Speak your Mind here if you dare? This Defiance to all Men of Reason will infinuate, as if I did not believe this, or durst not speak my Mind of it: and therefore if this be found Aa 2

ed.

false, he must in the Judgment of all Sober Men pass for a malicious Perverter. For Answerthen I say; I do believe, that the Body of our Lord Jesus Christ, which was Crucified at Jerusalem. was again raised by the Power of God; in which Our Beliefglorified Body the Lord Jesus Christ dwelleth: of the Body And I dare him to flew, where in my Apology, or of Christ elsewhere I ever said or wrote any thing to the Crucified,

Raifed again Contrary? Of the like Nature to these Perverand Glorififions is, what he faith p. 264. where from my urging from Heb. 4. 12, 13. the Word of God is faid to be a Discerner of the Thoughts of the Heart. he would infer, That the Quakers then must know other Men's Thoughts, who have this in them, and are sensible of it: But the Absurdity here is his own. Do not they fay, Every true Believer has the Spirit of God in them? And albeit the Spirit know all things, yet every Believer knoweth not all things. Since he is to ready by Confequences to make Men Blasphemers for afferting Scripture-Truth; how can he avoid passing this Censure upon the Apostle, who saith, 1 Cor. 2.15. He that

Spiritual, judgeth all Things.

He that is is Spiritual judgeth all things; and no Man can judge any thing but what he knows? And whereas he rails here in faying, We ascribe to the Light within the Property of God, and have no other Christ: as also to the same Purpose, p. 242, 237. faying, "The Christ we command to believe in, " is not the Christ the Scriptures testify of; but "one born with every Man, neither God nor "Man, &c." is all answered, and the Absurdity he draws from it removed, by what George Keith hath faid in his Book, called, The Way Caft up; wherein he shews by the Extension of the Soul of Christ, how this is no denying of the Man Jesus, but on the Contrary. And if either I, or any other have called the Light within GOD, or afcribed to it the Property of God, it is no more upon this Hypothesis than they do, who say, The Man

Man Christ is God, and by Reason of the Personal 1679. Union ascribe sometimes the Actions of the one Nature to the Person denominated by the other; The Personas the Westminster Confession it self acknowledges Christ. Chap. 8. And fince R. Macquare hath promised a Refutation of that Book of G. K. by F. B. in his Name, when we see it, this may be further spoken to, if need be: Upon which also will depend the full Discussion of that Question mentioned, p. 240, 241. Whether the Seed be a Substance? Since he will not deny, the Soul of Christ is a Substance, and consequently distinct from Reason: As also that of Christ's being Crucified in the Wicked, which p. 246. he calls a Non-sensical Dream; and of the Seed's being a distinct Principle from the Soul, spoken of p. 247. The full Treating of all which being referred, until that promifed Work of his appear. As to that I shall only fay in short at present, that whereas I say, "This "Seed is not the being of God simply considered, he addeth, p. 230. "That then all Men are Par-"takers of the Being of God some other way considered; and what Blasphemy is wrapped "up here, he leaves to any that will to judge." But there is no Man of Reason dealing impartially, will judge any Blasphemy to be here, more than in the Apostle's Concession to the Athenians, Act. 17. 28. That we are the Off-spring of God, and live and move in him. And whereas he mocks—That the (p. 241.) at my saying, The Seed is a Substance, seed is a Substance. because it abideth in the Hearts of the Ungodly, even while they remain in Ungodliness; asking, Doth not Pravity, Ignorance, Rebellion, &c. remain in their Hearts? Are these therefore Substances? But he allays his own windy Triumphs by my following Words; That notwithstanding this Seed is in them, they are not denominated by it, which Wicked Men are by their Wicked-Bb 3 nesses.

1679. neffes, while they continue in them; and thereof fore it is a Substance: since no Accident can be in a Subject, unless the Subject be denominated therefrom. To this he asks, Why a Man in whom this Seed and Grace is, may not be denominated Graced and Enlightned? And as if it were abfurd to deny, they might be fo denominated, he concludes. And thus this Substance shall be turned into an Accidens by this Man's Philosophy. But the Reason is clear, because they partake not of the Virtue of it, nor have not suffered it to work in them; as by the Example of Physick being in a Sick Man I did shew: And therefore he has no go-by for this, but a pitiful Impertinency, That if there be such a Difference betwixt this Seed and Holiness, as betwixt Physick and Health, then it is no Part of Holine/s: For I never faid there was fuch a Difference in every Respect; but only in Respect of the Difference betwixt a Substance and an Accident, for Clearing of which only, the Example was brought. And whereas he would feveral times infinuate here in this, p. 232, 233. my Afferting the Seed to be in all, did import. Christ dwelling in all; that no such Thing follow-Christis In-eth, I have shewn in my Apology, which himfelf elsewhere observeth: for I shew, there is a Difference betwixt meer Inbeing and Inhabitation; the last imports Union, and not the first. Themfelves confess God to be every where; and yet they will not fay, God dwells in the Wicked: yea, notwithstanding God's Omni presence, it is faid. Some are without God in the World, by Reason of their being not United to him. And thus are anfwered his Cavils, p. 243. fo that I need not further urge (until he has removed this Difficulty) from Amos 2. 12. Only it is observable, how great Pains the Man is at here to flew, how faulty

their Translation of the Bible is: But how can

habitation imports an Union.

it then be a Sure Rule of Faith to any? And 1679. whereas he saith, p. 236. That when it is said, The Seed is received in the Heart, it is supposed, it was not formerly there; I deny that Consequence. Money may be brought unto a Man's House, and yet he not have received it: The Piece of Silver, which the Woman, Luke 15. in the Parable had loft, (and to which the Kingdom of God was compared) was in her House; and yet she rejoyced not, until Lighting the Candle, and Sweeping the House she had found it. What he repeats so often (to make an odious Noise) of my making this Grace Universal, That Turks, Japonians, Cannibals, &c. have it, who never heard of Christ, is Impertinent; since he has a Chapter afterwards for that of Purpose, where it shall be Examined: As also what he saith p. 245. he thinks strange, Any such should partake of the Benefit of this Mystery; for I speak not of their knowing the Mystery: That's one of his usual Tricks, to foist-in other Words, to alter the Matter.

To. Having thus Traversed his tedious Perversions unto Page 251. n. 25. where he pretends to have Traced me to my Den, while he has only fallen into the Pit of his own making; I come to

Examine his pretended Examination of the g. B's Grounds I bring: For this he terms my wild Af rels and Ob-Sertion. And first unto Job. 1. 9. That was the jections a. True Light, which lighteth every Man that cometh University into the World. Instead of an Answer he tells a of the Light long Story of some taking it one Way. long Story of some taking it one Way, some a- John (c.1.9) nother. Then after he has play'd the Dictator a while, in telling his own Conception, he Concludes at last, That [every one] is not to be understood of [All] without Exception; because the Scripture tells of many, whose Understandings are darkned, who are under the Power of Darkness, who abide in the Darkness. What then? that will not prove, that Light is not Come to them in or-

B b 4

1679. der to bring them out of that Darkness; since Christ saith expresly Job. 3. of such, that Light is came, but Men love Darkness rather; and those that love it, are under the Power of it: And as they continue to love it, and hate the Light, they abide in it. But it feems, not being fatisfied with his own Answer, he proceeds p. 252. n. 26. to confess, All Men are enlightned, but not spiritually, Supernaturally and Savingly; but for this he giveth no Proof, but his own Affertion: And tru-Ly, he must be much puzzled with this Scripture, for he knows not, what way to take it. First, he understands Every Man only of Believers; and then he will have the Enlightning to be Saving and Spiritual: Then he understands it of All Indifferently; and then he will have it to be Common and not Saving: But with these his Gueffings and Divinings he is not like to fatisfy any Sober Christian. He goes on at the same rate of Uncertainty, p. 253. upon these Words; That the Life is the Light of Men, doubting, what is the Meaning of them? After he has told Calvin's, Origin's and Marlorat's Thoughts, he Concludes; That though Light be come into the World, yet Men love Darkness better, and none become Children of the Light, but by believing: And that all are not Children of the Light; which I never denied. He goes on to tell Calvin's further Thoughts of the following Words; all which shews, this Place pains him: But overturns nothing, of what I have afferted. And then p. 254. n. 29. he refers me to his eighth Chapter, to prove, That [Every Man] here admits an Exclusion, which a little before he Confessed it did not; adding, That the Text it felf inferreth a Re-Arition, when it tells, Darkness did not comprehend the Light. But this imports no more, than some's rejecting, which I deny not; but not, that the Light shined not: For it is expressly said, It shined in the Darkness; so it was there, which is the thing

thing in Debate: And the Text faith positively, 1679. This true Light lighteth every Man; and therefore it is but to cover his own Shame and Weakness, that instead of something more folid, he closes this Paragraph with a Ridiculous Bob, faying; Quakers are good at Dreaming: But this filly Covering will not ferve him with fuch as are not blind. To what I have faid to shew, that side of Reason; is to be referred to the Light, and not to side of the start could not do through John, as not bearing him : believe. in stead of answering he tells me, I may learn thence, how to take the Particle [All]; which in Effect is nothing, but by his own bare Authority to Command me to take [All] not Universally; But I find no Virtue in his Order to perswade; and therefore will wait for Probation, e're I Obey. That John, as an Instrument, might be useful to bring People to believe in the Light, I deny not; and therefore he might have spared his pains in this Page to prove that: But it will not thence follow, that Sidors is referred to John, until he first remove the Ground given against it by me, in shewing, [All] is not understood here Universally; which is incumbent for him to do, fince he denies it.

¶ 6. Page 256. N. 31. In Answer to my arguing, That this Light is faving and sufficient, because it is the Light of Christ, whereby all ought to believe, he faith; They know no Light sufficient, Quibbles a-which is not Efficacious, that is, which certainly gainst faving doth not fave: But besides that this Answer is but Light. a meer begging of the Question, it is contrary to many Scriptures, which I have at length shewn before, in proving, Many that have had a Day, have refisted the Mercy and Grace thereof. What he faith further here against those, who affirm, That

prehend.

Ba VEIV.

1679. the Improving of Nature aright, Shall obtain Grace, Toucheth me not; who affirm no fuch thing. He beginneth his n. 32, p. 257. with a Perversion, as if I denied, that we received what is Natural and Common, from Christ, because I say, The Evangelist John Ch. I. is treating, of what we receive from Christ as Mediator; therefore I deny, we receive from Christ, what is common and Natural. But in Answer to my urging "The Light's being "Supernatural, (to pass by his pedantick Quib-"ble, which he adventureth not to infift upon) " because the Darkness, that is, Man in his Na-"tural Estate comprehendeth it not; but Man in "that Estate can Comprehend what is Natural to " him; he tells me, they thence Infer, That Man in that Estate is void of all Spiritual Light: Is not this a Learned Refutation of my Reason, Reader? But suspecting, this would not serve, he adds another Quibble upon the Word Comprehend; That though Man in his natural State can Comprehend that which is Natural, yet he cannot comprehend the God of Nature. I say not, that in his Natural State he can, nor yet in his Spiritual; Comprehend, being taken in the most Comprehensive Sense: But otherwise being understood of Receiv-To Compre-ing or Apprehending (for so natehales may be unhend, to re-derstood) he may Receive it by Virtue of the Power, which from the Light he receives fo to do. That the Quakers exhort People to believe in a nalahaumeer Creature, Is a meer Calumny; with which like Stuff this Page is filled: And therefore my Argument of the Light being Saving and Supernatural, because we are commanded to believe in It, remains Unremoved. Page 258. In Answer to what I urge from the Parable of the Sower. Matth. 13. and the Word of Faith, Rom. 10. and the engrafted Word, Jam. 1. 21. he only opposeth his meer Affertions and Railing, calling it Quaker-Dotages, and a fanciful Dream. And to the Argument drawn from the Talents, Mat. 25. 1679. he denieth them to fignify Saving Grace; of which above. Page 259. When he comes to anfwer, what I urge from Col. 1. 23. of the Gospel's being preached in every Creature, which is not only a Declaration of good Things, but the Power of God; he gives his meer Affertions, That the Gospel was the Doctrine delivered by Men; but the Doctrine was not the Power of God, which the J. B. afferts Gospel is, albeit it declared of it: No more than a the Gospel to be the Receit of Physick is the Ingredients. Next he afferts, Doctrine or That if the Gospel be in them, it needs no Preach Declaration of the Power; but this he proves not: A Man may have er of God. good Herbs in his Garden, and yet need another to tell him the right Use of them; yea, and discover them unto him. And what if I would fay, as he defires, That it was preached from the Beginning of the World in a Measure, that it wrought in Men's Hearts in order to fave them; albeit the Full, Plain and Manifest Discovery, and Pretious Effects thereof was referved to the Miniftration of Christ and his Apostles? And therefore his Affertion in the next p. 260. is false, That according to the Quakers Principle, the Gospel was alike manifest in all Ages. Was not the Promised Seed a Preaching of the Gospel to Adam? How poorly he has shewn the Restriction of the Particle All (albeit the Words here be Every Creature, which is more pathetick) in his eighth Chapter, is before Evinced. And whereas he defires to know, Where the Gospel is taken properly for that Inward Strength, that is common to all Men? I have shewn him, the Gospel is called the Power of God expresly, Rom. 1. and that is an inward Power and Strength: And then again I have shewn him, that this Gospel is preach'd to or in every Creature, which are plain Words; what is preached to every Creature, is common to all Men: And therefore until he anfwer this, his calling me a Babbler and a Pagan-Prea-

To affert the Manifestati-Man to be the Gospel, J. B. calls Pelag. Soc. Armin. and Jesuitism.

cher (as he doth in this Place) with fuch like Stuff. will have little Weight with Men of Reason. The rest of this Page and the following, 261, and 262. is on of God in a Complex of Railing, That the Quakers Gospel is meer Heathenism, worsethan Pelagianism, Socinianism, Arminianism and Jesuitism, because they say, Heathenisin, that what is manifest from God in Man, is by the Gospel; and that which Revealeth Justice and Equity, is the Gospel: Which this Man supposeth only to be the Light of Nature, and thereupon concludeth, The Quakers Gospelis but Nature's dim and corrupt Light. All which is but to beg the Question; as he doth, where he supposes, That Man naturally can perceive the Eternal Power of the Godbead in the outward Creation, without any Supernatural Light: Which he should prove, and not mock at my being otherwise minded; for this savours more of Pelagian, than any thing afferted by me, He asks me, By what Authority I make 70 yrosw Des, that is, what is to be known of God (for it feems, he was affraid to speak plain Scots of it, left every one should have feen his Impertinency) and the Knowledge of God one and the same? For to see this, he saith, he wants the Quakers Spectacles. But indeed, he must be as dark-lighted inwardly, as thefe are outwardly, that need Spectacles, if he deny, That the Knowledge of God is somewhat, of what is to be known of him; and then what is to be known of God indefinitely, must Comprehend the Knowledge of God. He addeth; That if by Inward Revelation the Heathens know the Will of God, then the Apostle was quite out here: But this follows no more, than that a Master teacheth not his Scholar Navigation, because he makes use of the Compass and outward Observations to demonstrate it to him: Page 262. n. 38. as also n. 40. In Answer to what I urge from Rom. 10. of the Word being near in

7. B's proofless Anfacrs.

the Heart and in the Mouth, he returneth Railing

and meer Affertions: For his faying, That this 1679. Word is not in every Man, is but to affirm ftrongly, not to prove. As for his afferting, That the Apostle speaks of outward Preaching, I deny not; and that by an outward Testimony the Myftery, that had been hid, and even sparingly Revealed in the visible Church, was openly declared by Christ and his Apostles, I acquiesce to: But from all that it will no ways follow, that the Apostle speaks only of outward Preachers, and that it was not in the Hearts of all Men, though they had not a distinct Knowledge of it. He confesses, The Mystery of Adam's Fall was not known to the Gentiles, but by the Scripture; yet that hindred not, but they were hurt, yea, and according to him, all of them defiled by it. His faying, That I Confirm here my desperate Design, and -and foolish overturn the Foundation of the Christian Religion, exclamati-with his Exclamations, O desperate Souls! O wretched Error! With much more of this kind of Stuff, uttered by him for want of better Arguments, may fright Fools, but will not move Men of Reason. At last, to conclude this Chapter he alledgeth, The Testimonies of the Fathers brought by me, do not expressly prove my Assertions; yet he tacitly and indirectly acknowledges, Such Testimonies may be found among the most Antient of them, while (albeit to their Disadvantage) he faith, It is observable, that some of them had so put on Christ, as not fully to have put off Plato.

¶ 7. Page 267. Cometh his Eleventh Chapter, Intituled, Of the Necessity of this Light to Salvation: Where, according to his Custom, he beginneth with proofless Affirmations and Railing, saying; The Universal Gospel pointed at by me, is no Gospel, not the Gospel Revealed in the Word; making the whole Gospel and Grace of God null and void, as that, by which the outward Administration thereof by the Apostles is unnecessary: To which is An-

fwered

1679. swered before. And then after an Enumeration

of many Scriptures, wherein the Apostle Paul glorieth in his being an Instrument of the Preaching of it, with which he hath not shewn our Do-Ctrine Inconsistent, he concludeth; O what wretched Desperado's must these Quakers be, who thus undervalue and trample upon the Riches of the Wifdom and Grace of God, and instead of the true Gospel give us pure Paganism! This is a fit Introduction for such a Chapter, wherein there is much of the same fort of Stuff (which I shall willingly pass) and which, that he may end as he begins, has the like Conclusion, p. 281. Then when he enters upon the Matter, n. 5. and p. 269. and comes to Examin what I fay, to shew, Wherein we differ from some other Assertors of Universal Redemption, and for that End to shew, Why one Man, if not Words: "Moreover we believe, that in that spe-

Refilled, works his Conversion.

is faved, and not another, feeing all have fufficient Grace; among others he mentions these my "cial Time of every Man's Visitation, as Man " of himself is wholly impotent for working with "Grace, so neither can he make the least Progress "out of his Natural State, till Grace lay hold "on him: So that it is possible for him to suf-" fer, and not refift, as it is also possible for ma-"ny to Refist." By these Words of mine cited by him, the Reader may eafily observe, how falfly he Charged me in the fore-going Chapter with afferting, That Men could be faved by meer Nature, without the Operation of the Grace of God; and yet he is not ashamed to re-iterate the same Calumny here, p. 279. But to proceed, he faith; This my Answer is not satisfactory: The Reason of which (besides some tedious Discourse of the Opinions of the Arminians, Jesuits and Molinifts, concerning the Difference betwixt sufficient and effectual Grace (which is not my Work to anfwer, neither needs any, as he gives it here and there, p. 270, 271, 272-274. 280) amounts to 1679. this, "That fince the Working of the Grace "comes from this Non-resistence, which (he " faith) is a positive Act of Man's Will; then "Salvation depends upon Free-will: And this he labours to Aggravate by divers odious and sometimes ridiculous Expressions, such as, Grace must stand Cap in Hand to Lord Free-Will, and more of that kind; alledging, That the two Examples of Sick Men, and Men living in a deep Cave, brought at length by me in my Apology (Lat. Ed. p. 91. n. 17.) do not free me of this Absurdity. To which I Reply (1) That the Question is here only concerning such, as have only a sufficiency of Grace, and not of those who have a Prevalency of Grace; which I confess to some. (2.) That I fay not, that any Man can convert himself by any Light, Grace or Seed in him, until quickned, visited and stirred up by a New Visitation of Life from God. (3.) That on both hands it is confessed, that there must be a concurring of the Will of Man in the Act of Conversion: For no Man is saved against his Will. (4.) That I say, as well as he, That this Concurrence of Man's Will, and Pliableness to the Grace of God proceedeth not from Man's Will naturally, but is the Product and Effect of the Grace: Then what has Man to glory in? O (faith he) fuch as are faved, may fay, I was not so ill disposed; my Will was not so averse as another, that had the like sufficient Grace: And what then? His Aversion and Resistence is the Cause of his Condemnation, that is not denied; but it follows not from thence, That the Non-resistence is the Cause of the other's Salvation: I deny that Consequence; for his Non-resistence did not procure him that Visitation from God. where the him that Visitation from God. where the him that Visitation from God. where the him the possibility of sallow, That it was possible for those that were dam-lity of sallow, various for all demonstrate.

1679. ned, to have been saved; or, for some of those that are faved, to have been damned. What will the supposing, that those that are damned might have been faved, amount to, but that there Damnation is of themselves, which all acknowledge? And if he think, it is absurd to say, Any that are saved, might have been damned; Why is Salvation preached to any? Or to what End is Pains bestowed upon any in order to Salvation? Or how doth that fignify any thing really to their Salvation, if Damnation was altogether impossible to them? When he has fufficiently answered this, he will solve his own Difficulties. But because the Man will always be nibbling, where he cannot give a folid Answer, therefore he falls a quarrelling at some Comparisons brought by me, p. 273-275-277. Thewing, they do not bit in that, for which I did bring them; whereas I took notice, that the Comparison did not hit every Way (fince all Comparisons claudicant.) The first is, because I say, That Grace softens the Heart, as the Fire softens Wax; therefore he concludes; That according to me, Grace doth not Change the Heart, because Fire Changcth not the Nature of the Iron; And what then? It was only in respect to the softning, that I brought the Comparison: Albeit had he been a By Fire the good Chymist, he might have known, that by the Metals may Fire the Nature of Metals may be changed also. The Example of the Sun's bardning Clay, and foftning Wax was brought by me to shew, That the Sun loseth not it's Effect, though the Operation in the Subject be different, and for no more; albeit the Sun also work a Disposition towards the producing its Effect in some Creatures, which by

be changed alfo.

> ¶ 8. When he cometh p. 277. to Examin the Proofs I bring to prove the Necessity of this Grace to Salvation, he mistakes the End, for which I bring them; and thence either alledgeth upon

> their Resisting or not Not-resisting may be bindred.

me false Infinuations, or judgeth them insufficient for not proving of that for which they were never intended. For the End for which I bring these Proofs here, is to shew, that whatever Use, Profitableness or Necessity of Believing to those, to whom they are Revealed, may be in Outward Knowledge; yet Salvation chiefly depends upon the Inward Work of Grace, bringing about Regeneration in the Soul: And this in Order to shew, That where this is wrought (albeit the Ontward be wanting) Salvation will follow. Now when he sheweth, this is not proved by the Arguments I here bring, he may be answered; and till then, it is in vain for him to fay, I would infer a Defireying of the Ordinances of Christ; which is false: or, That this doth not prove, that this Common and Sufficient Grace is able to effectuate the New Birth; that not being the Matter here to be proved. Pag. 178. N. 13. he denieth the New Creation, spoken of 2 Cor. 5. 16, 17. proceedeth from this Light and Grace: But his Mistake herein will be shewn hereafter from Tit. 2. 11. when I come to speak of that Place. He saith, That the Manifestation of the Spirit given to every One, spoken of 1 Cor. 12. 7. is only understood of those within the Church; but for this giveth no Proof: If there be an Enumeration made of all the several Virtues wrought by it in the Visible Church, it doth not thence follow, that none have it without it: The Text saith [It is given to every Man] Indefinitely and Absolutely, not to every one only within the Church; that remains for him to prove. He would fasten a Contradiction upon me p. 279. N. 14. because I say, [The Seed is small in its first Manifestation; and though it be hid in the Earthy Part of Man's Heart] because a thing cannot be both bid and manifest: And upon this he triumphs, as if he had discovered a great Absurdity. But doth he not know, That that may be faid to be hid + Cc with

with Respect to a great, and clear and full Mani-1679. V festation, which yet may be in some Respect manifest at some times? I do not say, That absolutely it is bid and manifest at one and the same time. In Answer to Luke 17. 20, 21. brought by me, where Christ saith, The Kingdom of God is in the Pharisees, he tells, Judicious Calvin thinks, these Words were spoken to the Disciples: But he, it seems, is not of his Mind, (and therefore I know not to what Purpose he brought him, fince he follows not his Sence) For he will have it to fignify

The King- [among,] and the Meaning to be, That the King. dom of God was near and among them. But his is within, (errds, in-Proof for this is not valid; for er is sometimes interpreted among: But the Question is, if it should among you, as J. B. fays. be fo interpreted here? And till he prove that, he

faith nothing. But his Mistake here is greater than he is aware of; for the Greek Word is evide, not ey, which fignifies intus, [within ;] and I defire him to shew me in the New Testament, where. it fignifies among? All the Scriptures brought by him are impertinent, none of which is evids, as in this Place, but iv. He confesseth, p. 280. The The calvi- Calvinifts make Grace an Irrefistable Power; and

nists make refistable Power.

nuts make Grace an Ir. Saith, That they have Reason so to do, because the Scripture speaketh of Grace, as a Drawing and Teaching; But that may draw, which draweth not Irrefistably. And because I say, [" The Pa-" pifts, Socinians and Arminians deny this little "Seed and Manifestation of Light, to be that Su-" pernatural and Saving Grace of God given to all to Salvation"] he bringeth two Passages of the Arminians, wherein they confess, The Spirit of God works immediately upon the Will, giving it Strength to believe; desiring me then to tell him, Wherein I differ from Arminians? But will my Agreeing with Arminians in this prove, I differ not from them? Doth not himself agree with the Arminians in faying (as heaffirms they do) That the

the Power of Believing is conferred by Irrefiftable 1679. Grace? And if he agree with them as well as I, may not I ask him the Question as pertinently, as he doth me, Wherein differs he from them? Has not he himself affirmed, That as to our Dostrine of the Saving, Substantial Seed being in all, p. 226. neither Arminians nor Socinians ever speak of it? What then needs he ask me, Wherein we differ from them? But it seems, he that fancieth Men can dream waking (as he fometimes speaks of the Quakers) has been in that Posture when he wrote this; which helped him to conclude this Chapter

with Railing. ¶ 9. Now I come to his Twelfth Chapter, inti-

tuled by him, Of the Salvation of the Heathens without hearing the Gospel; he should have added, Outwardly, that is the Thing in Debate: But as in the Title, so in the Chapter he begs the Queftion. And that he may begin with Railing, as he ends it with a Flood of it, p. 292. he faith;
To fay, Men may be faved without the Outward against the Preaching, must be true with this Quaker, though Heathens the Apostle saith the contrary: For this citing Rom. disproved. 10. 14. Eph. 2. 12. 1 Cor. 1. 20, 21, 22. which fay nothing contrary to my Affertion, unless by the Hearing there, he prove is meant only Outward Hearing. And what! though the Apofile say to the Gentiles, That they are Aliens to the Common-wealth of Israel, and That by Wisdom they knew not God, and That the Preaching of the Gospel was Foolishness to them? All this may be faid of some living in, and esteemed Members of the Visible Church, who have the Advantage of the Outward Preaching: And therefore it cannot prove, that the Want of this takes away the Postbility of Salvation. To that of Peter I agree, Act. 4. 12. That there is not Salvation in any other, &c. but it follows not therefore, that none

1679. can partake of his Salvation without, the Outward Knowledge; himself overturns this Conclufion, by granting, Infants and Deaf Persons may. Pag. 287. to my Argument. That fince the Gospel is preached to Every Creature, they may be laved by it; he faith, A [may be] will not evince. a [shall be]: But I faid only, may be faved, not Shall be faved; and if it evince that, it doth my Business. That some have been faved without it, himself acknowledges in the Example of Job. Pag. 288. To overturn my Using of the Gospel's being preached to every Creature, he refers to his former Answer to this Place; and so do I to my Reply. Beza's Sence, to whom he refers me, moves no more than his doth; but when it is a. greeable to Truth. Next he comes to answer my Argument drawn from Tit. 2. 11. For the Grace of God that bringeth Salvation, bath appeared to J.B. restricts all Men: And for Answer he will have [All] here not to be understood Universally, but with a (all) only to Restriction; alledging, It is my Part to prove it: But that needs no more Proof than to prove, That John Brown fignifies John Brown; and for all his pretended Skill in the Rule of Dispute, he is under a Mistake. To take it Universally, is to take it as the common and proper Signification of it; so by the Rule of all Commentators we are to hold the Words of Scripture fo, until by folid Reasons we be moved to the contrary: And therefore it is his Part that denies it, to give the Reafon. That [All] fometimes is taken with a Restriction, I deny not; and therefore it's fo taken here, doth not follow. That the Gospel is said to be preached by Men outwardly, I deny not; but that therefore it is only so, and never preached but when outwardly by the Ministry of Men, is no Conclusion: Himself acknowledges in the Example of Job, who he faith was Taught by God without without Scripture. His other Explications upon 1679. this Place are his meer Affertions, not to the Bufiness: He calleth it upon the Credit of his own Affirmation, False and Childish Pedantry to say, They take [All] for the lesser Part, because they take it indefinitely; while yet they understand that Indefinite Number to be the lesser Part: But do they not take the whole World, 1 Joh. 2. 2. for the far lesser Part of the World? Which is yet more abfurd. To my Argument taken from Rom. 5. 18. where it is faid, As fomething came upon The Apoall to Condemnation, so something is come upon all ste's Words, to Justification: Which shews, the last [All] to Adulterated be of as large Extent as the first, which they con-by the fess is Universally taken. He saith, This will Translators. prove more, viz. That all Men are and shall be saved; because Judgment came actually upon All to Condemnation by Adam's Fall: But this is only his own Affertion. The Word Judgment is not in the Text; and Beza's putting in Reatus or Guilt, proveth not, It ought to be so: Whatever he do, we account not Beza Infallible; and therefore reject his Sence, until he prove it agreeable to Scripture: Nor yet his Enlargements afterwards upon the Place, because alledged without Probation. Pag. 285. N. 5. He accounteth my citing Isai. 49. 6. where Christ is said to be given for a Light to the Gentiles, impertinent, because albeit the Gentiles are not excluded from the Dif-pensation of the Gospel, it will not follow, that such as hear not of Christ, can be saved, as well as such as are brought within the Church. But this Answer is founded upon the Supposition, that I affirm, That any are saved, which are not within the Church Catholick or Universal; which is false; And how Men may be of the Church who want Outward Preaching, will after appear. I think no fober Man will fay, (supposing Salvation pos-sible to the Gentiles without Outward Preaching) Cc 3 that .

1679. that it is blind Charity to judge, some of them have been faved; for upon that Supposition it were against all Charity to say, None of them were ever faved. His Example of the Captives (to whom one that Redeemed them did not communicate the Conditions, remaining real Captives) to answer my Argument from the Rule of Contraries, That as Men are burt by Adam's Fall who know not of it; so they may be benefitted by Christ's Death who know not of it, hitteth not the Matter. It is strange for Men to be Captives, and not to know how? nor by whom? as to be Redeemed, and not know how? nor by whom? If he sup. pose the first, he may do the last. And this Example himself overturns, in what he grants of Deaf Persons and Children, as will after appear. To my asking [Why Men cannot be faved, who never heard of the Death and Resurrection of Christ, as well as Men are damned, who never heard of Adam's Sin?] he tells me very fairly, Because God bath appointed this Way of Salvation by Faith in Christ, which cannot be without the Knowledge of Christ: By which he meaning the Outward (as he needs must) his Answer is none at all, but a ridiculous Begging of the Question: As he doth also when he mentions that of Isai. 52. 11. By his Knowledge Shall my Righteous Servant justify many: For though that should be understood of Outward Knowledge (which yet remains for him to prove) it will not follow, because Christ shall Justify many by that Knowledge, that therefore he shall Justify none without it.

I 10. When he comes Pag. 186. N. 7. to answer what I urge from the Example of Deaf Perfons and Infants, the Reader may observe, how much he is pained; so that he is forced, after so long Contending and wearisom Wrestling, at last to give away his Cause, by confessing, They except both these from the Necessity of Outwardly

Hear-

Hearing the Gospel: If so, then Salvation is not 1679. impossible without the Hearing of the Gospel, and J.B. ex-the Outward Preaching of the Gospel is not of cepts Deaf absolute Necessity to Salvation. But why are they Persons and Infants from and they only excepted? In which resolves my having the Question (which doth so vex him, that instead of Gospel, and Answering he tells me, I am a deluded Quaker) of which this is one, Is not one in China or Indiaas excusable for not knowing that which they never beard, as a Deaf Man that cannot hear, since God that has permitted the one to be naturally deaf, has also permitted the other to be necessarily absent? To this I cannot find his Answer, save only this; That these Deaf Persons and Infants are Members of the Visible Church, but not the other: Of which this must be the Consequence, That none can be faved, but such as are Members of the Visible Church; for his faying, That none are Members of the Invisible Church, but such as are of the Vi-sible, clearly imports it. But has not he, or at least the most Eminent of his Way said, That the Church was many Ages Invisible, and in the Wilderness, and yet denied that all were damned during that Time? Or will he fay, The Church of Rome was the Visible Church of Christ all that Time, of which they were Members? What then becomes of the Testimonies of those who termed her Antichrist, the Mother of Abominations, the Synagogue of Satan? Which albeit true, yet begins to be Eaten up again by the Clergy, yea, even the Prefbyterians; who begin by Degrees to creep back again to acknowledge their Old Father the Pope, to Establish their Succession and Ordination, especially when pinched by the Quakers; as is at more Length shewn in G. Keith's Book called Qua. kerism no Popery? But further: It seems, the Outward Hearing is not necessary to make a Man Outward a Member of the Visible Church? And then what Hearing becomes of all his tedious Reasonings from Rom. Church

10 Member.

Cc-4

1679. 10. How shall they believe in him of whom they bave not heard? Which he urged before so vehemently, but now has overturned? He thinks, the Instance of Cornelius not to the Purpose, Because he might have bad the Knowledge of the Messias from the Jews: But his bare Supposition is no Answer. Besides, that he was no Fewish Proselyte is manifest, else Peter should not have been quarrelled for Conversing with him; and unless he had been such, or had received the Gospel, according to him, he must be esteemed to have been within the Covenant: And yet before any of these he is faid to have been Heard of God and Accepted. Pag. 289. He confesseth, Job lived before Moses, and was taught of God without Scripture: And then is it not thence manifest, that some have been faved, to whom the Gospel was not Preached by the Ministry of Men? This also overturneth his Arguments from Rom. 10. Because he knows not how to answer my Argument drawn from Rom. 2. Therefore to amuse his Reader, he raises a Storm of Railing, calling me no less (with an Exclamation) than a Miserable Miscreant, who make the Apostle contradict himself. My Argument lies in the Apostle's positive Words, who faith, The Gentiles did the things contained in the Law; and again in the same Chapter, ver. 13. The Doers of the Law are Justified: Whence in the very Words of the Apostle, without any Commentary I argue, That if the Doers of the Law be Justified, then the Gentiles, who did the Things contained in the Law, are Justified. Do I theredoes not con-fore make the Apostle contradict himself? Yea.

tradict him- faith he, because the same Aposile saith, That by ing, The Do-the Deeds of the Law no Flesh shall be Justified; but will he say, that these two Sentences of this I am are ju-Wified. Apostle, The Doers of the Law shall be justified, and, By the Deeds of the Law no Flesh shall be ju-flished, are Contradictory? I say, they are not. If

both

both these Sayings are True, his Challenge is in 1679. vain, if he will speak out that which he must; else manifest his Abuse of me, and say, they Con: tradict one another: Then let the Reader judge, who is the Miscreant? And observe, how he falls himself into the Pit, he had prepared for another. But to shew, how this distinct, outward Knowledge was not absolutely needful to Salvation, I instanced, how that divers of the Patriarchs, yea Mary, and the Apostles themselves had not fo clear a Knowledge of it; but appeared ignorant upon several Occasions: To this p. 289. he would make the Reader believe, that I Conclude, The Patriarchs had no Saving Knowledge of the Mes-. sias, because the wicked Jews Crucified him: which is false. I shew indeed, according to Scripture, That the Jews that Crucified him, wanted this distinct Knowledge, notwithstanding they had the Scripture. His further Answer to this confutes himself, saying: The Apostles did understand so much, as was then revealed; and if this was sufficient for them (as he must say, if he speak Sence) the like may be faid of the Heathens: For if the Apostles were not Condemnable for not believing nor understanding more, than what was Revealed to them; neither could the Gentiles. But to make his unwary Reader believe, as if all this said by me, brought no Relief to my desperate Caufe, as he terms it, he concludes this II Paragraph, p. 290. with one of his Sententious Sayings, Quakers can dream waking, I fee. He goes on in Answer to my Proofs brought from the Antient Philosophers to confirm this; to which he refumes little but Railing: Wherein I will not trouble the Reader to follow him; since without them the thing in Hand is sufficiently proved by Scripture: Yet if he will affirm the Citations to be either False or Fictitious, they may be proved

1679. by Production of the Books themselves. He thinks The Impertinency of my citing Augustin's Words is discovered by the bare Reading; and little less he faith to those of Buchanan: Which I refer to the Reader's Judgment, as he will find them in my Apology, towards the latter End of the Explanation of the Fifth and Sixth Propositions; and I will leave him concluding this Chapter with Railing and empty Threats, which I neither Fear nor Value, as being without Ground, and the Fruits of no better Spirit than that of Rabshekeb.

SECT. VIII.

Wherein his Thirteenth Chapter of Justification is Considered.

1. I Come now to his Thirteenth Chapter of Justification, where, after he has begun by telling, This Doctrine bath been principally queficoned by Hereticks, which I deny not; and given us (according to his Custom) some large Citations out of their Confession of Faith and Catechifm, with the supposed Sence of other Quakers from some of his formerly mentioned partial Authors; at last he comes Pag. 296. N. 4. to examine what I fay in this Matter; where, according to his Custom, he begins with a Calumny up-7. B. pro. on his own false Supposition, As if the Justificaeceds upon tion I plead for, were not the true Justification of his own falle, the Science of Suppositions the Saints, because proceeding from the Light, which and Perver- (faith he) is but the dim Light of Nature. This he takes for granted to be true, and thence falfly makes his Interence, pag. 297, 298, 307, 308, 324. To this he adds another Perversion; as if, because I say, From the Light received proceeds an Holy

Birth

fions.

Birth] therefore there were no Infusion of any gra- 1679. cious Principle or Virtue, &c. which is false. Men use to fay, that where Seed is received in the Earth, it grows up to Fruit; yet not without the Influence of the Sun and descending of Rain: so is it with this Spiritual Seed, but with this Difference, That where ever this Seed is, God is never wanting to give his Heavenly Influences towards its Growth and Advancement. In this Chapter also he omits not his Railing, calling us poor deluded Wretches, &c. with the Repetition of which I will not trouble the Reader; if he be pleased he may observe it, pag. 227, 299, 316, 318, 319, and in feveral other Places, but especially where he endeth the Chapter, p. 324, 325, I needed not at all trouble the Reader with his often reiterated Accusation of my Joining with the Papists, since he saith, I am worse and less Orthodox than they in this Matter, p. 301, 309. were it not to shew him how his Malice has blinded him: For he confesseth p. 300. N. 8. That I condemn their Meritum ex condigno, and placing Justification in such Works as are rather Evil than Good; and yet p. 305, he asks, wherein I differ from the worst Papists? Papists: So then such astronizing the affert Meritum ex Condigno, and those other things Papiss. denied by me, are not in his Sence the worst Papifts: Let him reconcile this with the general Sence of Protestants. Yea, with great Bitterness he quarrelleth with me for wronging the Papists, p. 301. calling it a base Falshood and Deceit in me to say, Papists do not place Justification in any real Inward Renovation of the Soul; Citing the Words of the Council of Trent and Bellarmin to the contrary. But he must know, if he will, I will not be cheated by the fair Words of Papists, contrary to what mine Ears have heard, and Eyes feen to be the general Practice of their People and Preachers, and that in a Kingdom where their Superstition

grimages

gencies.

in Man.

stition less abounds, than any Place of their Ter-Vritories. I know, they place more Virtue towards the Inward Renovation in the Soul in fuch things as are justly condemnable, than in Obedience to Christ's Precepts: And were it not, that he is even glad to Patronize the Papists, that he might get fome Occasion to rail against me, he could not but acknowledge this; fince he cannot be ignorant (whatever Distinctions and fair Words they have invented now to smooth their Doctrine) that all the first Reformers do with one Voice affirm, That before the Reformation there was a profound Silence of any thing, fave their Superstitious Papins Pil-Works, Pilgrimages and Indulgences in the Point of Justification, not only as to making just, but even as to Remission of Sins, which they affertand Induled to be attained by fuch Means. Yet this Man's Charity can extend to palliate their Hypocrify, that he may accuse me; while yet in the same Page, as to me, he lays aside all his Charity, alledging most abusively, "That it is but good "Words I give them about the Satisfaction of "Christ, and that I deceive them with Socinian "Glosses and Metaphorical Sences:" Which is a gross Calumny. Like to which is his Calumny, p. 317. where he faith, The Quakers talk of Christ's Sufferings and Death, &c. as all done with-

> T 2. That the Reader may not be interrupted in the thorough Examination of this Point by his Calumnies, Perversions and malitious Infinuations, which he bestows throughout most of his Work to squeeze out my Words, that he may render me either odious or ridiculous; I will re-

Good Works move them in the first Place, e're I come to the in what Re- main Matter. Of this kind is what he faith spect they help to Ju-p. 297. where he plays upon me, saying, That Justification is not by our Work or Works, considered by themselves: As if this were a mighty Absur-

dity

dity to fay, Works wrought in a Man could in any 1679. Sense not to be called bis; which he reckons Pha. naticism in Folio. But if this be so, he must accuse Christ and the Apostle Paul of this Phanaticifm, and it shall not much trouble me to be accounted guilty with them, albeit I lie under 7. B's Censure for it. For Christ saith to his Apostles, Matt. 10. 20. For it is not ye that speak, but the Spirit of your Father that speaketh in you: and Mark 13. 11. ——for it is not ye that speak, but the Holy Ghost: yet they uttered the Words. He must either here confess his Shame (albeit he term me a Shameless Man) for saying, That Christ's Words confirm it; or else condemn Christ: Was not this Speaking a Work of the Apostles? And doth not Christ say, It is not they? And dare he call this a Contradiction? So then he may see, in what Respect good Works, considered otherwife than as meerly the Works of Men, help in Justification: See also 1 Cor. 15. 10. - But 1 laboured more abundantly than they all; yet not I, but the Grace of God which was with me. So here the Apostle's Labour is ascribed to the Grace; so as he saith it was not he: And yet this Man asketh p. 248. "If to be justified by Christ within, be " not to be justified by our Works?" Adding (to render me odious) "especially seeing, this is Christ formed within, and not Christ who laid is he that down his Life a Ransom for Sinners, which died and suffered. "Christ in our Account (he saith) cannot cleanse " nor do any good:" Which is a gross Calumny. But the Evil he intendeth here to us returneth upon his own Head. For if to affert Christ formed within, be to affert another Christ than died and fuffered, then the Apostle was guilty of this Crime, Gal. 4. 19. — I travail in Birth again, until Christ be formed in you: yea, he calls Christ within, the Hope of Glory, Col. 1. 28. Will he thence dare to fay, the Apostle held another Christ

1679. Christ than he that died? And let him prove, if

A Two-

ption pur-

chased and wrought by Christ.

he can, that in our speaking of Christ formed within, we say more than the Apostle. Another of his Calumnies is, p. 302. where, because I say, That all have sinned that come to Man's Age, therefore I deny, that the wicked Actions of fuch as are not come to be Men and Women are Sin; which is utterly false: As I never said so, so I never intended, as he malitiously affirms, to infinuate any fuch thing. Pag. 303, 304. he would screw my Words speaking of a twofold Redemption, fold Redem-(whereof the first is, the Capacity of being Redeemed purchased by Christ without, and the Receiving of and Enjoying that wrought by Christ in us) to make an Absurdity, because I say, That as to us they cannot be separated: Then all must be Redeemed the one way, who are Redeemed the other; and that then every Man must be Redeemed from the Power of Corruption, and faved. But here according to his Custom he cites not my Words justly, which are [" That they are both perfect in "their own Nature, albeit in their Application to " us-ward they cannot be separated" that is, he that comes effectually to enjoy the Benefit of the One, must enjoy the other; he that receives the Second, partakes of the first also; he that really receives the First, receives the Second also: But that hinders not, but many may be offered the Benefit of the First, and by rejecting and resisting it, lose the Benefit both of First and Second; and he that rejecteth it at any time, albeit he receive it for a Season, as by his Falling he loses what of the Second is wrought in him, to wit, of Purification, so he doth also lose the First, which was Remission of Sin. His last Cavil this is very impertinent, which is by way of Question; "That if this Second Redemption be " necessary to Salvation (as indeed it is) what " shall become of the Child of God that hath no " Light ?

"Light? What shall become of them that have 1679. "true Grace uniting them to Christ, &c. and yet "through Darkness can see and acknowledge no J. B's Ab-"fuch thing?" For to pass by the Absurdation such as saints here supposed, that Saints can be said to have no may be United, or have Grace and be United to Christ, and not see yet neither be able to fee it nor acknowledge it. it. and that not during their Life-time here; for unless this be also supposed, he cannot conclude what he will: For that a Saint may be clouded at a Time, is not denied; yet this maketh nothing for his Purpose. Will it follow, because they see it not, that it therefore is not needful to their Salvation? His own Words imply a Contradiction to this, And thus the Man confutes that, by which he would urge another, in the very Words by which he expresses it; For is not Grace to unite the Heart to Christ necessary to Salvation? He will furely fay, Tes: If then the Acknowledgment of that, and feeing of it, which is needful to Salvation, be not needful, then the not-feeing or not acknowledging of a thing makes it not a thing unnecessary to Salvation; which is the Abfurdity he would infinuate.

Ta. Thus having removed out of the Way his most obvious Perversions and Abuses, I come to treat of the main Matter, which all depends upon this one Question; What is that whereby a Man is justified, so as to appear truly Just in the Sight of God? This he supposes to be done by the Righteousness and Death of Christ without, even before any work of Righteousness be wrought in Man; even as a Cautioner (to whom he compares Christ By the in this Case) frees him whose Debt he pays. I on Christ no the contrary affirm, "That albeit Reconciliation Man can be "and Remission of Sins be by the Death of Christ stiffed, until "without, and the Door opened, so that all may Christ receibe at Peace by the Offer of Grace made in Heart, there "Christ, if they reject it not, yet hereby no Man renew and

" can Just.

" can be said to be Justified, or appear Just pro-"perly, until Christ be received in his Heart, "there to renew and purify him, and make him " just : So that however Justification may be di-" ftinguished from Sanctification, yet not divi-" ded; nor yet so distinguished, that a Man can " be truly said to be Justified, who is Unholy and "Unsanctified." And therefore upon the Examining of what he urges against this, and for his Position, as also what he answers to my Probations for it, depends the whole Matter: But before I enter particularly upon this, and that there may no Interruption meet me, when entred in it, I will first take Notice and remove his Mistakes and misapplied Proofs thereupon, both in what he opposes me and affirms for himself; as also here take Notice of his meer Assertions. And first then, p. 299. he supposes, There can be no Reconciliation by the Blood of Christ's Cross, &c. unless for such in whose Room Christ died, as a Cautioner and Surety, and so made Satisfaction that they should be Redecmed and Delivered. But albeit upon this Notion and Affirmation all depends. yet I miss the Proof of it; if his After-Proofs fay any think to it, I shall examin them: That which he mentions here written Rom. 8. 3, 4. is so far from doing it, that it proves the contrary. For albeit the Death of Christ was, that the Righteonsness of the Law might be fulfilled in us; yet it follows not, that the Righteousness of the Law must be fulfilled in all for whom he died; yea, the following Words, -- who walk not after the Flesh, but after the Spirit, shew this to be the Condition requisite on our Part, that we may partake of the Benefit of his Death. If to prove The Benefit that Man should be reconciled, redeemed and delivered by the Death of Christ, he bring the In-

stances of the Righteousness of the Law to be fui-

Death is to them that Walkafter filled in us; then Men cannot be said to be reconthe Spirit.

ciled

cil'd, redeem'd and deliver'd by the Death of Christ; 1679. he brings the Instances of the Righteousness of the Law to be fulfilled in us; then Men cannot be said to be reconciled, redeemed and delivered, until this Righteousness of the Law be fulfilled in them. What he addeth to this, That we cannot be faid to be accounted Righteous, and absolved from Accusation upon the Account of our works of Righteousness, I fay no fuch thing; freely Confessing, that not The Remoonly Pardoning of Sin, but Removing of the ving both Filth as well as of the Guilt, is the Act of God's Guilt of Sin Mercy and Grace, as faith the Apostle, Tit. 3. 5, 6. is the Act of God's Grace And yet we are Saved, and confequently Justifi and Mercy. ed according to his Mercy by the Washing of Regeneration: fince this is the Fruit of the Grace and Spirit of God freely given us. And therefore it is not enough for him p. 203. to affirm, That I pervert the Apostle's Words, 2 Cor. 5. 19. 20. - God was in Christ reconciling the World unto himself, upon this bare Supposition, That this [World] is only understood of the Elect: For if this Reconciliation had been absolute, and not in Part only, that is, a Readiness on God's Part to be reconciled with them, if they repent, which I affirm; to what Purpose should the Apostle, as an Ambassador, intreat them to be reconciled? There needed no Intreaty to that which was already done: Neither are his meer Affertions to this, pag. 303. any Answer. It is strange, that to prove, That all, for whom Christ died, are certainly made alive one Time or other, he brings these Words, And that he died for all, that they which live should not henceforth live unto themselves, but unto him which died for them, &c. For he doth not say here, That All he died for are made alive, but that they that are made alive should so live: Neither doth the Saying, Christ has born the Sins of all in his own Body on the Tree, import any being actually freed of the Guilt of Sin, un-+ Dd

therefore reconciled unto God. But he overturns what he earneftly pleaded for before, p. 310.

N. 23. where he faith, They own not, that Reconciliation was so perfected by Christ on Earth, that there is nothing to be done by Man in Order to his Actual Justification: If so, then no man is actually Justified, until something be done by him; and this Doing imports a Work: So here a Work of Man is necessary for Justification; and this is rather more than I say. And if Something be to be done on Man's Part, e're Actual Justification

7. B. proves to be be obtained; then that which is done by Christ one with the before must be only a Potential Justification: and Antinomian what is this more than a Capacity of being justi-

fied? Which yet he batters against in me; and vet he must confess this, to distinguish himself from the Antinomians, whose Opinions, albeit he divers times disclaims, yet he shews not how he can liberate himself from it: And therefore in Contradiction to what is here observed, both his Affertions and Proofs resolve in the Antinomian Doctrine, and conclude for it as much as for him; which I might therefore pass all, as impertinent. But for Instance, his great Example of a Cautioner or Surety, used often, as Pag. 299, 310, 311. for when a Cautioner pays a Man's Debt for him, fo foon as he lays down the Money, which is a fufficient Intimation to him to whom the Debt is due, the Person for whom it is paid is really acquitted; albeit he have done no Act, yea, know not of it: And this, as I observed before, himself acknowledgeth in the Application, faying p. 304. That some who are united to Christ by Grace (and

The Anti- surely such are Justified) can neither see it nor acnomians un-knowledge it. So then, if this Example of his Sunomians un-knowledge it. So then, if this Example of his Sunotative surely hold true, Men are fustified before they BeResulted. lieve, as say the Antinomians; and therefore all
the Scriptures brought by him, p. 308. to prove,

Thai

That Christ made a proper, real and full Satisfac- 1679. tion in the Behalf of Men, will conclude for the Antinomians, as much as for him: whereas p. 314. he looks upon it as a Calumny to fay, They speak not of a real fullification; for he concludes p. 312. That Imputative Justification is real. He argues for the Antinomians also, since he accounts this Imputation to be only of Righteousness wrought without Men by Christ in his own Person; for if by this Imputation Men be really Justified, then they are as much, or at least as really Justified before they believe, as after; fince Faith is an Act of Man's Will, and no fuch thing according to him can have Place in Justification: And yet (to go round) he faith, p. 308. That they fay not, That God Justifieth any remaining in their Sins. But do they not say so? Since taking his Opinion the fafest Way and furthest from Antinomians, he concludeth a Man justified in the Act of Converfion? And fuch he supposes to have been great Sinners; yea, and that they may not be purged from them many Years after: yea, and how can they, if they must Sin daily (as they say) in Thought, Word and Deed? (of which more here-Good Works after:) Are not such then Remaining in their Sins, are the Conaccording to them Justified? Pag. 306. N. 26. he effect of would infer a Contradiction upon me from saying, Regenera-Good Works are necessary as Causa sine qua non: For this (he faith) contradicts my faying, We are justified by the Inward Birth, and not by our Works, feeing Works, being but the Consequence of that Birth, are but the Effect; even as Causa sine qua non- must be before the Effect : on which he alfo infists, p. 319. n. 38. But this Contradiction is founded upon the Supposition, that this Birth is brought forth without Good Works, which I deny; feeing Regeneration is a Work of the Spirit in us, by which we are justified, that is, really made just; and the Works which proceed there-Dd 2

to his Proofs, and also his Examining of mine, they are inserted pag. 204. n. 13. where he saith; That the Redemption of Christ is a far other thing, and hath far other Effects, than to make Men capable of Salvation, even Remission of Sins. But I never denied, but that it brought Remission of Sins to such as embrace and receive it; neither do the

Reconcilia- Scriptures cited by him prove more, 2 Cor. 5, 19. tion made Dan. 9. 24-26. Col. 1. 19, 20. Ephef. 1. 11-15. by Christ laid hold on Joh. 17. 2. Heb. 9. 12, 13. 2 Cor. 1. v. 20. none by Believers of which speak of the Reconciliation made by

Christ to be in it self more, than procuring a Capacity of Salvation otherways than as received and laid hold on by Believers: and when it is spoken of with Respect to such, I never denied, but it was more; for the Capacity is brought unto Action. He addeth, The very Texts cited by my felf make against me, Ephes. 2. 15. He died to make in himself of twain one new Man; so making Peace: ver. 13. but now in Christ Jesus, ye who sometimes were far off, are made nigh by the Blood of Christ; Asking, Was this only a Capacity of coming near? But the Apostle here speaks of those who had received, and not refisted the Benefit of that Capacity. And whereas he faith 1 Job. 4. 10. The Son of God's being said to be a Propitiation for our Sins, is more than a meer Possibility of Friend-Ship. But doth not the same Apostle say, He is a Propitiation for the whole World? Yet he did not actually Reconcile the whole World, fave in a Capacity. Ezek. 16. 6. he giveth a Question instead of Answer, 1 Pet. 2. 24. Who his own felf bare our Sins in his own Body on the Tree, that we being dead to Sins, should live unto Righteousness, by whose Stripes ye were healed: Noting I Pet. 3. 18. Christ . hath once Suffered, that he might bring us to God; but it faith not, that Christ by his Sufferings did bring us to God, which would have more made

for

for his Purpose: And though it had been so, yet neither that, nor what is above-cited, prove any thing, being spoken to those who had received the Second Redemption, as well as the first. Then he notes these following, Col. 1. 14. Gal. 1. 4. 3: 13, 14. 4: 5. Rev. 5. 9, 10. and 14. 3, 4. Tit. 2. 13. all which I have looked, but find not that they prove what he intends; some were spoken not only to those, who had already received the Benefit of Christ's Death here; but of such as were already glorisied in Heaven, if he think, they will prove his Matter, he must shew How? the next time he writes.

¶ 4. Pag. 309. N. 21. He brings my Argument F. B's Thewing, That where there is a perfett Reconcilia-God declares tion, there is no Separation: Why doth God then fo Men just, often complain of his People for their Sins? From this whilf in wickit would follow, that Sin made no Separation, or edness. that their good Works and worst Sins are the same in God's Account. His Answer to this is, That a Man may be in a fustified State, and declared fust. because constituted so; albeit unrighteous as to his Person, because of his unrighteous Actions, in which Sence he is not justified nor approved of God: That is (in plain Scots) to fay, God constituteth and declareth Men Just, albeit they be wicked Men, and really Unjust; the first being understood of their Condition, the second of their Person. But the Mifery is, there wants something to knit this incoherent Matter together, and inform us, How a Man as to his Condition is Just, while in his Person Unjust? And indeed, he brings no Proof for all this. And albeit I wonder not at this Omission. fince he could do no better; yet I desire, he may let me know the next time, why I should receive his Answer without Proof? That every Sin which may be committed by a Saint, doth not Unfaint him, or destroy his Condition, I acknowledge; but they suppose no Sin to do it: For when they Dd 3

(70

affirm, Murther, and Adultery, and Treachery not to have done it, (as they do) If these Sins are not destructive and killing, as to Man's Condition, I know none; and defire to be informed, how by Scripture it can be made appear, that these do not? So my Argument still remains in Force, and his Charge of Antinomianism against me falleth to the groß Opini- Ground.

Pag. 311. he brings my Argument, on of Impu-tative Righ-shewing the Absurdity of their Objection from teousness. 2. Cor. 5. 21. thus; If we be just, as Christ was a Sinner by Imputation, then as there was not the least Sin in Christ, so there is no Necessity for the least Righteousness in us: To which he answers, Neither is there to our being justified upon that Account. The Reader may judge of this Doctrine, which the Man either forgetting or being ashamed of, plainly contradicts in the same Page, say. ing, That Sanstification is inseparably joined with Justification: for then sure Righteousness must be necessary to be justified upon whatever Account. And yet to go round again, within five Lines he cites Job. 6. 29. and 9. 35, 36. and 10. 38. and 12. 36. and 14. 1. and 16.9. to prove, That Christ would have People resting upon a Righteousness meerly Imputative for Justification; for that is the thing denied by me : For if Sanctification be inseparable from Justification, it is impossible to rest upon that which is meerly Imputative. That these Scriptures prove no such thing, the Reader may fee: All of them press Believing in Christ; but that to believe in Christis to rest upon a Righteousness meerly Imputative, remains yet for him Sanctifica- to prove. But to proceed with an unparallel'd tion is infe-parable from Confidence to answer to my saying, [" That to

Justification.

"my Observation that Sentence [The imputed "Righteousness of Christ which they so much " urge as the Foundation of their Faith, is not to " be found in all the Scripture"] he noteth divers Places of Scripture, in not one of which there is

any fuch Thing. And indeed this Controversy 1679. being of Matter of Fact, can be eafily decided by any that can Read, who can easily see whether that Expression be there or not: For the Question is of the Expression in Terminis, not of what he apprehendeth, may by Consequence import the like. What he faith in Answer to my proving, Justifying to be understood of being really made just, from 1 Cor. 6. 11. he overturneth himself in a few Lines, confessing, That the Corinthians were really changed; and if fo, we need not doubt, where it is faid, They were justified, but they were really made just, that is, Changed from Unrighteousness, as he confesseth they were.

of what I urge from the Word Justification, and

J. Pag. 312. N. 26. He cometh to take Notice

from the Etymology of it; and having introduced himself with a Scoff, he saith, I do place this upon the Authority of the Vulgar Latin Edition; but therein he is mistaken : The Greek Word Sinaiow, Sinaiow, will make as much for my Purpose as the Latin. Justifico. He passeth from the Etymology, p. 313. and saith; The Words usually import a Juridical Absolution by the Sentence of a Judge: But what then? Is not that, because Judges usually at least Absolve Men upon the Account of their Innocency? And fo his Comparison of a Surety will not here hit: For when Men are accused of Murther, or Adultery, or Theft, and that the Case is proved and confest; what Judes use to declare the Person acquitted upon Surety given by another Innocent To justify Person? And therefore Justifico, I justify, signi-declaring of sies the declaring of one just who is so: And the one just who is fo: And the field is so. Justifico, as being sometimes taken in a Law Sence, doth not in the Indicative answer to fanctifico, because it is there Active, and has Relation to another Person; yet in the Passive, when relating to the Person Sanctified, it is understood one Way: for Justificatus and Santtificatus fignify the same.

1679. But he overturneth all his Quibbling here p. 313. N. 27. by asking, Whether they say, That a Manis said to be justified, who is not really just? Which imports, they say not so; and then we are agreed. Only I would ask him, How a Man is really just, while committing actual Wickedness and Unrighteousness, as to his Person? And yet he faid before, Such were Justified; and yet in the next, p. 314. he faith, I malitiously calumniate them, to fay, they make use of the Figurative Sence of the Word: Let the Reader judge of these Consistencies! And whereas I cite some Scriptures, that Justifying is spoken of some, who arrogate Righteousness to themselves, though it do not belong to them; and at these he carpeth, saying, The very first (Exod. 23. 7.) is spoken of God himself (he should have said, It is God speaking of the Wicked that he will not justify them) some of them speak of a Not Fustifying, Joh. 9. 20. and 27. 5. And what then? The Places were marked to shew the Import of the Word Justify, and to shew, that many of them speak nothing of Justifying at all; whence he concludes in these Words: So unhappy is the Man in bis Citations. He notes first, Ifai. 5. 23. but it feems, he has been in hafte; and therefore to rectify his Mistake, let him read the Words, which are; Which justify the Wicked for Reward. And what! though where many Scriptures are noted together, by the Mistake of the Transcriber or Printer, the Figures may be misplaced, and fo miss? Truly, they must be very happy, that can fecure themselves from this Hazzard: he has not been fo happy, who denied the Words to be in a Place, where the Knowing of it depended not upon the Diligence of others, but of his own looking to it; as I have just now shewn. Page 315. to prove, That Justified is not taken in the Epistles of the Apostle Paul to the Romans, Corinabians, Galatians, for Making Just, as I affirmed 111

in the Passages cited by me, he saith, To take it 1679. So, would make the Apostle contradict bimself: But this he affirms upon the meer Supposition, that the Apostle with him Excludes all Works from Justification; which is but to beg the Question, as will after appear. What he adds here and in the following Page, in Answer to the Citations I bring out of divers Protestant Authors, I need not trouble the Reader with a Reply to it; because he turns by the most material of them, as not having the Authors by him to examin them. Others he positively rejects, as not agreeing with them, as Forbes and Baxter : And at last infinuates, that the Tryal is not to be by Human Testimonies; for fuch he accounts all the Writings of his Brethren, whereunto I do very well agree: Only I brought fome of his own Folks, not as if I needed them to confirm me in my Opinion; but as having Weight with those, among whom they are esteemed Doctors. In this Page Answering, what I urge from Rom. 8. 30. shewing, How in that Golden Chain Santtification must be excluded, or Fustification must be taken in its proper Sence; he faith, That Sanctification is comprehended under Vocation. If this be true which he afferts, then he gives again away his Cause; for then no Man is sooner called, than he is sandified: and since he will not fay, (feeing he disclaims to be an Antinomian) that any Man is justified before he be called; it follows then necessarily, That no Man justified, beis Justified before he be Sanstified; and then to fore he be fanctified. what Purpose has he been fighting and wreftling all this while? Pag. 316. N. 33. he accuses me of Unparallel'd Falshood, Impudency and Boldness for faying, That I have sufficiently proved, that by [Fustification] ought to be understood [to be made really Just; whereas I undertook only to prove, that the Word might be fo understood without Abfurdity: Adding, I wonderfully conclude a must

1679. [must be] from a [may be] &c. But the best is, his greatest Charges are built either upon forged Calumnies, or his own pitiful Mistakes. I never concluded, by Justification ought to be understood to be made really just, only upon that which I said from the Etymology of the Word; nor by Justification there did I understand meerly the Word; but I conclude from all my Scripture-Arguments of the thing, as my following Words manifest, where I say, We know it from sensible Experience: But he may be fure, it is not the Etymology of the Word we know fo. And if thence he urge, That this falleth not under the inward Sensation of the Soul; he but fights with his own Mistake: For that the Real Justification of the Saints falleth under the Inward Sensation of the Soul, I think no Man of Sence will deny; for Christ is formed in the Mind, where he is faid to be Revealed Inwardly, and that gives a Sense of Justification: Albeit he feem to wonder at it, asking, What Scripture speaketh so? He may read Gal. 1. 16. Whether was not the Apostle here Justified, and under the Sense of it? He is angry (p. 317.) that I call the Life of Christ an Inward and Spiritual Thing; but will he fay, it is an Outward and Carof Christ is nal Thing? But what thinks he of 2 Cor. 4. 10, 11? He confesseth, This Life of Christ supported and carried the persecuted Apostles through many Miseries and Deaths; Will he say then, it was not an Inward and Spiritual Thing, that carried them through these Tryals? But he addeth, But who except a Quaker could fay, That the Apostle Says, We are Justified by this Life? I answer, All; except fuch abfurd Men, as will deny, that where we are faid to be Saved by a Thing, we are faid to be Justified by it. Rom. 5. 10. Tit. 3. 5. we

are faid to be faved by Regeneration. And whereas he faith. The Apostle faith not, That this is the formal, Objective Cause of Justification: These are

Words

an Inward and Spiritual Thing.

Words the Apostle useth not at all; and there- 1679. fore no wonder there be no Word of it here. He looks upon it, as being abfurd for me to think, that Reprobation is Non justification; but I would know of him, if there be any Reprobates who are Justified? That the Marks and Evidences are not always taken from the Immediate, Nearest The Cause and Formal Cause, I confess; but that therefore of Reprobathe not having Christ revealed in the Soul, is only tion. a Sign, and no Cause of Reprobation, remains for him to prove. Wickedness is a Sign of Reprobation, will he therefore affirm, it is not the Immediate nor Formal Cause of it? After the same Manner he denieth, p. 319. That we must lean to that which the Apostle calleth (Col. 1.27, 28.) Christ within the Hope of Glory; his Reason is, because the Apostle saith, Phil. 1.28. And in nothing terrified by your Adversaries, which is --- to you an evident Token of Salvation, asking, Must we al-So lean to that in Justification? But will he fay, there is no Difference betwixt that which is only a Token, and Christ within? If there be, his Reason concludes nothing.

¶ 6. Lastly, He comes to answer, what I say cestive of of the Necessity of Good Works to Justification: good Works and what I urge from Isai. 2. he consessed, that tion. good Works are an Instrumental Cause; which Concession doth prove all I affirm: If they be an Instrumental Cause, they must be a Cause sine quanon, and necessary; since the Instrumental Cause of a Thing must be necessary towards its Being: What! though Abraham was Justified before he offered up his Son, it will not follow, that he was Justified without Works. His Absurdity, as if it would thence follow, That no Man is Justified when be sleeps, or is not astually doing some Work; looks liker the Objection of a Man Sleeping, who knows not what he saith, than of one awake: for by the same way it might be said, that Faith

not.

1679. is not necessary, fince Men do no more actually believe, than do good Works, when they are Sleeping. My Argument deduced from Heb. 12. 14. Matth. 7. 21. John 13. 17. 1 Cor. 7. 19. Revel. 22. 14. he fays, proves the Necessity of Works unto final Salvation, but not to Justification; and if it do so, it doth the Business, unless he will say, That full and perfect Justification is not sufficient to Salvation. My Answer to their first Objection he observes, but replies not: To the Second, anfwering what they urge from Rom. 3. 20. - By the Works of Deeds of the Law there shall no Flesh be justified; which I shew, is to be understood of Works done the moral Law justify and not by the Grace of God: He answers, That fuch are no good Works at all. But may not a Man do some of the Works, which even the Moral Law commands, fuch as not to commit Murther, Theft or Adultery, without the Grace of God? Hath not he confessed as much of some Heathens, whom he judgeth not to have had the Grace of God? And will he fay, these Works are not materially good, albeit not formally, with a Respect to any Ad. vantage as to Salvation, they receive by them? And though it should be confessed, That all is not always requisite to be Antecedent to Justification, which falls out to be Antecedent to Salvation; yet the Question is, Whether there be any thing abfolutely requisite to be Antecedent to Salvation, which is not also absolutely requisite to be Antecedent to Justification? If not, then if Works be J.B. pleads lutely necessary to Salvation, they must also be the works fo to Justification. If he form absolutely necessary, or so far as they are absothe works fo to Justification. If he say other ways, then (as of the Spirit I observed before) full and perfect Justification according to him must not be esteemed sufficient to Page 322. N. 42. He comes to prove the best Works, even those wrought by the Spirit in the Saints, to be Impure; which before also he

had affirmed, pag. 307. there he would infer, we 1679. fay the same of Good Works, because I affirm. That Works done by Man's own Strength are polluted. But it will not thence follow, we believe Works done by the Grace of God to be fuch. But for this Impurity of good Works he marks Pfal. 143. 2. 130. 3. Job 9. 16. none of which speak one Word of good Works thus understood. Then he mentions Isaiah 64.6. All our Righteousness is as filthy Rags; but filently paffeth over, how I shew their own Authors, as Calvin and Musculus, &c. affirm the Spirit to this not to be understood of Evangelical Righte- be pure and ousness: and himself overturns what he urges confessed by from this, affirming, That we ought not to call the J. B. Spirit of God in his People, Filthy Rags: But if they were so, they might be so called; and yet he overturns it further, by confessing, Some Works wrought by the Apostles were undefiled; then all the Works wrought by the Spirit in the Saints cannot be faid to be impure; which is their Affertion. And the Instance of clean Water passing through an unclean Pipe doth not hold; which is their great Probation. He will not contend with what I fay about the Word Merit, neither hath he much against my Conclusion in this Matter; yet that he may end this Chapter like himself, he concludeth it with a gross Lye and Railing, faying, I affirm, A Man may be Regenerated without the least Help of the Grace of God: which as I 7.828 wholly abhor, so there cannot be a greater Falf. groß Lye. hood alledged upon me.

SECT. IX.

Wherein his Fourteenth Chapter of Perfection is Considered.

¶ 1. Come now to his Fourteenth Chapter of Perfedion, where, after he has repeated my Eighth Proposition, he reckons it Confidence in me, to accuse their Answer in their larger Catechism, of speaking against the Power of Divine Grace, which faith, That Man is not able by any Grace of God received in this Life to keep the Commands of God: But instead of Justifying this Asfertion, he faith, They are not ashamed of it. Then he recurreth a little to his Author Hicks, according to his Custom, and falls a Railing; where among other great Charges he accuseth the Quakers of Reproaching, Reviling, Calumnies, Scolding, and the like: Also pag. 329. speaking of bridling the Tongue; but he of all Men should have thers, con- dling the longue; but he of an Railer in the Sufessed by his been filent in this, who is such a Railer in the Superlative Degree, that some of his own Faith, who have bad enough Thoughts of the Quakers, have said, that he not only Equals them, but Exceeds them in Railing: Of his Railing in this Chapter the Reader may further observe, p. 332, 345-349. Here, as in his former Chapter, to enervate the Perfection afferted by me, he brings forth his Old and often-repeated Calumny, as if I afferted this Perfection to proceed meerly from the Light of Nature, affirming, The Light pleaded for by me, p. 227. to be such, as never came from the Grace of God; to be Flesh, Blindness, Enmity to God, Natural, Senfual, &c. affirming, that I fay, "Man is Regenerated, Sanctified, Justified, "though not one Ray of Divine Illumination hath " shined into his Soul, nor one Act of Grace has " reached

J.B. a Railer exceeding o-

His falle Charges.

"reached either his Intellect, Will or Affection 1679.
"to cause this Change: The like p. 331. All which is most abominable false, and never either believed or afferted by me; and therefore all he concludes upon this Malitious Affertion, falls to the Ground and needs no further Answer. Next, he bestows much Pains, p. 328, 329. to shew from the Hebrew and Greek Word, that Perfection is Sometimes understood of Sincerity and Integrity: and Perfection in these Respects he thus defines: "In Regeneration the whole Man is changed, fo "that he is now born a New Creature, Sanctified defined. "wholly in Mind, Heart, Spirit, Affections, Con-" science, Memory and Body, though but in a "fmall Measure or Degree." And again, "Yeild-"ing impartial Obedience, through the Grace of "God, unto all God's Precepts, waving none." But if he will stand by what he here afferts, I will defire no more; albeit he falfly fay in the following Page, That all this will not fatisfy Us: For I would defire the next time, he would reconcile this with Breaking the Commands daily in Thought, Word and Deed. To prove this he infifts the Com-(in Contradiction to what he said before) p. 330. wc. is not N. 7. and his Proofs are, (1.) Because in Christ's Perfection, House there are divers Sizes and Degrees of Pering in Grace, Sons, as Babes, or little Children, Toung Men, Old Men: And this is not denied; but the Thing he should have proved is, That none of those Degrees can be without daily Breaking God's Commands. His Second Proof is yet more rare: Christians are exhorted to grow in Grace, to put off the Old Man which is corrupt, to put on the New Man, to mortify their Members; Very good: But is To break the Commands daily in Thought, Word and Deed, the way to grow in Grace, to put off the Old Man, and on the New? If this be not to pervert Christianity, what can be said to be so? If Men can dream waking (as he fometimes supposes) he has

1679. fure been in this Posture, when he brought this Proof. But he adds, That this Perfection rendereth Gospel Commands useless: But are the Laws useless if Men obey them? This, saith he, takes away the Exercise of Repentance, the Exercise of Prayer, and maketh the Petitions of the Lord's Prayer useles Forgive us our Sins: On this he also infifteth pag. 345, 346-349. That because all have finned, they have need to Repent, and pray for Forgiveness, and the Continuance of it I have shewn in my Apology: But if this his Argument hold true, to prove, That Men must sin all their Life-time, and break the Commands every Day, in Thought, Word and Deed, then the greatest Sinners and most wicked, profligate Villains do less make useles Gospel-Commands, than others, because they afford more Matter to exercise Repentance and Prayer for Forgiveness of Sins. But he pro-7. B. be ceedeth, "That this tendeth to foment Pride and

"Security, and taketh away diligent Watchfultection foments Pride," ness and Holy Fear, Humility, and the Useand taketh away the

"fulness of the Ordinances of Christ:" But Ulefulness of where Freedom from Sin is, where can Pride and Ordinances. Security have Place, or Diligence and Humility be wanting? But with him to fin is the way not to be proud and secure, but to be watchful and humble. Let the Judicious Reader judge, whether they, that break the Commands daily in Thought, Word and Deed, and affirm, They must do so all their Life-time, be more diligent and humble, and less proud and secure, than such as keep and obey them? For fuch Ordinances, as must be made useful by daily Breaking God's Commands in Thought, Word and Deed, I resolve never to cry up, but always cry down by the Grace of God, however J.B. may rail at me for it. Some Scriptures here added by him will come hereafter to be Examined. ¶ 2. Pag.

¶ 2. Pag. 332. N. 9. When he comes to take 1679. Notice of my stating this Matter, as not being fuch a Perfection, as cannot admit of a daily Increase, but only a being kept from Sin, and receiving Strength to fulfil the Will of God; (for these are my Words) he would upon this, both in this Place and elsewhere (pag. 333, 341, &c.) urge this Absurdity, " That since the least Sin is a "Transgression of the Law, it follows, that no " Regenerated Man can Sin, and that no Man that "finneth, is Regenerated:" But we will not wonder at his Inference here, confidering his many other Perversions. But to shew, he has no Ground to urge this Absurdity, let it be considered, that we are to consider Regeneration as be- Regeneragun and carrying on, and as perfected and action begun, complished; —— he which bath begun a good Work and perfectin you, saith the Apostle Paul, Phil. 1.6. And a ed. gain, Te did run well, Gal. 5. 7. with many other Places, which might be mentioned: Whereby it is clear, That Regeneration is not wrought in an Instant (and if he think so, he must proveit, e're he conclude any thing from it) and those were already Converted, and Regeneration begun in them. Now albeit fuch may fin, and that every Sin doth hinder and impede the Work of Regeneration, yet it doth not destroy it, nor wholly annihilate it. Physick given to a Man, in whom Physick there is an inward and inveterate Disease, doth not Cure in an cure inftantly; and albeit by some heedless A Inftant. ctions he may hinder the Cure from being perfected fo foon, yet every one of these Actions do not render it altogether unsuccessful. Also as to the A Child Comparison of a Child, which he accepts of, al Strength of beit he have all the integral Parts of a Man, yet a Man: so he has not that Vigour and Strength of Body, nor are born of yet that Understanding nor Exercise of Mind that God. a Man hath; and thence can neither defend him-* Ee

believed a

Freedom

from Sin.

1679. felf, nor do either in Body or Mind that a Man of God, saying, That I dare not affirm, but there may be some that cannot Sin; I understand this of absolute, compleat and full Regeneration: Not that I deny, but fuch as are Entred, and in Part Regenerated, may be also said to be born of God, though not in that absolute Sence; and therefore still under the Possibility of Sinning, and Capacity thereunto. And thus his great Abfurdity, upon which he infifts so much, is removed. Next he proceeds, p. 334. to flew my Agreement with the Pelagians; but the very Citation he brings to prove it out of Vosfius History, bewrays his Weakness and shews the contrary : where it is manifest, that the thing Condemned in Pelagius was his Affirming, Men might keep the Commands by the Power of Nature; which I never said, but always denied. And whereas he cites the Fathers Saying, The Fathers That none by the Strength of Grace did live all their Days without Sin; That the Perfection ofcribed to some in Scripture, was not from Nature, but from Grace, &cc. This clearly shews, they believed, Men might be free from Sin by Grace sometime, though none had been fo far all their Life-time : Which shews they were far from believing, Man must break the Commands daily in Thought, Word

and Deed, which is his Affirmation. What he adds of the Fathers Arguments against the Pelagians, and of the Opinions of the Socinians and others in this Matter, I judge it not my Work to meddle with it; I heed not in this what these Sells fay, but believe the Truth (without Respect to them) as it is clearly proposed in Scripture. I could eafily recriminate, by flewing things wherein he agrees with Papists, Socinians, Arminians, Antinomians, Pelagians, Anabaptists and others against us, if I judged it pertinent to be filling up Paper with such Stuff to make a Noise, as he doth

Hun-

Hundreds of Times to nauseating; but I love to 1679. abstain from such Superfluities, and come to the Purpose; and will now consider what he saith in

Answer to my Arguments.

¶ 3. He begins p. 337. n. 18. and to my faying, Their Doctrine is against the Wisdom of God, who is of purer Eyes than he can behold Iniquity, he asketh, Is it against these Attributes of God, that Sin should be in the World? But my following Words shew, I spake of the Godly; neither will it follow what he adds after, That then they must be as free of Sin here, as in Heaven, and that at first : for I urge it to be contrary to God's Wisdom, to make this Freedom impossible unto them only; Means for their being free being given them, and not his permitting Sin. And whereas he proceeds in Answer to my Saying, [That if Man be always joined to Sin, he should be always disjoined from God, according to Isaiah 59. 2. whereas on the contrary they, to wit, the Saints, are faid to be Partakers of the Divine Nature, 2 Pet. 1.4. and one Spirit with him, 1 Cor. 6. 17.] he answers, All this would plead for a Sinlesness from the very first Instant of Regeneration: In the absolute Sense Regenera-above-mentioned it doth, as also for the Necessian and Perfection ty of pressing after, and for the Possibility of ob- on pressed taining it after Regeneration begun; since so far after. as Man is joined to Sin, his perfect Regeneration is retarded. Yet, as himself towards the End of this Paragraph saith, It may be begun, where Some Members may yet be to be mortified: and albeit some Corruption be not wholly purged out, yet God can have Fellowship with his own Work of Grace in the Soul, and with the Soul, fo far as it is fanctified and renewed, but no further. 339. N. 19. he faith, I wickedly dispute for God, &c. to fay, ["It is against his Wisdom not to " have found Means whereby he might be served, Ee 2 bur

"but by fuch Actions, by which the Devil is no lefs,
"yea, is more ferved.] But his Charge is upon the naked Supposition, that their Dostrine is the Truth, which is pitifully to beg the Question. Yea, he indirectly (notwithstanding much winding about to avoid it) confesseth my Charge, saying, There is no formal Service perform'd to the Devil; so he grants some Material Service to be performed to him: Is not the Devil served, and that Service justly displeasing to God, unless it be a formal Service? For to serve the Devil formally, is to acknowledge him as their Master, and give him Service, as due to him; which many do not, who yet may be faid truly enough to ferve him. He addeth, That God hath seen meet, his Children be The Spiri-in a Spiritual Warfare: What then? Can no Man

daily the Commandments of God in Thought, Word and Deed.

tualWarlare be in a Warfare, unless he be overcome? Men may J. B. pleads be in a warrante, unless he be overcome: Internate for is not to be engaged in War, and may be liable to be affaulbut to break ted, yea, may be often times narrowed, straitned, befet by the Enemy, and sometimes wounded, and yet never overcome: But what he pleads for, is not only a Warfare, but a being worfted and overcome, and that every Day; for fo truly are fuch overcome by the Devil, who daily break the Commandments of God in Thought, Word and Deed, as he affirms of all God's Children. He goes on n. 20. to fay, I run my felf blind, in faying, it is against God's Justice, to require Men to abstain from all Sin, and not enable them to do it; because it would prove, all the Wicked are perfect, for God requires of them Obedience. But it feems, himself has been blind when he made this Answer: I never urged, that because God gave Men Power, therefore they are perfect, as he foolithly throughout this Paragraph imagineth; and then battereth against this Man of Straw of his own making. And that this proves, that wicked Men might, if they had not refifted God's Grace, have forfaken their their Wickedness, and been perfect, I deny not; 1679. neither doth he prove the contrary. He confesseth Man's Impersection to be of themselves, but he thinks, it cannot be accounted Unright cousness in God to require, and yet not to give that Meafure of Grace, whereby Men should become per-fect; because that Power, which was once given, was sinfully cast away. But all this dependeth upon the Supposition, that Man lost his Power in Adam; which was before discust, and is now in him but a begging of the Question. And when I shew, "That their Doctrine maketh God more J. B's "unjust than the vilest of Men, who will not give makes God "to their Children, asking Bread, a Stone, & c. to give a he reproacheth me as a Blasphemous Tongue. But Stone instead let us see, how he frees their Doctrine of this &c. foul Consequence: The Lord forbid (saith he) they hope for a Deliverance, but it is in Heaven. This Answer confirmeth the Charge, and doth not lessen it: And so for all his Brag, the Stone yet remains (according to them) instead of Bread, and is like to choak him, unless he find some better Way to digest it than thus; for God requires to for sake Sin here, and yet (according to them) denies the Power here: for concerning being free from Sin in Heaven, there is no Question. He addeth, pag. 341. That my saying, [Their Dostrine is injurious to the Sacrifice of Christ's Death, which was To take away Sin, destroys all I said of Universal Redemption: but he forgets to shew, How? Perhaps we may expect it next, fince his 8th Chapter is alrea. dy Answered. His saying, They affirm, that the Stain of Sin is taken away, and Victory obtained, doth not Answer, because they refer that to another Life; and the Question is concerning this. And to my faying, That if the Children of God fin in Thought, Word and Deed daily, then there is no Difference betwixt the Holy and Prophane; he answereth, The Difference is great, because what

1679. the Wicked do, is done with full Purpose of Heart, &c. but the Other mourneth over and repenteth of his Sin. This Difference is in Respect of Repentance, not of Sinning: in their Sinning they are

g. B's both alike. That there is a Difference betwixt Godly continue in Sin all him that continueth in Sin, and him that repent-their Life eth, I deny not; but fince he supposes the Godly time, and fin to continue in Sin all their Life time, yea, in daily Sinning, the Similitude still remaineth: and such

will do well to take heed, who break God's Commands daily in Thought, Word and Deed, left notwithstanding they may be in J. B's Account The Godly, yet in Jesus Christ's they prove such, to whom it shall be said, Depart, ye Workers of Ini-

quity, I know you not.

¶ 4. Pag. 343. N. 23. Instead of Answering my Argument shewing, Their Doctrine maketh the Work of the Ministry, Preaching and Praying, useless, he saith, Hence we see the Necessity of a standing Ministry, which I am against. This is false as shall appear. He adds, The Ministry is to bring them on toward Perfection; but the Question is, Whether that Perfection is not attainable here? For a Perfection that admitteth not of a Growth, I plead not. If he would have had this Answer understood to be to the Purpose, he should have faid, That such as sin not, cannot be said to admit of a Growth; which he doth not so much as attempt, nor offer to prove. What I affirm to the contrary in the Example of Christ, who, notwithstanding he was always free of Sin, is said to Increase in Favour both with God and Man, Luk. 2. 52. To this mentioned in my Apology (notwith-flanding his Prolixity) he is as mute as a Fish. How their Doctrine makes Prayers useless, I have

fhewn before: Instead of Answering Col. 4. 12.

Persection where Epaphras is said to Labour servently in prayed for, Prayers, that the Colossians might stand persect and ableness, Sc. compleat in all the Will of God; and to I Thess. 3. 12,

13. where

13. where Paul prays, That the Lord would make 1679. them increase and abound in Love, &c. to the End he might establish their Hearts unblameable in Holine(s; I fay, instead of Answering he makes Commentaries upon these Places (which in themfelves are as plain as can be) that this is, They should walk in Sincerity, and always be growing. And what if all this be granted? It will not follow, that it is impossible Men should be free of Sin here even by the Grace of God. And fure, where Men are perfect and compleat in all the Will of God, and unblameable in Holiness, they are not Sinning daily in Thought, Word and Deed. Thus the Reader may judge of this Man's Confidence, who faith, These Scriptures make against this Imagined Perfection, meaning that which is afferted by me. Pag. 343. he faith, My affirming, Men are called fustified or Reprobated in Respect of their being leavened with Sin or Righteousness (fermenting is a Piece of his own Pedantry, and none of the Quakers Dialect) would prove full Perfection to be Essential to the State of Christianity. Therefore I must answer it, as well as they, who deny that to be common to all the Regenerate. But it feems, he has not well understood his own New-Coined English Word [fermenting:] for one thing is not faid to be leavened with another, but where it hath much prevailed: Every Touch or Tafte of a Thing doth not leaven him with it, that fo toucheth or tasteth it, as all Men that underftand common Language know: And so every Sin is not enough to denominate a Man leavened with Sin. And so with his own Answer that follows, he loofeth the Knot he imagined I was tied by. What he adds afterward of Fulfilling the Law, urges nothing, but upon a Supposition of its being fulfilled by the meer Strength of Man; which I never affirmed. That no Man is called Ee 4 Tuft

But it is not called white, if it be more black than

1679. Just because of Inward Righteousness, is but his Vbare Supposition: As for the Word Inherent, so often repeated by him, it is none of mine. And to my urging, That the Subjett is denominated A wall that from the Accident, he faith, Tet that a Wall is cal-

is more led white, though the Whiteness be not perfect: black than white, can not be called white; which was the Pinch I urged, but flily o-T. B's Brea-verslip'd by him: And such must be those that kers of the break the Commands daily; for how such can be Commands daily, and yet fuch as have reworks of Darkness.

faid to be more Just than Sinful, is more than I can reconcile either with Scripture or Reason: sure, nounced the the Answer which he gives doth it not. To this Question, Where are then the Children of God and of Light? His Reply is with a notable Piece of inconfiftent Presbyterian Canting; " Even where these " are, who are giving to Christ much Work (to " speak so) to wash and make them clean from "their daily Pollutions and Defilements, and have "renounced the Works of Darkness, &c." I defire to know of him the next Time, how these can be faid to have Renounced the Works of Darkness, who have need to be washed from their daily Defilements? To my Argument shewing, That Christ's Command to be perfett, proves it possible; he faith, pag. 344. That this only proves, we should endeavour after it: But for this he addeth no Proof; we must rest contented with his meer Affirmation: As we must also do throughout the next, N. 26. where he confidently preacheth his own Sence of Scripture, instead of Answer or Reason; and then concludes with a Railing Saying, I am led by an Anti-Evangelical and Diabolical Spirit. He faith, That Matt. 7. 21. and some o-ther Places cited by me, prove nothing, without supposing, that no Man shall be saved who ever sinned; but without giving any Reason. That the Unconverted may be by the Grace of God Converted, verted, and consequently made Persett, I deny 1679. not. He saith, Rom. 6. Speaks only of the Dominion of Sin: And what then? Doth not every Sin bring him that commits it under the Power of that Sin in fo far? To the Instances of Enoch, Enoch, Noah and others, whom the Scriptures call perfect, Noah, &c. he goes about to prove, they fometimes Sinned ; in Scriptures And what then? The Question is not, Whether they always were without Sin? but Whether they never were without it, and finned daily? which is his Affirmation: Which if they had done, they could at no Time have been called perfect. As for his other Glosses, it will be time to receive them when he proves them; it is not enough to make them Authentick with me, though Augustin had approved: If he will fubscribe to all Augufin's Glosses of Scripture, I may give him a further Answer.

¶ 5. Pag. 346. N. 28. He comes to take Notice of my Answers to their Arguments: And first to my Answer to their Arguing from 1 Job. 1. v. 8. If we say we have no Sin, we deceive our selves, &c. That this will not prove, the Apostle includeth more than James c. 3. v. 9, 10. He answereth, The Apostle is included, though not for the present q. B's Time. If it be not for the present Time, then it presented will not plead for Sinning daily in Thought, Word Sinning daiand Deed, which is the Case in hand. Next b. supposing the Apostle were not included, he saith, It is enough that Believers are included: But this he affirms without Proof; troubling himself and the Reader to prove, that those John wrote to, were Believers, which no Body will deny: yet though they were included, it will not prove such a continual and daily Sinning, as they plead for. In Answer to my shewing, the Words are, -Have not Sin, and not -Te Sin not; he only proves, That they did, or may sin: which I deny not. And then when I say, It may be affirmed of the Seed

of

1679. of Sin, he concludes This to be finful, so as to af-fest the Man; but minds not to prove it: And with this Manner of Begging the Question he concludes this Paragraph. Pag. 347. Tomy shewing, that in 1 Kings 8. 46. and Ecclef. 7. 20. there is nothing said of Sinning daily, he answers, " It " is express in Ecclesiastes That there is not a just Man upon Earth, that doth good and finneth not " clearly Importing, that even in their doing " good they fin." But that this is clearly imported he affirmeth, but proveth not; though there be no Man that Sinneth not, it will not follow, they Sin daily. And for his Alledging, "That "my Answer, That it will not thence follow, " that though there was none that did not fin at "that Time, there are none such now, or that it " is impossible there should be such will infer, "there was none then Regenerate, no not Solo-"mon himself:" What if I should fay so, understanding Regeneration in the absolute Sence? To what I shew from the Hebrew Word, that it may be interpreted, not that finneth not, but that may not sin; he tells me in Sum, That it is but Vanity, and this, he faith, is obvious to every Rea-The Apo-der; To whom we will then leave it. To my

Rle's faying, Affirming, That the Apostle is not (Rom. 7. 14.)

&c. made a speaking of himself, but personating others in Plea for Sin that State, after he has told me, that Socinians with his and Arminians say so, he tells me, The Circum-Meanieg put stances of the Text evince the contrary: and then without gives a kind of a Preachment upon the Place,

which I shall accept as a Declaration of his Sence, but must wait the next Time to have him prove it. He saith, The Apostle doth not contradict this, Chap. 6. 2. That the Apostle doth not contradict himself, is without doubt to me; but he must endeavour to reconcile the Meaning he gives to the Apostle's Words, when he has Leisure. He saith,

Paul in a Respect was a carnal Man; but unless

he

he prove him to have been so in Respect of Sin-1679. ning at that Time, he saith nothing. To my urging Rom. 8.35. where the Apostle saith, Nothing shall separate him; because where Sin is continued, there is a Separation. He denieth, That where Sin is striven and wrestled against, it maketh a Separation; but the Matter is, How he proveth, that those who strive and wrestle against Sin, do daily commit Sin? And until he do this, he but begs the Question. To prove the Impossibility of being free from Sinning daily, from the Examples of Noah's and David's Sins, he useth this Argument:

If these Men, whom the Spirit of God stileth J.B's ArPerfect, and Men according to God's Heart, Sinning daibave had their Failings, and these Failings ly in thought
are registered for our Use; then we have no word and
Scripture-Warrant for such a Perfection here,
as is not attended with Sin: [he should have
faid, as doth not admit a Sinning daily in
Thought, Word and Deed, if he would have
concluded according to the State of the Question.]

But the former is true: Therefore, &c.

But I deny the Consequence of this Proposition, Resulted, or the Connexion of the Major: Besides the Argument is desective divers Ways, if he had stated and then proved it, That is such, whom the Scripture call Perset, did break the Commands daily in Thought, Word and Deed; then he had argued to the Purpose. And for their Failings being recorded for our Use, it cannot inser the Necessity of our Sinning daily, unless he will be so absurd as to say, that they are therefore Recorded, that we may Imitate their Failings, and not avoid them. In sine, let him cause his Argument conclude in the Term of the Question, to wit, That every Man, notwithstanding any Grace received, must sin daily

daily in Thought, Word and Deed, and prove his Propositions, and he shall not want either an Acknowledgment, or an Answer. And lastly, to conclude this Chapter, he faith, " I should rather " have cited the Old Begardi, than the Fathers, The Old Begardi and and the Old Alumbrados, who had the same O. Alumbrados " pinion, and Practices suitable." But if their mentioned Opinion was, That Men may be free from Sin, by J. B. to have the and their Practices fuitable, fure then they were Same Opinion of Perperfect; and if so, deserve more to be followed fection. than J. B. or his Brethren, whose Principle and Practice (as himfelf confesseth) is for Sin, and daily continuing in it, against any Perfection, except such as can admit of Sin: For To be Breaking the Commands daily in Thought, Word and Deed, is Effential to his Christianity.

SECT. X.

Wherein his Fifteenth Chapter Of Perseverance is Considered.

feem, the Man fancieth he hath got into the Pulpit; for he affirms, as if all that read him, were bound to believe without further Inquiry. For after he has introduced himself with his old Accusation of Pelagianism, he concludeth, This Dodrine of the Possibility of Falling from Grace to depend upon Free-will; and ushereth in a long Invective against this, as maintained by me upout the Supposition of his old, reiterated Calumny, "That I afferted, All the Regeneration of the "Saints to proceed only from the Light of Na-"ture, without the effectual Operation of the Spi-"rit of Grace:" which how false it is, hath above been

been shewn. He giveth us a large Citation out of 1679. their Confession of Faith, with an Account thence deduced (or Explanation thereupon) In what Respect they hold Perseverance; wherein if he will hold to the first afferted by him, to wit, That they affert not the Perseverance of any that are not truly Regenerated, we are Agreed: for in that Sence I never did deny it. And then he gives Eight Confiderations for their Doctrine; all which conclude nothing, but upon the Supposition of the Truth of their former Principles, especially of Election and Absolute Reprobation: So that it is but a Begging of the Question, as his very Eighth Consideration shews, pag. 356. N. 14. to wit, That the Affirming this Dostrine (to wit, That there may be a falling away from the Beginnings of true and faving Grace) will give a Blow unto many Articles of their Faith. But can this have any Weight to convince such, as do not believe these Articles of their Faith? It seems then, it is not for me, or any Quaker, that this is written; fo we are the less concerned to trouble our selves with it.

¶ 2. At last he comes Pag. 357. N. 15. to Examine my Arguments: And first to what I urge from Jude ver. 4. where it is spoken of some, that turned the Grace of God into Wantonness, he saith, This is not understood of the true Grace of God; but External Grace, such as is that Tit. 2. 12. which teacheth to deny Ungodliness: But for this he gives 7, B. affects no Proof. Next, it seems to him, The Grace of That the God that teacheth to deny Ungodliness, mentioned God, that Tit. 2. v. 12. is not the true Grace of God: Where teaches to learned he this? Or how proveth he it? He saith, liness, is not To understand the Faith, which some are said to the True have made Shipwrack of, 1 Tim. 1. 19. to be true the Falling and faving Faith, is contrary to 2 Tim. 2. 17. and from Faith, other Places where the Doctrine of Faith is spoken ly from the

of; Doctrine of

1679. of; thence he concludes, It was only the Doctrine of Faith they fell from: But this is a Conclusion fit only for Credulous Persons, and proveth nothing; unless he will argue, because in some Places the Doctrine of Faith is spoken of, therefore whereever Faith is spoken of, it must be understood of the Doctrine of Faith, and not of true and faving Faith: which were most absurd. He saith to Heb. 6.4, 5. The Words are not Absolute, but Conditional, if they fall away: but such a Condition importeth the thing supposed to be possible, being given for a Caution. He adds, "There is nothing "there, that is necessarily to be understood of true and saving Grace;" but let him inform according to Scripture, How any Man can come to taste of the Heavenly Gift, and of the Powers of the Life to come, and be made Partaker of the Holy Ghost, without true and saving Grace? For what he adds to this, being built upon the Supposition of Election, I refer it to what is above faid upon this Subject. He concludes Vossius's Testimony to be false, in saying, That this was the common Opinion of the Antients: But if so little Credit be to be given him, he did not well that made fo much Use of him to prove, what was Pelagius's Doctrine, as he has done throughout this Treatise. For John Owen's Citations, I have neither Accommodation nor Time at prefent to Examine them; it is enough to me, that this is contrary to Scripture, though all these he mentions had faid fo. To prove, That Men may have a good Conscience, and yet want true Faith, he bringeth Paul's Words, Alts 23. v. 1. where speaking of himself while a Pharisee, he saith, He lived in all good Conscience before God, &c. but that will not meet this Case. Those I Tim. 1.19. who are faid to Make Shipwrack of a good Conscience, are fuch, who believed the true Doctrine of Faith in Christ;

Christ; as himself before acknowledgeth: Now, 1679. albeit a Man may be faid to live in good Consci. ence to other Principles, while ignorant of this; A Manmay yet he should prove, How a Man can be said to Conscience have a good Conscience with respect to the true to other Faith of Christ, held by him, and yet without Principles, while ignofaving or true Grace? With Railing he tells me, rant of the pag. 358. N. 18. that Phil. 1. 6. and I Pet. 1. 5. true Faith in speak of God's Beginning and Perfecting the Condition: And what then? Yet God doth not this against our Wills; it is with Respect to our Performing the Conditions on our Part: which yet we cannot do without him. Then he goes about to prove, That Paul could not fall, in Answer to my saying from 1 Cor. 9. 27. That Paul supposeth a Possibility that he might become a Reprobate: But if the Reader confider, how I bring that in my Apology, he will find, he had no Reason for this Cavil; for I alledged it only to reprove those that are too too fecure, flewing, where Sin was, there was always a Ground of Jealoufy: Since the Apostle did reckon it needful to keep under his Body, to fubdue Sin, that he might not become a Reprobate : Which fince the Apostle did, but upon this Supposition, if he did not keep under bis Body, suppose possible, others had no Reason to presume.

SECT.

1679.

7. B's

Faith and

Principles are only

taught by

Nature.

SECT. XI.

Wherein his Sixteenth Chapter Of the Church, his Seventeenth Of the Ministerial Call, his Eighteenth, Nineteenth and Twenty First, Of their Qualifications, Office and Maintenance; and his Treentieth Of Women's Preaching, is considered.

I. T Is Chapter of the Church is foon difpatchbut Perversions and Railing. For after he has given a large Citation out of their Confession of Faith, and then added some Enlargements of his own, and fome little, nibbling Cavils, to what I fay of-No Salvation being without the Church. P. 361. he goes on with his old reiterated Calumny, That I suppose, Men may be made Members of the Catholick Church by the Light of Nature; which is utterly false. And upon this False Supposition is built his N. 5. P. 362. as also what he faith, p. 364. But N. 4 he screws this to a greatgross Calum-er Pitch of Falshood, affirming, That what I fay ny, That our of a Particular Church, gathered together in the Faith of the true Principles and Doctrines of Christ, by the Spirit of God and Testimony of Some the Light of of his Ministers, is, that these are Persons only taught by the Light of Nature, and by such Mini-sters, as preach nothing of the Gospel. Against a Man thus desperately resolved and determined to Lie and Calumniate, there can be no Gaurd; but fure all Sober Readers will abhor fuch Dealing. What I speak of a Church in this Respect, is only of such, as have the Advantage of the

outward Knowledge of Christ, as my Words afterwards shew; where I say, Such were the Chur-

ches

ches gathered by the Apostles, of which the Scrip. 1679. ture makes Mention. And therefore what he Objects, That cannot be done by Pagans, is wholly Impertinent; and doth but verify the Grosness of his Calumny; which he endeavours to inculcate as a Truth to his Reader, p. 363. as if, what I say further of the things requisite to be a Member of this Particular Church, were a third Sort, and not a more particular Description of the former: Which the Reader may eafily observe by looking to the Place, to be a meer Fetch of his, to afford himself some Matter of Cavil. Which imagining he has got, he fills up the Paragraph with gross Lies and Railing, saying; That the 7. B's sur-Quakers believe not the Holy Truths set down in gainst us, of the Scriptures, because they oppose and contradid the Scriptures, of them: That they believe not in, nor make Profesh tures, of Christ, and on of Jesus Christ Revealed in the New Testament, our Faith. because they oppose him and all his Institutions: That Faith, according to them, is not wrought by the Spirit of God; but that Nature can sweetly and naturally incline, yea, Compel thereunto: All which are gross Calumnies. And then he concludeth. faying; And thus we have run round, and are again where we began: Which is very true; for he began with Calumnies, and having run round the same Way, his Work Resolves in them. Pag. 364. He affirmeth, Men may be Members of the Visible Church (and consequently ought to be reputed such) who are ungodly and without Holiness: and offereth to make it good, if I will form a Dispute upon it; but I leave him, as to this, to dispute with his Learned Dr. Owen. whose Works he has applauded in this Treatise: and whom his Postscript-Brother R. M. has in his Preface to this J. B's Book highly Commended. as a gracious Man. As for his filly Argument, that from the Apostle's saying, Acts 2. 39.—The * Ff P10.

Promise is unto you, and to your Children; and I Cor. 7. 14. it follows, Men become Members of the Church by Birth; I leave him to debate it with his great Author Thomas Hicks, who will tell him (if he be Consonant to his own Principles) it is a Babylonish Invention. But J. B. hath here unawares Contradicted himself: For if these Scriptures prove, Men become Members of the Church by Birth, then the Sprinkling them with Water

out their Eaby Baptilm, from making them Church-Members.

J. B. shuts sometime after they are born, or their Baby-Baptism, is not necessary to make them Members of the Church; and they are to be accounted fuch without it. He faith; I am mistaken, when I Say, Antichrist built his Structure upon this Foundation, (to wit, That Men without Holiness may be Members of the true Church) because he applieth all the Priviledges of the Invisible Church unto his Visible Synagogue of Satan: Whereas this sheweth, that I am not Mistaken; but that my Affirmation is true: For if he, to wit, Antichrist did believe Holiness to be necessary to make a Member of the true Church, he could not apply the Priviledges of the Invisible Church unto his Visible Members; most of which he well knows (as often-times himself) are not only void of, but Enemies to Holiness. It is false, That I agree with him in his not distinguishing betwixt the Visible and Invible Church; and yet much more in un Cchurching all, who are not of his Combination: In which albeit J. B. most Impudently infinuates, I approach to him; yet himfelf cannot but know it to be a most manifest Falshood: Since I suppose, some of all Sects of Christians may be Members of the Catholick Church; and he knows, and has observed here, how Contrary the Pope is to this Doctrine. At last he concludes this Chapter with a Fit of Railing, of which the last Words must not pass without Observation; to wit, That instead of true Holiness I press upon them a Natural

ral, Dead and anti-Evangelical Morality. Now 1679. this Morality, as pressed by me, he himself confessed before to be such, as the Law of Nature demos a Motaught, (albeit in Truth I pressed none, but what rality which is through the Light of Christ, or Grace of God himself hath that is by Christ) which he acknowledged did lead be just, holy Men not to Murder, not to Steal, not to commit and good. Adultery; which he confessed also was Just, Holy and Good. And so it seems, according to him that which is Holy, Fust and Good, not to Murder, not to Steal, not to commit Adultery, is no Part of true Holines; yea, is Anti Evangelick and Contrary to the Gospel. Now if I would insist after his Method, having much more Reason than he, I might at large shew, what a Pagan-Gospel to purpose his must be, that is Contrary to Honefty, Chastity and Innocency; albeit I deny not, but the True Gofpel teacheth more, than the Height of meer Morality.

1 2. He beginneth his 17th Chapter, entituled, Of a Ministerial Call, after the Repetition of some Part of my 10th Thesis, with his old reiterated Calumny, and false Supposition, That I offirm, Men to be called and qualified to the Ministry by the Light of Nature: and to this Purpose to help him fill up the Paper, he infifteth p. 369, 370, 371. Which being false, all that is built upon it falls to the Ground. In this Chapter also he is very liberal of his Railing: Take one Instance, p. 372. where he faith; "That the Quakers are Pagan-" Preachers, who know not the Gospel; but are " fworn Enemies to it, and plain Subverters of "it, and all the Ordinances thereof: " And p. 378. he faith; "They are a Company of the most desperate, Antichristian Opposers of Christ " and all his Appointments, that ever the Sun " shined on. " More of this kind may be seen

p. 374, 375, and 376.

1679. Pag. 366. N. 3. He faith; When I speak of a True Call to the Ministry, I must suppose tenthings; which he after enumerateth: And albeit I judge my felf not obliged to follow him in such Excursions, yet for the Reader's Satisfaction let it be observed, That I deny not, but what I speak here, is with a Relation to a Visible Church, which is his first Sup-

Properties of Position. Secondly, That I acknowledge, that in it a tree Call. there must be a standing Ministry, which is necessarial the second of the seco

fary; and this is his Second and Third. That I acknowledge this to be an Institution of Jesus Christ; which is his Fourth. That none ought to take this upon him, without being lawfully called thereunto; which is his Fifth. That also None may take upon them that work, but such as are Called to the Ministry, so as to exercise it constantly, as exercised by Ministers: Tet a Man may. when particularly called by the Spirit thereunto, do that which is the Work of a Minister: which his doing pro hic & nunc maketh him not a Minister properly; and this is his Sixth. That neither the Work nor Office is common to all the Members, fo that they may not do it simply, as Members; which is his Seventh and Eighth. That a Call differeth from Gifts and Qualifications; which is his Ninth. And lastly, That there are some Rules in the Scripture (if he understand general Rules, as I suppose he doth) which distinguish a true Call from a false; which is his Tenth Supposition: Now wherein I here disagree from other Quakers, or my self, as he infinuateth, he may be pleased next time to I might pass, what he saith in the next Paragraph, p. 368. concerning the feveral Sorts

J. B. his di. of Calls to the Ministry, as containing no Answer vers corts of to me; were it not to shew, that he there but begs the Question, and contradicts himself. (1.)

He begs the Question, while he supposes that the Approbation and Concurrence of Men in a Call hinders the Call from being Immediate; and that

sbers

there is no immediate Call now: Which he all af- 1679. firms without Proof. (2.) Of Mediate Calls he faith, Some are rare and singular, when a Church is erecting: and other ordinary, according to the Rules fet down in the Word. So it feems, the Rare and Sigular Call, which is usually ascribed to that of the First Reformers, was not according to the Rules prescribed in the Word: But if such Rules be fet down; by what Authority without the Word can he Affirm, they may be dispensed with, if he Contradict not his own Principles? (2.) He faith; There must be an Inward Call. which is the Signification of God's Mind of his Calling and Appointing him to the Ministry: This is good; and it is false that he saith p. 372. That this will not fatisfy us. Yet he cannot stand to this, but contradicts it, p. 372. speaking of my Words thus; What meaneth he by this must be called by the Spirit?] Is this an inward Inspiration or Enthusiasm. Saying to the Man, he must go preach? We reject all such Fancies, &c. But is not The true and an Inward Call fignifying God's Mind to a Man, Inward Call. of his Calling him to the Ministry, an Inward Inspiration, telling him, he must go preach? Or can an inward Call be without an Inward Infpiration? The Reader may judge of these Inconsistencies. As to his Question, p. 369. Whether to be instructed by the Inward Vertue and Power of God in the Heart, be so necessary to a Minister, that he cannot be without it? I fay, it is ; and the Reader may observe, how he is pinched, while himself is loth to say otherwise, p. 370. yet at last he g. B's Gosfaith, He dare not say it; referring to his Learn pel-Ministers ed Mr. Durbam (as he calls him) and giving the Call and Qualification Example of Judas, of which hereafter. However on may awe fee, according to him, That not only one who gree to the wanteth Holiness, but even a Devil may and ought to self; whom be esteem'd, heard and obeyed as a Minister of Christ; yet they will be the self of the Ff 2 and way from,



1679. and that all they judge needful in the Call and Qualification of a Gospel-Minister, may agree to the Devil himself: nor can they be sure, but their Ministers may be all Devils, for ought they know. It is false, that he addeth in this Page 370. That I agree with Socinians and Arminians, in Affirming, That whoever understands the Truth of the Gospel, and are able to instruct others, may and have Right to Teach: This I no where Affirmed; and do wholly deny; whatever Knowledge or Ability a Man have to Instruct, by reason of his Gifts either Natural or Acquired, that he ought to take upon him to Teach, without being particularly Called thereunto: And therefore the Scriptures he brings against such, as say so, are not to the Purpose against me. To my First Argument he confesseth, That it proveth the Necessity I speak of, to make a Man a real, upright and sincere Minister before God; but that any, that are not real and upright, are to be efteemed Ministers at all, or heard as such, I deny; and remains for him to prove. Why are we so often forbidden to hear false Teachers? And that this is not only with Respect to Teaching false Do-Urine, the Apostle shews, 2 Tim. 3: v. 5. where he exhorts to Turn away from such, as have the Form of Godliness only (which cannot consist with false Doctrine.) To my Second Argument mentioned p. 372. he Confesseth: What he saith further in that Paragraph is above answered. To my Argument shewing, That if the Inward Testimony of the Spirit be not thought needful, the Gospel-Ministry should be post-poned to the Legal, he most ridiculously answers; Then the Jews needed to doubt of the Priests and Livites: Whereas my Argument was, If they were certain, and we should be uncertain, it would make the Evangelical worse, than the Legal; and therefore to this he returneth nothing further but Railing. Pag.

Pag. 273. n. 10. he asketh, How I will prove, That 1679. all such, as want the Call of the Spirit, come not in' by the Door, but are Thieves and Robbers? Affirming, Here a Man may come in the Way appointed by Christ, though they want this: Whereas before p. 369. and in the End of this Page he affirms the Necessity of an Inward Call, saying; They must have an inward Call. I runnot out, as he alledgeth, upon a Mistake, in saying, The Succession of the Church is objected against this Doctrine; albeit J. B. and his We may not do so: since I write to others, than he will perhaps include in his We. He bestoweth his n. 12. p. 374. in Railing, and referring to what is formerly faid by him, p. 375. n. 13. To my Answer to that Objection, That who pretend to an Immediate Call, should prove it by Miracles, shewing, It was the same objected by Papists against the Primitive Protestants; he in a frothy Manner desires me to take it thus, and it 7. B. pleads will be too hot for my Fingers: That they, who for Miracles, had Immediate Calls from God, were able to give E-dece a Call. vidence of the sameby Miracles, or some other evident Testimony of the Spirit; which to contradict had been Iniquity, and utterly Unreasonable: I grant the whole; and therefore defire him to shew me, and prove it, What way the First Reformers did thus evidence their Call, which is not done by those called Quakers? But his Probation must be somewhat folider, than the Railing, with which he filleth up the rest of this Paragraph. P. 376. n. 14. (as it should be marked) he argueth against my saying; That such as receive and believe the Call of true Ministers, verify it, and become the Signs of their Apostleship, 2 Cor. 13. 3. albeit this was the very Answer given by Beza to Claudius Espenseus at the Conference of Poissy by Papists against Protestants: And let him urge this if he can, any way against us, which may not be as well urged by Protestants against Papists? And if he cannot, Ff4

1679. he doth but work for his great Father the Pope, to whom (to their great Shame) the Protestant Clergy begin to recur to justify their Calling.

ward Life is their true Call and Title.

The In- begins the next with a filly, groundless Perverfion and Inference, viz. That because I say, that this, to wit, the Inward Life and Virtue which is in true Ministers, is that, which giveth to the Minister the true and substantial Call and Title; it follows, That the Extraordinary Call was no true and substantial Title: As if any Extraordinary Call wanted this Life and Virtue; and that albeit it prove an Evidence to fuch as receive them, yet some may have it, who are Rejected of Rebelli-ous Men. To prove the Necessity of Laying on of Hands, he asketh, Why then were Hands laid The Apo-upon Paul and Barnabas? Alls 12. 3. citing other Places. Answ. Because there was then a Spiritual

Having ended this Paragraph with Railing, he

Ales and their laying

on of Hands, Virtue communicated by that Action, which they do differ from J. B.s., ascribe not to theirs; yea, the Places cited by him prove it, as Mark 16. 18. Luke 13. 13. where the Laying on of Hands is faid to cure the Sick. faid not, that the Laying on of Hands always was the giving of the Holy Ghost; it is enough if it was a Communicating of some Spiritual Virtue; which by their own Confession theirs is not. After he has ended this Paragraph with Railing, he ends this Chapter with Observing the Infallibility pleaded for in Ministers by some Quakers; but if he judgeth them to Err in this, he should have applied himself to them, answering the Arguments by which they vindicate what they fay in that Matter.

¶ 3. I come now to his Eighteenth Chapter of Ministerial Qualifications: Where, after he has The Grace begun and repeated some Words of mine, he will of God doth have the Grace of God to respect not the effe or qualify the Minister or being; but bene esse or well being of a Minister; reacher. albeit elsewhere he would be mincing this, and

eating it up, yet it appears to be his Belief. To 1679. prove which, he asketh, pag. 380. What I think of Balaam, who is called a Prophet, not a false Prophet? But he hath not proved, That no more is required in a Gospel Minister, than in a Prophet, meerly to foretel Things to come. God's Speaking to him, urgeth nothing; for God spake also to Cain, as himself confesseth, Chap. 3. yet it will not follow, that Cain had all the Qualifications requisite to a Gospel Minister. To my Answer of Judas, that they had not proved he wanted Grace when Called; he refers to what is written of the Possibility of falling from Grace: To which also I refer it. And in this also resolveth what he saith pag. 380. n. 4. In his very first Paragraph he has his Old Calumny; That all the Power, Virtue and Life of the Spirit, according to me, is not to be understood of what is imported by these Words in Scripture: And this he infinuateth again pag. 379, 380, 384. But as this is false, so what is built upon it falls to the Ground. Because I deny the absolute Necessity of Humane Learning to ences may the Ministry, therefore he infinuates, as if I but make no thought it utterly *Useless*, pag. 379. which is Gospelfalse. And so what he saith, p. 382, 383, 384. to prove the Usefulness of Natural Sciences, is to no purpose against me, who deny not their Usefulness among Men; nor yet fay, when well improved, they are useless to a Minister, or that such things may not be improved by a Minister, when acted by the Spirit so to do, as Paul did the Saying of the Heathen Poet. The thing then I only deny is, That they are absolutely needful Qualifications to a Minister. What he mentions to be said by Calvin of the Philosophy spoken of by Paul, Col. 2.8. I can very well agree to, without Prejudice to any thing faid by me; I do not fay, as he falfly affirms, p. 383. That Learning and Grace are contradictory. And whereas he faith, Heis far trom

1679. from faying, that Learning is more necessary than Grace; he doth but cheat his Reader, and contradict himself and his Learned Mr. Durham, who makes Grace only needful to the well-being, but Learning to the Being of a Minister: And their Admitting of Ministers shews this; for they will admit none, till they be fure he has Learning. But many whom they are not fure have Grace; yea, upon the Supposition they want Grace, yet they think, they ought to be held and reputed by the People as true and lawful Ministers. And whereas he infinuateth, pag. 383. that I bring in a Fable, which he faith, I have ready at Hand; if without he dare charge me in this with the Afferting of Grace none a Falshood in Matter of Fact, I will give Evidence Member of for Proof; the Persons being yet alive: But un-Christ's Bo-dy, which is til he do that, my knowing the thing to be true, the Church, gives me Ground enough to affert it. To my Argument shewing, That without Grace a Man cannot be a Member of Christ's Body, which is the

Church, far less a Minister; instead of Answer, after he has accused me, as not understanding the Difference betwixt the Visible and Invisible Church, he tells, Christ is an Head to both; which I deny not. That I apply Epb. 4. 7. 11. 16. 1 Cor. 12. folely to the Invisible Church, fo as to exclude the Visible, is his Mistake, not my Ignorance. Then he goeth about to shew the Difference betwixt Gift and Grace; but that any had the Gifts there mentioned, who were altogether void of Grace, remains for him to prove. Besides what is mentioned, he is not sparing of his Calumnies in this Chapter; as where he faith, pag. 382. That I deny, that about the Time of Reformation there was a Christian World: which is false in Respect of Profession; in which Sence I only here understood it. And pag, 385. albeit he find me Calling the Herefy of Arius horrid; yet upon the Trust of his Author Mr. Clapham he affirmeth, The Qua-

kers

kers to be Erroneous in this: But sure, I have bet- 1679. ter Reason to be acquainted with the Quakers Do-Arines, than any of his lying Authors. Another of his Calumnies is, pag. 386. That we lay aside all Means in coming to the Saving Knowledge of God's Name. And albeit his Railing in this Chapter be thick enough, that the Reader may eafily observe it; yet for his more particular Direction let him observe 380, 381-385, 386. And whereas pag. 386. N. 11. he enumerateth several Particulars, wherein he affirmeth, We agree with Papists; he may find them Refuted and Answered in G. K's Book, called Quakerism no Popery. And in the last two Sections of that Book written by me, he may find himself and his Brethren proved far more guilty of that Crime than we; which because the Professor John Menzies, against whom it is written, found not yet Time to answer, he, if Number as having more Leifure, may assume that Pro- and Increase vince. If the Increase of our Number be, as he bespeak Delusion, J. B's faith, a clear Verification of 2 Theff. 2. 9, 10, 11, surpaffeth 12. that we are of the Deluded Ones there Spoken Ours; and of; then it must be a clearer Verification of it, in Confusion. as to them, that they are of that deluded Company, fince they are more Numerous than we, and also increased more suddainly. As for his Exhortations and Wishes in the End, because I will be so Charitable, as to suppose they come from some Measure of Sincerity, I do not wholly reject them: only I must tell him, that nothing has more conduced of an External Means, to confirm me in the Belief of the Verity of the Principles I hold, than his Treatise, because of the many gross Cathan his Treatife, because of the many gross Ca- J. B's lumnies, manifest Perversions and turious Railing gross Calomin it; since I know, the Truth needed no such fions and Method to defend it; and I cannot believe, one Railing: in the Truth would use it; fince Lying is contra-Truth needed no such
ry to the Truth. Therefore if he will lay aside way and all this Falshood and Passion, he may have a more Defence.

1679. fure Ground of Hope to see the Truth manifested

to the Dispelling of Error.

¶ 4. He beginneth his Nineteenth Chapter of the Ministerial Office with supposing, That their Order is according to Scripture; and that what we plead for is quite contrary: And so ushereth himfelf into a Kant of Railing, with which he con-cludeth this Paragraph, faying, "That the Evil "Spirit that acteth us, is such an Enemy to all "Gospel-Order, that it crieth up only Paganish and Devilish Consusion." More of this kind the Reader may observe, pag. 388, 389, 391, 392-His Calumnies and Perversions are also very frequent in this Chapter; as p. 387. where he faith, We cast away all Order, and instead thereof bring in the Confusion of Babel: and p. 388. because we are not for the Shadow without the Substance, therefore he faith, We make a Repugnancy betwixt them; which is also talse. And again in the same Page N. 4. because I say, [" It was ne-" ver the Mind of Christ to establish the Shadow " of Officers, without the Power and Efficacy of "the Spirit"] therefore he concludes, That the Quakers think; that Men can establish the Spirit: Which filly Perversion will easily be manifest to every intelligent Reader. And after the like manner p. 289. N. 5. because I say, [" That upon set-"ting up meer Shadows, where the Substance was "wanting, the Work of Antichrist was erected " in the dark Night of Apostacy"] he concludes that then (according to me) Christ and his Apostles wrought the Work of Antichrist and Mystery of Iniquity; accusing me thence of Blasphemy: But who can be so blind, as not to see this manifest Perversion? And again, p. 390. he faith; I will, that every Man according as his own Spirit (falfly called the Spirit of God) moveth him, fetting to this Falle Mo-Work, (meaning that of the Ministry:) Which is

tions are de- a false Calumny never said by me, who deny all med.

false Motions of Man's own Spirit, however cal- 1679. led. And p. 391, he faith, That Malice prompteth me to charge them with owning the Distinction of Clergy and Laity, though I know they do not : Where the Man supposeth, that what I write, is only written against the Presbyterians; while he cannot but know, that I write against others; fince in his first Chapter he charges me with Writing against all the Christian World: So it is his Malice to fay, I charge them with it; if any of those I write to, be guilty of it, it is enough: albeit I doubt, whether the Presbyterians can free themselves of it.

1 5. Having thus far discovered his Perversions, I come to the main Business. Pag. 388. he faith. "They plead not for Shadows, but own the Or-"dinances, as Christ hath appointed to remain and continue for the Perfecting of the Saints, "Ec. Eph. 4. 11, 12, 13." And pag. 389. N. 6. he asketh, "Whether the Primitive Church was " not Instituted by Christ, and gathered by God, "in whose Assemblies he was Ruler and Gover-" nor? Asking, Were there no diffinct Officers, " particular individual Persons set apart for the "Work of the Ministry in the Apostles Days?" And p. 391. N. 7. he argueth against my Saying, That these mentioned i Cor. 12. 28, 29. and Rom.

12, 6. were not distinct Officers, but only differ- J. B. his
ent Operations of the same Spirit: And against Pleaton a
Detective this also he pleadeth, p. 393. N. 11. and p. 394. Number of To all which I answer distinctly and particularly officers from Scrip-That they can plead nothing from Eph. 4. unless ture. their Church had all the Officers there mentioned, which it has not; yea, and which themselves af-firm, are ceased: Such as Prophets, Apostles, which are said to be given for the Work of the Ministry and perfecting of the Saints, nothing less than the other. And by what Authority do they then turn these by, and plead so tenaciously for the o-

1679. ther? Let him give a Reason for this next; and by the same we shall answer what he urges from this: But he must remember, it is not enough for him barely to fay, These are extraordinary and are ceased, and the other ordinary and remain; but he must prove it by plain Scripture, or else be justly rejected, as but begging the Question. As he doth p. 394. where he supposeth, there were only Thirteen Apostles, or perhaps Fourteen, if Barnabas be accounted one; fince he confesseth, the Word fignifies one Sent; and therefore whoever is fent, is properly an Apostle. will his other Argument return upon his own Head, for fince such (as he faith) were settled and ordained in the Church by Christ and his Apostles; how come they to walk fo contrary to Christ's Order, as to want, yea, and to judge such unnecessary in their Church? And as for all the Scriptures cited by him, to shew the Distinction of such Church Officers from other Members, they are not to the Purpose against me; who deny not, but Members were to be diffinguished: But yet that proves not, that any Member was barred from these Exercifes, when called by the Spirit thereto; which is the thing in Question. As for his saying, That the Apostle is speaking of the Church, I Cor. 12. as an Organical Body; If he means, the Apostle is comparing the Church to a Body, to which it answers in many Respects, I deny not; but if he say, that it answers in all, I leave him to prove

J. B's de- it: However then, if we make Application of it, Church, if wanting Eyes prove a very lame one: For in this Body (as J. B. and Ears, must needs Deaf.

himself observes) the Apostle names Apostles and be Blind and Prophets; and if we may suppose, that these, as being the most Eminent, are the chiefest Members, as the Eyes and Ears of the Body; their Church that wanteth these, must be blind and deaf. And whereas he would make my faying [That the A-

as the Apostle illustrateth it; their Church will

postle

postle meaned here different Operations Ridicu- 1679. lous; he but sheweth his own Folly: for if the Apostle point at different Offices, they will not only want Apostles, Prophets and Evangelists, but a great many more. For the Apostle nameth also ver. 28. Miracles, Gifts of Healing, Helps, Go. vernments, Diversities of Tongues, &c. These then must all be distinct Offices also: how come they to want them in their Church? Or how can they plead for these they have, more than for such as are placed nothing less by way of distinct Officers than they? Yea, all the feveral Titles enumerated by him pag. 390. will prove the same way distinct Officers; and how came they to cashier all these, and reduce them to so few a Number? By what Authority and Scripture-Warrant do they this? But I would inquire at him, what an Office is? If it be not an Operation of the Spirit, more particularly working in some Persons under such a Defignation? And this is proved by the Coincidency of these Offices in one Person, which he confesseth. That some are thence more particularly God vio-called to the Work of the Ministry, I acknow-der; ye may ledge, and he observes it. That God will move all prophe-none to violate the Order established in his House, Prophets. I deny not; but that to move fome at times to speak, is a Violation of that Order, I deny; fince the Apostle saith to the contrary, 1 Cor. 14. 31. We may all prophefy. In Answer to which he supposeth, this is Restricted to Prophets; but the Text faith All, not All Prophets (albeit it were no Abfurdity to suppose, All the Lord's People to be Prophets in this Sence, as well as they are faid to be Kings and Priests) and the Words following shew it, That all may learn, and all may be comforted: For it were Nonfense to understand this with a Restriction. And therefore his bare afferting, That this contradicts the plain Scope of the Place, is no Argument for Men of Reason, who resolve not to

build their Faith upon his meer fay-fo. Page 395. he thinketh, My acknowledging, "That some are more particularly called to the Work of the

"Ministry than others, is not enough; because "they are not to exhort, but when moved by the "Spirit, and others when moved, may as well as "they: So there is no Difference." That Ministers ought not to Preach or Exhort without the Spirit's Motion or Affistance, will come after-

fters ought not to Preach or Exhort without the Spirit's Motion or Affistance, will come afterwards to be proved: And to suppose, God cannot or will not move any but Ministers by his Spirit to Exhort, were to limit him; which is presumptuous in us to do. But in this appeareth the Dif-

Some do not speak, although endued with Spirit, in Publick.

ference, that we confess, many may, and know Thousands among us, whom we acknowledge to be good Men, and sufficiently endued with the Spirit towards the Work of Regeneration in themfelves, and brotherly Love and Care to their Brethren, who never find themselves moved to speak a Word in Publick; and there are others, whom God calleth to make Teaching and the Overfight of the Church so their constant Business, that they are less Engaged in worldly Affairs, than the Generality of those called Clergy-Men, even among J. B's Brethren: And therefore are owned and honoured, and so far as need requires, maintained by the Church. But to fay, that no Man ought without he be thus particularly Called, at any Time speak in a publick Assembly, (since we fay, that they ought not, but when moved by the Spirit) is not only to accuse us, but imperiously bind up God, from moving with his Spirit, whom and when he pleaseth. And this being applied, will answer his Queries, pag. 369. where n. 14. he affirms, That to Suppose, Ministers may use an honest Trade, is to account the Work of the Ministry

a light Business: But this is to account it no more a

Yet none to bind up God from moving with his Spirit, when, and in whom he please.

> light Business than the Apostle did, who recommended Working with their Hands for a Livelihood

to the Elders of the Church of Epbesus, Acts 20. 1679. 34, 35. giving them his own Example in so doing. But they indeed must have small Experience of a true Ministry, who do not know, a Man may be better qualified to discharge it, by being inwardly Exercised in the Spirit, and instructed thereby, than by all the Labour and Study they can derive Book-Study from their Books; and perhaps it may be true, will not do; which he after affirms, that fuch, who bring their ed with his Preaching always out of Books, will find little time Hands. to follow another Trade: But it feems, fuch Preachers are uncapable to follow the Apostle's Exhortation above mentioned; and therefore we will justly conclude them to be no true Gospel-Miniffers.

¶ 5. That he may be like himself, he begins his Twentieth Chapter of Women-Preachers with Railing, faying, The Quakers are against all the Appointments and Ordinances of Christ: then he goeth on at a high Rate, inveighing against the Liberty of Women's Speaking from Paul's Words I Cor. 14. 34. as being against the Law, as being contrary to Modesty and Shamefacedness; urging pag. 398. the Apostle's Authority in writing that Epistle, which we deny not: And then he urgeth against us 1 Tim. 2. 11. alledging, " That its being faid, Adam was first formed, and then Eva; " and Eva being first in the Transgression, infers, "That Women's Preaching is against the Law of " Nature; and that this Silence is imposed upon "Women, as a just Judgment for Eve's Transgref-" fion." For this last Inference we have nothing but his Affirmation: To the former I answered in my Apology, shewing, that these Words of the Apostle cannot be taken absolutely, and without Limitation; fince the same Apostle giveth Rules, How Wohow Women should behave themselves in their men ought to pray and Praying and Preaching in the Church. But he preach, the reckons, that this is for me to make the Apostle Apostle rests.

1679.

who takes the Apostle's Words without Limitation; else there is not the least Contradiction; yea, his desiring them to Ask their Husbands at Home, fhews, that it cannot be taken Univerfally, feeing all Women have not Husbands. And for his faying, That what the Apostie faith, Chap. 11. v. 5. But every Woman, that prayeth or prophesieth with her Head uncovered, &c. is not to be meant of their Carriage, when they are Praying themselves; but when they are present at others doing of it: This is his bare Affirmation without Proof, contrary to the express Words of the Text, which faith, Every Woman that prayeth, &c. not when the heareth another pray. And by this Way it might be as easily affirmed, where the Apostle in the same Place speaks of Men's Praying with their Heads covered, that it is not when they pray them-Selves; but when they hear others. And that there must be a Limitation, he confesseth, say-ing, That the Lord made Use of Prophetesses of Old, and that he is free to make Use of whom he will: If fo, then if the Lord do fo now, who dare plead against it? Yea, the Practice of J. B's Brethren doth contradict this Scripture, if they will not admit a Limitation. For will he deny, but heretofore at Presbyterian Meetings, where sometimes And have 20 and 30. and more have been together, Women both Spoke have both Spoken and Prayed, yea, been invited and urged to do fo by Eminent Preachers there present? And is not that properly a Church, where Christians are met together to worship God and edify one another? If he fay, this was only private; I answer, However private it was, it was still a Church: For it is not the Greatness of the Number, that makes the Church; fince the fewer Number may more properly fometimes be Esteemed the Church, than the greater. he take the Apostle's Words absolutely without Limi-

not Women and Prayed at your Meetings; yea, been Invited too?

Limitation, it will exclude Women from Speak- 1679. ing in any Assembly met for Religious Worship and Exercise; unless he will be so Superstitious, as to ascribe the Churchship to the Old, Popish what! is Mass-House Walls (and if so, it will trouble him the Church to prove, there were any such in Corinth used by Mass House Charles Christians, when the Apostle wrote to them) so walls? as to think that if Women speak not there, they do not speak in the Church. And yet how comes it, that by the Acts of the General Affembly Whores are not only permitted, but constrained to speak in the most publick Assemblies, and that in a Place allotted for them, no less Eminentthan the Pulpit? Sure, if fuch Women may there Speak of their Sins, and tell, how they have been tempted of the Devil; good Women moved by the Spirit of God, may tell, what God has done for them, in preserving them from such Evils? Neither will it serve to say, that it is not Authoritative Speaking; for the Apostle's Words are, ! permit not a Woman to speak; not, I permit her not to speak Authoritatively: for the Words added, Not to usurp Authority over the Man, is a diflinct Precept. Women may usurp Authority over their Men, who never offer to Preach in the Church; as also fome may speak there, who may be very subject to their Husbands: Besides, they permit Women to Sing publickly, which is a Speaking, and actual Part of God's Worship. Now, there is not a Word in the Text of these Exceptions, more than the other; and let him prove them, if he can, from the Scripture, without making way for Women's Preaching. He confesseth, pag. 400. That Women may be instrumental in Conversion privately, but not publickly: And for his faying, He will suspect the Conversion that way wrought rather to be a Delusion, he but telleth his own Conjecture; that fo he may conclude Gg 2 this

1679. this Chapter, according to his Custom, with Rail-

Ving.

7. Pag. 401. He begins his Twenty first Chapter of Ministers Maintenance with a manifest Perversion, infinuating; As if I were Joining with such, who are against Ministers Maintenance: which is utterly false; as by what I say upon that Subject doth evidently appear. But indeed the Man The Main-contendeth here very warmly, and with might and main, and tooth and nail, as they fay; albeit

tenance allow'd to Ministers in Scripture.

the thing he pleads for, as to the Substantial Part of it, be not denied: But it will not fatisfy him to grant, as I do, that the Ministers should receive Temporal Things from them, to whom they minister Spiritual, or that their Necessities should be supplied: No; he will have it to be an Honorary, as he calls it, and that a large one too. For fo, pag. 405. he interprets 1 Tim. 5. 17, 18. as if Dou-The Ho- ble Honour could not be given without large giv-

them is not a Money-Price.

nour due to ing of Money. It feems, Poor Folks with him cannot give double Honour, nor fulfil this Command of the Apostle; it is only the Rich Folks Honour, who can give largely, that he regards: yea, he reckons this Giving Liberally to Minifters, a Sowing to the Spirit; for so he interpreteth Gal. 6. 8. By all which it is manifest, that to give Liberally to Ministers goeth with him for a great Article of Faith. But the Question only lieth betwixt us concerning a Limited and Forced Maintenance: for a Sumptuous he cannot for Shame but feem to disclaim; and a Necessary, yea, what not by Con-in any true Sence can be so called, I confess: There-

They are ftraint to force their which neither Magigiftrate nor People can make Lawful.

fore, as what he faith of our denying it is false; Maintenance so what he urgeth to prove it as to us is superfluous. As for a Constrained or Forced Maintenance, I defire him next time to prove it from Scripture, fince he has not yet done it; nor indeed can he by any thing there written; fince what is there faid.

faid, is only by way of fuch Exhortation, as Li- 1679. berality and Charity is enjoyned: which albeit he faith confidently, he was convicted of Falshood, but he hath faid it, and that is all. For there were then no Christian Magistrates to Limit or Constrain such as would not Give; the Conclufions and Determinations of the Magistrate and People, make it not Lawful in its Self, as all that hath been given either by Heathen or Popish Magistrates, or People out of Superstition, may be Lawful for Ministers to receive : And indeed, many of them begin to call that the Churches Patrimony, and reckon it Sacriledge for others than Church-Men (as they call them) to meddle with it. He knows not how to turn by Paul's Exhortation to the Elders of Ephesus, Acts 20. 33. and therefore at last, after some ado, he agrees to it: but to make it have the less Weight, he tells, How Paul took from other Churches; which is not denied: But it is manifest, Paul preferred the Nottaking, but working with their Hands to Supply their Necessities, as that which was rather to be done; else to what Purpose desires he them to Remember the Words of the Lord Jesus, That it is more bleffed to give, than to receive? But it feems, J. B. and his Brethren think it the Most blessed Thing to be getting large Augmentations. My speaking of their Complaining of the Hardness of Christians indefinitly, doth not hinder Exceptions; and therefore his Carping at it, p. 409. is frivolous. And albeit Paul did not plead for a Carnal Ministry, in Reasoning for Maintenance (as he faith, p. 410.) yet it very well follows, that such are but a Carnal Ministry, that will not Preach without they get Money; yea, himself confesseth in the former Page, That True Ministers must speak, whether they get Aliment or not; and commendeth some for so doing. But he hath given in this pag. 410. a notable Example of his Sottish-Gg 3

1679. ness and Malice both together. For in answering what I fay, [" That a Carnal Ministry wanteth " the Life and Power, and therefore needs a fix-" ed Maintenance; but a Spiritual Ministry can "confide in God, who will provide for them."] To this he tells, That the Priests in the Days of Jezabel were richly provided for; and the Servants of God put to great Straits: Shall we therefore (saith

And are got the Priests now adays Richly he) fay, that these Priests of Baal were the only provided tor, Called of God, Sent forth in his Power and Autho-Servants of rity; and that the Servants of the Lord were but a God have Carnal Ministry? This were to argue carnally with been put to great Straits Belly-Arguments, as our Quakers do. The fober ings, and by Reader may judge of the Sottishness and malitious them in N. Perversness of this Answer: Sottish it is, because England to Hanging; shall we therefore fay, these

no ways to the Purpose; for I never made the Being richly provided a Token of a Spiritual Miniftry, as the whole I say of this Matter evinceth; Persecuting but on the contrary with Christ and the Apostle Priests, &c. I think, they are most blessed who receive least. led of God, And will he fay, that my Saying [" that Spirifent forth in " tual Ministers can depend upon God, who will ty and Pow-" provide for them, so as not to need a fixed Mainer, J. B. ? 46 tenance "Infers any fuch thing, it is malitious: because he would infinuate to the Reader, that this gross Affertion were mine, affirming, we Argue with Belly-Arguments: which is a Base, but Bare Calumny: How much more his Arguments favour of that, the Reader may judge; and that his extream Keenness in this Matter shews, how near of Kin he is to those, whose God is their Belly, who Preach for Hire, and Divine for Money, and look for their Gain from their Quarter. What he faith of the Quakers Riches, is both false and frivolous; for they are none of the Richest People; and their Preachers, especially such as receive Maintenance, are usually the poorest among them: For such as have of their own, and are called to the Ministry, donot use to receive; but follow-

following the Apostle, Labour to make the Gospel 1679. without Charge. He turns by what I fay in the Conclusion of my Explication of my Tenth Thefe, where I shew by many Scriptures the Distinction betwixt a True and False Ministry, shewing, how we plead for the True, and deny the False: This he calls false, groundless and impertinent; but he passed it so hastily, because it was too hot for his Fingers: And having given it this passing Sentence, he concludes with his Old Calumny of our being Pagan Preachers, and designing Paganish Antichristianism.

SECT. XII.

Wherein his Twenty Second Chapter, Of the Quakers Silent Worship: His Twenty Third Of Preaching: His Twenty Fourth, Of Pray-ing: And Twenty Fifth, Of Singing Pfalms, are Considered.

TOw followeth his Twenty Second Chapter, intituled, Of the Quakers Silent Worship; wherein if I should return him no Answer, but that of Michael to Lucifer, the Father of Lies, I should do him no Injustice; it being a Heap, either of manifest Calumnies, gross Perversions, or abusive Railing. Wherein, as if he were Constituted Judge by GOD over the Quakers, he concludes them over and over again, to be Aded and godly Rail-Deluded by the Devil, and to be such, as wholly lay themselves open to him to possess them, and work in them at his Pleasure; with much more of this Stuff: For which I need not particularly note Pages, for the Reader will scarce look seriously unto any one of this Chapter, from p. 412, to 419.

1679.

but he will find it very thick. And for a sufficient Refutation of it I recommend to any fober and unprejudicate Reader, ferioufly to Compare and Read with this Chapter that to which it relates, to wit, the Explication of the Eleventh These in my Apology; which I judge may suffice to give a sufficient Disgust of this Chapter. But lest he should think, this were too slightly a pasfing over his Matter, and for the Readers more full Direction and Satisfaction, I will propose to him to be confidered these things following.

I. And first, his Calumnies, as pag. 411. where he faith, I would have them understand, Christ's Spiritual Resurrection was never till now: Whereas I speak only with Reference to the Time fince the Apostacy, and not to the Primitive Times before. And pag. 412. he faith, We acknowledge no Motion or inward Breathing of the Spirit, but what is Extraordinary and meerly Enthusiaffick; as also that we abstract from all Means: This is false. But as for his supposing, That Stu-

gregious Plea for studied Sermons.

7. B's many false Charges without Proof, a. gainst the Quakers.

7. B's E-died Sermons are a Mean appointed of God, and that not to do it, is a fure Way of Tempting God, and Inviting the Devil to deceive and delude: Which he affirms he has shewn; I have not seen it, and will expect, that next Time he will make it more manifest. His 413th Page containeth a Mass of Calumnies, to wit, That there is no Word in our Assemblies of the Scripture; That we apply them not for Instruction, Reproof and Edification of the People; That the Scripture is no Rule to us in our Walk, nor has any Place in our Worship; That there is to be found in all our Solemn Service neither Preaching, nor Prayer, nor Praise. And pag. 414. he has his old, reiterated Calumny, That the Power and Life the Quakers speak of, proceedeth not from the Grace of God, but is the meer Operation of Nature. To this Purpose he hathover and over again, pag. 415, 416, 417, 418, 421, and 422. ·He

He supposeth, p. 414. that it is affirmed by me, 1679. That at all times the Quakers meet, all of them are truly gathered unto the Sense of the Power, and whatever any says, comes from it, and is not to be questioned: Which is wholly false. I shew their Manner of Meeting, and their Duty when Met, according to their Principles and the Confequence thereof, when they truly perform it; but it doth not thence follow, that none of them ever miss in their Performance: No more than if he should relate their Manner of Worship, and the good Effects he may suppose it sometimes has; it would follow, that whoever fet about it, and got up to the Pulpit, and read his Text, could not Preach false Doctrine, nor speak impertinently: And therefore what he builds upon this here, as also pag. 416. N.7. pag. 417 and 429. falls to the Ground. But he feeketh to uphold this with another Calumny; As if all that frequent the Qua- of Perfokers Meetings, and are accounted of their Num-tion, see ber, were supposed by us to be perfect, asking, How 430. can the Power of Darkness work, if they be made free from Sinning? which is false: How we affirm this absolute Perfection, even of such as we account our Brethren, I have shewn in my Section of Perfection. A Sixth Calumny is p. 415. which he also hath pag. 424. where he supposeth it to be our Doctrine, that there is No fetting about Pray- 7. B. is er or other Duties, without a previous Motion of for praying the Spirit; and upon this he infifts as an Abfur previous Modity. But we speak not of a previous Motion in tion of the Order of Time, as absolutely necessary; it is enough, if it be in Order of Nature, which he knows may be without any Priority of Time: And fo his Abfurdity upon this pag. 424. evanisheth; which I also answer, speaking of Prayer, in my Apology. A Seventh Calumny is p. 426. where he concludeth, because I say Gospel Wor-

1679. Ship is not to be in Outward Observations gone about by Man, in his own Will and proper Strength] that I affirm, Gospel Worship putteth away all Ex-ternal Actions; which how false it is and inconsequential, any ordinary Reader may easily judge. And yet upon this false Inference he thinketh to bind upon me a Contradiction, in owning afterwards External Acts of Worship; for to fay, Wor-Ship may be performed without these Acts, and that Worship cannot be performed in these Acts, is very different : The last I deny, but own the first. An Eighth Calumny is, pag. 418. where because I say That it sometimes falleth out, that one come into a Meeting upon a finistrous Account, may by the Power raised in the Meeting be Reached, if the Day of his Visitation be not expired? he concludes, If any such come in, and be not thus changed, his Day is gone; and it is impossible to him to be faved: Which is a gross Abuse. For albeit the Not expiring of his Day must be presupposed to a Capacity of Salvation; yet his not presently, yea, after divers Times not being Converted, doth not suppose his Day to be over : Since it was never our Principle to fay, God affords no Men Opportunity but one. Besides these, there are many other Perversions scattered up and down, such as,

Are J.B's pag. 421. his faying, "That the Waiting we Prajers "plead for is such, as putteth away Prayer; that previous Mo-" we plead for it to shut out the Ordinances of tion of the Spirit any better than "Jesus Christ, and to give God no more for all better than "his Dumb Which Word pleaseth him so well, that he hath

Speaks of? it several times over.

¶ 2. His great and mighty Charge in this Chapter is indeed great enough, if he could make it out; and that is, That the Quakers are guilty of Devilry, and are certainly aded by the Devil in their Assemblies. But this he only strongly affirms, without Proof, unless one; which, whether

whether it be valid or not, comes now to be exami- 1679. ned. And that is p. 418. from my faying, That there will be sometimes an inward Struggling, yea so, as the the Movings Body will be strangely moved: To this he adds a of the power Story of one Gilpin, long ago answered; and de-of God upon the Lukers, scribeth these Motions of the Quakers to be, Devilry; Foam, Swell and Froth at the Mouth: Which is they are cerfalse; and returneth upon him as a Calumny, tainly acted however he compares these Motions of the Body, in their Asas Afferted by me, to the Work of the Devil, and semblies. the old Pythonicks. But it feems, Malice hath wonderfully blinded the Man here, else he would not have given his own Caufe, which he esteems The great Cause of God, so deep a Wound. For in the Book called The fulfilling of the Scriptures, a Treatife much applauded by them, whose Author is faid to be Robert Fleeming, one of their Non-conforming Brethren, he relates as a Convin- Motions afcing Proof of the Power of God, how some were so ferred by f. choked, and taken by the Heart, that they were their own made to fall over, and so carried out of the Church : Hearers as of the Power And as a convincing appearance of God and down of God; pouring of the Spirit, that there was a strange and which J.B. unufual Motion on the Hearers; which by the Pro-ry in others. phane was called [The Stewarton Sickness] from the Name of the Parish. Now, What difference is betwixt this, and my speaking of Mens being strangely moved by the Power of God? Will not this prove as much, that all this was Deviley, and the Passions of the old Pythonicks? Since these Motions are made the great Argument, why the Quakers are said to be acted by the Devil, let him the next time affign clear Reasons according to Scripture, Why these Motions upon the Presbyterian Bodies are a Convincing Sign of the Working of the Power of God among them; but that the Motions on the Quakers Bodies are enough to Confirm, they are acted by the Devil? And if he do this effectually, he may be in Hopes of gain-

ing

1679. ing a Profelyte. Next to this I come to consi-Ver, what he urges as a great Absurdity, to wit, That the Quakers turning their Minds inward (which he will needs term Introverting, and not For Men to interpret the Word, that he may make Ignorant abstain from Folks believe, it is a Piece of the Quakers Deviltheir own Thoughts, is ry) and laying afide all their own Thoughts and Ima-

in J. B's Sense a beginations, were a laying aside both Christianity and coming worfe than

Humanity, a becoming no Men, but Brutes, and Brutes, W.c. worse, and most capable to be deluded by the Devil. Upon this he infifts p. 414, 422. and elsewhere; as if for Men to abstain from their own Thoughts and Imaginations, were the Way to Un-man them: Yet if he will undestand it of the Old Man, the Man of Sin, that is Corrupted; we will fay with the Apostle, That ought to die, and be crucified: And are so far from thinking, this is against Christianity, that we believe according to Scripture, it is the Way to become a Christian, and to overcome the Devil; not to lay our felves open to him. And therefore his Railing against Man's Silence from his own Thoughts, That God may speak and work in him, doth evidence his great Ignorance in the Work of a true Christian; for this is so far from descending from Humanity to Brutism, that it is rather an Ascending from Humanity to Divinity: So that, albeit in one Sense we are said to die, or be emptied as to our felves; yet we do more truely Live and Exist. And if he think this a Contradiction; let him consider that of the Apostle, Gal. 2. 20. I am crucified with Christ, nevertheless I live, yet not I, but Christ liveth in me: And this if rightly confidered, will answer his Questions p. 422. by anfwering of which he would have me Clear my Way of Devilry. As for any Arguments in this Chapter, that have the least shew of Solidity or Weight, I have looked narrowly, but can find none; only

To die to Self is Life and Love in Christ the Crucified.

only instead thereof he has some little nibbling 1679. Quibbles and Questions: Which albeit they be so Inconsiderable, as scarce deserve the Pains to Anfwer; yet lest he may think something of them, if omitted, I will now take notice of them, and Answer them. As first p. 412. he asketh, Whether and places' the appointing of set Times and Places be not a li- tor to Wormiting of the Spirit? Answ. If it were to Exclude flip do not flint the Hoother Times and Places, when God moves therenn-ly One. to, it might be so judged; but other ways it is not: For meeting together is not an immediate Act of Worship; but a Matter of Outward Conveniency: And therefore needs not always a particular Motion. As for his desiring me in this Page to Answer, what he has faid of the Sabbath (the deny ing of which in their fense he accounts a great Error) I must wait then, till he come to his Matter, which he has not done in his first Tome, (which I have only feen as yet) albeit it be a Book about an Hundred Sheets of Paper: And when he has written all that he can fay upon that Subject, I doubt, whether it may not be sufficiently Resuted by a few Lines, which Calvin has written thereon; Inft. lib. 2. cap. 8. § 34. from whom, as well as the Generality of Protestants, I know not that I differ in this Matter. P. 413. he proposeth as an Exception against the Manner of Worship ex- J. B's Prepressed by me, That it wanteth that Preparation Worship requisite; which he accounts to be fome Impression proceeds in of that divine Majesty, with whom they have to do: But I fee no Reason, why he should accuse us for Want of this; fince none can be more fit than fuch, as make Silence and an Inward Turning of the Mind necessary to their Entring to Worship: But if he understand this by outward Prayer, meaning, This should be done first, fince it is an Actual Part of Worship, by which we draw near to that Majesty; there would be a Preparation to That by the same Rule, and another to that, and

of Spirit, and a Studying to be found always in The true Preparation. the Sense of God's Holy Fear in all things, is a good general Preparation to all Acts of Worship.

And for his crying out agaist Silence, as that which cannot Edify, and thinking it so strange, that Life or Vertue should be transmitted from one to another, when they do not hear one another speak, as p. 415, 420, 426. What will he say, to what is Reported by the foresaid Author of the Fulfilling of the Unusual Mo. Scriptures, pag. 432. how Robert Bruce his Pray-

tions by Scriptures, pag. 432. how Robert Bruce his Pray-Praying In- ing caused unusual Motions upon those who were not flanced of 3. in the Chamber with him, nor knew the Cause, how

in the Chamber with him, nor knew the Caufe, how that came upon them? And yet this is given as an Instance of his knocking down the Spirit of God upon them, as they themselves phrase it? P. 420. he wondereth and asketh, How one, in whom the Life doth flow, so that he might speak, yet may forbear, since that is a sufficient Call? And how dare they follow their own Choice? But this is a filly Quibble: The Flowing of Life may fometimes give Ability to speak Justifiably, and yet it may be no Sin to forbear; fince albeit it gives a Sufficiency of Authority, yet not a peremptory Command: And this is no Contradiction. postle John could have written more, and that no doubt from the Spirit; and yet did it not : 2 Job. 12. 3 Job. 13. And I suppose J. B. will not dare to fay, he sinned in this Ferbearance. He goeth

The Waiting about p. 420. n. 12. to Examin the Scripture-Proofs our Meetings I bring for Waiting; and then he shews, in what Vindicated. Respect Waiting is there understood; which nothing hurteth my using them. What if Waiting be understood, as he saith, in Opposition to Freting? May not that be in Silence? But as to this, since his Brother R. M. in the Postscript, has promised us his Answer to G. K's Book, called The Way cast up, we will Wait to see, what he An-

fwers.

fwers to his 15th Self. and to the Scriptures 1679. brought by him there to this Purpose: And that he may more fully confider that Matter, I recommend to him the serious Perusal of G. K.'s Book, called, The Glory and Advantage of Silent Meetings. He alledgeth falfly p. 423. that I say, Men cannot Wait upon God in Prayer; I say only, That Waiting in it felf rather denoteth a Passive Dependence, and that true Prayer presupposeth Waiting: And that therefore their Objection is frivolous, that ascribe Waiting of it self or simply considered, to such Acts: But I never denied, that a Man in Prayer might be faid also to Wait. Another of his filly Quibbles is pag. 424. n. 17. The Devil where, because I say, That the Devil can only work works in and by the Natural Man (for so he may be pleatural Part fed to Translate my Words, or at least he must in Man. suffer me so to do:) he saith; He thought, he could also work in a Spiritual Man, as in Peter, &c. But not in and by the Spiritual Man: It was in and by the Natural Part both in Peter and Paul, that he wrought; if he thinks not so, let him say the Contrary. P. 425. in Answer to what I say of the Excellency of this Worship, as that which cannot be Interrupted, to prove; That Christ's Kingdom needed outward Power to protest it, he telleth of the Promise, That Kings should be nursing Fathers. What then? That may be an Advantage; yet it will not follow, there is an Absolute Need for it; else Christ's Kingdom could not be without it. But indeed, fuch a fure, outward Christ's Kingdom the Priests always Covet, where they Kingdom needeth not may be Upheld by the Magistrate, and supplied an outward with daily Augmentations; and have all others power to that differ from them, Severely Persecuted: For where this is wanting, they cry out, Alas! like Babylons Merchants; and think, it goes not well with their Zion. The Rest of this Page he concludes with Railing; but for Answer to it he may know.

albeit few in Number, have met with more Injuries from Wicked Men, than the Presbyterians; and that they never defended themselves with Force of Arms against any, far less against the Magistrate, as his Brethren have done: Or with shedding of Blood. As for his other Quibble, pag. 427. That ceasing to do Evil, is not without all Astion of the Mind: Not to contend with him about it, I shall not plead for a further Cessation, than such a simple Forbearance importeth; and let him call it an Action, if he will. His chief Reply to what I say in Answer to what they ob.

silence and ject of Silence (besides some Scoffs) is; That what Inward I alledge, is not spoken of an Introverting Silence Controvert (for he will needs use this Latin Word, and not set by T. E.

ed by F. B. translate it) But can there be any true Silence in order or with respect to the Worship of God, where the Eye of the Mind is not Inward, fince the Spirit of God, by which Christians are led and instructed, is said to be Within them? But pag. 424. n. 16. he saith, That Watching is not a turning inward, but a looking outward also. Indeed they, who look outward, go the Way to be tempted; for outward Objects is not that, which delivers Men from Temptations; but often draws them to them: But it would feem according to him, that Men, if their Eyes be shut, or in a dark Room, cannot Watch in a Spiritual Sense; And then what became of many Saints, that have been put into Dungeons? As to what he adds out of Dr. Stillingfleet's Book Of the Idolatry of the Church of Rome, and Taulerus Sermons, which takes up about 7 whole Pages (by which the Reader may fee, how his Book grows fo bulky) he miffeth his Aim: For he will never prove, that the first and most Eminent Preachers among the Quakers, who both practifed and commended this

this Way of Worship (as well as Thousands of 1679. them yet) did ever know, that there was fuch a thing spoken of among Papists, or that there ever lived fuch a Man, as Taulerus. So that he but wastes his Paper in seeking to prove, They have borrowed their Dostrine thence: And albeit I will not Justify many of the Expressions used in the Pages cited by him; yet I will not scruple to affirm, That fome of them favour more of Christia-nity, than his Lies, Calumnies and Railings.

¶ 3. He begins his 23d Chapter of Preaching Preaching (that he may be like himself) with a Calumny, singing ownsaying; I have something against Preaching, Prayed by us. ing and Singing; which is false: I am against none of those Duties, as truly performed according to the right Gospel-Method; as by the Sequel will appear. And that he may go on at the fame rate, he feems to be glad, that I acknowledge the Necessity of Worships being consonant to Scripture; but then, that he may not want something to Cavil he intreats me to reconcile this with what I say of the Scriptures: But he should first have shewn me, wherein the Difference is? For I profels, I see none. He desires also to know from Scripture the Necessity, when Men are Met toge-ther, of Turning their Minds Inward; which he A Turning of still will express (to make it the more frightful) ward, is an by the Latin Word Introversio: And this he Abstracting thinks so hard, that he often insists upon it, as worldy p. 446, 447, 448. But is it not needful to Assemble Thoughts to mird God in in the Name of Jesus? And can that truly be the soul. without turning the Mind Inward? Unless with fuperstitious Papists he thinks it is enough for meeting in the Name of Jesus, to say, when they begin, In Nomine Domini; however their Minds be abroad. Can there be any true Sense of God's Majesty, as him to whom we draw near (which himself confest before to be needful) without a se-

+ Hh

rious

1679. rious Turning of the Mind Inward, that is, an Abstracting from all Worldly and Vain Thoughts, to mind G O D and the Operations of his Spirit in the Soul? Let him read Pfal. 46. 14, and 62. L. Eccles. 5. 2. 3. Zach. 2. 3. It were hard for him to forget his old, often reiterated Calumny, and therefore he hath it here oftner than once, as p. 441, 442.447. alledging most falsly, "That "all that, by which the Quakers preach, or re-"quire as needful to preach, is but the Dima, and darkned, and malignant Light of Natue." 7. B's abu-five Railing. Neither will he forget here his constant Trade of Railing; take one Instance, p. 447. where he says; That before I want Revelations, I will go to the Devil to get them, as Saul did to the Witch of Endor. More of such Railing Stuff the Reader may find, and that very plentifully p. 440-442-448. He wants not here also his malitious Infinuations, as p. 439. "That the Quakers use Le-"gerdemaine, to make People believe, they " fpeak all without a Previous Thought in their Preaching; and yet have all, to a Word, well "fludied:" If he accuse the Quakers of this, let him prove it, if he can; for we deny it, as a gross Calumny. Another is p. 441. That we would have all Study, all Meditation, all Prayer and Wrestling with God in Prayer laid aside; which is also false. But to proceed: he foundeth what he faith in this Matter upon two great Mistakes; which being removed, the Superstructure will fall of it self. The First is p. 438. where, to prove the Usefulness of Study and Premeditation to Preach-7 5. p'eads ing, he tells, "How Paul made use, of what he Study and premeditati-" had read out of a Heathen-Poet; his recomonto preach, " mending Reading to Timothy; his defiring Tifrom Paul's " tus to hold fast the faithful Word, as he had citing Heathen Poets, "been taught, &c. and Apollos being instructed by Aquila and Priscilla: "All which are nothing to his Purpose. For we never said, It was

Unlaw-

Unlawful for Men to read Books, especially the 1679. Scripture; or that by fuch Reading Men may not acquire Knowledge, which may prove Useful in Preaching or Defending the Truth; but the Question is, Whether Men may make use of these things in publick Worship, otherwise than as led. and acted, and Influenced by the Spirit so to do? And Whether any of these Places will allow Men to Preach in the Strength of their Natural or Acquired Parts, without being afted therein by the Spirit? Let him prove this, if he can, for this is the Matter in Question; and remember Robert Bruce his Censure of Robert Blair his Sermon Recorded in The Fulfilling of the Scriptures. His Second Mistake is p. 443; where he supposeth, That to be led by the Spirit, excludeth, or is inconsistent to be led by with Reading Scripture, and with all the particu-the spirit lar Instructions given by Paul to Timothy and Ti-doth not extus; who might have said (as this Man argues) Reading of
the Scripleannot be stinted unto these Dostrines, which you tures. desire me to put the Brethren in Remembrance of; for I must speak as the Spirit speaketh in me, and the like. But will he say, that Timothy was not to speak, as the Spirit spake in him? To suppose this, as Inconsistent with such Instructions, is to beg the Question: And that these are Consistent, I have shewn above in my Third Section of Immediate Revelation: Or let him tell plainly, if Timothy could do those Things acceptably without the Spirit, fince all Worthip is commanded by Christ to be done now in the Spirit. And yet he seemeth to agree to the Necessity of the Spirit; else why quarreleth he me p. 448. for infinuating, ashe faith, That their Ministers preach not in the Demonstration of the Spirit? Giving an Enumeration p. 439. of several ways, which he saith, Iknow not, but their Ministers are led to preach by; among which this is one: What know I (faith he) but there may be some, that never digest their Hh 2

1679. Preachings so, as not to lie open to the Influences of the Spirit; and to welcome his seasonable and useful Suggestions, and to speak many things, which they had not once premeditated? But I would ask him, Whether it be Lawful for any so to digest their Matter, as not to lie thus open to the Spirit's Influences? He would feem to fay, It were; fince it is but fome, and a [may be some] too with him, that do fo. And whereas he tells of Jome, that are constrained to change their Text; and what they had purposed to speak upon it? This shews, the Case is but rare; and therefore I am not to be blamed, for what I say in general of Preach-Both Prote- ers among Papists and Protestants, whose general Papis pre- Way is, To prepare aforehand, what they preach, and then speak it to the People at a set Hour, with-

Stants and pare a fore-hand, what to preach.

out waiting for the Leading of the Spirit, or whether they have it's Influence, or not. And for all the Weight, that this Man would feem to lay fometimes upon the Spirit's Influence and Concurrence; yet he gives shrewd Presumptions, that he doth it but pro Forma: Else how comes he to urge as an Absurdity, p. 445. That all that Mini-sters preach by the Spirit, must be true? And why not? If it be from the Spirit, it cannot be other ways: Yet Men, whose Principle it is to speak from the Spirit, may through Weakness and Mistake preach false Doctrine; yet the Spirit is not to be blamed for it, but those who keep not purely to it. I suppose he will not deny, but all that, which Men preach according to the Scripture, is Infallibly True; it will not thence follow, that all that, which Men (whose Principle it is to preach according to Scripture) preach, is True; because that through Weakness they may mistake

It is not ye the true Meaning of the Scripture. Allowhat he butitis your adds; "If the Matter be thus, it is all one, whe-Father's Spi- " ther the Preacher be young or old-for it is not Speaketh in " he that speaketh, but the Spirit in him;" for

this sayoureth not of a Christian Spirit, to seek 1679. to draw an Absurdity, or make a Mock of that, which is no other than Christ's Express Words. Mat. 10. 20. Mark 13. 3. And indeed, what he faith in this Page n. 9. in Answer to these Scriptures, feemeth rather a Mock at Christ and his Apostles, than any Answer; asking me, If I know not, that Christ gave them their Preaching with them, telling them what they should say; And as ye go, preach Saying, The Kingdom of God is at Hand? And a little after he faith; They had their Sermon taught them before-hand: But dare he fay, That Christ's Words before-mentioned were therefore false? This he must say, or else prove nothing. Or will he fay, that the Apostles in all that Progress said nothing, but these Seven Words, The Kingdom of God is at Hand? For according to him, this was all they faid, which they had learned afore-hand, and not, as'the Holy Ghost taught them in that Hour, what to fay, albeit it be Christ's Express Words, Luke 12. 12. P. 447. to my Argument, that according to their Doctrine the Devil himself ought to be heard, seeing he knoweth the Notion of Truth, and excelleth many of them in Learning and Eloquence; he answers; Why doth the Manthus Speak Untruth? Do we say, that every one, though he were the Devil, if he (peak Truth, should be heard? Do they not fay, That Men ought to be heard and accounted as Ministers, albeit void of the true Grace of God, if having the Formality of the outward Call? And to prove this, do not they bring the Example of Judas, whom Christ called a Devil? And they suppose him to have been such, even when fent by Christ, and deserved to be heard, as his Apostle. Let him consider then, how he can shun, what I have affirmed? And albeit the Devil may Speak without Study; yet he cannot be faid to speak by the Hh 3 Spirit

Spirit of God: which is the thing we affirm need-J.B. argues ful to Gospel-Preaching. And for his last Argument p. 448. That since extraordinary Gifts ceased, for acquiring the Gift there hath been no ordinary way of Preaching, but by outward by ordinary Gifts studied and acquired; It is but a Study of the bare begging of the Question, and the same up-Letter. on the Matter with his new-enforced Objection; which I answered towards the Beginning of my Third Section of Immediate Revelation.

¶ 4. I come now to his Twenty fourth Chapter of Prayer: And as to his first Paragraph, there needs no Debate; for (except some Railing intermixed) I own, what is afferted in it, as to the Necessity of Prayer, and its being through Christ, as Mediator. In the next he alledgeth, I speak untruly in faying, That the Acts of their Religion are produced by the Strength of the Natural Will; for they can pray, when they please: But how truly this is affirmed concerning them, will after appear. Albeit in Opposition to it. after citing a Passage out of the larger Catechism,

the Spirit Prayer.

J. B. owns he faith; They own the Influences of the Spirit, necessary to as absolutely Necessary to this Duty: Which if he would hold to, there needed no further Debate; I should agree to it. For he doth untruly state the Question, when he saith a little after; That the Motions and Inspirations I plead for, are extraordinary; which is false, and never said by me: And therefore his building on it is in vain as well here, as p. 452.457-459, 461. where he infinuates, That I judge not the gracious and ordinary Influences of the Spirit a Sufficient Warrant to pray; which is false. What he saith p. 451. of the Necessity of Prayer at some times, and of the Scriptures mentioning, Prayers being made three times a Day, I deny not; nor is it to the Purpose: The Question is, Whether any can pray acceptably without the Spirit? We see, he hath granted, they can-

cannot; then the thing to be proved, is, Whether the general Command authorized any to fet about it, albeit in a Manner, which is granted, will not avail, and is unacceptable? So the Matter resolves in Examining, what he can fay from Scripture or other ways, to prove this. And that there may be no Mistake, let it be considered, that I deny not the General Obligation to pray upon all; fo that they, who do not pray, Sin; albeit they who do not be not sensible of the Spirit's Help enabling them pray, do fin. to do it: But that the Way to avoid this Sin, is not to commit another, to pray without the Spirit; but to Wait for the Spirit, that they may pray acceptably: Seeing without it, though they should use Words of Prayer, it would be no fulfilling of the Command. And first then to what he argueth p. 452. from the Reiterated Commands of God to pray, I answer; That God's Commands lay upon Man an Obligation to pray, I deny not; but God commands no Man to pray unacceptably; God commands the Right Performance of Prayer; and this he has confessed cannot be without the Spirit; therefore God commands God comno Prayer without the Spirit, neither is the Com-mands no prayer withmand answered or fulfilled by such as pray with-out the spiout it. To this he Objecteth, p. 453 and 458. rit. That the same moral Duties might be Shifted, until the Spirit lead to them; and also Natural Acts of Sleeping, Eating, &c. which are Abomination in the Wicked: And yet to go round, he accuseth me p. 454. (albeit falfly) of faying; Men may pray without the Grace of God: Which by this Objection is his own Faith; fince he will not deny, but Men may Sleep and Eat without the Grace of God. But to this Objection I answered in my Apology, shewing the Difference betwixt these Acts and Acts of Worship; which he grants p. 461. And albeit I confess (which he urgeth here) that these profit not the Man at all, as with respect to Hh 4 God's

God's Favour, when done without the Spirit; yet they really fulfil the Matter of the thing com-manded in Relation to our Neighbours and to our selves, in Eating, Drinking, Sleeping, else it would be Self-Murder. But in Prayer the Matter is not fulfilled without the Spirit, which relateth only to God, to whom every Prayer without the Spirit is an Evil Savour, and not in any true and proper Sense a Prayer: For Prayer, as to the material Part, cannot be performed without the Spirit. He confesseth according to their Catechism, That the Spirit is needful to know, what to pray for; which is the material Part: But the Necessity of the Spirit as to these other The formal things, is only as to the formal Part, or right Manner. And this pleading for Praying from directed by

ture's Aas the Spirit.

these Natural Acts shews, how he contradicts himself, in saying; It is untrue, that they are for Prayer without the Spirit; for if they be not, this Argument were Impertinent, which is; As these ought to be done without the Spirit, so ought the other. And yet he more manifesty Contradicts this, p. 456. faying; That God requireth not Men to feel the Influences of the Spirit, as a Preparation to Prayer; yea, that Men ought to pray, even when, and because they feel they want them: For if it be true, that he said before, That these Influences are necessary to the right Performance of Prayer; either Men ought to perform Prayer wrong, or this must be a manifect Contradiction: But since this Manner of Prayer is owned really in their Praying at Set Times, whether they have the Spirit's Influence or not, it shews, I spake no Untruth of them; and that his faying fo was untruly faid by him. And hence also the Man's Impudence may be seen p. 460. in saying, I am a Liar in affirming, they profess, they may pray without the Spirit, and have their set Times: But the thing I say, is, That they limit themselves fo,

fo, as to lay a Necessity upon themselves to pray 1679. at fet Times, as before and after Sermon, and before and after Meat; and this he cannot deny: limit them-Or if he should, their Universal Practice would selves to declare him a Liar. And if they pray at fet Times, with-Times, and that professedly, without Waiting out Waiting for the Spirit's Influence, yea, when they are rit. fensible they want it; Do not they profess to pray without the Spirit ? What he saith here and elsewhere, that this was the Opinion of Swenkfeldius and the Familists, is not to the Purpose: For what we believe in this, we do it as being the Truth, and not with respect to such, of whose Belief we take no notice, so as to make it any Ground for our Faith. And to shew, how impertinent this Classing us with others is, to render us odious upon every Occasion, I may tell him here once for all; That even as to this very thing of Prayer he agrees against us with Papilts, Socinians, Pelagians, Episcopalians, Independents, Anabaptists, Lutherans, Arminians, Antinomians, yea. and with Pagans, Turks and Fews; all which affirm with him, That Men may and ought to pray at certain Times and upon certain Occasions, albeit not having any present Motions or Influence of the Spirit of God fo to do.

Ts. What he faith here in feveral Places of Introversion, I refer to what is said before, to avoid Repetition. It might have been thought, that in this Chapter of Prayer (and where he urges it so much from the General Command, that he would have minded) it would have been more J. B. pleadfuitable to pray for such, as he may account his er torgets to Enemies, and even Hereticks, than Rail at them. pray for, but But the Treating upon this Subject has had no fuch against such Inference with him: And therefore he is fure to he accounts keep here his old Style of Railing; which the Reader may observe pag. 452-456-459, 460, 461. He hath divers little Cavils and Quibbles in this

1679. Chapter, which I willingly omit, as not concernwing the Weight of the Question; only to give the Reader a Tafte of them, I shall note one or two. P. 455. upon these Words, sub Degustationem, he fancieth; The Quakers hold a State of Prayer difinet both from publick and private: But if he had not been very Critical, and ready to Catch (albeit he omits more weighty things) he had not troubled himself with this; which is an Error either of the Transcriber or Printer: For it is in my Copy, ad cibum, meaning the Prayers before and after Meat; and that the other Word doth also fignify. The next is his asking, What I Ejaculations mean by Ejaculations emitted to Man's Self? and

quibbled at lowed in Scripture.

by J. B. al-this (faith he) looks like a Piece of Quaker Idolatry. This shews the Man's Eagerness to stretch every thing to make an Accusation; for by this I intended nothing, but to express such Prayers, as Men make unheard of others. And if this be a Piece of Quakers Idolatry, it is such, as he must account the Apostle Paul guilty of, as well as I; whose Words are I Cor. 14. v. 28. Eavlo Se ransite. fibi ipfi loquator, Let him speak to himself, as both Arias Montanus and Beza translate it, as well as the English: And that this is understood of Prayer, see from v. 24. So the furious Man may see. whither his Malice hath driven him! He forgetteth not also in this Chapter his old Calumny, and therefore hath it here oftner than once; that As all the rest, so the Prayers of the Quakers, as well as Preparations thereunto come only from that Light of Nature, as p. 455, 456, 457, and hence he accuseth me of Pelagianism, p. 459, for saying;

A Man canno " That to Command a Man to pray without the more pray without the more pray "Spirit, is to command him to fee without Eyes, spirit, than " and work without Hands; because Pelagius said, he can lee that whatever God commanded us to do, he gave us without sufficient Strength to do it. But if Pelagius said Eyes. fo, he understood it of an Ability without the Spi-

rit

rit of God; for which the Antients condemned 1679. him: Whereas my very Affertion here is in as opposite Terms to that, as any thing can be; fince I argue, that a Man can no more pray without the Spirit, than he can see without Eyes. And indeed, all this Man's Reasoning in this Chapter favours strongly of Pelagianism, where he pleads throughout for Mens setting about Spiritual Duties without the Spirit; yea p. 463. he faith, That the Divine Indulgence towards such, as have begun to pray without the Spirit, and afterwards have found it affifting them in their Prayer, is a strong Inducement and Encouragement to them: For this agrees exactly to the Semipelagian Principle, Facienti quod in se est, Deus non denegat Gratiam, i.e. God will not deny Grace to such, as do what they can. And indeed, this allowing Men to perform Spiritual Duties without the Allowance of the Spirit, as this Man doth, pleading for it, and reckoning the Contrary Absurd, p. 453. is Compleat Pelagianism; and doth clearly import, That Man by the Working of Nature can acquire the Spirit, and can do fomething in order to obtaining the Spirit of himself, before he have it: And thence this Man pleads so much p. 45%. for the general Use of J.B. with Prayer from the Light and Law of Nature: Let Pelagians him Reconcile this, if he can, with his other Prayer from Doctrines, and Clear himself of Pelagianism. And Nature's it is so much the more considerable, that he has Light. fall'n into this Pit, of which he so often falsly accuseth me, as also p. 461. Heasketh again, pag. 460. Why we come to their Places of Worship, if J. B's falle our Consciences be hurt in joining with them? And why we thence he concludes, It is to do open Contempt. come to their Places. This is but his malitious Conjecture: We come of Worship? not there, but in Obedience to the Lord, when moved by his Spirit so to do, to bear a faithful Testimony against all Superstition and Will-Wor-

ship.

1679. ship. For it is not pleasant to us to come there, where for the most Part we are saluted with Knocks and Stones, and other fuch Brutish and Paganish Dealings by their Church Members; which is the Fruit of their Holy Things, whereunto the People are often encouraged by their Preachers, who sometimes shew an Example of this themselves: And of whose barbarous Actions even by the Presbyterian-Preachers there

Priefts falling npon with their own Hands.

is a Book Extant, entituled, of Fighting Priests; giving account, how many of them fell upon these the Innocent Innocent Servants of the Lord with their own Hands: And I my self have seen of the present Preachers of Scotland do it. As for his flouting at the Quakers, for laying Claim to a Spirit of Discerning, so as to distinguish, who pray from the Spirit, and who not; he doth but therein declare himself to be none of Christ's Sheep, who are said to know his Voice from that of a Stranger. And as for his faying, That the Quakers judge of this by the Mimical Posture of the Body, it is false; and would agree far rather to his Brethren, whose Affected Postures of Body, as well as their Nonfenfical and Absurd Expressions in Prayer have disgusted many of their Way: Of which I could give some Eminent Instances, but that I spare them at present. The Example I gave of their Excluding some from their Sacrament of the Supper (so called) doth not halt, as he affirmeth, p. 462. as to the main: For if the Command to take it, is with Presupposition of Examination: fo the Command of Praying is with the Presupposition of its being in the Spirit, in which all Worship is now to be, Praying always — in the Spirit, Ephes. 6. 18. To my shewing, in Answer to their Objection of Peter his Commanding Simon Magus to pray, that he fays, Repent and pray; after a meer Affertion without Proof he fays; ·He

He sees, that with our Quaker a graceless Person 1679. can repent, but not pray. To which I answer; If he speak of Possibility, I believe a Graceless Perfon may both repent and pray, but as he cannot repent without Grace, so not pray without the Spirit : But Grace worketh in all, if not refisted; as the Spirit doth in all to Prayer, when they have received the Grace in Measure: but that some Measure of Repentance must go before Pray- A Measure er, himself I judge will hardly deny; since the of Repenvery offering to Pray importeth in the Person ap. before Praplying himself thereunto a Sense of his Iniquity, of Iniquity and a Defire to be delivered from it; for which and Defire End he approacheth to God to demand Pardon of Deliveand Help to amend.

¶ 6. Now I come to his Twenty Fifth Chapter of Singing Pfalms; where I shall not need to be J.B. en large. I deny not (as he observes) Singing: But Justify their to Justify their Custom of Singing David's Condi-Custom of Singing Condi-Custom tions, by which many are made (as I observed in vid's condimy Apology) to speak Lies in the Presence of God, tions, and he objecteth the Practice of the Jews; but their ing Lies, Practice in Matters of Worship, without a Gospel-Precept, is not a Rule to us. Neither doth the Instance given by him of Pfal. 66. 6. answer the Matter: for the Jews might very well praise the Lord for the Deliverance of their Fore-fathers out of Egypt; but that will not allow Drunkards and Impenitent Persons to say, They water their Couch with Tears; as by Singing Pfalms many do, which is false. As for his saying, They do but praise God for what he hath done for others; why do they not express it so then? And whereas he asketh, Whether the Spirit inspireth the Meeter in the Song, and the Tone of the Singing? He sheweth his Folly and Lightness, while he ridiculously supposeth, that Meeter is necessary, or any other Tone, than Nature hath given to every one; of which God by his Spirit maketh Use as an Instru-

ment.

1679. ment, as he doth of other Parts and Faculties of the Body to the Performing of Spiritual Duties. And the like Folly he sheweth, when he tells, What they do not in Scotland; fince he knows it was not particularly or only against the things practifed in Scotland, that I write in that Apology.

SECT. XIII.

Wherein bis Twenty Sixth Chapter Of Baptism is Considered.

7. B. a ompleat ailer.

¶ 1. OUR Author to shew, how angry and froward he resolves to be in this Chapter, makes his first Paragraph a compleat Stick of of Railing. He begins with telling, That the Paganish Antichristian Spirit, which reigneth and rageth in the Quakers, manifest a perfect and compleat Hatred at all the Institutions of our Lord Jesus Christ; and he endeth with this Exclamation, O! what desperate Renegado's must these Men be? More of this kind may be seen pag. 472, 473, 474, 480, 481. As for what he adds from feveral Scriptures of Baptism, pag. 466, 467. what of it relates to the Weight of the Question, will be examined afterwards. He gives us here a Citation out of their larger Catechism, and then comes at last, pag. 468. n. 4. to Examin, what I say in the Contests Matter; where upon my urging the many Conamong chri. called Sacraments, as one Reason against them, he concludes, I might as well plead against all Christianity, because of the many Debates about it . And with this Conceit he pleaseth himself a little; which only evidenceth his malitious Genius: for I should never have used that as an only Argument; and did not useatall, but as having many

Rians (so called.)

other confiderable Ones against their Use of these 1679. things: and therefore I add, That these things contended for, are meer Shadows and outward things. Then to cover their making Use of the Word Sacrament, which is not to be found in Scripture, he objecteth my making Use of the Word Fermentation, and of the Vehicle of God: But I use not to make Use of these Words, when I speak Scots or English; but these Words, when interpreted, are made Use of in Scripture: for the Latin Fermentum fermentum, which signifies Leaven, is oft used, or Leaven is even as compared to Spiritual Things; as Mat. 13. Scripture. 31. Luke 13. 21. 1 Cor. 5. 6, 7, 8. yea, the Word Leaven and Leavened is to be found in Scripture above Thirty Times: But the Word Sacrament never so much as once. And it is not (as he faith) a poor thing to Challenge them for expressing the Chief Mysteries of their Religion in Words, that cannot be found in all the Scripture; while they affirm it to be the only, adequate Rule of their Faith and Manners. That we deny the thing truly imported by the Trinity, is false. As for the Word Vehiculum Dei, as having a Respect to Christ's The like Body or Flesh and Blood from Heaven, that it is a of Vehicu-Scripture-Word, see Cant. 3. 9. King Solomon made Chariot or unto bimself a Chariot of the Wood of Lebanon; Vebicle, signified by the and v. 10. Vehiculum ejus purpureum: the He-Hebrew which fignify a Chariot and Vehicle; and that by 2772: Solomon is mystically understood Christ, of whom Solomon was a Figure or Type, none who are spi- Solomon a ritually-minded can deny; and consequently that chaise. this Chariot or Vehicle must be mystically and spiritually understood. Nor can it be meant of Believers, or the Church, because it is said, The midst of it being paved with Love for the Daughters of Ferusalem, i.e. for Believers: So that they are

received by Christ into his Chariot or Vehicle; and

there-

1679. therefore not it, but distinct, as the Contained is distinct from the Containing. But for the further understanding of these Hebrew Words see Buxtorff his Hebrew Lexicon, and the Book called Apparaeus in lib. Sobar. part. 1. p. 144, and 552. And however he might Cavil upon this mystical Meaning; yet the Word is Scriptural, which their Barbarism Sacrament is not. And to his saying (in Answer to my shewing, That by laying aside this Unscriptural Term, the Contest of the Number of the Sacraments will evanish) that it will remain, if instead of Sacrament they use Signs or Seals of the Covenant: This is but his bare Assertion, until he prove by clear Scripture, that there are only Two Signs or Seals of the Covenant: which he will find hard; and yet harder, that these two are they. Pag. 469. n. 5. he denieth the Scripture faith, There is one only Baptism; instancing the Baptism of Affliction: But I speak here of the Baptism of Christ in a true and proper Sence; and Eph. 4. 5. will prove as much, That there is one Raptism. only Baptism, as there is one only God; which is in the next Verse. But before I proceed any further, I must desire the Reader to observe, how this Man, speaking of the Baptism of the Holy Ghost, ununderstands derstands it only to relate to the Extraordinary

in his Ac-

count is

ceafed.

by Baptism Gift of speaking with Tongues, which the Apostles of the Holy which had; and not as any thing common to all true and really Regenerated Christians: So that he concludes, the Baptism with the Spirit and with Fire now to be ceased. And upon this his Supposition he buildeth pag. 471-473, 474-478. without so much as offering to prove it. And to this he addeth a gross Lie upon me, pag. 472. That I will have none to be Baptized in the Spirit, but such as are endued with these Extraordinary Gifts; which I never faid nor believed: And therefore this his false Supposition I deny; and consequently till next time, that he take Leisure to prove

it,

it, all that he builds thereupon, is meerly preca- 1679. rious, and needs no further Answer. John the Baptist speaking of the Baptism of Christin general, as contradistinct from his, saith, He that cometh after me, shall Baptize you with the Holy Ghost and with Fire: which could not have been the Mark of Distinction, if this had only been restricted to what the Apostles received the Day of Pentecost; and not of the Baptism wherewith Christ Baptizeth all his Children. But to rectify the Mistake he supposeth I am in, concerning the One Baptism, he tells me, The One Baptism com- J. B's prehendeth both the outward Element, and the thing not the true Represented and Sealed thereby: But the Reasons one. he gives for this are so weak, that thereby I am confirmed, I am not in the Mistake. I might say (faith he) there were two Circumcisions, because Circumcision is called Circumcision of the Heart: And what then? In that Sence there were Two, fo long as the Outward continued, to wit, the Outward and the Inward; that of the Flesh, and that of the Heart: And if he can answer this no better, than by Smiling at it, we must pity the Levity of his Spirit; but not be moved by the Weight of such airy Arguments. What he addeth of the Object of Faith being called Faith, as also the Profession, albeit the Apostle say, there is One Faith, is not to the Purpose; since these are included in the one true Faith the Apostle speaketh of: But for him to fay, That the Baptism of Water is included in the One Baptism, spoken of there by the Apostle, is only to beg the Question; And yet all he doth, is strongly to Assirm this without Proof. So that all that he faith in Answer to me, being built upon this and fuch like Mistakes, needed in Strictness no more Reply, as his Answer to my Argument pag. 471. sheweth; where he Supposeth Two Baptisms, one administred by Men, * Ii another

rit, and not by Men: But he should have proved this, e're he had used it as a Distinction; and till he do so, my Argument, to wit, That since such

That Wa-as were Baptized with Water, were not therefore ter-Baptism Baptized with the Baptism of Christ, therefore Wa-the Baptism ter-Baptism cannot be the Baptism of Christ; will of Christ. Stand for all his Blowing. I desire the Reader

take Notice here of his Infinuation, as if I had borrowed this Argument from Socious; which he hath over and over again afterwards, as to others, speaking expresly pag. 433. of my stealing Arguments from Socious: But to shew him, how unhappy he is in being fo apt to speak Untruth, he may understand, that I never read three Lines of Socinus's Writings hitherto; nor knew, what Arguments he used, till now he informs me, in Case his Information be true. Instead of Answer to what I urge from 1 Pet. 3. 21. in my Apology, he giveth a Preaching made up of meer Affertions built on the former Mistakes, and Railing; his Answer is built upon the Supposing, That Water Baptism goes to the Making up of Christ's Baptism, which is now to continue; which yet remains for him to prove: And on the other hand Supposing, That I affirm, that by the Answer of a good Conscience there mentioned, is to be understood the Extraordinary Gifts of the Spirit: which is false. And upon the same two Mistakes he grounds his Answer pag. 473. N. 8. to what I urge from Gal. 3. 27. and Col. 2. 12. as a Supplement, That the Putting on of Christ there mentioned by the Apostle, may be understood of Put-ting on Christ by Profession, though not in Truth and Reality; which he also hath pag. 438. for which Exposition I shall expect his Proof next time, if he have any.

¶ 2. Pag. 474. He proceedeth upon the fame unproved Supposition, That Water Baptism was

Instituted by Christ; and here he denies, that John's 1679. Baptism was a Figure. But fince John's Baptism' was a washing with Water, and that the Apostle J. B's supassiribeth the Putting on Christ to the Baptism of position, that Water-Christ, as Washing with Water typisieth or signi Baptissa shates the Washing of Regeneration; so doth John's Instituted by Baptiss that of Christ. He concludeth this Pa-validated. ragraph with a filly Quibble, where, in Answer to my urging John's Words, saying, I must decrease, and he must increase, he adds, As if John and Baptism with Water were all one; and Christ poor Shift, that by one and the same with the Baptism of the Holy John's De-Ghost. Poor Man! he has been fore pinched when crease is not he betook himself to this filly Shift. Will he say, Baprism, this is to be understood of John's and Christ's Per. Gc. fons, and not of their Ministry? Then we must Suppose, John grew less and decripit as to his Person ever after this; and Christ grew bigger and taller: Let him remember to prove this when he writes next. He goes on pag. 475. upon his old Mistake, Supposing, That Water Baptism was Instituted by Christ, and that he gave Command to his Disciples so to Baptize; and that Mat. 28. 19. is to be understood of Water Baptism; all which is meerly to beg the Question. He saith, That to fay, John's Baptism is not Pure and Spiritual, or that it is a Legal Rite, is to condemn John, Christ and his Apostles; because God gave John an express Command for it: And what then? God commanded the Legal Rites also, that did not hin- The Legal der them from being such, to say, he needed not Rites had a Command, such a Command: If it had of the Nature of the as well as Legal Rites, is but a presumptuous Quarrelling John's Bap. with God, feeing on all Hands it is granted, he Commanded it; and a meer Affirming, it is not fuch, instead of proving it. As for the Apostle his making Honourable Mention of Baptism in his Epistles, and of its Ends, which he points in several Scriptures; all which is granted: But it

Ti 2

doth

1679. doth not thence follow, that all this is to be understood of Water Baptism; and while that still remaineth the Thing in Debate, he can prove nothing from these Scriptures. But it is no wonder he thus forgets himself as to me; fince in the following Words he quarrelleth with the Apostle

J. B. quar-Paul, saying in Answer to his Words, I Cor. I. relleth with the Apostle. V. 17. That he was not sent to Baptize. If Paul had not been fent to Baptize, why would he have done it? I think it needless to me to answer the Absurdity he would here fix upon the Apostle; fince it sufficeth me, and I hope, will other good Christians, that the Apostle saith positively, That he was not fent to Baptize: And for his Baptizing of some, we will suppose he had a Reason, though not from his Commission, which he expresly denies; whatever John Brown may bawl to the contrary. As for his faying, That it feemeth then, the other Apostles had another Commission than Paul bad; It is built upon the Supposition, that they had a Commission to Baptize with Water: which remains for him yet to prove. And not to contend with him (for Brevity's fake) about that of Hosea 6. 6. whether [not] there be only to be understood of less principally; yet though it were, it would not follow, it should be so understood here also: I shew him by an Example, I Cor. 2. 5. what wild Work fuch an Interpretation would make, if ordinarily applied; but he, it feems, judged it most convenient not to take Notice of it in this his Examen, albeit in Reason he should have done it, if he would give a compleat Anfwer: For he must either prove [not] always to be understood of less principally; or otherwise he must bring particular Reasons, why it should be fo here, and not, that it sometimes is so understeod: For such a Particular will not infer the Consequence. T 3. The

¶ 3. The Reason he giveth of Christ's Submit- 1679. ting to Water Baptism, to prove it now to continue, is his Saying; For thus it becometh us to christ subfulfil all Righteousness: But may not that be ap-mitting to plied also to Circumcision? And yet its Continu tism proves ance will not thence follow. John's receiving a not its Con-Divine Command to Baptize, sheweth, there was a tinuance. Divine Institution for it under the Law; because the Law was not as yet abrogated, nor the Legal. Ministration accomplished, till Christ was offered up: As for Christ his Consecrating it in his own Person; the like may be also said of Circumcision. I come now to see what he saith n. 14. to prove Matt. 28. 19. to be understood of Water Baptism. And first, after a little Railing he saith, J. B's sur-This was but an Enlargement of their former Come ther Reasons mission, as to the Object; And before this we heard tinuance ex-of their Baptizing with Water, with Christ's War amined. rant and Authority, &c. Answ. We have heard him fay so indeed; but must wait, until he prove, e're we be so forward as to believe it. And next, what if it were all granted? We heard before of the Disciples Preparing and Eating the Passover with Christ's Warrant and Authority; will it thence follow, that that Practice is still to continue in the Church? (2.) Because it is joined bere with Discipling; and Baptizing was the Way of Making Disciples among the Jews . So was Circumcifion, and that no less constantly and neceffary; will it therefore follow, that Circumcie fion is to continue? (3.) He faith, Their constant After-practice declareth this to be the meaning of the Command: But the Apostle Paul's Practice and Testimony declareth this to be false. (4.) He faith, This is the proper Import of the Word: But I deny it is so in Scripture; since we see no Necessity in most of the Places of Scripture to understand the Word of Water-Baptism: And Ii 3 when

when he shews the Necessity, he may be answered; and the Scriptures fo frequently using it, where Water upon all Hands is confessed not to be underflood, prove this to be true. And as for his faying, That it cannot be understood here of Baptism with the Spirit, it falleth to the Ground; because only built upon the Supposition, that that is only understood of Extraordinary Gifts. He urgeth Christ's Saying, Luke 12. 50. I have a Baptism to be baptized with; and how am I straitned till it be accomplished? As if this were to be called Christ's own Baptism; and so I shall grant it with Respect to his Personal Sufferings: But when I speak of Christ's own Baptism, I speak of that which is his as being Instituted by him for others; and that Contradistinct from John's. Pag. 479. he faith, 7. B's false The Words of Baptizing into the Name, ев то вория,

Glos upon is only to be understood of a Dedicating to God, the words els to ovo and not a being Baptized into the Power and Virtue: But this is his own Affertion. Neither doth Paul's faying, I Cor. 1.13. Were ye Baptized in the Name of Paul? make it clear at all: For making it unto Paul, will render the Apostle's Argument more forcible, to shew the Corinthians their Folly, in faying, they were of Paul, or other Men, into whose Power or Virtue it was abfurd to fay, they were Baptized; as must be said of all true Christians, being Baptized into the Name of Christ. That I condemn their Manner of Baptizing, is true; but that I do it because of their Doing it In the Name of the Father, is his false and foolish Conjecture: And therefore his troubling himself to prove that, is to no Purpose. For his Saying, That if Matt. 28. 19. be not understood of Water-Baptism, it would make a Tautology; I answered that (n. 8.) in my Apology of Baptism; and here he only repeats the Objection, without taking Notice of my Answer: Which shew-

sheweth how Defective his Examen is. He goes on 1679. pag. 480. upon the Supposition, That the Apostle's Baptizing with Water was not by meer Permission; The Apo-and yet the Apostle's Commanding the Gentiles ing with Wato Abstain for a Time from things strangled, and ter proves from Blood, which was a Jewish Rite, shews, their it not Evan-Using Baptism with Water doth not prove it Evangelical. He confesseth here, They did not fully at first comply with their Commission; and he must also say, they did not understand it, though he would here wave it: And because he knows not well what to fay, he falls to Rail, faying, He feeth, what Quakers cannot do with Reason, they must do with consident and bold Lies: But the Reafon he gives of all this Accufation (so strange Confidence) is my faying, That the Chief of Christ's Disciples had been John's, adding, Will he tell us who these Chief were? Yes, I will, seeing he is so ignorant: Joh. 1. 35, 37. where he may some of see, Two of John's Disciples followed Christ, one ciples had of which is expresly mentioned to be Andrew the been John's. Apostle; and it is there clearly enough imported, that Peter was another: And fuch may without Abfurdity be accounted among the Chief of Christ's Disciples Pag. 481. he most falsly faith, That I condemn Peter and all the Apostles for resting satisfied with what he had done: His faying here, That they do not urge their Baptism from Peter's Baptizing Cornelius, shews, he sees a Neceffity of not laying great Stress upon that: But for his adding, That fefus Christ hath commanded, he doth but say, and not prove it. He saith, That Gal. 2. 12. will not prove, that Peter constrained the Gentiles to be circumcised: But ver. 14. to which my Words alluded, faith expresly, --- Why compellest thou the Gentiles to live as do the Jews? And fure, that was to be circumcised. For his Malitious, False Asseveration, That we with the Jews design to destroy Christianity; it needs no Ii4 Reply.

1679.

Reply. That there were Baptisms among the Fews, is clear from Heb. 6. 2. albeit Paulus Riccius were not alledged to prove it, nor any Debate used about the Antiquity of the Jews Writings; but that some of them wrote before the Year 200, Josephus's History is an Example. He confesseth The Etymology of the Word inferreth Dipping; and albeit we deny not that, yet this sheweth, with how little Reason he urgeth that Etymology upon us: If the Reader will but seriously read, what I have written in my Apology of Baptism, he will easily find, how slender his Answer is, albeit I had not written this Reply.

S.E.C.T. XIV.

Wherein his Twenty Seventh Chapter, Intituled, Of the Lord's Supper, is Considered.

TI. THE Reader before this time hath had fo much Opportunity to discern the Temper of this Man's Spirit, that he need not wonder to find him begin this Chapter of the Lord's Supper, with an Heap of Railing; accounting us fuch, as Overturn Christianity, and introduce Paganism, yea, as are posting towards it. And then having given a large Account, p. 483, 484. of their Confession of Faith and larger Catechism. pag. 485. he comes to tell the Good Experiences of this Sup- many have had by their Use of this Supper; which to make strong in his Conceit, he useth a continued Style of Railing against us, as Men only led by our own Imaginations, and given up to the Working of the Prince of Darkness: And thus he goes on. But fuch Experience, albeit granted, will not prove the Necessity of its Continuance: For the Assembly of Divines (so called) in their Preface

7. B's good Experiences per prove not its Continuance.

to the Directory, do speak of the Good, which 1679. was Experienced by the Liturgy of the Church of England, and of the Religious Intentions of the Compilers of it; while yet they are rejecting and abolishing it, as that which proved an Offence to the Godly, and occasioned much Mischief: Therefore that is no Argument. P. 486. n. 5. He comes to Examin, what I fay in the Matter: And then after a Reference to his Tenth Chapter, J. B's Rail-he has his Old Calumny, That the Celestial Seed, ing against and Spiritual Substance is nothing, but the dim the Light. Light of Nature; he falleth into a new Fit of Railing, which holds him to the End of this Paragraph; terming us such as are Judicially blinded and de-luded, atted and driven by the Devil into a Profane and Paganish Contradiction to the Ways of Grace. And with the like Shame, and upon the fame old Supposition of our exalting the Light of Nature (which is most False) he filleth his n. 6. and also his 7. p. 488. For what he faith there of the Absurdity of God's Revealing himself to Heathens, or fuch as were Idolators, I have spoken before, writing upon that Subject. And here he con-cludes, That my Asserting of a Spiritual Body and Flesh of Christ, at one Blow is a denying the Christ of God, and overturning Christianity: But instead of proving it, he proposeth some Questions; Had Christ two Bodies? Yes: And let him deny it, if he dare, without contradicting the Scripture. Joh. 6. 58. Christ speaks of his Fleth, Christ Plesh which came down from Heaven: But this was not down from the Flesh he took from the Virgin Mary; for that Heaven, was came not down from Heaven: But he had a Spiritu-he took al Body, in which his Soul Existed long before he from the virgin Mary. took Flesh of the Virgin; and we will see, how John Brown proves this to be an Error, in the Refutation that is promised in his Name of G. K's Book. And for the rest of his Conjectures, such as, We have two such Bodies too, is but a Fiction of

his

1679. his own Brain: We call no Body of Christ Carnal, but believe, That that Body, which Christ took of the Virgin, which was of the Seed of Abraham and David, in which Christ walked upon the Earth, and was Crucified, did Arife the Third Day, was Glorified, and remaineth in Heaven; wherein the Centre of his most Glorious Soul remaineth for Ever: And let him fhew, if he can, how this is a Denying of the Christ of God, or Overturning of Christianity; He proceedeth p. 489, at a most violent Strain of Railing, upon the Supposition of his old Calumny:

J. B. makes and here, that it may be Compleat, he makes a a Preaching Preaching to the Devil: For which Blasphemous to the Devil. Abuse I wish heartily, the LORD forgive

him! That these Devils, to whom he preacheth, be not permitted to give him his Reward for his Sermon. But feeing, he blusheth not to do this in Print, I shall not think the many gross Abufes, I have heard to have been uttered by Presby-

terian. Preachers, so Incredible, as I have been A Presbyte-apt to do; especially that, which I have been in-

to take the him, that they might be quit of them.

rian Prea-cher praying formed of of late of one, who at a Conventicle to the Devil in the South near Legerwood, not far from Lau. to take the Bishops and ther, made a Digression, in his Prayer, to the Decurates, to vil, faying; O Devil! thou hast troubled us much with the Bishops and Curates; We beseech thee, Devil, take them to thee, and make us quit of them! This Prayer futes with John Brown's Preaching: And indeed, the Presbyterians will need a New Direttory; for the Old one, by which they are in-ftructed to preach to Men, and pray to God, will not serve for this New Ministry, by which they begin to Preach and Pray to Devils. And of the like Strain is his faying, after much Railing, p. 490. That if the Quaker write Comments on Paul's Epistles, it must be of Paulus Paganizans: This Sort of Stuff is enough to give all sober Christians a Disgust of this Man's Writings. Page,

Page, after some Quibbles about Relation, he comes p. 491. n. 11. to Affirm, That there may be a Relation, which is neither from the Nature of the thing, nor from some Divine Precept; such as a Promise and divine Institution. But is not a divine Institution a divine Precept? And whereas he boasts here, That my whole Discourse falleth, as being built upon a Mistake ; the Reader may fee, the Mistake is his own, and not mine; and then judge of his Discourse, that's built thereon: As also how Airy, Vain and Oftentive he is in faving, What will be now do? His Light has confounded bim, so as he knows not what he says: Is this Language becoming a Gospel-Minister? That what Luke faith, doth not import a perpetual but temporary Command, will after appear: Of what Paul faith, I Cor. 10. will be spoken hereafter. To my shewing, that I Cor. 11. 26. Paul expresseth the End of this Ceremony to be The Declara Declaring of the Lord's Death; which hath no ing of the Lord's Death necessary Relation with partaking of Christ's Bo-has no necesdy and Blood: He answereth, That a Declaration fary relation of Christ's Death is a comprehensive End, &c. And ing of what then? That proves not the Necessary Rela Christ's Body and Blood. tion; nor yet what he adds in this Paragraph: Therefore I intreat him, next time to Speak to the Purpose. P. 492. n. 12. He Raileth at me, as perverting the Apostle's Words; but giveth no Reason, unless his own meer Affirmation and Oueries be esteemed sufficient. He asketh, "What "fignified Christ's Blessing of the Bread, break-7.8's proofing, giving it to his Disciples, desiring them less Proofs
to eat?" Answ. Christ blessed the Bread, crament of brake it, and gave it to his Disciples to eat, and the Lord's Supper (for they to others; where themselves confess no such called.) Mystery or Sacrament, as they would have here, is deducible: fee Mat. 14. v. 19. Mark 6. 41. He infinuates, I speak falfly in saying, there is no mention

1679. mention of this Ceremony 1 Cor. 10, 16. but is not so charitable as to point to me, where? If there be any fuch thing. As for his meer Affirmations and Distinctions here about the Bread, I will wait the next time to have them proved by Scripture; then will judge them worth the Confidering. I have shewn in my Apology, that the Corinthians being in the Use of this Ceremony, and the Apostle's rectifying the Abuse they were in, in the Use of it, nor yet its having been done upon a Religious Account, or in a general Respect to the Participation of the Body and Blood of Christ; will not prove the Necessity of its being now to be performed: And therefore what he faith p. 493. n. 14. evanisheth. And as for his adding here, That then it was an Alt of Will-worship and Superstition, and that I conclude, the Apostle encouraged such a thing: Whence he taketh Occasion to Rail at me, as blasphemously imputing Unfaithfulness to the Apostle, and to the Spirit of God that acted him; I Answer: What is done by Permission for a time, is not Will-Worship and Superstition; and he confesseth, he argues not from the Corinthians Practice: And for his Railing, the Ground of it being false, it needs no Answer. As for his denying, the Jews had such a Custom at the time of their Passover; his meer Negation is not sufficient to Elide the Testimony of far more Credible Authors, than he himself in this Matter: And as for the Words of Dothis in Luke, Do this in Remembrance of me, It doth

brance, is no not inter perpetual Obligation upon the Church perpetual in all Ages. He Raileth at this, but without a obligation. Reason, p. 495. instancing the Apostle's 1 Cor. 11. 24, 25. But I told him before, that the Apostle gives here an Account of Matter of Fact; which infers not a Command: And in this Page the Man is miserably pinched to shew, how the Washing of one anothers Feet, albeit commanded with as great So-

lemni-

lemnity, doth not oblige as much now: But his 1679. Conjectures prove nothing. What! albeit it was The Washing a Custom in the hot Countreys, and that it was of Freet coma Sign of Christ's Humility; How doth all that manded with Abrogate the Express Command to do it? Let lemnity, yet him shew an Exemption from this from plain Ceased. Scripture: For his meer Affertions have but small Weight; and by which I am not like, nor yet any Man of Reason, that is not resolved to set up John Brown as a Pope, to believe all he faith from his bare Words, to conclude the Differences. He thinks p. 496. "That their not keeping exact"It to the Method used by Christ in this thing, to the Me"fignifieth nothing;" but he should prove by thod Christ
used in the Scripture, how they are safe in practifing one Supper. Part, and not the other? And by what Rule he accounts the one Part Circumstances, and not the other? For as to the Matter of the thing he will confess, there is nothing in it, but by reason of Christ's Command and Practice; so that affects all Parts alike: And indeed, he gives a very fummar Answer, to what I urge as to this, as the Reader by comparing his n. 17. with n. 6. of my Apology upon this Subject, may observe. It pasfeth my mean Capacity to fee any folid Reason given by him p. 497. n. 18. Why Act. 2. 42. should be understood of other than their Common Eating? Unless this may be esteemed one. "That to fay fo, is a meer groundless Fancy; " like many of the Quakers bold Notions." To prove Atts 20. 7. to be understood of Sacramental Eating, he saith; It required Paul's Preaching: but for this we must wait his Proof. That Paul preached not upon other Occasions, because not mentioned, is but his meer Conjecture; and his Inference from this being the Christian Sabbath, is but a filly Begging of the Question.

¶ 2. Pag. 498. n. 20. He stateth my Words, J. B. forhow the Apostle 1 Cor. 11. saith When ye come toge wer.

ther,

1679. ther, this is not to eat the Lord's Supper; and not, that it was not to eat aright: And I expected his-Answer to this to follow, but in vain; for I found not any: Perhaps he has forgotten it; and therefore I defire, he may remember it next. Also here instead of giving a Reason, to prove the Apostle gives here a Command, and not simply a Relation of the Matter of Fact; he returneth Railing: I intreat him next to lay aside his Rail-

ged to Imitate fuch Practices.

ing, and give a Reason. That the Corinthians were Babes in Christ, and some of them even further advanced, I acknowledge; yet that will not prove, that some things might be Indulged to them, which is not needful to us now: The Christians, that had been Jews, were also Babes in Christ, and even more; such as the Apostle tying himself James, who defired Paul to purify himself in in the Tem-ple, Christians are not the Temple: And yet we are not thence obliged ans are not to Imitate such Practices. Whether the Syriack thence obli- Version mentioned by me, make not to my Purpose, I leave to the Reader's Judgment; my Ufing it will not infer my Acknowledging that Version in all things to be Authentick, more than his own Using it: And albeit I think, it might have been sufficient to have given the Words upon the Credit of the Interpretation in the Poly-glotta; yet to flew him, how apt he is to fall into false Conjectures, he may know, I did it not: And if he could hence, as well as from several other Occasions heretofore observed, learn not to lay fo much Stress upon, and so forwardly Vent his own Conjectures, he would do himself a Courtesy. P. 409. n 21. He can easily turn-by the Apostle's express Command, Ads 15. 29. as being a Part of the Ceremonial Law: But I hope he will acknowledge, that the Obligation upon the Christians (especially such as had not been Jews) to observe it, was not its being a Part of the Ceremonial Law; but its being now a Coma Command of the Apostles, or rather of the 1679. Spirit of God, to whom it seemed good so to command: And he should shew next time, how this is more Abrogated in the Epistles of Paul, than the other? And particularly, how that Rom. 14. 17. doth touch the one more, than the other? And this Command Att. 15. 19. being after the pouring down of the Spirit, and Universal Preaching of the Gospel to the Gentiles, hath as much of a Gospel-Institution, as any thing Commanded before by Christ can have; if not, let him give us a Reason from Scripture: Till then, his meer Assertions p. 500. will not do the Business. To my shewing, That this is not to distinguish the Gospel from the Law, he thinks it enough to fay; This is a Socinian Argument formerly spoken to: And he is very careful not to weary the Reader with Repetitions; I wish, he had minded this all along. He also referreth the Proof of their Au-J. B's Proof thority to Administrate this Sacrament to his of their Au-17th Chapter: But they must be very Clear-sigh-Administrate this Sacrated, that can observe any such thing there. And ment Invision to conclude with some shew of Victory, he in ble. a most oftentive way saith; "That I have fought, "until I can stand no longer; and finding my " felf weak, and unable to fight any more, I "come to something like a Parly," by faying [" Such as out of Conscience will perform this "Ceremony, as the First Christians did, might " be Indulged in it"] but he concludeth; "These things I affirm being proved, none can be sup-" posed to do it out of Conscience: But some may not have fuch a clear Sight of it; and thence may stick in these things. He dispatcheth, what more I say as to this, as being A Bundle of The weak groundless Wbimsies without Truth, Sense or Con-Proofs J.B. shings to fiftency: But indeed I must say, I wonder to see vindicate the Man so weak upon this Theam, as well as the great sathe former of Baptism; considering, they are the their Religigreat on.

on against

to have a

Lawful

€15.

1679. great Sacraments of their Religion: But it seems, his Rage in these has Robbed him of his Reason. I will Intreat the Reader feriously to peruse, what I have written upon both these in my Apology; that comparing it with his, he may easily perceive (albeit this Reply had not been Written) how Weak all is, the Man brings for the Proof of these things.

S E C T. XV.

Wherein his Twenty Eighth Chapter Of Liber-ty of Conscience is Considered.

T 1. A S he ended his last Chapter with Railing, so he begins this, comparing the Quakers to Thieves and Robbers, adding; That their being conscious to themselves of the Evil of their Ways (which after he has a little amplified in as black a manner as he can, he Concludes, that) "They thought it best for their own "Safety to add this to the rest of their Errors, "that Magistrates have no lawful Power over "them: "In which (besides his Railing) are two J. B's Mali-gross Lies. First, "That the Quakers are consci-" ous of their ownevil Ways; and that moves them our Acknow-" to affert Liberty of Conscience: " Which being ledging the Magistrates a gross Falshood, hath no Bottom, but his own malitious Conjecture; where he presumptuously presumes to Judge of other Mens Hearts. Powerover cond is: That the Quakers say, The Magistrate hath no lawful Power over them: A most gross Lie; The Contrary whereof is expresly afferted in the The Lawful Thefe in thefe Words; " Provided always, that nessand Ju-flice of Ma- "no Man under the Pretence of Conscience prejugiftracy af- "dice his Neighbour in his Life or Estate, or do ferred by us. " any thing destructive of, or inconsistent with

" Humane

" Humane Society; in which Case the Law is for 1679. " the Transgressor: And Justice is to be admini-" ftred upon all, without respect of Persons." Who will but open their eyes, may here see the Man fo desperately resolved to Calumniate, that he neither feems to Regard his Conscience towards God, nor his Reputation among Men; that he may fulfil his Envy in this Particular: But fuch gross Abuses will not hurt, but help the Quakers. Yea, in the very next Page he taketh notice. That I grant to the Magistrate only Liberty to judge in Matters touching the Life and Goods of others, &c. So here is some lawful Power. As for the malitious Infinuation that follows, it needs no other Refutation with Men of Sobriety, but to repeat it, to wit, But probably not of Quakers, for they are perfect, and so cannot do wrong: Is not this folidly, and learnedly, and Christianly argued, Reader? Thinkest thou, that to say, That this Restriction is destroyed; because Men may pretend Conscience in wronging their Neighbours, as some bave done in committing Villanies, faith nothing, fince the Proposition expresly allows the Magistrate to punish Acts, that are materially injurious to Civil Society, albeit Conscience be pretended? After (according to his usual Manner) he has given us a large Citation out of the Confestion of Faith, and some Quibbles about the Word Conscience (which, as not directly concerned in this Debate, for Brevity's fake I omit.) Secondly, he comes p. 504. n. 5. to fay; "That I " most perversly State the Question, in saying, "The Magistrate has not Power to Compel Men " against their Consciences in Matters of Religi-" on (and why?) Because I distinguish not be-" twixt Elicit and Imperate Acts of Conscience;" That is (as himself explains) Inward and Outward: For as to the first, he confesseth, The Magistrate * Kk is

for Magistrates to ward Conformity is not a Cempelling Men contrary to their Confciences.

1679. is not to Compel Men, fo as to hinder them to think, judge, understand and conclude in their J. B. Af Mind as they will; but only in speaking, writing and open professing, which are visible and audible: Yea he thinks, the Magistrates Power force an out-doth not only extend on this fide to Prohibition; but that he may also force them to hear, and to the Use of Publick Means, that is in plain Terms, to an Outward Conformity: And yet he faith, This is no Force upon Conscience. then Popish Magistrates according to him used no Force upon the Consciences of Protestants, in forcing them to hear Mass; nor yet the Pagans upon the Christians, in forcing them to go to Idol Worships: And to come near Home, the prefent Magistrates in Scotland use no Force upon the Consciences of his Brethren, the Presbyterians in the West-country, in Constraining them to go to hear the Bishops Curates (as they term them) where they cannot pretend, there is any thing of Idolatry. As for his Distinction of the Magistrates having Power of Outward but not Inward Alts, it were enough for me to Reject it, as not being proved by him to be founded on Scripture; as indeed it is most deceitful. For if the Magiftrate Restrain me from doing that Outward Action either of Confessing to Truth, or Denying Error; Abstaining from Idolatry or False Worship, and Practising the True, which my Inward Per-swasion convinceth me of; he Incroacheth upon, and takes upon him to Rule over my Inward Perswasion, as well as the Outward, which follows naturally from the Inward: And without doing whereof, my Inward could add nothing to me fave Condemnation, feeing Christ requires an Outward Confession. And if the Magistrates Power as to outward Acts even in Matters of Religion, be limitted, then he of Right may Decide

Christ requires an Outward Confession.

and Judge of all outward Matters relating to Re 1679. ligion; which John Brown may remember his Brethren have strongly denied, referving that only for the Kirk. For to fay (as he addeth,) That the Magistrate hath Power to punish Hereticks, but not the Orthodox, is (as I observed) miserably to beg the Question; fince never any Magistrate was fo mad, as to perfecute Truth, as Truth; but still under the Notion of Error. The Sum of what he saith further upon this Matter, p. 505-507, 508, 509, in Answer to me, resolves in these Two Objections.

¶ 3. First, That my Arguments do no less take away the Magistrates Power in Civils.

Secondly, That by the same Arguments may be denied and taken away all Church-Censures, which I grant; and in so doing contradict my self, or must answer my own Arguments.

For Proof of the First he tells; That many Magistrates have been or may be uncapable to judge in Civil Matters, as well as Religion; as also have

done Injustice in their Judgment.

Answ. True: but all this will no ways infer his Conclusion; because they still had that, which was needful to the Being of Magistracy, that is, the being of being duly Constitute (for of Usurpers we do not Magistrate is here speak) however they may want these Quali to judge of Civil, not ties, which might more accomplish them in their of Religious Employment; or that they may Err in the Ad. Matters. ministration of it. But Christianity, and confequently to judge in Matters of Religion, doth not so much as pertain to the Esse or Being of a Magistrate: For if it did, no Man could ever have been, or yet could be a true Magistrate, or ought to be so owned, unless a Christian; which I suppose John Brown will not adventure to affirm; or if he do, he will manifestly contradict the Do-Ctrine, as well as Practice of Christ and his Apoftles, who preached Subjection, and were them-Kk 2 felves

nies to Christianity. If then a Magistrate may be truly a Magistrate, and ought by Christians to be acknowledged and submitted to as such, who is not a Christian; to deny to Magistrates that Power of Judgment, which they can only have as being Christians, will not necessarily take away any of their Power, as Magistrates: For Christian Subjects, especially being private Persons, may and ought to submit and obey their lawful Magistrates, albeit committing Errors in

Magistrates the Government, and commanding things hurt-Comm tring ful to the State; and if they do other ways, may vernment, be justly punished, where the Nature of the Government giveth them not Allowance so to do.

Magistrates But if the Magistrate shall command any thing commands to contrary to the Law of God, or Impose in Matter to the Law ters of Conscience contary to Truth, J. B. will of God, nor with me confess (unless he condemn himself) forcing Contrary to the Law terry private Christian may, without being instructions.

forcing Con-that every private Christian may, without being justly accused of Contempt, refuse to Obey: As many of Fohn Brown's Friends do in not going to the Parish-Kirks, (where the same Faith and Do-etrine they hold, is preached) contrary to Acts of Parliament: For he hath not proved, That a Magistrate by being a Christian acquires more Power, than he had before, or is more a Magiftrate; though he may be a Better. For albeit, as he observes, Fathers be desired to Instruct their Children, which Pagan-Fathers cannot do; yet they are not more Fathers than before, nor have more Power over their Children to force them, than before: So a Magistrate being a Christian, may Instruct, countenance and Advance Christianity by the Advantage of his Place; but acquires no more Power thereby, to force his People upon that account: J. B. if he judge so, will do well to prove it by Scripture.

¶ 4. The

¶ 4. The Reason of his Second Objection is; 1679. Because a Church may Err in their Judgment, being defective (as he supposed the Magistrate in the former Objection) and so may condemn Truth for Error: But how weak this is, is very apparent. For if he can shew us a Church having the True Being of a Church, which ought to be acknowledged and submitted to by Christians as fuch, which yet is wholly a stranger to, yea, an Enemy and Persecutor of Christianity (as I did him in the Case of Magistracy) he will say fomething; but other ways nothing at all. Next: The Censure of a Church (however he seems to Church Censure is not a judge otherwise) cannot be called forcing of Con-Forcing the science in the Sense I grant it, which is only for Conficience. to deny the Persons Censured their Spiritual Fellowship; fince he himself by his Differing from them breaks it off (as in my Book, Intituled, The Anarchy of the Ranters, &c. written concerning Church-Government, I have at large shewn.) And if the Difference be fuch, as the Church judgeth in Conscience, they cannot have Spiritual Communion with one so principled; it were in him a Forcing of their Conscience to urge it upon them: For fince he takes the Liberty out of Conscience (as he judgeth) to differ from all his Brethren, it were a most unreasonable thing in such a one to deny them the Liberty (being perswaded in their Conscience they ought) to Withdraw from him; feeing, the Band of their Unity, which at least in Part was an Agreement in Doctrine, is so far by him Broken: But as he doth not fall upon them to Beat, Imprison or Kill them; neither ought they to do fo to him. As for his Answer, That they are not for propa-

As for his Answer, That they are not for propagating Christianity by Force, or that the Ministers should use Fire and Sword, pag. 508. It is the same Deceitful Return, that the Pope and the fesuites (his Janizaries) give upon the like Occasion (with

Kk 2 whom

1679. whom the Presbyters in most things of this Nature do most unanimously Agree) who it is said, after they have judged any one Guilty of Heresy, deliver him over to the Magistrate; because (alas! merciful and tender hearted Men! as is usually spoken by Contraries) They will not meddle with Blood: But how would they Thunder the Magistrate, if he did not proceed to Execution! The same way do the Presbyters: For we know, what naturally follows upon the Church-Presbyters Cenfure, or Disobedience to their Orders; as by

the Popish Inquifitors

Church Cen-many Examples during the Reign of Presbytery fure no less in Scotland might be proved; and which I may Gruel, than Instance, if further provoked thereto: By which it shall appear, that they are no less BLOODY and CRUEL, than the Popish Inquisitors; and that both the POPE and the PRESBYTERS, Assuming the Power of Judgment to themselves, leave nothing to the Magistrate, but the dishonourable Office of being their Executioner, or in plain Scots, Hangman: While both most deceitfully wipe their Mouths, as if they were Innocent of the Blood-shed procured by them.

¶ 5. Instead of giving any direct Reply to my Answer to their Objection drawn from Deut. 13: where false Prophets and Idolaters are ordained to be Killed; he seeks malitiously to infer, That I deny all Authority of the Old Testament: Which is

now.

Commanded a horrid Calumny. But fince there are many in the old T. things Commanded there, which himself will acare not bind-knowledge are not binding upon us now; What shall be the Rule, whereby we shall judge, what we are now Tied to, and what not, unless as the fame is Ratified, or again Commanded by Christ in the New? And for clearing of this, let him tell me, if he can, What is incumbent upon us now from the Old Testament, which hath no Precept or Authority in the New? But further, after

he has manifestly wrested the Place, to Evite what I urge from Deut. 5. 9. (as the Reader by comparing it may judge) at last he confesseth to it; only alledgeth, It was a Circumstance: But feeing, this Circumstance was Commanded, as well as the rest; he should shew, where the one is Repealed, and not the other? And how it comes to pass, that it should be Duty to obey the one Part of the Command, and yet Murder to obey the other? Or (if he will, the Circumstances commanded Conjunctly with it, as I hope he will confessit should be) for any now to kill their Brother or Sister as an Heretick or Blasphemer, without bringing them to a Judge? He has a quick way of answering, what I say p. 323, 324. in alledging, That it is not to the purpose; as also what I fay p. 328, 329. in answering it by two or three Lines of Railing, p. 513. but methinks, fince he gives his Reader as a Reason of his Prolixity, That he left nothing faid by the Quakers unanswer'd; it is strange, Presbyteri-he jumps so quietly over that Place, (p. 324.) where ans and Je-I shew," That the Presbyterians as well as the Jefu-Juites pre-"ites, notwithstanding their pretended Subjecti-jection, ryon to Magistrates, and Pleadings for the Ex-ramize over
tension of their Power, and accusing us for de Consciences. " nying it, do not spare to Tyrannize over the Ma-" gistrates Conscience, when they can; as by the "Example of the Presbyterians Behaviour towards "this present King of Britain I did prove:" It is enough for him to this to fay, This Page is not to the Purpose. But the Judicious Reader will rather judge, that he is here so Silent (being elsewhere upon less Occasion so Clamorous) because he knew not well, what to Answer to the Purpose. With the like hafty and pitiful Stuff he would turn by the many Citations of the Antients brought by me against Persecution, because of his deceitful Popish Concession, above observed: But he should have shewn, how these things of the Kk4 Anti-

1679. Antients are Confistent with the Civil Magistrates Using any Force in Matters of Religion, as being a thing Inconfistent with Christianity; which the Reader by reading over these Places may easily observe, and thence find, why he made such Hafte, and gave all these Citations no other Anfwer.

> ¶ 6. But as he is Hasty in passing over, what he finds he cannot Answer; so to fill up the Pages, he spares not to infift upon Trifles, or things of no Moment, or which only serve to shew his own Folly and Impertinency: as in p. 503. is ma-

F. B's doubts, whethe Author did Unite with the Quakers an-Swered.

nifest, where he saith, He doubts, whether it be ther it be 15 25 Years, fince I adjoined my self to the Quakers. Years, fiace But whether it be fo or not, it not Adds, nor Takes from the Controversy: Only to solve him of this Doubt, he may affure himself, It is not; fince I have not yet feen the 30th Year of my Age. But because I say, "It is about 25 Years, " fince they were a distinct and Separated People: thence he fays: He fees, it is not an Old Sect; and so has less Affinity with true Christianity; because he is sure, Christianity is older. But what Protestant in his Wits (if Malice did not blind) would use such an Argument, knowing, how easily the same may be, and has been objected by Papists, that use to ask us, Where our Religion was before Luther and Calvin? That Christianity is older than 25 Years, I am fure, as well as he; but it will not thence follow, but that it may be a short time,

A People A People fince God raised up a separated, gathered, visible God to shake People, to Shake-off the Corruptions of Babylon, off the Corand Restore the pure and old Christianity, as it was ruptions of Babylon, and before the Apostacy entred. And if he will not restore the pure and old admit of this to the Acknowledgment of his Christianity. own Impertinency, he must needs own the like

Argument in the Mouth of Papists to have been Valid against our great Grand-Fathers; and Confequently give away the Protestant Cause.

¶ 7. But

To. But the Man seems not to have heeded, 1679. what he wrote in this Page, by another yet more palpable Mistake: For while in the Calculation of the Appearing of the Quakers he goes about to find me Contradicting another Quaker, he sheweth his own Senslesness. My Account, saith he, of 25 Tears, being numbred from 1676, will fall 7. Bis into Anno 1651; but another Quaker in Anno Senses Cales 1659, faith, it is now about 7 Tears, fince the the Quakers Lord raised us up in the North of England, & c. first Appearance in England and ance in England. tells me, it will be 1652; and if I account it 1651, and the other reckon it about 1652, it comes to one Reckoning. But John Brown will have mine to be 15 Years later, as if 25 Years back from 1676 were 15 Years after 7 Years back from 1659, that is, that 1652, is 15 Years before 1651: So far has the poor Man missed of his Numeration. He fometimes reproachingly and scoffingly says, He sees, the Quakers can dream waking: But it is a Question, whether he was Dreaming or not, when he proclaimed his Sottishness thus to the World; which cannot be reputed an Error of the Press, since he is at Pains to reconcile this Imaginary Difference, faying, But perhaps I mean of those in the North of Scotland, the other of the North of England; and therefore he will not contend about it: He will find, he has Reason, when he sees his Mistake. Yet he must have on Observation that according to the old Proverb, All Evil cometh Out of the North: But no wonder, the Man has been here benummed, fince he will, e're he want fomething to Reproach the Quakers, make Use of Old Proverbs; albeit to contradict Scripture-Prophecies, Jer. 50. 3. where the Prophet speaking of A Nation the Judgment of Eabylon, saith, For out of the coming from North there cometh up a Nation against her, which against Ba-

1679. Shall make her Land desolate: And ver. 9. I will raise and cause to come up against Babylon an Assembly of great Nations out of the North Country: But an Old Proverb with him it seems is of more Weight, which can hit the Quakers, than the Scriptures, for all the Reverend Esteem he pretends to them. Yet that an Evil hath or may come out of the North, I shall not deny; for of that the PERSECUTING Spirit of PRESBTTERT is one Example: which as to its Rise in Scotland was more Northerly, than the Appearance of the People called Quakers.

SECT. XVI.

Wherein his Twenty Ninth Chapter, Of Wars, and Thirtieth, Of Oaths, is Considered.

A FTER having Classed us (according to his Custom) with such as he accounts and of our Odious Hereticks, for our Opinion of Wars, he respinant proceedes with his Old Trade of Malitious Inagainst wars and Fighting, sinuations and Railings, questioning, Whether our Intent may not be, that we may obtain Freedom and Liberty to Rage over all? And whereas he faith, He leaves our selves to judge of this; Truly, we can fincerely judge in the Sight of God, that this is a gross Calumny; of giving any Colour for which, we are altogether Innocent. And like to this is that Malitious Insinuation, pag. 515, 521. wherein he chargeth us with a Bloody Design, in seeking to reduce them to Paganism, and by disarming Christians, give up Christendom as a Prey to Turks and Pagans. To which I shall only Answer; That as it is obviously enough Malitious, so he shall never prove it true: And therefore I

wish

wish the Lord rebuke him, and forgive him for 1679. these his Evil Thoughts! What he says here, as well as pag. 517, 518, 522, of the Necessity of J. B's A. Defensive War, to defend from those that unjust theis of ly Assault, and Thieves, and Robbers, and Cut-the Necessity throats, &c. he speaks more like an Atheist than of a Defena Christian, and like one who believeth nothing hee War. of a Divine Providence of Restraining Evil Men at his Pleasure, and not suffering them to go further than he feeth meet. Doth he think, that all the Endeavours of the wicked Men of the World can do any thing, but as GOD permits them? And that all the Opposition to such by Force of Arms can prevail, but by God's Bleffing? If fo. he must not think, that such Carnal and Atheistical Reasons can brangle the Faith of those. who out of pure Obedience to God, defire to be Conform to the Image of his Son, according to the Measure of the Grace given them, so as to make them think, they are less secure under the Protection of the ALMIGHTY, than by their Guns and Swords. But this is confistent with his Faith, the most Eminent of whose Brethren have this Bre-learned to Preach with Sword and Pistols; and with Sword instead of the Guard of a Christian Boldness and and Pistols. a good Conscience (which the Primitive Christians and Apostles used) will be guarded with Menin Arms, and that in Opposition to the Authority of those, they confess to be their lawful Magistrates. And if he say, That we must not lay a-side lawful Means; I ask him, Whether he thinks not to defend a Man's Self from a Principle of Conscience, be simply Unlawful? Let him remember, the most Remarkable Deliverances that God's People met withal, were, when there ap- The most peared least of Outward Help, and where the remarkable Deliverances Arm of Flesh had least hand in it; as the Chil-of God's dren of Israel's Deliverance out of Egypt, as also People have been with-fudges ch. 5. from ver. 16, to the End, 2 Kings out the Arm 6, 17, & c. of Flesh.

1679. 6. 17, &c. and Chapters 7, 13, and 19; 35, and in other Places. To prove, That Christ in the sth of Matthew commands no more than in the Law, he referreth to the Writings of their Divines: but he might have done this to all he has written, if he judge it sufficient; and so have saved himself a great deal of Labour: fince he faith elsewhere, All I have written, is confuted long ago.

Men Love kill and dethroy them ?

Men can Love their Enemies, and yet Kill and Demies, and yet stroy them, is more than I can reach: But if it were so, such as rather Suffer than do it, do surely more love them; and to do fo, is no Injury to our Selves, nor Neighbours, when done out of Conscience to God in Answering our Duty, to whom we must not regard our own or Neighbours Profit. And if what I grant of the Lawfulness of Fighting to the present Magistrates and State of Christians, be considered, it will render all his Arguments superfluous; fince he confesseth, A Time will come, in which the Prophecy of Isaiah. 2. 4. Mic. 4. 3. will be fulfilled; and thinks fit, there should be a Praying for the Fulfilling of it. And what, if some believe, that (as to some) there is a Beginning already of the Fulfilling thereof? We do nothing doubt, but that of Rev. 16.5, 7. which he mentions pag. 522. will in due Time be fulfilled; but we see no Necessity of Believing, that that will be performed by Outward Fighting, or that the Saints shall need to draw Carnal Swords, or thoot Cannons towards the Performing of it. When he faith, that the Argument of Fighting is not taken from the corrupt is from the Nature of Man, pag. 519. he must have forgotcorrupt Nature of Man, fince had not Man fallen, and so his
ture of Man. ten himself; since had not Man fallen, and so his Nature of Man, pag. 519. he must have forgot-Nature been Corrupted, he may infer, if he can, where there should have been an Occasion for fighting with Carnal Weapons? And fince he confesseth, That in nothing more than in War, is feen the Fruits of Man's Rebellion against God; He may thence

Fighting

thence see, how little need Christians have to 1679 .plead for it. As for the Citation out of the Confession of Faith, wherewith to fill up, he closeth his Chapter, I know not to what Purpose he did it; fince no Man doubts their Faith in this Mat-

1 2. He begins his Thirtieth Chapter of Oaths, with faying, We deny their Lawfulness, that we may destroy all Policy and Government; But it must only be the Devil's Government: For where the Government of Christ prevails, and Men Speak Truth, there all must confess, there is no need of Oaths; and also, where the like Punishment of Perjury is inflicted for Speaking falfly, the End of Oaths is obtained, and that without Breaking where Men Christ's Command. Thus according to his own speak truth. Confession, fince the Verity may be had as well need of without an Oath, none should be urged to take Oaths, an Oath. But let us see, what after a Citation out of their Confession of Faith he saith, to Anfwer Mat. 5. 34. and James 5. 12. which faith fo expresly, Swear not at all: To this he faith, Smear not That Christ is only Interpreting the Law, and not at all. Adding any thing to it; and that it only relates to ordinary Discourse: But for Proof of this he has nothing, but an Heap of Words afferting the Thing: To all which, till he bring some Scripture-Proof, there needs no Answer. But to oppose Christ's and Fames's Words, Swear not at all - It is not faid, Except ye be called before a Judge; let him prove this Exception by Scripture next time: And therefore till he do fo, his Affirming over and over again, That Christ forbad no more than was forbidden in the Law, pag. 525. is to no Purpose. The Law forbad Idle Swearing and Oaths in Communication; but Christ's Refumption flews throughout that Chapter fome more to be urged, to any that understand plain Words, and will not shut their Eyes. That its being

1679. being said, Deut. 6. 13. Thou shalt Swear by his Name, is urged as an Explication or Comprehenfive Part of Moral Worship, I deny; and remains for him to prove: Or that it was more than a Command to the Yews to Swear by the true God, that they might not Swear by Idols; and till he prove this, Arguments founded upon it need no further Answer. As for what he addeth N. 8. to prove Swearing not to be of the Devil, because commanded of God; and afterwards Concluding, That my urging against it, as being of the Devil, is pregnant of Blasphemy, because it would infer, Some of the Ceremonial Laws of God to have their Rise not from the Will of God, but from the Work of the Devil; He sheweth here more Malice than The Bill of Strength of Reason. Was not the Command, Deut. 24. v. I. Let him write for her a Bill of Di-

Divorcement permitted in the Old Teft. because of the Hard. ness of their Hearts.

vorcement, a Part of the Ceremonial Law? And yet Christ saith, Matth. 19. 7. that Moses did this, because of the Hardness of their Hearts; and is not Hardness of Heart, which gave a Rise to this Command, of the Work of the Devil? Let him then make the Application, and then answer the empty Bluster he has made of Blasphemy. And doth not, what Christ saith of this Matter of Divorce, Matth. 5. v. 31, 32. shew, Christ commanded more there, than was commanded under the Law? He confesseth pag. 529. That God cannot be said properly to Swear: Albeit some things being ascribed to God, makes them not Unlawful to us; yet any thing being ascribed to God, makes it not Lawful to us, when Christ commands the contrary. That Christ's saying, and the Apo- Verily, Verily, is more than Tea and Nay, I deny;

Christ's Itles Affevenot Oaths.

rations were and it remains for him to prove it: That the Apostles Asseverations are Oaths. he affirms in like Manner, but proves it not. His thinking, We, in being willing to do as much as the Apostle did, do strain at a Gnat, and swallow a Camel, is but an

Evi-

Evidence of his Railing Genius; as it doth of his 1679. Malice in catching what follows: That the Question is not, What Paul or Peter did? but what their and our Lord? For that is not said by me: he hath but faid it, as believing they did Swear, or that their Words were Oaths. But the giving (not granting) it had been so, to shew, it would not prove this thing now Lawful, and that Peter and Paul both had their Failings, fo as all, though not in that, himself will not deny: which is enough to shew, their Practice in all things is not to be our Rule. His 531 Page needeth no Answer, being but his own Affirmations and Conjectures instead of Answers; these may be considered when he proves them. That Paul Swore a- Abraham's ny way, we deny; and neither Abraham's nor and Jacob's Practice will Jacob's Practice, nor yet that of Angels will war not warrant rant us to Imitate them, when Christ has com-our Initati-manded the contrary: And albeit he acknowledge the Testimony of Pythagoras, Socrates and Plato doth shame many Christians for their Swearing; yet he cannot omit here his ordinary Reflection at our Religion, as Paganish. He confesseth That many of the Fathers were against Swearing, and indeed, none any ways versed in Antiquity can deny, this to have been the General Faith of the Primitive Church.

SECT. XVII.

Wherein his Thirty First Chapter Of Civil Honour, and Thirty Second, called, A View of the Conclusion, are Considered.

I. A S he enters upon this Chapter of Civil Honour, he accuseth me, as being Effronted and Shameless, for saying, ["All our Ad-

ver-

" versaries plead for the Lawfulness of Superfluity
" of Apparel and Plays: And to make this appear the more probable, he would feem to be much against these things; and wisheth, there were less of them. But all will not do, nor hide him in this Matter: For he will not deny the Lawful-No Laces ness of Laces and Ribbonds? The Man will not

Ladies.

and Riboffend the good Ladies, to whose Bountisulness
by J.B. to they are so much obliged, so as simply to deny
his Bountised their Superfluities. And how can he Since it is become a Practice of some Eminent Presbyterian Ministers, which they have learned from their Friends, the Popish Priests and Jesuitish Emissaries,

go with their Bands and Cravats.

Presbyters not only to go up and down with their Sword an Ministers and Pistols, to evidence, they are Men of Blood, Sword and and Brethren in Politicks; but also in their Laced Pistols, lac'd Bands and Cravats, Perriwigs and Gilded Belts, to make them look like Monsieurs. But if he think, that I wrong any of our Adversaries in this, let him tell me, which of them dogmatically hold it as a General Principle, That Superfluity of Cloaths, and the Use of Plays in general is Unlawful (whatever some Particulars may do, whereof I made an Exception?) As for any their laying afide these Vanities out of Pride, I do not justify it; for him to infinuate that, as to us, is but his bare, malitious Affertion. After that (pag. 534.) he has told his Reader, he comes to Treat of that which is peculiar to the Quakers, he gives him a large List of frange Hereticks; as among others, Heracleonites, Carpocratians, Gnosimachians, (enough to fright Ignorant Folk) telling, That what the Devil could not effectuate by all these, he thinketh now to accomplish by the miserable Quakers. It seems, the Man must be one of the Devil's Counfellors, that he is so well acquainted with his Purposes: But I shall not covet this his Preferment. nor feek to Imitate the Language he has learned of that Court; which he bestows in the following Page to rage at us, as Rude and Uncivil, and 1679. Such, as deny to give the least Signification of Civil Honour to Equals or Superiours: which is false. And then by a strange Figure he will seem no less known in God's Counsel, than he before would appear in the Devil's; and therefore Magisterially concludes, "That God has given us up to the "Unmanning of our Selves, who have renounced "all Christianity, and every thing that looketh "like Serious Religion." It seems, Bowing and Taking off the Hat, and Complemental Titles, is the Man's Christianity and Serious Religion, which the Quakers have renounced; I will next Examin how he proves it.

¶ 2. Pag. 536. He tells, That Honour is to be rendered to whom Honour is due; but this we never denied. The Question is, Whether Honour may not be rendred without Bowing, or Taking off the Hat? The Scriptures he brings here to prove this, are so far from doing it, that most of them are egregiously Impertinent; as will appear. For as to Abraham's and Lot's Bowing there men-

them are egregiously Impertinent; as will appear. For as to Abraham's and Lot's Bowing there men- Abraham's tioned, I shew in my Apology, how their Practice Bowing and Lov's is no in that was not to be a Rule to us. But he brings Ru'e. Act. 14. 15. where Paul and Barnabas are faid in Paul's say. our English Translation to say, Sirs; and Act. 27. ing Sirs, in Greek it is v. 10. where Paul faith, Sirs, to the Mariners, to andges, prove, They gave a Title of Honour; as if Sirsi. & Men. did infer the Plural Number of what usually Sir to one imports with us: But if he had looked the Greek, he would have found in both Places anders, which fignifies Men. I would willingly know, if the Man will be so void of Ingenuity, as not to acknowledge his Folly here? For as for the Jaylor's Practice, he will have much ado to prove it a Rule to Christians. Neither is he less impertinent, when he brings the Instance of Mary faluting Elizabeth; Paul the Church of Corinth;

* L1

Christ

1679. Christ desiring his Disciples to Salute the House; and Paul in his Epiftles defiring such as he wrote to, to Salute others in his Name: For who will

Alledged from Scrip ture, they to each o. ther.

Salutations not condemn him of Folly, in Imagining, That the Apostle by these Christian Salutations desired were not a them to Take off their Hats, and bow to one another the Hat, and in his Name? Or that this was the Way Christ and Bowing willed his Disciples to Salute the Houses they came to? He must remember to prove this next Time, and know, We deny neither Salutations nor Civi-

lity: But have not yet heard him prove, That they confift in fuch Practices. He confesseth. pag. 537. That several of the Titles used, may be

To Thee granted not to be lawful to Christians : But thinkand Thou a eth, That makes nothing for our Blunt and Rusingle Person stick (as he terms it) Thou and Thee, with which we speak to Magistrates and great Persons, no oblunt and rude. therwise, than we would do to our Foot boys.

fince he confesseth, they use this Thou and Thee, which he thinks fo Blunt and Rude, when speaking to God; I defire, he may acquaint me next time, Why they speak to God no otherways than they would do to their Foot-boys, to whom, I hope, he will fay, they own greater Respect, than to any Magistrates or great Personages whatsoever? And this shews, it was no Rudeness in me to address my self thus in my Epistle to the King. Besides that what he quarrels being written in Latine, shews his Folly; fince it is usual for

the Singular themselves writing in that Language, to use the afed to Kings Singular Number even to Kings. And for a smuch as he thinks this so absurd, that in a filly Scoff he faith, Under Favour of my Thou-ship: And

pag. 540. he accounts this in us Singularity, Contempt, Pride; yea, and to proceed from a more slinking Root: I will defire of him to know, how

King's Co-it comes, that the Bishop of Canterbury in the Coronation of this present King in most of his

Addresses to him during that Solemnity, terms 1679. him Thee and Thou, as Philips in his History relates, printed at London Anno 1670, pag. 764, 765? But if he think this of little Weight, as being the Practice of a proud Prelate in his Efleem; what will he say of his Reverend Brethren, the Assembly of Divines at Westminster, Are the who teach us in their Directory to use this Thee Westminster and Thou, as in the Form prescribed for Marriage, an Irration these Words, I, N. do take thee to be my Wise, for teaching &c.? He must say, that either they had a Rea-Thee and fon for this, or they had none: If none, he must Thou, J.B.? conclude them to have been an Irrational Pack: which I think he will hardly do: If they had, when he gives it, let him free them of Pride, Contempt and Singularity, or Something more stinking (to use his own Phrase) and find us guilty of it. For his Proverb of being as Proud as a Quaker, we think, he has hardly Authority to make this pass for one; though by Coining this, it seems he affects to be a Proverb-monger: But if vulgar Proverbs were of any great Weight, I could tell him of more Antient and Authentick long ago ascribed to his Brethren. P.537. he faith, The Terms of Grace The Titles and Eminency are not given, because of personal Grace and Endowments corresponding thereunto; but because Tour Emiof Place and Power: But he should prove, that to do fo, where these Virtues are absent, is either proper or lawful; fince in addressing our Selves to any, in faying, Your Grace or Your Eminency, we suppose them to have these Endowments: which if they have not, we speak a Lye, and that is not lawful to Christians. To prove the Christians Lawfulness of the Compellation of Majesty to Lies. Kings, he telleth, That the Lord bestowed upon Solomon Royal Majesty, and Nebuchadnezzar, saying, Dan. 4. 26. That Excellent Majesty was added unto him; in both which Places it imports no more than an Outward Glory: But where finds he L1 2 that

1679. that any Addressing themselves to Kings, use the Compellation of Excellent Majesty, as is usual now

a days? He will read his Concordance often over, of Excellent e're he can find this. As for his Jeering me about Majesty. my Concordance, and faying, I cite Scriptures at Random, for that Pfal. 29. 4. Majesty is ascribed.

to the Thunder; he but declares his own Folly: Majesty is there ascribed to GOD; for what is ascribed to God's Voice is ascribed to him: He may fay also then, That Powerful in the same Verse is not ascribed to God, but to the Thunder; if he resolve to be Ridiculous. What he saith, pag. 540. N. 11. That we Salute no Man, is false; But the Question is, Whether there cannot be Salutations without the Uncovering of the Head, or Bowing of the Body? This he should have proved. He faith, Abraham's Rowing was against no Law of the Creation; but so was Abraham's Practice in the Abraham's Matter of Hagar (which I brought to shew that

and Jacob's Practices million to them, not tation.

Abraham's Practice was not to be our Rule) But were by Per- fince Abraham's Practice in the Matter of Hagar. and the like Practice of Jacob and the Jews was for our Imi- permitted to them, and yet thence we are not allowed to do the like; that shews, that Argument deduced from Abraham's Simple Practice, is of no Weight. He thinks it filly to fay, That Bowing of the Body and Knee, and Uncovering of the Head are only External Signs of our Adoration of God; because it may as well be inferred, that a Man must never bow his Body to tie his Shoos, or uncover his Head to have his Hair cut. But this his Answer is filly: Since Men do not these things as a Signification of Honour, which is the End of their Bowing to one another, and the thing here in Question; and therefore his Repetition of the fame in the following Page needs no Answer. He

7. B's Un- would make Mardecai's Case singular, because certainty in Haman was an Agagite, one come of Agag: But Stating Gefince he is fo good at Genealogies, let him prove nealogies.

this

were enough, might we not say with as much Reason, That John Brown is a Brownist? and yet

perhaps he would think this a Reproach.

¶ 3. But the poor Man thinks (it's like) he has bit the Nail on the Head to purpose, when he saith pag. 542. upon this Subject, One thing I would f. Naylor's ask, what he thinketh of that Honour and Worship edandan. that was given to James Naylor, as he rode into swered. Bristol, Od. 24. 1656? I answer, I think it was both Wicked and Abominable, and fodo the People called Quakers; who thereupon disowned him, and all those that had an Hand in it: As by the several Letters found written to him, and other Papers, if need were, I could at large prove. But it sufficeth to inform the Reader of this, that he was denied by that People, and not any ways afterwards owned by them, until several Years after, that he testified his full Repentance for that J. Noune feigned Rething in a publick Assembly upon his Knees, with pentance. many Tears; fignifying the same also under his Hand, which also was printed. And thus is fwept away his malitious Infinuation, pag. 530. as to this; and also what his Brother R. M. C. faith so often in his Postscript in Terming us Naylorists, as if J. N. had been the First among the Quakers; hence John Brown in the List he gives of the Quakers Errors of Civil Honour, calleth him their First Father: But to teach him not to be so forward to lie next time, he may know, That Years before J. N. joined with the Quakers, there were Hundreds went under that Name; and who both preached and practifed their Way. This may shew the sober Reader, how apt these Menare to print Malitious Lies; and R. M. C. so frequently feeking to denominate us from that Name because of this, sheweth, how frivolous and false it is. I must mind, That it were but a just Re-tribution, and no Injury done to them (as this of

1679. theirs to us is a manifest one) if I should mind them of no less abominable Actions, than ever was or could be proved against J. N. not many Years ago done by fome Eminent among them; who died without any Sense of true Repentance (whereof J. N. gave fingular Evidence.) What would he think then, if I should thence term them ——ists? But I spare them at present, in hopes they will see their Folly, and amend. After this Thing of J. N: he Ends this Chapter with Railing: But I observe, he makes an intire Omission of what I say N. 16. upon the last These in my Apology, which I therefore refer, to the Reader's Serious Confideration.

¶ 4. I come now to his last Section, intituled. A View of my Conclusion: Where coming to Examine the few Lines wherewith I conclude my Apology, he bestows upon me a Flood of most Unreasonable Railing. I did in that Conclusion recommend to the Reader the System I had presented to him of the True Christian Religion; which this Man here with many bitter Words affirms to be other ways: But we must leave the Judgment of this to the Reader, when he has feriously read both what he faith on the one hand, and I on the other. And whereas I in my Conclusion did shew the Reader, how fallly we are charged with De-J. B'sfalse nying the Outward Appearance of Christ; the real

Charge a. Existence of Heaven and Hell, as a Place without gainst us from the Books of our manitest Opposers.

us; the Last and General Judgment; the Resurrestion of the Body; by telling him feriously and in the Presence of God, that these Accusations are false, and that we really believe these Things: he with a most effronted Impudence reckons it Boldness in me to fay so, pag. 554. and that because of the Testimony of Faldo and some others of our manifest Opposers: As if they should know, what I and my Brethren believe, better than I my Self do; or should be more credited

in

in giving Account of our Faith than our Selves. Who can fecure themselves from being stigmatized, as the Vilest and Grossest of Hereticks from the Malice of Liars, if this Method be to be followed? But to proceed, to shew his Injustice and Unfairness in this Matter in the highest Degree. contrary to the Rules of fair Disputing, and all honest Dealing in Matters of Controversy, it doth manifestly appear, in that notwithstanding in his Title he declares, He intends an Examination of the Quakers Religion, as the same is presented to the World by me; when he has laboured all he can by turning, perverting, commenting and divining to squeeze out of my Words, all that may feem absurd and erroneous, or at least, which he will have to be fo, and that he cannot find enough there to render the Quakers such borrid Monsters and Vile Blasphemers, as he ever and anon proclaims them to be; then away he runs from R. B's Apology, (which is the Theam of his Examination) and comes off with a -- But is not the Man of this Opinion? Or, May we not conjecture, that be is of such and such an Opinion (which to be fure is more absurd) as such and such a Man as Mr. Stalham, Mr. Norton, or Mr. Hicks and J. B's An-Faldo relate of fuch and fuch Quakers, that fay so thors for his and so?—— Is this Justice? Is this Christian Liescast one Dealing? Is this Honesty as among Men, in Wri-against us. ting against a People not to seek their Opinions and Faith from their own Mouths and Pens, but from those of their manifest Enemies and Oppofers? Doth not this bespeak the Height of Malice with a Witness, and shew a determinate Refolution to Calumniate at any Rate? Is this to walk according to the Royal Law, To do as he would be done by? Dare J. B. fay, he would be content to be done so by? Would he Judge it Equal Dealing, if one writing against Presbytery, should re-L1 4

1679. present them not as they declare themselves to be; but out of the Writing of their manifest Opposers, as to give Credit to Spotwood's History, in Judging of the Rise and Growth of Presbytery? Would he judge it fair Reasoning, if one writing against the Calvinistical Points of Reprobation, should instead of making Use of their own Authors, or when he could not make the Matter bad enough there, tell, the Case is so and so, as Arminius or Episcopus relates it? Or one writing against Protestants should, in the Representing of their Principles make Use of Bellarmin and other Popish Writers? If this then would justly be accounted Ridiculous and Absurd, let the Judicious Reader judge, what Character may John Brown so doing deserve? But above all is singularly to be noticed 7. Brown his Venerable Esteem of his beloved Author Mr. Hicks (as he terms him) of whom he has so high an Esteem, that he hath given him more Place in his Book than any other; there being no Author to my Observation so frequently cited by him: Who, because this Thomas Hicks has Hicksmost been the most abusive and grossest Liar and Ca-

Abusive to the Quakers, lumniator that has appeared against us, therefore most estimated by J. B. ment. For as Malice against Christ of Old cemented Pilate and Herod to put up their private Quarrels; so at this Day it hath done these Men against his Truth and Followers: Else what should it mean, that a sierce Presbyter should so heartly embrace a keen Anabaptist-Preacher, since the same Man often upbraids the Quakers with their Affinity to the Anabaptists? Certainly, the Presbyters Cause must be at a low Ebb, and he in migh-

A Presby-ty Fear of the Quakers Prevailing, when he can ter and Ana-fo cordially shake Hands with his beloved Ana-baptist thus contract Ass. baptist Brother Hicks, to help at a dead Lift acty. gainst the Quakers, and take him for his Auxi-

liary

Tiary with his Lies and Forgeries, to make a 1679. Noise, when other Matters and Arguments fail. But it had been more wifely done in J. Brown, e're he had given the Anabaptist Hicks his Writings fo much Place in his Book, to have confidered the Answer to the first Dialogue and Continuation thereof, written by W. P. intituled, Reason against Railing, and Truth against Fiction: and the Answer to his Third Dialogue by the same Author, intituled, The Counterfeit Christian detetted, and the Real Quaker justified: For I que-flion if J. B. will judge it safe, to take implicitly upon Trust in Matters of Controversy of Religion (without Examining) the Word of an Anabaptist, unless it be against the Quakers; where any Witness (it's like) with him may be admitted: For if he do but speak Evil enough, it will be acceptable, whether true or not. And J. B. should also have done well to have informed himfelf, how this Thomas Hicks, being publickly called to an Account before feveral Thousand Witneffes for his gross Abuses, in framing Answers in the Quakers Name, which was never faid by any Quaker, and in other ways perverting, and misapplying Sentences of their Writings to Questions of their own Framing, so that he might make them as impertinent and ridiculous, as he was willing others should esteem them to be; did pitifully Succumb: So that his best Defence to come off, was to plead the Infirmity of his Lungs. which made him defert the Second Meeting held for that Purpose, and Substitute in his Place a Free will Anabaptist (with whom I suppose J. B. will yet have less Fellowship) who made a Noise and Brawling to keep off the chief Matter. And yet the Grofness of Thomas Hicks's Dealing was ness of T. fo discovered, that some of his own Way, and Bicks his Dealing disothers who are not Quakers, did publickly, yea, evered and and in Print declare their Abhorrency of his For-print by ggeries: thers.

Time, Intituled, The Twelve Pagan Principles confidered, upon which Thomas Hicks undertakes to Unchristian the Quakers; and another, intituled, Quakerism no Paganism; and another, The Christian a Quaker, the Quaker a Christian; all written upon that Occasion by Men that were no Quakers. Yea, Th. Hicks's Abuses and Lies were so far from doing us hurt, that they were Intude strumental to bring among us a young Independent

pendent Preacher imbracing Truth. so far from doing us hurt, that they were Indent Preacher of good Repute, and well received and heard among them; who has told my felf, That the Reading of Hicks's Dialogues, and feeing his gross Lies and Abuses gave the first Rise to his Searching after, and Embracing the Truth. And when Th. Hicks and his Complices were further pursued by the Answer to their pretended Nar-ration of these Debates, Intituled, Forgery no Christianity, written by Thomas Elwood; and another Paper, Intituled, A Fresh Pursuit, by the same Hand, wherein he arraigneth the faid Hicks and his Complices of Falshood, Lying, Forgery, and requires them to make them good, or else abide under the just Condemnation of so manifest Guilt; which they were glad to do, and have not fo much as peeped out now these three Years fince the last of these Transactions, until now this Vomit, of which all sober Men are ashamed, and from which the Authors have shamefully shrunk, is licked up by John Brown, and is become the chief Authority of his Tract. Will it favour well in the Mouths of Sober Professors, that the

J. B. has chief Gun that J. B. useth against the Quakers, used the Lies are the Lies, Forgeries and Abuses of a shameless and Abuses Anabaptist? Certainly, when J. Brown considers of a shame these things, he will, if malice hath not altogether less Anabaptist blinded him, find, that he has too suddainly laid the Quakers Hands upon his Brother Hicks, e're he well minded the Consequence of it; and that so great an

In-

Infusion of Hicks his Anabaptistical Durt, which 1679. takes the best Share of not a few Pages of his Book, will make the rest to stink, albeit it were more cleanly Stuff than it is. And for Faldo's Books, out of which he copieth not a little in this Chapter, he may find them both answered by W. P. the one called, Quakerism a new Nick name to old Christianity; and the other, The Invalidity of John Faldo's Vindication : in which, pag. 430, 431, 432, 433. he may find a List of John Faldo's Miscarriages in citing Affertions said by Quakers, without telling the Books, and of Books without Parts; Chapters and Page of these Books falfly cited, of Passages clip't and maimed, and others perverted by Additions: and which makes up above 70. to which John Faldo hath never had Face yet to Answer. So that this Man may see, what kind of Authority he has made Use of; and how his Proofs are bottomed. And Laftly, of our full Belief of Future States, and of the Re- of future furrection, he may find a large Account in a Book States and of called, The Christian Quaker and his Divine Testion. mony Vindicated, by W. Penn and G. Whitehead, Printed in the Year, 1674. from Page 146 of the Second Part to the End.

SECT. XVIII.

Wherein Robert Macquare bis Postscript is Considered.

To S to R. M.C. his Postfcript, which I come now to in the last Place, I shall not need to be large; it being a Compound and Rob. Macheap of most Abusive and Unreasonable Railing quare's most against me and my Friends on the one Hand, and ing against a most us and our posteries.

a most fawning, manifest-Piece of nauseating and fhameless Flattery to his Brother J. Brown, on the other. In the very Entry he brands our Doctrine as the Devil's, and our Selves as his Ministers and Amanuenses: and a little after he exclaimeth thus; O what horrid, what Hell hat ched, bold Blafphemies this black Brood belcheth forth! And for me in particular, pag. 559, 560. in a few Lines he calls me both a Turk, and a Devil; and what more his Railing Spirit affords him: To all which I shall only say, the worst I wish him, is heartily to defire the Lord to forgive him, as by the Strength of his Grace I freely do. As to his Brother J. Brown, he accounts him fingularly Acute, folidly Learned and truly Gracious; fo that he conceits, if the Devil, who he supposeth drew me on to write, had his Dictates again, he would bury or burn them. Thence he highly Exalts the great Depth of this his little Presbyterian David, (as R. M's Shameless Shamelets of he calls him) in the shining Light and Sharpness of his Examen: Sober Men will blush to read such 7. B. shameless Flattery. And truly, this Presbyterian Prince looks liker Curfing Shimei, than little David; and he himself looks liker the daring Philifline, who thus commends him, proclaiming a Defiance in his Name, as if no Solid Answer could be given: But fuch Crying of Triumph beforehand will have small Weight with Men of Reafon. His Jeering Quibble at my Words in my Book of Universal LOVE, where I speak overly of the Felicity of my Understanding, Thews, he wanted Matter, but not Malice: Many modest Men will be found to have said as much of themselves. Neither did I that, as a The Author Thing, by which I would have any to measure Natural En- now either me or my Writings: The greatest Natural Understanding (wherein I confess my Self

freely to be inferiour to many) availeth but little (yea, often hurteth) to the chief Thing needful,

to

to wit, Regeneration; which is by Grace, and 1679. not by Nature, and therein I defire to Glory. His petty Remark upon Barclaii Argenis is both Childish and Malitious; he must know, That the Quakers and my Self-do both Abhor and Condemn fuch Books : And truly, my Love to my Name is not fo great, that I would have that exempted; and therefore I could freely give my Vote, that all Romances were burnt. And he will find it hard to prove, That fuch are used by any of us; whileas I know some, who passed and yet go for Pious and Elect Ladies among them, that bestowed no small Share of their Time in Reading them. And Preachers may be found Eminent enough, whose Closets are well stored with most approved Romances; and fome being challenged. even of Note among the Presbyterians, by some Serious Professors for their Reading of them, did Justify it, as that whereby they were helped in Presbyteri-their Pulpits to give their Sermons a better Lustre. an Presch-So he may see, these Books are of more Use to his ers read Ra-Brethren than us; who can content our Selves give their with fuch homely Language as the Holy Scripture sermons in the Pulpit a Teacheth. For what he faith of James Naylor, better Lu-I need return no Answer; having sufficiently lire. done it in the former Section. And whereas he gives the Example of the Antinomians, to shew, The Quakers are not Singular, in not being called after a particular Person; he doth but miss of his Aim: For the Quakers are known by that Name as fuch, being an Imbodied People, confisting of feveral Hundred Gathered Churches or Congregations: but the Antinomians are only here, either some having these particular Notions, and no such Imbodied People; else let him tell us, where we may find these Antinomian Churches? I need fay no more to this Postfcript, which hath nothing in it; but meer Railing Affertions, as to me; and that the rather, as I suppose R. M.C.

with Blaf-

1679. will, long e're this appear in Print, receive a folid and grave Letter from an old Friend and Acquaintance of his, which may make him fen-

See the Let-ter following fible of his Iniquity in this Matter; if there be yet any Christian Ingenuity abiding with him, and that by Prejudice he is not totally blinded.

T 2. As for his Railing Affertions of George Keith's Book, we will see, how it is Refuted in the promised Answer to it; and then it will be time to answer them, as to that; as well as to the Blasphemous Affertions, which they pretend they have gotten out of it: But all Christians may judge, how they are like to prove it Blasphemous, when, as an Instance of the Blasphemous Affertions, they give G. K's faying, That the Man

phemy from Christ Jesus is the Mediator. And to help them to the Apostle's do their Work fully, I desire them, when they go about to prove this Affertion to be Blasphemy, they may not forget the Apostle's Words, I Tim. 2. 5. For there is One GOD, and One Mediator between GOD and Men, the MAN Christ Jesus; and shew, how G. K's Words are more Blasphemous, than these of the Apostle: Which, to make it more plain to the Reader, I will add thus ;

G. K's Pesition, which J. B. and R. M. C. two Eminent Presbyterian Preachers, in the Index at the End of 7. B's Book, affirm to be one of the Abominable Heads of Quakerism, is:

That the MAN CHRIST 7 ESUS is the MEDIATOR.

The Apostle his Assertion (1 Tim. 2. 5.) is,

That there is One MEDIATOR between GOD and Men, the MAN CHRIST FESUS.

We desire the Sense and Censure of the Presbyterian Ministry upon this; or otherwise we hope, they cannot in Reason be offended, if justly reputed Accusers of the Spirit of God, that Taught the Apostles to speak, and thence Condemned, as fignal Calumniators and Hereticks.

An Expostulatory Epistle, Directed to ROBERT MACQUARE.

The Letter of Lillian Skein to R.

Friend ROBERT MACQUARE,

Y Tender Love and Sympathy was great towards many of the Non-Conformists, who were Suffering for Conscience sake, and not for Interest espousing that Opinion; of whom thy felf being one, thou wast often very near me, notwithstanding I knew, generally the Non-conformists were more Imbittered and Prejudiced against us, called Quakers, than any o-ther Men, Yet this I often constructed to flow from Misinformation concerning us, being so lit-Misinformations to pretle acquainted with and conversant among us ; judice and whereunto your being so Shy, was but like the gives often Disciples in a Storm seeing him Appear in a Man-great Occaner, they had not seen him before, thought, he was coming nearer them for their Deliverance; yet they Cried out through Fear, as if it had been the Appearance of some Evil Spirit. Other times I have looked upon the great Prejudice many had against us, answerable to Christ's saying; No Man having drunk Old Wine, straightway desireth to drink New, they say, the Old is better: Which hitherto hath, and yet doth cause me bear with

1679. you, and love that which is good amongst you. wherever it appeareth. And fo because of this Love towards thee I am the more concerned at this Time, with what thou hast lately published. For though my Acquaintance and Intimacy with thee was not fo much as others, yet it being in a very ferious Season with both of us, as I very well Remember (when thou wast shut up close Prisoner, and wast daily in Expectation of the Sentence of Death) thy Deliverance, from which I retain the fresh Sense of; and it was and is with many fuch like Seafons, wherein the Lord prepared my Heart, and bended his Ear: A fweet Encouragement to trust him, and a singular Engagement on me to Wait for his Immediate Leadings and the Manifestations of his Will at all Times. But Oh! fince I heard of and read thy Postscript to John Brown's Book, and S. R. his Letters (as is supposed) I am astonished, and much ashamed on thy Behalf! O! Is the best Fruits of fo many Years Affliction thou hast to publish to the World, That one called and suffering as a Non-conformist (to this Sinful Time) should have learned no more Conformity to meek, lowly Jesus (of whom it is said, He learned Obedience by the things which he suffered) Surely, none who read thy Language, will fay, this Man hath been with Jesus; but rather say, whose-soever's Company thou hast been in, thou hast learned to be a Cunning Artist at the Scolding Trade. and art therein vainly puffed up, that thou even altedness and fleest aloft (though with Waxen Wings) above the Railing Spir lowly, harmless, meek Spirit of Christ. And rit Rebuked. verily, had I all thy Rhetorick (whether natural, or acquired) which thou so much mis-improvest to the gratifying of that, which needs more to be Crucified in thy felf and many, who are ready Implicitly to follow thee; it is not in my defire

to follow thy Example: Nor shall I wish, that e- 1679. ver thou have an Answer from any of the Lord's ' People in thy own Terms, which are fuch, as all fober, unprejudiced People, who read them, will fee thy Spirit most strongly Imbittered, when thy Pen is so dipt in Gall. I say, it is not in my defire to bring forth one Railing Accusation against thee, neither to Answer many things thou hast vented against the Lord's present Work and Witneffes, whom thou despisest and abhorrest more than Dung under thy Feet, and crowest over: yet if the Living God (a Part of whose Host they are) see it meet, he can raise up the least of them, and make thee feel Worm Jacob a Thresh worm Jaing Instrument with Teeth to deal with thee, and Threshing thresh that Lofty, Malitious Spirit of Prejudice, Instruments that Breathes through thee. The Confideration whereof upon thy Soul's Account is the Occasion of this Letter: wherein I desire to lay some things before thee, which are with Weight upon me; my Compassions being kindled towards thee, that when the Lord cometh to visit the Earth, thou should'st be found among them, who are Beating their Fellow servants; the Hazzard of which State thou knowest, and many will feel, when the Lord rifeth up to Prey: For bis Eyes are upon the Righteous, and his Ears are open to their Cries. Though now he be Trying the Children of Men, and permitting some to Suffer, and others to do hard Things; yet a Hope lives in me, the Time approacheth, wherein the Lord will more manifestly Appear to the Joy and Refreshment of the fingle in Heart, who Suffer with him, and patiently Wait for him; and for the Shame and utter Overthrow of his malitious Oppossers.

And so one of the Particulars I would lay before thee, is a Desire, thou would'st yet in the +M m

Lord's

1679. Lord's Light Search thy own Heart more, till thou findest out, what secret Affinity hath remained search thy with thee to any of the Lord's Enemies in thy in the Light own Heart: For if all were brought under the Goof the Lord. vernment of the Son of God inwardly, I am fully

perswaded, thy outward Opposition to the Lord's Work could not long stand. The outward is a true Figure of the inward; and I know by true Experience, all that Dispight, and disdainful, undervaluing Epithets thou squeezest up thy Engine to Coin (which one may feel Answers not fully thy own Satisfaction) for bespattering and loading that People and their Principles, is but (alas!) a Mirrour-glass set up to Represent the The mean low, mean, unworthy Esteem thou bearest to the

of Christ de-Light of Christ in its inward Appearance in thee, Rabbies.

fpised by the as a Reprover: For whosoever turneth Univerfally at the Reproofs of God's Light in the Conscience, shall witness the Pouring forth of his Spirit in larger Manifestations; according to Prov. 1. 23. But that Spirit speaketh in thee, of which Isaiab prophesied, Isa. 53. 2, 3, &c. concerning the outward Appearance of the same Christ, our Head, and the Captain of our Salvation (whose Sufferings, Death, Resurrection and Glory we dearly own, and wait from Day to Day more to feel the precious Vertue thereof) altho he then was and now is Rejected and Despised of Men, who hide, as it were, their Faces from him, because his outward Appearance was as a Root out of a dry Ground, in whom there was no Form nor Comeliness, nor Beauty, that he should be defired by that Mind, which was looking after great things, and expecting much outward Glory and Advantage. And fo Christ's Appearance was mistaken by the learned Rabbies in that Day; notwithstanding they had Mo-ses and the Prophets Testimonies, and were not wantwanting in Reading the Letter, as others now: 1679. For as it was then, it is now, he was and is miftaken by all, who seek any thing to glory in, save the Cross of Christ. For the Wisdom of the Flesh hath and doth lift fall'n Man above the innocent Seed in themselves, only through which they can see the invisible Glory of the Kingdom of God; and find an abundant Entrance unto the Righteousness, Peace and Joy in the Holy Ghost, whereof it confifts. Wherefore take heed of being lifted up above the Seed, Light, Life and Spirit of Christ in thee, and so thou wilt see Matterto work out thy Salvation in Fear and Trembling, and wilt not set down upon former Attainments

or Experiences, when the Life is gone.

Another thing I would put thee in Remembrance of in these present Times is, The great
Danger of Sinning at the Waters of Strife; The great
whereof Moses his Example may be a standing sin at the
Monument to all Generations: Of whom it was warries. said, He was the meekest Man upon the Earth, yet Strife. at the Waters of Strife he Spake unadvisedly with bis Lips; because of which he was debarred from entring into the promised Rest. And are there not some living at this Day, who with Sorrow of Heart have observed the Heat and Bitterness of Spirit, that hath arisen, because Differences and Controverfy concerning Religion have eaten out the Life of that Love and Tenderness, that was with many? And having hurt the Green Thing in themselves and one another, hath brought on Death, Darkness, Dryness and Sensible Withering; and cannot chuse but so to do, seeing Bitterness of Spirit and Prejudice, and such like Frames in Man or Woman separates from God, while there any one abides. For God is Love, and Dwell in he that dwells in God, dwells in Love; and Christ hath said, Unless ye abide in me, ye cannot bring forth much Fruit: So not abiding

Mm 2

ın

1679. in that pure Love to God, and his Image in his Children; hath caused many to fall short, and hath letted their Progress, and made many lose fight of their Way, and the Guide of their Youth; and so they have not followed the Lord fully, nor followed him in the Regeneration, Renewing according to the Increase of Light and the Meafures of Manifestation, whereby they should know, even in this Life, a being Changed from Glory to Glory, as by the Spirit of the Lord. Thou mentionest in thy Postscript, to J. B. pag. 557. Many, who may Remember with shame and Confusion of Face their Laughing at, and making light of the Appearance of that Prodigy, and that it may cause some go groaning to their Grave, being an Evidence, that ye knew not the Signs of the Time, and what they called you to do. To which I anfwer: Lightness and Laughing among People, that lay claim to Religion, is none of the least Causes of Mourning; but I also believe, if the Appearance of Quakerism (so called) had in the The Fore-Beginning been looked on, as the Fore-runner of the Down-fall of a meer Man-made Ministry in these Nations, it's like, it would have moved those, who laughed most, to have mourned most even then; and Babylon's Merchants would have cried. Alas! Alas! But fince now thou acknowledgeft, in the Space of a Score of Years at most Thou feest Cause to mourn for that, which ye then laughed at; I am very willing to admit of your own Acknowledgment for a Ground of Hope, that the Single hearted among the Non-conformists may out-live all the Clamour they are now making against us; and in less than as many Years more, may work through the Foggs and Mists, that now darken ther Understandings concerning the Signs of this Time, and look back with Shame and Con-

fusion on their great Darkness, that would have mourned for that, which was Matter of Joy. And

this

runner of the Downfall of a Man-made Ministry.

this is no far-fetched Consequence; for ye had 1679. then, and now the same Acquaintance with the Letter of the Scriptures, and as much Humane Learning, and Sharpness and Natural Abilities for an Acute Examen: And so it follows by the Rule of Contraries, and may it not be without Presumption concluded. Te needed then, and do now, the Help of the Spirit's immediate Teachings in your own Hearts, without which ye will not yet understand the Signs of this Time aright? Which if ye did, ye would see the Lord Staining the Pride Staining the of all Flesh, and bringing into Contempt all the Ho. Pride of all nourable in the Earth, that the Lord alone may be Flesh. exalted, and fee him coming out of his Holy Habitation to filence all Flesh. Hath not the Lord removed most of all those, who were Eminently infirumental to ferve him in the Work of the Miniftry? And is he not daily making their Skirts bare, who remain, and daily making them to Cease out of the Midst of the National Church, who rejoyced in her Pride? Is not his Voice founding aloud unto fuch of you, as yet remain? Te shall no more be haughty, because of my Holy Mountain: If to day ye will hear his Voice, harden not your Hearts; for I am fure, the Teacher, that will tell you infallibly, what ye are called to do, is near, and is not removed into a Corner. But it is the Enemy's Work to vail and cover present Duties and Opportunities, and represent what is past or loft, as very desirable, and even to prompt a People or Person to lament and bewail their by past Failings and Short-comings, who do little heed or regard the Worth of the remaining Season, and fo to Redeem the Time. Wherefore my Advice in tender Love to thy Soul is, That thou Wait on the Lord to understand aright the Import of such Signs, as are now appearing, when the Lord is proceeding to work marvellous Works and Wonders in the Earth, and is making the Wildom of Mm 3 the

1679. the Wife to perish, and the Understanding of the Prudent to be hid; and pouring out his Spirit up-The Lord is on Sonsand Daughters, Servants and Hand-maids, wife and wif Learned, by ty, Learned, Wife Men in this Generation by the pouring out foolish Appearance of a Company of Illiterate his Spiritup Tradesimen, who were never Bred up at Schools Tradesmen, and Universities, Weavers and Shoo-makers, and Fishers. Yea, Is not one of the dreadful Signs of at Sch. ols and Univer this Time fulfilling in thee and thy Brethren, fities. Rev. 16: 8, 9.—The Fourth Angel poured out his Vial upon the Sun, and Power was given unto him to fcorch Men with Fire : And Men were fcorched with great Heat, and blasphemed the Name of God, which hath Power over these Plagues; and they Repented not to give him Glory? And whether this Plague be not poured out upon your Anti-Christi-The Scorch-an Sun, and ye be the Persons, that are thus ing Plague on Antiscorched, your Dialect doth sufficiently declare unto all those, whose Eyes the Lord hath opened. Christ his Dialect doth I also defire thee to consider, how Inconsonant hew. with true Christianity a Spirit of Persecution is, and how much more unfuitable, and unequal for a People or Person under the same Condemnation? Surely, that poor Man, who had been but a

ing rebuked.

against thee for the contrary Practice. Neither will thy denying us to be Members of Christ, Gross Rail- and not suffering for well-doing, and thy accounting us Demoniacks, avail thee, nor cover thee from that Woe (if thou obtain not Mercy to Repent) denounced against such, as call Good Evil. and Evil Good, and Light Darkness, and Darkness Light, in that Day, when the Lord Jesus shall declare before Men and Angels, we are his Friends and Followers. O Robert!

little time in Christ's Company, was so far influenced by his meek and moderate Spirit, as not only to forbear Railing himself against suffering Chrift, but to rebuke his Fellow-companion for fo doing: Which Instance will stand in Judgment

O Robert! thy hard Speeches have manifested 1679. thy own fad Acknowledgment to be very true: The Holy Fire is gone out with thee indeed; in Place of which that which never was, nor is of God's Kindling is brought forth: And this is not now to be found by fecret Search in Corners by fecret Surmises; but is by many of you laid open, and in thy late Post script, as on a Theatre set up, as those who run may read, the Holy Fire, if ever there was any, is quite extinct. Concerning which Compound of unjust, groundless Accusations and Malitious Inventions, I hope, I may fay, there are many Sober, Serious People, who Fear and Serve the Living God, inward Jews, whose Hearts the Lord hath Circumcifed to love him; who defire continually in the Integrity of their Hearts to ferve him; against whom I know, no Divination nor Inchantment of Devils or Men shall prosper. Of which Blessed Company I do avouch my Self One, through the Free Grace of God; and I hope, I, and many with me, have put all thine and thy Brethrens Writings in the Lord's own Hand to Answer, for the Vindication of his Glory and the manifestation of his Truth: And I defire to make no worse Use of thy Postfcript, than Hezekiah made of the Writings of Rabshakeh in that Day. Unto the Righteous Lord, who fearcheth the Heart and trieth the Reins, do I Appeal, for whose immediate Help, and seasonable, powerful Appearance I desire both to Hope, and patiently to Wait, until he have performed will plead his whole Work in Zion and ferusalem both a our Cause. mongst You and Us: Then shall be brought to pass the sure Promise. The Lord will punish the Fruit of the stout Heart of his Adversary, and the Glory of his High Looks. In that Day he will inwardly and outwardly both plead our Cause and execute Judgment for us: He will bring forth our Righteousness as the Light, and make his Judg-Mm 4 ments

ments for us manifest, as the Noon-day; although we lie among the black Pots of our Reproaches.

Now, the Lord will bring us forth unto the Light, and we shall behold his Righteousness fulfilled in you, or manifested upon you: My Witness is in Heaven, I am one, who desires not the Evil Day, but am willing to embrace all the fweet Opportunities of the Drawings of my Father's Love, and the Arifings of his Life to stand in the Gap for the Single-hearted among you: And I must declare for the Exoneration of my own Confcience, I am an Experimental Witness, how grievoufly thou violatest the Truth, in misrepresenting the things, which thou callest, The bitter Root Springing up in these Sprouts of Hell. 1. Mens not receiving the Love of the Truth. 2. Their pleafing themselves with Names and Notions, while Christ was not received to dwell in the Heart. 3. Their not departing from Iniquity, who seemed to call on his Name. I am a Witness when the Lord called me out from among the Presbyterians, I was one, who according to my Education and Information, and Inclination from my Childhood, was a true Lover of that called the Glorious Gospel, and a constant Attender upon the Declarations thereof; and the Messengers Feet that published it, were beautiful to me, fo long as those Ordinances of Man were unto me as the Ordinances of Christ; which was more than Thirty Years: I loved them more than all things in this World; I passed through them hungry and hardly bestead for many Years, feeling after Life and Immortality, but could not find that: Somewhat was raifed in me, that Words and Reparts could not feed; Names and Notions I minded little; but Christ to dwell in me, was that and is that more and more I press after.

And now I must for the Truth's fake say somewhat, which I humbly mention with a fresh Re-

membrance

membrance of the Love, Power and tender Mer- 1679. cy of God, who enabled me (I know, the Lord will not impute it to be Boasting) in that Season, wherein the Lord revealed the true Way to Life and Immortality to me, by his Inward Appearance in my Soul: It was a Time wherein he had, Mercifully turned me from all, that ever his Light inwardly and Law outwardly had condemned me for; my Heart also did bear Witness for me. that what soever I had known would please him, I was chusing to do that : Not that thereby I was feeking Justification in my own Righteousness, but a fure Evidence of my Interest in him, who was made unto us Righteousness, Justification, &c. This bleffed Glympse of my begun Freedom was given me in a seasonable Time, that I might thereby be enabled to speak with mine Enemy in the Gate, and be encouraged to believe in the Light, and wait upon the Lord, to feel his Virtue perfectly to cleanse me from all Filthiness of Flesh and Spirit. Neither was I an Undervaluer of the Scriptures; they were my Rule then, and I hope for ever my Life shall answer them: I think they To whom and how the honour the Scriptures most, who live most ac Scriptures cording to them; and not they, who call them are a Rule. the Only Rule, yet do not make them their Pattern. The Scriptures of Truth were precious to me, and by them was I taught not to walk nor worship in the Way of the People; the Spirit shewing me his Mind in them: And then I faw in his Light, that it is not the Scriptures many Adore so much, as their own corrupt Glosses upon them. Neither can my Experience go along, with what thou affirmest of the Hazzard of Converse with that People: It is very well known to all, that lived in the Place, where I fojourned, I was none who conversed with them; I was never at one of their Meetings; I never read one of their

Quakers.

1679. their Books, unless accidentally I had found them where I came; and look'd to them, and laid them by again.

So now it remains with me to tell thee, what The Occa- was the Occasion I joined with them? Since it was fion of L. S. none of those thou mentionest; which I will very her joining with the fingly, and can very comfortably do. It was that thing ye School men call Immediate Objective Revelation, (which my Desire is, ye were more particularly and feelingly Acquainted with) whereby the Lord raising in my Soul his Feeling Life, I could not fit down fatisfied with hearing, of what the Son of God had done outwardly, (tho' I believe that thereby he purchased all that Grace and Mercy, which is inwardly wrought in the Hearts of his Children) until I should be a Partaker of the Virtue and Efficacy thereof, whereby I might possess the Substance of things hoped for. I saw, an Historical Faith would neither Cleanse me, nor Save me; If that could Save any, the Devils were not without a Door of Hope. I felt, I needed the Revelation of the Son of God in me; All that ever I read or heard without this, could not give me the Saving Knowledge of God. None knoweth the Father but the Son, and he to whom the Son revealeth him; through the Virtue whereof mine Eyes were more and more by Degrees opened. For the tender-hearted Samaritan had Pity upon my wounded Soul, when both Priest and Levite passed by, and the Watchmen rent my Vail; and when there was no Eye to pity, nor Hand to help me, he drew near, and poured in Wine and Oyl, as he saw needful, and ful-

filled the Promise in Measure, wherein he had The scrip. long caused me to hope, He that follows me, Shall tures made not walk in Darkness, but shall have the Light of comfortable by the Spirit Life: And that sweet Saying, whereby I am confirmed and comforted; If evil Parents know, how to give their Children good things, how much more

will

1679.

will the Lord give his Holy Spirit to those who ask bim? When your Children ask Bread, will ye give them a Stone? Or when they ask a Fish, will ye give them a Serpent? These precious Scriptures, and many fuch like, being opened up and applied by the Spirit of Truth powerfully and feafonably (in faying, Be not faitblefs, but believing) Times above Number before and fince hath made me fet to my Seal to these Words of Christ, The Words that I speak are Spirit and Life; and as I walk with him, and abide in him, watching at the Posts of Wisdom's Gates, travelling in Spirit more and more to bring forth Fruit unto him, and walk worthy of him, unto all well-pleasing, daily to die unto Self, that Christ may live in me, I becoming a passive Creature, and he an active Christ, in the Increase of his Government I feel the Increase of my Peace.

And fo, My Friend, thou haft here by foine Touches at Things Occasion to see, how far thou art mistaken concerning us, and how far contrary to the Truth, as it is in Jesus, thou represent'st many things to the World, speaking Evil of Things which thou knowest not; and if thou dost, the greater is thy Sin. Two Particulars indeed I cannot strain Charity so far as to believe, thou thinkest. Do we deny Jesus Christ, and Justifica. christ owntion through his Righteousness, because we make ed and the the Sufficiency thereof of a more universal Ex-Scriptures. tent, than ye? Or because we love whole Christ so much, and his feamless Coat, that we will not have it divided? Nay, we dare not divide Justification and Santification, neither confound them; we have felt the Blood and Spirit distinct things. yet inseparable. Neither can'ft thou think we make void the Scriptures; because we honour the Spirit that was before the Scriptures were written, and bear Testimony against all, who deny the Spirit's Immediate Teachings to be the universal

Monopolized Know-ledge by Proteffo.s.

Priviledge of his People: Whereby ye take away the Key of Knowledge, and neither enter the Kingdom, nor fuffer others who would; but Monopolize Knowledge to your felves, and intrude your Meanings upon the Consciences of Men, as the Rule: Which Meanings indeed I do not own, either as the Only, or any Rule, but as the Spirit of Christ in my Conscience answers it. stimony of the Spirit of Truth in Thousands with n.e will stand and rise up against thee in the Presence of the Lord, when all thy unjust Reproaches and malitious Accufations shall melt away before the Presence of the Glory of the heartfearching God; before whose Tribunal I defire daily to stand, that he may more narrowly search me by his Light, and both discover and destroy what he finds contrary to his Pure Nature and Holy Will, whether mediately or immediately Revealed: And before whose Tribunal thou and I will e're long more folemnly appear, to give an Account of Things we have done in the Body. Which that thou may'ft do with Joy, and not with Grief, hereafter, when thou commend'st thy Advice to the Readers of thy Epistles, have so much Mercy upon thy own, and the Souls of those thou writest to, as to defire them to ponder their Path, and be established: And be sure, they be come to the Holy Faith, and not to an Implicit, believing the Tradition of Men; for by fo doing indeed, thou, and as many as thou canst influence, may come to fare well, according to Pro. 4.26. Ponder thy Path, and be established, and turn not to the right Hand, nor the Left. I am one,

Who, in my Measure, Travel for the Redemption of the Seed of God in all Souls, and in thine,

Newtyle, the 8th of the 4th Mon. 1678. Lillias Skein,

1679.

A Catalogue of some of the many downright Lies and Calumnies, which he afferts in the Index before his Book, to be the Affertions of the Quakers.

All thefe Things he afferts falfly of Us.

1. THat we arrogantly stile our selves the Servants of God.

2. That we glory of the Title Quakers.

of Truth, Equalizing our selves to the Apostles.
4. That we say, we are perfect without Sin.

5. That we only taste, see and smell the inward Light.

6. That we affert our Experiences, in Matters

that cannot be experienced.

7. That we affert our selves to be Equal with God.

8. That we say, All is done without the Spirit, that is not done in our Way.

9. That we remain Covered, when they pray or

praise, really to Mock.

10. That we ascribe as much to our own Writings, as to the Scriptures.

11. That we speak basely of Learned Men.
12. That we Condemn the Study of Original

Languages.

13. That we speak most basely of the Scriptures.

14. That we fay, They are no Rule to us.

15. That we call them Imperfect.

16. That we disswade from Reading and Studying

17. That we say, God only worketh a Possibility of Salvation.

18. That we say, God ordaineth nothing from Eternity.

19. That we deny Christ's Second Coming.

20. That

1679. 20. That we are not Clear concerning Jesus of Nazereth his being the Son of God.

21. That we acknowledge no Christ, but a Christ

within us.

22. That we make Him nothing, but a meer Holy Man.

23. That a Christ without us, is but a Carnal

Christ with us.

dam, and the Fall.

25. That we make Original Sin to be a Substance.

26. That we deny, that Heathens have any thing of the Law written in their Hearts.

27. That we fay, A Pagan can perform all in-

ward Worthip easily.

28. That we confound Revelations with the gracious Operations of the Spirit.

29. That we succeed to the Old Enthusiasts.

30. That we turn the History of Christ's Death into Allegories.

31. That we wildly describe it.

32. That we say, There is no more Advantage to be had by the History of Christ's Death, than by the History of other Saints.

33. That we miserably mistake the Judgment of

the Orthodox about Reprobation.

34. That in Exaggerating the Matter of Repro-

bation, we miserably belch out against God.

35. That we deny Faith and Repentance to be the Gifts of God.

36. That we vilify the Virtue and Efficacy of

Christ's Satisfaction.

37. That we deny all Imputation of Righteousness. 38. That we say, The Patriarchs had no Faith

of the Messiah to come.

39. That with us All Members of the Church are Officers.

40. That we say, All Worship must be done by inward Inspirations, as to Time, Place and Duration.

41. That

41. That we make no Use of the Scriptures in 1679.

42. That in our Worship we Unchristian and Un-

man our selves.

43. That we deny Magistrates to be lawful, that are not of our Way.

44. That we are against giving of all Honour

and Respect to Superiors or Equals.

45. That we affert no Heaven nor Hell, but what

is within Us.

I could have noted feveral others, which are direct enough Lies, fet down in the Index, besides not a few he has in the Book, which are not in his Index; and which the Reader will in this Vindication observe. There are also several in the Index, which are false, and not owned by us in the Terms he writeth them; Of which I shall give the Reader a few Examples, that he may judge thereby of his Fallacy in most of the rest. As where he saith;

1. That we say, The Knowledge of the Fall is not

necessary.

Now this is false, for we hold it necessary for all to be sensible of their Loss and Want; only we say, A distinct Knowledge of the History of Adam's Fall is not of absolute Necessity to such, as God never afforded the Means of knowing it.

2. That we deny, bodily Death to be a Punish-

ment for Sin.

This is also false; only we say, that it is not a Punishment for Sin unto all, but rather a Pleasure and Satisfaction, according to the Apostle's Words, To me to die is gain.

3. That with us the Preaching of the Gospel is

not necessary.

This is a meer Fallacy: for we say, the Preaching of the Gospel is absolutely necessary; only we do not think, the External Knowledge of Christ to be only the Preaching of the Gospel: And that the Preach-

1679. ing of the Gospel has been or may be, where this is wanting.

If I should go through the rest of the Index thus, I should find very sew Particulars, in which there is not some such Perversion or Fallacy; so that very sew are set down, as they are truly owned by us: Some indeed are, such as;

1. That we deny Men to be Christians by Birth: for we believe that Men by Nature are born Children of Wrath; and yet this may have Exceptions, as in the Case of Jeremiah and John the Baptist, who are said

to be sanctified from their Mothers Womb.

2. That we would have Ministers learning Trades whereby to live. We truly think, it were no Disparagement for Ministers to work with their Hands, as the honest Apostie Paul did, who commended the same to the Elders of Ephesus, Att. 20. 34. And yet we think, a Man may be a good Minister, though he have not a Trade, and work none; but yet never the worse if he have one.

3. That in Worship we think Menshould be silent in the first Place: Yes; for Silence goes before all

folemn Actions of Speaking.

4. That we think to command Men to pray without the Spirit, is to command Men to see without Eyes: Yes; because we know not what to pray for, as we ought, without it, Rom. 8. 26. and no Man should be commanded to pray as he ought not.

But as to these which are indeed owned by us, thou wilt find them at large Vindicated by Scripture and Reason, either in my Apology, or in this Treatise. I could have made a further Remark in this his Index, to shew thee, how many of them he sets down as our Assertions, are not, nor ever were Asserted by any of us, nor by him Assirtmed to be so, where he has them in his Book, but only his own meer Conjectures and Consequences; but I am loth to detain thee any longer in this: by looking the Pages, to which he referreth, thou mayest easily observe it.

1679.

THE

POSSIBILITY and NECESSITY

OF THE

Inward and Immediate Revelation

OF THE

SPIRIT of GOD.

Towards the Foundation and Ground of True Faith, proved, in a Letter writ in Latin to a Person of Quality in Holland; and now also put into English: By R.B.

Advertisement to the Reader.

THIS serves to inform thee, That it is above Seven Years, since this Epistle was Printed in Latin: The Person to whom it was writ, the Heer Paets, is a Man of no mean Account both in the Learned and Politick World; The Conference I had with him, was lately after his Return from Spain, where he had been Ambassador from the United Netherlands. I discoursed with him on the same Subject last Year at London, where he was one of the Commissioners for the Dutch East Indian Company; but could not find him propose any thing new, nor what I could conceive, had any Weight towards a Reply. What his Reasons were, not to prosecute this Matter further, I shall not determine: But thus far he readily yielded, That he had been mistaken in his Notion of the Quakers; for he

1679: found they could make a reasonable Plea for the Foundation of their Religion. Upon my Reading The Ground it over again, I found an Inclination in my self, of Faith and and was perswaded by some Friends, to publish it tion, Rever in a Language more obvious to all my Countrymen. It is a Question now frequently tossed, What is the

Ground and Foundation of Faith? And when the Matter is lifted to the Bottom, it resolves in Tradition or Revelation: For those who lay claim to the Scripture, and would make it the Foundation of their Faith, do resolve it but in a Tradition, when the Motives of Credibility are inquired into; since the Subjective Revelation, which they yield, comes but in the last Place, and is by themselves termed Medium Incognitum Affentiendi. And such a Revelation those of Rome will not refuse, to influence them to affent to the Determination of the Church: So those Protestants, who say, The Subjective Operation of the Spirit influences them (though they know not how) to believe the Scripture, presented and conveyed to them by Tradition, as the Distates of GOD's Spirit, and so understand them, as their Preachers interpret them; differ not much, or at least-have not Rea-The Church son to differ from the Church of Rome, who say,

The Church of Rome's Belief concerning the Scriptures. The Spirit Influences them to believe the Scriptures, as proposed by the Church, and according as her Doctors and Councils interpret them: And neither has any better Foundation, than Tradition. And to speak the Truth plainly, the Faith of both resolves in the Veneration they have for their Doctors: but whereas the one affirms, they do it by an Intire Submission; they think it decent to say, they judge them Infallible. And certainly, it is most reasonable, that such as affirm the first, believe the last. The other, because they pretend, they believe the Church but conditionally, have denied to her Infallibility; though generally they be as credulous as the other. And I find, the Doctors

of their Church as angry to be contraditted, as the 1679. other; that is an Ingredient goes to the Composition of all Clergymen, since it became a Trade, and went to make a part of the outward Policy of the World; from whence has flowed that Monster PERSECUTION. In Short, the Matter is easily driven into this narrow Compass. We believe either because of an outward or inward Testimony, that is, because it is outwardly delivered to us, or inwardly revealed to us. For my Part, 1 think, the Papists do wifely in pleading for Infallibility; for certainly, the true Church never was nor can be without it: And the Protestants do bonestly in not claiming it; because they are sensible they want it. I should therefore desire the one to prove, That they are Infallible; and advise the other to believe, They may, and seek after it: But I am sure, neither the one is, nor the other cannot without Immediate, Divine Revelation. Therefore as to deny Revelation, is a bad way to prove Infallibility; so to deny Infallibility, is a bad way to make a Reformation: Since they, who do Reform, had need to be certain they are doing So. The Afferting of Infallibility in the Church of Christ, is not the Error of the Church of Rome; but the Pretending to it, when they have it not, and Placing it where they should not: But since those who oppose Immediate Revelation, do it on the Account, that they reckon it either Impossible or Unnecessary; I hope there will be as much found in this Epistle, as will evince the contrary. I have now exceeded the Limits of an Advertisement; but being known not to be a Man of Form, I hope, my Reader will excuse me: to whom I wish true Certain ty of Faith, and so bid him heartily Farewel!

Robert Barclay.

The 9th of Octob. 1686.

1679.

My Friend,

A LBEIT I judge, I did fully Answer to all thy Arguments in that Conference we had, concerning the Necessity and Possibility of Inward Immediate Revelation, and of the Certainty of true Faith from thence proceeding: neverthelets, because after we had made an End, and were parting, thou would'st needs remit to my further Confideration the Strength of thy Argument, as that in which thou supposed'ft the very Hinge of the Question to lie. That I might satisfy thy Defire, and that the Truth might more appear, I did further confider of it; but the more I weighed it, I found it the weaker. And therefore that thou thy felf may'ft make the truer Judgment of it, I thought meet to fend thee my further Confiderations thereon; (which I had done e're now, had not I both at London and elsewhere been diverted by other necessary Occasions) wherein, I doubt not, but thou wilt perceive a full and diflinct Answer to thy Argument. But if thou can'ft not as yet yield to the Truth, or thinkest mine Answer in any Part to be defective, so that there yet remains with thee any Matter of Doubt or Scruple; I do earnestly desire thee, that as I for thy fake, and out of Love to the Truth, have not been wanting to examine thy Argument, and to transmit to thee my Considerations thereon; fo thou may'ft give thy felf the Trouble to write and fend me what theu hast further to say: Which my Friend N. N. who delivers thee this, will at what Time thou shalt appoint, receive from thee, and transmit to me thy Letter; that at last the Truth may appear where it is.

And that the whole Matter may the more clearly be understood, it will be fit in the first Place, To propose thy Argument, whereby thou oppo-

lest

fest the Immediate Revelation of GOD in the 1679. Saints; thence concluding, thou hast fully over-turned the Foundation of the People called Qua-

kers. Which Argument of thine is;

That since (as thou judgest) the Being and Sub- H. P's Obflance of the Christian Religion consisteth in the gainst Imme-Knowledge of, and Faith concerning the Birth, Life, diete Reve-Death, Resurrection and Ascention of Christ Je- by way of sus, thou considerest the Substance of the Christian Argument. Religion as a Contingent Truth; which Contingent Truth is Matter of Fast. Whence thou reasonest, That—

Matter of Fact cannot be known, but by the Relation of another, or by the Perception of the outward Senses; because there are naturally in our Souls no Idea's of Contingent Truths, such as are concerning Necessary Truths: To wit, That GOD is; and that the Whole is greater than the Part.— And since it may without Absurdity be said, That

GOD cannot make a Contingent Truth to become a Necessary Truth; neither can GOD reveal Contingent Truths or Matters of Fast, but as Contingent Truths are revealed: But Matters of Fast are not revealed, but by the outward Senses: — From whence thou

concludeft, That

Men are not even oblig'd to believe GOD producing any Revelation in the Soul concerning Matter of Fact, whether of a thing done, or to be done, unless there be added some Miracles obvious to the outward Senses, by which the Soul may be ascertained, that that Revelation cometh from GOD.

And this thou endeavourest also to prove from the Scripture, Rom. 10. where the Apostle saith, The Proofs Faith cometh by Hearing: And because the Apostle of the Arguspeaketh afterwards of those, who were sent, in

Nn 3

the

1679. the Plural Number; thence thou concludest, That to be Spoken of outward Preaching by the Ministry of Men : And fince the Apostle uses a Question, faying; How shall they believe, unless they hear, Thou gatherest from the Induction and Connexion of the Text, that the Apostle treats only of outward Hearing; thence concluding, That without outward Hearing, Faith cannot be produced: And therefore, that there can be no Immediate Revelation by the simple Operation of the Spirit in the Mind, unless there be somewhat proposed to the outward Senses.

Before I proceed to a direct Answer to this Argu-The Christi- ment, some things are necessary to be premised:

an Religion rical Knowledge of Christ.

First then; That is fallly supposed, That the Esin the Hilto- sence of the Christian Religion consists in the Historical Faith and Knowledge of the Birth, Death, Life, Resurrection and Ascension of Christ. That Faith and Historical Knowledge is indeed a Part of the Christian Religion; but not such an Essential Part, as that without which the Christian Religion cannot consist; but an Integral Part, which goes to the Compleating of the Christian Religion: As the Hands or Feet of a Man are Integral Parts of a Man, without which nevertheless a Man may exist, but not an Intire and compleat Man.

The Historical Know ledge of commonly man tested to us, but Scripture.

Secondly: If by Immediate Revelation be understood such a Revelation of GOD, as begets in ledge of Christ is not our Souls an Historical Faith and Knowledge of the Birth of Christ in the Flesh, without the Means of the Holy Scripture, we do not contend by the Holy for fuch a Revelation, as commonly given, or to be expected by us or any other Christians. For albeit many other Evangelical Truths be manifested to us by the Immediate Manifestion of God, not using the Scripture as the Means; yet the Hiftorical Knowledge of Christ is not commonly manifested to us, nor to any others, but by the Holy Scripture, as the Means, and that by way of a Material Object: Even as when we see the Perfon of Peter or Paul to our visive Faculty Immediately, yet not without the Medium of that Perfon concurring as a Material Object to produce that Sight; while the Light of the Sun concurs, as the formal Object of that Vision or Sight. So that when we Livingly and Spiritually know the History of the Birth of Christ in the Flesh; the Inward Revelation or Illumination of GOD, which is like the Sun's Light, proceeding from the Divine Sun, doth shine into the Eye of the Mind, and by it's Insluence moves the Mind to Assente unto the Historical Truth of CHRIST's Birth, Life, &c. in the Reading or Hearing the Scripture, or Meditating therein.

Thirdly: * Nevertheless we do firmly Assert, * God can That GOD can most easily, clearly and cermanises the tainly manifest to our Minds the Historical Truths Truth of of CHRIST's Birth, &c. when it so pleaseth Christ cour Him, even without the Scripture, or any other out the Outward Mean. And because this Argument Scripture. seems to be formed against the Possibility of such a Revelation, therefore I shall proceed to Discuss it: But first thou may'st mind, that the Prophets, who foretold Christ's Coming in the Flesh, and being to be born of a Virgin, and afterwards to suffer Death, did know these Truths of Fact by the Inward Inspiration of GOD without Outward Means: For which see I Pet. I. 10, 11. Now

Fourthly: This Argument doth at most Con. A contingent clude, that we cannot know Naturally any Truth be known by of Fact, but by the Relation of another without a supernatural, or by the Perception of the Outward Senses; ledge. because there are naturally in our Minds no Idea's concerning Contingent Truths (and every Truth of Fact is a Contingent Truth) as there are of necessary Truths. This then proveth, that we cannot naturally know any Contingent Truth, but by N n 4

that which bath been, may be.

outward Senses: But that hindereth not, but we may know a Contingent Truth by a Supernatural Knowledge, GOD supplying the Place of an outward Relator; who is so True, that he may and ought to be believed, sith GOD is the Fountain of Truth.

Fifthly: When GOD doth make known unto The form of Men any Matter of Fact by divine, immediate Revelation Revelation or Inspiration, GOD speaking as to of God inthe Ear of the Heart of the Inward Man, or as wardly speaking to the Mind of be considered in such an Immediate Revelation.

1. 70 Materiale, The Matter of Fact or thing

Revealed, which is Contingent.

2. 7d Formale, The Form or Mode, how the Revelation is made: which Form is an Inward, Divine and Supernatural Revelation, which is the Voice or Speech of GOD, inwardly Speaking to the Ear of the Inward Man, or Mind of Man, or a divine Writing supernatually imprinted therein. Now as to the Material Part, or the thing and Matter revealed, this is indeed a contingent Truth, and of it felf is not manifest to the Mind; but because of the Form, that is, because of the divine Mode, and Supernatural, inward Operation, the Matter is known to be true. For that divine and supernatus ral, Inward Operation, which the Mind doth feel and perceive in it felf, is the Voice of God speaking unto Man, which by its Nature and Specifick Property is as clearly diffinguished and understood to be the Voice of GOD, as the Voice of Peter or fames is known to be the Voice of such Men. For every Being as a Being is knowable, and that by its own specifick Nature of Property proceeds ing from its Nature; and hath its proper Idea, by which it's diftinguithable from every other thing, if so be its Idea be stirred up in us, and clearly proposed to us.

Sixthly: Now as some Beings are Natural, some 1679. Supernatural; fo fome *Idea's* are Natural, fome The speak-Supernatural: And as when any Natural Idea is ing of God excited in us, we clearly know it; so also when a in Man is a Supernatural Idea is raised, we clearly know that, Being know whereof it is the Idea. But the Voice of G O D by its Verspeaking to the Mind of Man, is a Superna-tue. tural Being, and stirreth up in us a Supernatural Idea, by which we clearly know that Inward Voice to be the Voice of God, and not the Voice or Operation of another, or of any Evil Spirit, or Angel, because none of these have a Supernatural Idea, as the Voice of GOD, and his divine Operation hath: For it is full of Vigour, Virtue and Divine Glory, as faith the Pfalmist, who had often Experience of it; and we also in our Meafures are Witnesses thereof, for the Voice of God is known to be his by its Divine Vertue.

Seventhly: The Senses are either Outward or what the Inward; and the Inward Senses are either Natu inward, Sural or Supernatural: We have an Example of the Sense in Man Inward, Natural Sense in being Angered or Paci is? fied, in Love and Hatred; or when we perceive and discernany Natural Truth, (such as the Natural Maxims, to wit, That the whole is greater than the Part) or when we deduce any Conclusion by the Strength of Natural Reason, that Perception also in a larger Sense may be called an Inward Sense. But an Example of an inward, Supernatural Sense is, when the Heart or Soul of a pious Man feels in it self Divine Motions, Influences and Operations, which sometimes are as the Voice or Speech of God, sometimes as a most pleafant and glorious Illustration or visible Object to the inward Eye, sometimes as a most sweet Savour or Taste, sometimes as an Heavenly and divine Warmness, or (so to speak) Melting of the Soul in the Love of God. Moreover this divine and Supernatural Operation in the Mind

1679. of Man, is a true and most-glorious Miracle; which when it is perceived by the Inward and Supernatural Sense divinely raised up in the Mind of Man, doth so evidently and clearly perfwade the Understanding to Assent to the thing Revealed, that there is no need of an outward Miracle: For this Assent is not because of the -10 thing it felf, but because of the Revelation propofing it, which is the Voice of God. For when the Voice of God is heard in the Soul, the Soul doth as certainly conclude the Truth of that Voice, as the Truth of God's Being, from whom it proceeds.

ry Truths diftinguish-

These things being thus primised, I now pro-Contingent ceed to a direct Answer. For what is said, That and Necessa-God cannot make a Contingent Truth to become a necessary Truth, I agree; but when any Contingent Truth is manifest to us by the Immediate Revelation of God, there is in it two things to be confidered, to wit, the Thing Revealed, which is Contingent; and the Revelation it felf: Which upon the Supposition, that it is a Divine Revelation, is no contingent Truth, but a most Necessary Truth. And this all Mankind will say, that this Proposition, Every divine Revelation is necessari-Ty true, is as clear and Evident, as that Proposition, That every Whole is greater, than its Part.

But thou wilt say; How knowest thou, that a How a Di-divine Revelation is a divine Revelation? I anvine Revela- fwer, How knowest thou, that a Whole is a Whole, known to be and a Part is a Part? Thou wilt say; by the naa divine Retural Idea excited in me of a Whole, and of a velation.

Part. I answer again; Even so a Divine Revela-tion is known to be such by a Supernatural Idea of Divine Revelation stirred up in us, and that by a Divine Motion or Supernatural Operation. But it is no wonder, that Men, who have no Experience of Supernatural Idea's, or at least do not heed them, do deny them; which is, as if a Man

naturally blind denyed Light or Colours; or a 1679. Deaf Man Sounds, because they experience them not. Therefore we cannot diffemble, that we feel a fervent Zealeven divinely kindled in us against fuch an Absurd Opinion, as Affirms, That God cannot Ascertain us of his Will in any Contingent Truth, but by proposing it to the outward Senses. This Opinion does in a Manner turn Men into Brutes, as if Man were not to believe his God, unless he propose, what is to be believed, to the outward Senses, which the Beafts have common with us: yea, it derogates from God's Power. and imputes Weakness to him, as if he could not do that, which not only both Good and Evil Angels can do, but which the meanest Creatures can do, and the most unsensible. As for Instance:
The Heat of the Fire, the Coldness of the Air and Spiritural
Water worketh upon us; yea, if a Pin prick us, Senses distinguished by
we feel it, and that by the outward Sense; be their obcause the Objects are outward and Carnal: But jects. fince GOD is a most-Pure and Glorious Spirit, when he operateth in the Innermost Parts of our Minds by his Will; shall not he and his Will be clearly felt according to his Nature, that is, by a Spiritual and Supernatural Sense? For as the Nature of God is, so is the Nature of his Will, to wit, purely Spiritual; and therefore requireth a spiritual Sense to discern it: which spiritual Sense, when it is raised up in us by a divine Operation, doth as clearly and certainly know the Voice or Revelation of the Will of God, concerning any thing which God is pleased to Reveal however Contingent, as the outward Sense knows, and perceives the outward Object. And it is no less Abfurd, to require of God, who is a most-pure Spirit, to manifest his Will to Men by the outward Senses, else not to be Credited; as to require us to fee Sounds, and hear Light and Colours.

1679. For as the Objects of the outward Senses are not to be confounded, but every Object is to have its proper Sense; so must we judge of Inward and Spiritual Objects, which have their proper Sense, whereby they are to be perceived. And tell me, How God doth manifest his Will concerning Matters of Fact, when he fends his Angels to Men, fince Angels (as is commonly received) have not outward Senses, or at least not so gross ones, as ours are? Yea, when Men die, and appear before the Tribunal of God, whether unto Eternal Life or Death, how can they know this having laid down their Bodies, and therewith their outward Senses? And nevertheless this Truth of God is a Truth of Fat, as is the Historical Truth of How good Christ's Birth in the Flesh. And which is yet Men know. more near: How do good and Holy Men even in they are more near: How do good and they are in with God in this Life most certainly know; that they are in Favour and Grace with God? No outward Revelation doth make this known unto them; but The Spirit (as faith the Apostle) beareth witness with our Spirits, that we are the Children of God. For the meer Testimony of a Humane Conscience, without the Inward Testimony of the Holy Spirit, cannot beget in us a firm and immoveable Testimony of our Sonthip, because the Heart of Man is deceitful; and if the Testimony therof were true, at most it is but a humane Testimony, which begetteth in us only a Humane Faith: But that Faith, by which Holy Men believe they are the Sons of God, is a divine Faith, which leans upon a divine Testimony of the Holy Spirit, witnessing

in them, that they are the Sons of God. Moreover, when a good Man feels in himself that undeclarable Joy of the Holy Spirit, concerning which the holy Scripture speaks, and which is the Common Priviledge of the Saints, how or whence feels he this Joy? Truly, this Argu-

ment

ment concludes no less against this Heavenly, Spi- 1679. ritual Joy, which is begotten in the Souls of the Saints by the holy Spirit, than it does against the Immediate Revelation of God: For there is no natural Idea of this Spiritual Joy, else meer natural Men, yea such, as are profane and ungodly, would feel it as much as the Godly: But How profane because it is a Supernatural Thing, Therefore it Men do feel the Wrath can have no true Idea, but what is Supernatural of God as Moreover whence is it, that profane Men feel Fire. fometimes in themselves the Wrath of God as Fire, when all things, as to the outward, go as prosperously with them as with the Godly, and oftentimes more prosperously? For there is no natural Idea in Men of this Inward Wrath of God. There is also an inward Grief oftentimes raised up in Wicked Men from the Sense of this Wrath of God, which very much vexeth and tormenteth their Minds; and nevertheless this Grief hath no natural Idea in us: For oftentimes Wicked Men feel not this Sorrow; for God sometimes is, as it were Silent, while the Wicked Sin, as in Pfal. 50.

All which Things do most clearly demonstrate, That there are in Men Supernatural Idea's of Supernatural Beings; which Idea's are nevertheless not perceived by us, unless they be stirred up by fome Supernatural Operation of GOD, which raifeth up in us Supernatural and Spiritual Senses, which by their Nature are as diffinguishable from the natural Senses, whether inward or outward, as the natural Senses are diftinguished one from another by their specifick Difference. Of which Spiritual Senses the Scripture speaks frequently, as Heb. 5. and 14. where is spoken of the Spiritual Senses in general, by which the Spiritural Man hath the Discerning of Good and Evil: Which Good is of a Spiritural Nature, Spiritual and conduceth to feed in us a Spiritual and Divine ceroing good Life; and the Evil is of that Kind, by which the and Evil.

1679. Spiritual Life is in us hurt; to wit, Sins, whether Carnal or Spiritual: All which cannot be difcerned, but by fuch, who have Spiritual Senses stirred up in them, as faith the Apostle. In other Places the Scripture also speaketh of these Spiritual Senses in Particular; as of the Spiritual Seeing, Pfal. 34. 9. Of the Spiritual Hearing, Pfal. 85. and 9. Of Spiritual Tafting, Pfal. 34. 8. Of Spiritual Smelling, Cant. 1. 3. Of Spiritual Touching, Alls 17. 8. and in many other Places of Scriptue we read of those Spiritual Senses in particular. Yea, it is the Promise of the Gospei, that The Glory of God shall be seen of Holy Men, such as are clean of Heart, even in this Life: Isai. 33. 17. Mat. 5. 8. Which were fulfilled in the Primitive Christians, see John 1.14. I John 1. 1, 2, 3, 4. 2 Cor 3. 18. and Chap. 4. 6. But what is this Vision of GOD and Divine Glory, which the Souls of the Saints Enjoy in this Life, which is only as the Earnest or first Fruits of that more abundant, glorious Vision in the Life to come, concerning which the Scripture so much declareth, which it the highest Happiness of the IMMORTAL SOUL.

For this Argument feemeth to do no less Injury to the Saints, than to rob them of this most glorious Treasure both in this Life, and that to come. For there is in us no Natural Idea of this Divine Glory, as there is not of GOD himself, which is any ways proportionable unto so great Happiness, which the Scripture so much declareth of, by which the Godly are Rewarded partly in this Life, and plenarily in that which is to come. We confess indeed, there is in all Men, as well the Godly as Ungodly, some Sort of Idea of GOD, as of a most perfect Being; and that therefore this Proposition, There existeth a most perfect Being, doth as clearly appear to Human Understanding, as that The Whole is greater than

The Existence of a most perfect Being Afserted. the Part: And therefore this Proposition, That a 1679. most perfett Being existeth, ought to be numbred among the Principles, that of themselves are manifest. But this Idea of GOD is as manifest to Ungodly, as to Godly Men; yea, is as clearly perceived by the Devil, as by the most holy Angels: For all the Devils know, that GOD Is; but yet how blind is the Devil, and all wicked Men as to the Vision of GOD, which is the chief Reward of the Saints.

There is then either no fuch Vision of GOD, neither in this Life, nor in that to come; or there is a Supernatural Idea of GOD in us, by which we are made capable of this Vision: Which Su- The Superpernatural Idea of GOD differeth much from of Goddit that Natural Idea of GOD, which Cartesius and fers from the his Followers fo much talk of, (albeit others long Natural. before Cartesius did observe this Natural Idea of GOD, and spoke of it) But the Happiness of the Saints confifts not in Contemplating this Natural Idea of God, else the Wicked would be as happy as the Godly; yea, the very Devil as the most holy Angel: Since as is said, both the Devil and most wicked Men do as clearly perceive this Natural Idea of GOD, as the most holy Men or Angels.

If the Scripture then be true, there is in Men a Supernatural Idea of God, which altogether There is differs from this Natural Idea: I say, in all Men a Supernatural. because all Men are capable of Salvation, and rat Idea of consequently of enjoying this Divine Vision. God, Now this Capacity confisteth herein, that they have fuch a Supernatural Idea in themselves: For if there were no fuch Idea in them, it were impossible they should so know GOD. For what-Soever is clearly and distinctly known, is known by its proper Idea; neither can it otherways be clearly and distinctly known: For the Idea's of all. things are Divinely planted in our Souls; for

they

1679. they are not begotten in us by outward Objects, or outward Causes, (as the better Philosophy teacheth) but only are by these outward things excited or stirred up. And this is true not only in Supernatural Idea's of GOD, and things Divine, and in Natural Idea's of the Natural Principles of Human Understanding, and Conclusions thence deduced by the Strength of Human Reason; but even in the Idea's of outward Objects, which are perceived by the outward Senses;

Christian Philosopher. Well observed; to which also the Cartesian Philofophy agreeth. For when I see any outward Object, whether it be a Man, or Horse, or Bird, the outward Object does not treat in my Eye, nor yet in my Mind the Idea of those things; for the outward Object does nothing, but imprint in our fensible Organs a Corporal Motion. Now

guifh'd.

there is nothing in a Corporal Motion, that can rhe Moti- form in us the Idea's of those things; for all Ions of the Body and diffin that is Corporal, can produce that which is Spiritual, because The less Excellent cannot produce the more Excellent, else the Effect would exceed its Cause; which is against all sound Reason, that it should bring forth what were of a higher and more excellent Kind. Therefore all Idea's, whether of Natural or Spiritual things, are Divinely Implanted in our Minds: Which nevertheless do not always appear, but fometimes appear, and fometimes are as it were hid in us; and fometimes are stirred up in us by Causes outward or inward, and again do as it were fleep and shun our Observation, and seem not to be otherways distinguished by our Minds, but as Thoughts and Perceptions of the Mind from the Mind it self; that is, as the Mode from the Subject, or as a bo-dily Motion from the Body, whereof it is the Motion: For as is the Relation of a Bodily Motion

1676.

to a Body, so is the Relation of a Thought or Perception of the Mind to the Mind. In this nevertheless U they differ, that the Mind can move it felf, and operate in itself; which a Body cannot do: but as a Body can be moved by another, so also can the Mind after its Manner be moved by another, and that both by outward and inward Caufes; but chiefly by God himfelf, in whose Hand all Souls and Creatures are. But of these things there is enough faid at present; and I hope, I have not thus far impertinently Philosophized.

To return again to the Matter in Question: It is already proved, That there is in a Man a Su-pernatural Idea of GOD; from whence it easily may be concluded, There are other Supernatural Idea's in Man also, to wit, Concerning Divine and Supernatural Things: Yea, as the Saints Experience doth prove it, neither doth found Reason any

wavs contradict it.

As there are then Natural Idea's concerning the tural Idea's things of the Natural World, as for instance; I-from the dea's of Light and Colours, Idea's of Voice and Natural. Sounds, Idea's of Savouring and Smelling, Idea's of Tasting and Feeling, as of Heat and Cold, of Grief and Joy; it follows also, that there are Idea's of Supernatural Things, concerning the Divine and Supernatural Things of the Divine and Supernatural World; as Idea's of those Things above mentioned in the Spiritual World. And as the Natural Idea's are stirred up in us by Outward and Natural Bodies: so those Divine and Supernatural Idea's are stirred up in us by a certain Principle, which is a Body in Naturals in Relation to the Spiritual World; and therefore and Blood may be called a Divine Body: Not as if it were of Christ, by a Part of GOD, who is a most pure Spirit; but saints are the Organ or Instrument of GOD, by which he nourished. worketh in us, and stirreth up in us these Idea's of Divine Things. This is that Flesh and Blood of

+00

1676. Christ, by which the Saints are nourished; which is a Mystery to all Unregenerated and meer Natural Men, never to be reached by them, while

they remain in that State.

Now if there be fuch Supernatural Idea's, there are also Senses, or perceptive Faculties by which those Idea's are perceived; for those are two Relatives that suppose and infer one another: But in Wicked Men those Senses or Faculties do as it were fleep (as the Visive Faculty of a Blind Man;) But in the Godly they are stirred up. Now by these Divine and Spiritual Senses, which are distinct and distinguishable from all the Natural Fa-

ty of God makes the Glory of this World despicable.

The Bean culties of the Soul, whether of Imagination, or Natural Reason, Spiritual-minded Men do behold the Glory and Beauty of GOD, in respect whereof and for which all the Glory of this World is despicable to them; yea, even as Dross and Dung. And they also Hear GOD Inwardly speaking in their Souls Words truly Divine and Heavenly, full of Virtue and Divine Life; and they favour and tafte of divine Things, and do as it were handle them with the Hands of their Souls. And those Heavenly Enjoyments do as really differ in their Nature from all false Similitudes and fictitious Appearances of them, which either the Mind of Man by its own Strength can imitate, or any evil Spirit to deceive Man can counterfeit; as a true Man differs from the dead Image of a Man; or true Bread, Honey, Wine or Milk doth from the meer Picture of those things. And albeit either the Imagination of Man, or Subtilty of the Devil may counterfeit false Likenesses of these Enjoyments, by which Men may be deceived; and no doubt many are deceived; that doth not hinder, but that those Divine Enjoyment's are clearly perceived in fuch, in whom the Divine and Spiritual Senses are truly opened, and the true Supernatural Idea's of those things truly raised

up. And if there be at any Time a Mistake, the 1676. Divine Illumination is not the Cause of that Mistake, but some Evil Disposition of the Mind; as The Caule happeneth in those things relating to Natural of Missale Reason: For there are many false Appearances of Likenesses of Reason, which differ as much from true Reason. Reason. as those false and pretended Revelations, and Diabolical Inspirations from such as are truly Divine. Now, how many Men, who would be efleemed Philosophers, are miserably deceived by those false Likenesses of Reason, judging their false Reasons to be the true Similitudes of things, and folid Ratiocinations; which nevertheless moveth no Man of found Reason to reject found and folid Reason, as doubtful and uncertain? For even found, natural Reason is an Excellent Gift of GOD, and very useful to Mankind, when Reason com-used in its proper Place: But let none think, to things Sucomprehend by their Natural Reason things that pernatural. are of a Divine and Supernatural Kind. And as we use to do, when any one is deceived by false Appearances of Reason, we endeavour to reduce them to Contemplate the first natural Idea's of natural Things, and to meditate therein, which is as a Test or Touch stone, by which all the Appearances and Likenesses of Reason are to be Examined; if they contradict them, to be rejected. So also when any one is deceived by his own Imagination, or the Cunning of Satan, thinking, any Evil Inspiration of the Devil to be a True Divine Revelation; He that is so deceived, is to be reduced to the Natural Idea's of things (if so be that pretended Revelation doth contradict them, for no true Divine Revelation can contradict the true natural Idea) or to the Supernatural Idea's of Divine Things, which are most simple, clear and obvious to the Minds of Men, if they will turn their Minds to the Divine Seed in them; or at least those Idea's are readily and easily stirred up.

00 2

The Supernatural Idea's of Divine things are

most Clear

1676. For as in Natural Idea's, so in Supernatural some Vare more easily raised than others: For there is a certain Order both of Natural and Supernatural Idea's, whereby they are gradually excited: Nor is there any Mortal Man, in whose Mind at some time or other there is not stirred up some Idea, and Obvious that is truly Supernatural and Divine; and who to the Mind. hath not felt in himself both the Wrath and Judgment of God for his Sins? And also some tender and gentle Tafte of God's Love and Goodness, by which wicked Men are invited to Repentance? Now that which is thought to be a Divine Revelation, and is felt to contradict any Divine and Suternatural Idea, which is clearly perceived in the Soul, it is a manifest Token, that it is not a Divine Revelation, but either a false Imagination, or the wicked Suggestion of some Evil Spirit. But to proceed: If we will hear the Scripture

God hath dec'ared his Will even in Contingent Truths in the Scripture

(as all Christians ought) it testifies to us, That GOD hath declared his Mind and will even concerning Contingent Truths to come in the Prophets; as that of the first to the Hebrews doth evidently declare: GOD, who at fundry Times, and in divers Manners spoke to our Fathers in the Prophets. Yea, let us hear the Prophets themselves, Hosea Chap. 1. saith plainly, That the Word of the LORD was made in him (as it is in the Heb.) Habakkuk also says, As he was standing on his Watch, to see what Jehovah would speak in him. And it is so manifest, that the most Heavenly Revelations are by Inward Illustrations and Inspirations in the very Minds of the Prophets; that it is strange, how any, that believes the Scrip-Revelations ture, should doubt of it. And if it happened at

any time, fuch Revelations were made in the nawere in the Minds of the Prophets by tural Imaginations of the Prophets, or any of Inward Intheir inward natural Senses; then it may be con-Spirations. fessed, they could not be infallibly certain, they

came

came from GOD; unless they also felt God in 1676. the Divine and Supernatural Senses, by which they did most nearly Approach to him, from these Superior and most inward Senses working upon the lower and less noble Faculties of the Mind. But which ever way the Prophets were certain, that they were Inspired of GOD, even when they foretold Contingent Truths to come; it is without Doubt, they were most certainly perswaded, that they were Divinely Inspired, and that frequently without any outward Miracle. For John the Baptist did no Miracle; and many Prophesied, where there appeared no Miracle: As in the Scripture may be often observed. And we also by the Inspiration of the Same Divine Spirit, by which the Prophets prophefied, do believe their Words and Writings to be Divine concerning Contingent Truths, as well past, which were as to come; else that Faith, by which we be-without any lieve the Scripture, would not be Divine, but Outward Miraculous meerly Humane. And thence we need no out-Demonstraward Miracles to move us to believe the Scrip-tions. tures; and therefore much less were they necesfary to the Prophets who writ them. For we fee in many Places of the Prophets, where they declare Prophecies as revealed to them of GOD. there is not a Word mentioned of any outward Miracle, as that by which alone they were certain of it. Moreover the Falseness of this Argument doth appear, in that the Scripture doth declare many Contingent Truths to have been Revelations revealed to the Prophets in Dreams: Now as by Dreams. natural and wicked Men do not fee, what they dream, by a real Perception of the Outward Senses, but by Inward Idea's, which are presented to the Mind, and perceived by it; so it is also in Divine Revelations of this Nature. Of which we have a clear Example in Joseph, the 003 Hufband

1676. Husband of the Bleffed Virgin, who when he ob-V ferved his Wife with Child, was told in a Dream, That She had Conceived by the Holy Ghost: Now I would know, to which of Joseph's Out-ward Senses was this Revealed? Or what Miracle had he to induce him to believe? Which could neither be proved, (so as to make an infallible Application to Mary) by the Testimony of the Scripture; and which being against the Order of Nature, did choak his Reason. Scripture mentions no Miracle in this Matter: and yet no doubt fofeph had highly finned, had he not believed this Revelation, and notwithftanding rejected his Wife as an Adulteress. But if thou fay'st, That according to thy Hypothesis there must have been a Miracle; That is only to beg the Question: And how false this Hypothesis The Out is, the Apostle shews clearly Corinth. 2. 14. The Natural or Animal Man knoweth not, receiveth not the Things of GOD. Now Divine Revelations are of this Nature; and if either chiefly or only those things were to be judged by the Outward Senses, it would contradict the Apostle. Natural Men, yea, the most Wicked have the Use of the Outward Senses as true and exact, as the most Godly. And whereas the Apostle adds, For they are Spiritually discerned; it puts the Matter out of all Question: For thence it abundantly appears, that this Difcerning is not by the Outward Senses, according to the following Verse; for the Apostle saith, The Spiritual Man judgeth all things; This then must be done by some Senses or Properties peculiar to the Spiritual Man. and in which he excels the Natural Man, which is not in the outward Senses (as all do know.) Therefore the Perception of Spiritual Things cannot be by the outward Senses, either as the chief

or only Means, as is fallly contended for.

Now

ward Senfes cannot discern the Things of God; for they are Spiritual.

Now as to these Words of the Apostle, Rom. 10. 1676. That Faith comes by Hearing; Zuinglius observed well, That the Apostle intended not to affirm Faith to come by the Hearing of the outward Word: Neither do the following Words prove it, Faith comes
How shall they believe, unless they hear? And how ward Hearshall they hear without a Preacher? And how shall ing? they Preach, unless they be sent? For the Apostle uses these Words not as his Arguments, but as Objections which might be formed; as the same Apostle uses in other Places: To which Objections he answers in the same Chapter, as appears ver. 18. But I say, have they not all heard? Tes, truly; their Voice went into all the Earth: That is, of the Father and Son, or the Father in the Word: which Word is not only near us, but (according to the fame Apostle in the same Chapter) in our Mouths, and in our Hearts. But further thou canst conclude nothing from this, but that Faith is begotten by outward Hearing only, and no otherwife: For this is the Strength of thy Argument, That since Faith cannot be without Outward Hearing; Therefore nothing can certainly be believed, but where somewhat is proposed to the Outward Hearing. For if thou acknowledge, Faith can be begotten any otherwise, than by Hearing, thou loofest the Strength of thy Argument: And if that Argument hold, That Faith comes only by Outward Hearing, thou destroyest the whole Hypothesis. For having before affirmed, That outward Miracles are sufficient to render one certain of the Truth of any Revelation; those Miracles, whether it be the Healing of the Sick, or the Raising of the Dead, would avail nothing, because those (as for the most Part all Miracles) are obvious to the Sight, not to the Hearing: And if it be not by Outward Hearing only, thou canst conclude nothing from this Place. Oo 4 But

1676. But I the more wonder thy Using of this Argument, confidering the Discourse we had together, before we entred upon this Debate. For when we were speaking of the Opinions of a

tainty of

deceived.

A certain certain Person, who denied the Certainty of e-Person pla-cingthe Cer. ward Senses; thou Condemneds as most Absurd; every thing But why? I cannot conceive, fince there is no in the out-ward senses. great Difference betwixt those two Opinions:

The one faith, There can be no Certainty concerning any Truth, whether they be Necessary or Contingent, but by the Perception of the Senses; The other affirms the same of Contingent Truths, though not of Necessary Truths. But among the Number of Contingent Truths thou esteemest what belongs to Christian Religion; for thou reckons the Necessary Truths only to belong to Natural Religion. This then is all the difference, that that other Person fays; There is no Certainty of any Religion, neither Natural nor Christian, but by the Perception of the outward Senses: But thou say'st, Though thou esteemest the Certainty of Natural Religion to be without them, yet not of the Christian Religion. But again, since thou esteemest, that not Natural Religion, but the Christian Religion is necessary to Salvation; thou must necessarily conclude, That those Truths which are necessary to Salvation, are only known and believed by the Benefit of the outward Senses: In which Conclusion (which is the Sum of all) thou yieldst the Matter to that other Person.

But Lastly; If all the Certainty of our Faith, Hope and Salvation did depend upon the Infalli. Outward bility of Outward Senses, we should be most misenses can be serable: Since these Senses can be easily deceived, and by many outward Casualties, and natural Infirmities, whereunto the Godly are no less subject. fubject than the Wicked, are often vitiated; and there are (as the Scripture affirms) false Miracles, which, as to the outward, cannot be distinguished from the true: of which we cannot infallibly Judge by the outward Senses, which only discern what is outward.

There is a Necessity then to have Recourse to

some other Means.

From all which it does appear, how fallacious and weak this Argument is: But Thanks be unto GOD, who would not that our Faith should be built upon so uncertain and doubtful a Foundation. And whoever hath known True Faith, or hath felt the Divine Testimony of GOD's Spirit in his Soul, will judge otherways; neither will be moved by such Reasonings. I pray GOD therefore to remove these Clouds, which darken thy Understanding; that thou may'st perceive the Glorious Gospel of CHRIST: This is that Saving Word of Grace, which I commend thee unto; and that GOD may give thee a Heart inclinable to believe and obey the Truth, is the Desire of

Thy faithful Friend,

R. BARCLAY.

The 24th of the Month, call'd November, 1676.

This Letter a Tear ago, at the Desire of my Friend R.B. I delivered into the Hands of the aforenamed Ambassador, desiring his Answer in Writing, which he then promised; but not having as yet done, it was seen meet to be published.

B. F.

Roterdam the 28th of March, 1678.

1686.

R. B's Testimony Concerning his FATHER.

Avid Barclay, of Urie in the Kingdom of R. B's Ac-Scotland, Received the Truth in the Year count of the Death 1666. being the Fifty Sixth Year of his of his Fa-Age about the Seventh Month, and Abode in it, and in Constant Unity with the Faithful Friends thereof; having Suffered the Spoiling of his Goods cheerfully, and many other Indignities, he was formerly unaccustomed to bear, and several Tedious Imprisonments after the Sixty Sixth Year of

his Age.

In the latter End of the Seventh Month, 1686. being past the Seventy Sixth Year of his Age, he took a Fever, which continued with him for Two Weeks; during which time he signified a Quiet, Contented Mind, freely Refigned up to the Will of God : And gave feveral Living Testimonies to the Truth, and to the Love of God manifested to him in the Revelation thereof. And though there be hardly to be found one of a Thousand, like to him, for Natural Vigour of his Age, and that his Fever at times was very ftrong; yet he never was Unfenfible, nor did any wrong Expression or Actions proceed from him, nor the least Symptom of Dif-content or Freifulness. He had been troubled with the Gravel; and after his Sickness had very much Pain in making Water: So about Two Days before his Death, as those about him were helping him up for that End, feeling his Weakness with the Pain, in an Agony he faid, I am gone now; And then inftantly checking himself, added, But I shall go to the Lord, and be gathered to many of my Brethren, who are gone before me; and to

my Dear Son: This was his Youngest Son, who 1686.

died at Sea about a Tear before.

Upon the Eleventh Day of the Eighth Month, between Two and Three in the Morning, he growing Weaker, I drew nigh to him: He faid, Is this my Son? I said, Tea; and spake a few Words, fignifying my Travel, That he that loved him, might be near him to the End: He answered, The Lord is Nigh; Repeating it once again, faying: Tou are my Witnesses in the Presence of God, that the Lord is Nigh: And after a little he faid; The perfect Discovery of the Day-Spring from on high, how great a Blessing it hath been to me, and my Family! My Wife defiring to know, if he would have fomething to Wet his Mouth? he faid, It needed not : She faid, it would Refresh him ; He laid his Hand upon his Breaft, saying, He had that Inwardly that Refreshed him. And after a little while he added divers times these Words; The TRUTH is over ALL.

He took my Eldest Son to him, and Blessed him, saying; He prayed God, he might never depart from the Truth: And when my Eldest Daughter came near, he said, Is this Patience? Let Patience have its persett Work in thee! And after Kissing the other Four, he laid Hands upon them, and blessed them. He called for my Father-in-Law, and two of his Daughters, that were present, and spoke some weighty Words to them very kindly: And perceiving one of them, (who was not a Friend of Truth) Weeping much, he Wished, She might come to the Truth; bidding her, Not weep for him, but for her self.

A Sober Man, an Apothecary, that waited upon him, coming near, he took him by the Hand, saying; Thou wilt bear me Witness, that in all this Exercise I have not been Curious to Tamper nor to Pamper the Flesh: he answered; Sir, I can bear Witness, that you have always minded the better

and

1686. and more substantial Part, and rejoyce to see the Blessed End, the Lord is bringing you to: He Replyed; Bear a Faithful and true Witness: Tet it is the Life of Righteousness (repeating these Words twice over) that we hear Testimony to, and not to an Empty Profession. Then he called several Times, Come, Lord Jesus, Come, Come! And again; My Hope is in the Lord: And so slept now and then, about Ten Hours. Observing a Country-Man coming into the Room, he thought, it had been one of his Tenents, who was a Carpenter; I telling him, it was not he, but another; he said, see thou, Charge him to make no Manner of Superfluity upon my Cossin.

About Three in the Afternoon there came feveral Friends from Aberdeen to see him; I telling him, he took them by the Hand, and said divers Times, They were come in a seasonable Time: and after some Words were Spoken, and that Patrick Livingstone had Prayed, which ended in Praises; he held up his Hands, and said, Amen, Amen for

ever!

And after they stood up, looking at him, he said; How pretious is the Love of God among his Children, and their Love one to another! Thereby shall all Men know, that ye are Christ's Disciples, if you love one another. How pretious a thing it is, to see Brethren to Dwell together in Love! My

Love is with you; I leave it among you.

About Eight at Night several Friends standing about the Bed, he perceiving some of them to Weep, he said; Dear Friends, all mind the Inward Man, heed not the Outward: There is one, that doth Regard, the Lord of Hosts is his Name. After he heard the Clock strike Three in the Morning, he said, Now the Time comes: And a little after he was heard to say; Praises, Praises, Praises to the Lord! Let now thy Servant depart in Peace:

Peace: Unto thy Hands, O Father, I Commit my 1686. Soul, Spirit and Body, Thy Will, O Lord, be done in Earth, as it is in Heaven! These Sentences he spake by little Intervals one after another. And so a little after Five in the Morning, the twelfth Day of the Eighth Month, 1686. he fell asleep like a Lamb, in Remarkable Quietness and Calmness; there being standing about to Behold his End above Twenty Persons, who were Witnesses, to what is above said; though not all to every Part, yet some to every Part, and some to all of it.

This Brief Account is only intended for the Re-

This Brief Account is only intended for the Refreshing and Satisfaction of some particular Friends; else several other things might be ad-

ded, which are not Inconfiderable.

He was Buried in a Place allotted by himself for that End; and Discharged, any should be Called to his Burial, but the professed Friends of Truth, and his own Tenents: Yet the Time being known, a great Number of the Gentry came undesired, and Conveyed his Body to the Grave.

Vrie, the 20th of the 8th Month, 1686.

The End of the Third and Last Volume.

The Contents of this Third Volume, directing to the Pages of the feveral Tracts herein contained, viz.

I. A Dispute between some Students of Divinity (so called) of Aberdeen, and the People called Quakers, held in Aberdeen: Opponents or Students, John Lesly, Al. Sheriff, P. Gellie; Defendents, R. Barclay and G. Keith, &c. Page 1. With the Author's Offer to Jo. Menzies, Professor of Divinity (so called) G. Mildrum, Minister at Aberdeen, and W. Mitchell, Catechist at foot of Dee, &c. And G. Keith his Postscript, (1675.) p. 34, 40.

II. Quakerism Confirmed: A Vindication of the Chief Dostrines and Principles of the Quakers, from the Objections of the Students aforesaid, in their Book called, Quakerism Canvased. (1676.)

p. 47.

III. Universal Love considered, and Established upits right Foundation, &c. (1676.) p. 83.

IV. An Epistle of Love and Friendly Advice to the Ambassadors of the several Princes of Europe, met at Nimmeguen, to consult the Peace of Christendom, &c. (1677.) p. 336.

V. R. B's Apology for the true Christian Divinity Vindicated from John Brown's pretended Confutation, &c. with L. S's Letter to R. M.C. (1679.)

p. 253, 543.

VI. The Possibility and Necessity of the Inward and Immediate Revelation of the Spirit of God, towards the Foundation and Ground of true Faith, proved; in a Letter writ in Latin to a Person of Quality in Holland: and now also put into English.

(1676.) p. 561.

Whereunto is added.

The Author's Testimony concerning his Father, (1686.) p. 586.

A

ATABLE of the chief Things contained in this Third Volume.

DAM, (see Man, Sin, Redemption) whether there be any Relicts of the Heavenly Image left in him, page 340, 341, 342.

Anabaptists of Munster, how their fobn's Baptism no Part of the Gosmischievous Actings nothing touch the Quakers. 142-146.

Antichrist) the Body of Antichrist is but one, having many Members, 40. who those Members be, 42.

Appearances, see Faith.

Armenian, Greek and Ethiopian Churches indulged by the Pope in fome Ceremonies different from those commonly injoined and received, is rather the Effect of Policy, than fatherly Compassion, 202, 203.

Arminians, see Remonstrants.

Arminians, Lutherans and Calvinists hold that there can be no Salvation without the explicit Knowthe Scriptures; which Doctrine destroys the Nature of Universal Love. 208, 209.

Atheism, see Superstition. Augustin's Testimony in the Case of Circumcifion, Observing of

Meats, Drinks, Washings and Sacrifices, 30.

Authority of Princes justly owned. 243.

Baptism is one; its Definition, 495, 496. The Baptism with Water,

which was foln's Baptism, was a Figure of this Baptism, and is not to be continued, 141-143. How the Apostles baptized with Water, is explained. 138-140. Infant-Baptism is a meer Humane

Tradition, 134.

pel Dipsensation, but served only to prepare the way to Christ. 142. Augustin's Testimony of its being ceased. 30. Cyprian's Testimony of its being void, 136. None are to be found, that have the Power of administring it. 135. It being but a carnal Ordinance, 139. and no Part of the Gospel-Dispensation, 142. Carrying a Repeal in its Bosom, 144. (Matt. 28. 19. explained, 142. fob. 3. 3c. explained, 146) of Baptisin, 196--503.

Bæreans learching Scriptures, 322. Blood) to abstain from Blood and

things strangled, 302.

Body) to bow the Body, see Head. ledge of Christ, and Benefit of Books Canonical and Apocryphal, see Canon, Scripture.

Bow) to bow the Knee, fee Uncover

the Head.

Calvinists, they feign a Revealed and Secret Will of God, which are contradictory, 213.

Ceremonies. see Superstition.

Christ is compared to a Grain of Mustard-Seed (Clem. Alex.) 18. Christ at this Day speaketh in his Servants, and will to the End of the World, 129. The Seed

and.

and Spiritual Body of Christ, both in him and us, belonging to Chrift, is as really united unto the Word, as his outward Body was, 100. The Seed is not our Souls: The Seed and spiritual Nature of Christ is one and the fame, both in him and in us, ibid. Christ's outward Satisfaction is owned against the Socinians. The Sufferings of Christ in Men are voluntary, and yet without Sin: Christ's outward Sufferings at Ferusalem, were necessary unto Men's Salvation, ibid. The Do-Strine of the Incarnation, Sufferings, Death, Resurrection, &c. are necessary every where to be Christ's Inpreached, 102. dwelling and Inbeing differ, 390. Christ's praying to save him from this Hour, explained, 366. what the Flesh and Blood of Christ is, Circumciston a Seal of the Old Co-505, 577.

Christian) Every Christian ought to he concerned in the Work of the Lord, 237. A Judgment of feveral Sorts of Christians must be made from their respective Principles, and not from the Practice of particular Persons, 196. The Gathering of the primitive Chriftians was an uniting of Hearts, and not of Hands only, 220,240. what the Cause is of all the Mischief in Christendom, 244, 246. The Effence or Being of Christianity placed in the true and real Conversion of the Heart, by Virtue of the Operation of the Light, Seed and Grace of God, 225, 227. That there is nothing but the Name, and nothing of the Nature of true Christianity among Christians, is manifest in the Clergy, 240.

Church) The Church of Rome's pre- Complements, see Titles. tended Charity, 203-205. Church of Rome no Church, 135.

The Basis and Foundation of that Church stands in confessing the Superiority and Precedency of Peter and his Successors, and in believing that Infallibility is annexed thereunto, 202. The Notion and Definition of a Church, which arises from the Universal Principle of Light and Grace, doth establish Universal Love, The Cause of the true Churches gathering to a Body. was a Sense of their Want, 220. Men may be faid to be within the Church, who want outward Preaching, 403, 409. In what Cases the Church of Christ may pronounce a politive Sentence and Judgment, without the Hazzard of Imposition upon either of the Parties controverting,

venant, 23, 30.

Clergy) The Clergy the greatest Promoters of Wars, 240. They are so impudent, as to thank God for the Destruction of their Fellow-Creatures, 242. the Charge of a Prince or State. they will pray for those to whom before they wished Ruin and Destruction, ibid. They cloud the Truth, that the common People might maintain and admire them, 277. They afted the mad Pranks of Fobn of Leyden, in the Civil Wars of England, 172. See Protestants.

Communion) The Communion of the Body and Blood of Christ is a Spiritual and Inward Thing, and that it is that heavenly Seed, whereby Life and Salvation was of Old, and is now communicated, 41, 42.

Conscience and Reason are distinguished from the saving Light

of Christ in all Men, 56. Clem . Alex. his Testimony, 18. God alone can inform and enlighten the Conscience, 233.

Controversy) Solid Controversies may be entertained for clearing and maintaining the Truth, 275.

Courts, see Princes.

Day) the first Dawning and Breaking forth of the heavenly Day of the Lord in this our Age described, 220, 221.

Deaf Persons, see Light.

Devil) the Rage of the Devil against the Lord's chosen, 249. Dreams, see Faith, Miracles.

Dostrine) that Dostrine which is both contrary to Scripture and Experience, is not for the Spirit, but against it, 53. The Fruits prove the Doctine, 93. brings his own Author in for Devilish Doctrines, 307.

Duties Natural and Spiritual differ 115.

'Els To ovona, Into the Name, f. B's false Gloss upon it, 502. see Baptism.

Ejaculations proved from Scripture,

Election and Reprobation of Infants, 337, 339. J. B. makes the Word [All express of two Numbers the least to be elected, 368. Eminency) Your Eminency, fee

Enthusiasm, its proper Signification

Epistle, see Fames, John, Peter. Evidence) The best and most principal is the Immediate Evidence of the Spirit, and the greatest outward Evidence that can be given, is the Scripture, 40-45.

Spirit's Evidence is, That it teacheth to deny Ungodliness and worldly Lusts, Sc. 12, 13. An Evidence that no Hypocrite can have, 149. See Ministry, Spirit, Revelation.

Excommunication, the Evil thereof,

208.

Eye) the Spiritual Eye fees and difcerns the true Confessor from the false, 151, 462.

Faith) Accidental Objects of Faith, 54. Wherein the Nature and Effence of Faith confifts, 56-58. 7. B's halting Examples to prove true Faith, 324. Sadeel's Teffimony concerning Succession of Faith, 136. The Material and Formal Object of Faith diftinguished, 294, 298. Whether Faith comes by the outward Hearing, 583. See Grace.

Fall of Man, iee Min.

Father, see Knowledge, Revelation. Feet) the Washing of Feet, &c. 142. A Spiritual Washing of Feet pointed at by Christ, 143. Washing of Feet observed by Christians in the primitive times, ibid. Which though commanded with fo great Solemnity, yet ceased, 509.

Forbearance of God, see God. Freedom from Sin, See Perfection.

Games, see Plays.

Gentiles) by what Nature the Gentiles did the things contained in the Law, 331. See Heathens.

Gifes) the confining of the Gifts and Graces of God to certain external Forms and Ceremonies, is directly opposite to Universal Love, 231.

The GOD) God's Voice is known by * Pp

a Spi-

a Spiritual and Supernatural Sense, 571, 572. There is in all Men a Supernatural Idea of God, as of a most perfect Being, His Glory and Beauty makes all the Glory of this World as Dross and Dung, 578. whose Riches and Bounty lead Men to Repentance, 380. who speaks inwardly to the Mind of Man, 568.

Godliness from a tender Age, the Happiness of few, and why, 185, Good) the Good in all ought to be commended, 192. and the Evil not to be encouraged, 193-

Gospel) Evil under the Gospel not to be refisted, 167. Its most excellent Dispensation is to be like The Gospel is Christ, 165. preached to every Creature, or in every Creature, 395. which Gospel is hid in them that are lott, 107. A twofold Dispensation of it, 165. The Preaching of it perverted by our Adversaries, 364. The History of the Gospel is necessary, 385. yet the Declaration is not the Power, or Manifestation of God in Man, 395. which Affertion is neither Heathenism nor fesuitism, &c. ibid. and Salvation is not impossible without the Hearing of the Gof-Crace) All have Grace sufficient for

Salvation, and, if not refifted, works Salvation, 15, 16. Calvinists make Grace an irrefiftable Power fallly, 401, 402, 445, 446, 458. A graceless Man is rendred unfit to receive the New Wine of the Gospel in his un.

clean Vessel, 148.

Greeks) The Wildom of the Greeks appeared in their worldly Affairs 333.

Hands) Laying on of Hands, 155,

456. Paul wrought with his own Hands, 161.

Head) Of Uncovering the Head in Salutations, 530. Salutations commanded by Christ don't confift in taking off the Hat, and bowing of the Body, ibid. See Honour, Salutations.

Hearing) Inward and Outward Hearing distinguished, 56, 401, 402, 404. Whether the Outward Hearing is necessary to make a Man a Member of the visible Church, 406, 408. Faith comes not by outward Hearing, 583. See Infants.

Heart) Obdurateness and Hardness of Heart, when begotten, 377.

Heathers) They declared that Inward Concupiscence is Sin, 58. Concerning the Heathens Book of Nature, 104, 105. Salvatien is possible to them in the most barbarous Places, 225, 401, 403. They having a Day of Vifitation through the Gospel, 376. Those that deny the inward Principle of Grace and Light given to all Men, are forced to urge same against an Heathen, 225. Because he doth not acknowledge any Scripture or Tradition, 226, 227. See Gentiles, Pagans, Heathen-Persecution, see Persecution. pel, or outward Preaching, 405. Herefies) Who cannot certainly judge of Herefies, ought not to

> punish for Herely, 163. The Heretick) An Heretick hath no just Ground from the Quakers Principle to abstain from Prayer 131. Hereticks Pretences to the Spi-

> > rit, 64, 69, 72, 73.

Hicks) the Grosness of T. Hicks his Dealing with the People called Quakers discovered, and abhor'd "in Print by others, 538.

High-Prieft, lee Prieft. History of Christ, see Quakers, Redemption, Knowledge.

Holines.

Holinels) Your Holinels, see Titles. Honour (see Titles) concerning Civil Honour, 528--532.

Hypocrites) the Hypocrites Works have no Savour of Life, 149.

Facob and the Fews their Practice (of Bowing) as also of Abraham's was by Permission, and not to be our Rule, 532. Abraham's and Facob's Practice will not warrant our Imitation of it, 527. Worm Facob is a threshing Instrument, 545.

Faponians) the Fapans knew by the Teaching of Nature, that it is unlawful to kill, steal, forswear, Ge. as is evident by the Pricks of their Consciences: Fra. Xa-

viers, 227.

Idea) there are Supernatural Idea's of things Supernatural in the Souls of Men, 575, 577. can have no true Idea of things supernatural, but what is Supernatural, ibid. There is a Natural Idea of God in Men, common to the Wicked with the Godly, 575. The Idea's of all things are divinely planted in the Souls of Men, ibid. All Idea's are of a Spiritual Nature, ibid. The Supernatural Idea's in Men infer Supernatural Senses, or perceptive Faculties, 580. Faculties in wicked Men do, as it were, fleep, ibid.

Festing, see Plays.

Fesuits, see Sect-Ignatian.

Fews) Particular Commands given to the fews, whether now obli-

gatory on us, 164.
Illiterate, see Mechanicks. Image of God, see Adam.

Independency) An Independent Preacher embracing Truth, and upon what Occasion, 538.

Indians) The Defect of the Scrip-

tures and outward Knowledge of Christ in the Indians is Providential, 210. See Americans, Pagans.

Infants) see Sin, Infants and Deaf Persons are excepted from the Necessity of outwardly Hearing the Gospel, 405, 406. Infant's are under no Law, 341. Whether Childrens Death argues Guilt? 344. Infants faved without Christ. F. B's horrible Lie, 346. Infants faved by Christ, ibid. Children, &c. Infants perishing in the Flood, 347, 348. Circumcision argues not Infants guilty, 349. Regeneration of Infants, ibid.

Influences) There are general and special Influences, 23. none can pray truly in Words, but by a particular Influence, 24. faithfulness wants Influences to

Duties, 123.

Inspiration) Some Christians and Gentiles have acknowledged the Evidence and certainty of Divine Inspiration in all Men, as the furest Ground of Knowledge, 60, 61. Permissive Inspirations difowned, and owned again by the Quakers Adversaries, 180. Inspirations are necessary to Inward Duties, 22, 23. Illumination is his Inspiration, 43. which is a greater Evidence than the Scripture, 44, 45. Even Heathens have a Knowledge of the Self-Evidence of Divine Inspiration, 60. Inspirations Mandatory and Permiffory, 117. Infpirations of things either to be done, or fimply to be believed, 121. Inspirations general and particular, ibid. the same which was given to the Holy Men of Old, 152.

Instruction, see Teaching. Interpreters, 368,109, 386,401, 406.

193. Charitable and Uncharitable Judgment, 198. He that is spiritual judgeth all things,

388. See Church.

Justification) It is the making a Man just by an Inward Righteoufness, 416, 417. The Doers of the Law justified, 407. Antinomians imputative Justification refuted, 418. f. B's gross Opinion of it, 422. No Man is justified before he is sanctified, 425. The real Justification falls under the inward Sensation of the Soul, 426.

Keith) G. K. Vindicated from our Adversaries malitious Intinuations against him, 89.

Kingdom of God) Christ's Kingdom needs no outward Protection, 479. The Kingdom of God

is within you, 41.

Knowledge) Of the true Ground of Knowledge, 272-280. the Difference between Head Knowledge, and the Partaking of the Divine Nature, 332. Monopolizers of Knowledge, 556. (see Clergy) the Christian Religion confifts not in the Historical Knowledge of Christ, 566. Indians, &c.

L

Laces and Ribbands, 528. Lamb, fee Pajckal Lamb.

Law) The divine Law was implanted in Man's Nature, before all Laws made by Man, 228. 7. B's Proof for what is meant by Law the Law of Nature against his former Reason, 384. Law of Moses, see Legal Rites ..

Learned) the Lord is angering the Wise and Learned, 549.

Fudgment) Unsetled Men judging, Learning) Human Learning is not the Qualification of a Minister, 231, 274. See Literature, Schools of Learning.

Le iven) f. B's Objection against the Word Fermentum (Leaven) or Fermentation (a Leavening)

answered, 495.

Legal Rites had a Command, as well as Fobn's Baptism, 499.

Levi, a Figure of Christ, 446.

Libertines see Ranters.

Lies) Christians not to speak a Lie, 531. F. B's Refuge of Lies, 536. Light within) the Light of Jesus Christ in Men, will discover the Intents of the Heart, and flatter none, 246. Light of Nature objected, 211. The Light, Seed and Grace of God, no Part of Man's Nature, 213. the inward Principle of Light and Life described, 223, 224. Deaf People, &c. the Light may influence, which Writings, &c. cannot, 325, 405. Objections against the Universality of the Light, 395, 396. 'tis sufficient and saving, ib.

tis not Nature's Light, 448,449. Life) the Principle of Divine. Life is never idle, 128. the Life of

Words witnessed, ibid. Lot) Abraham's and Lot's Bowing, 529.

Love) Of a Love Feast, 189, 213,

Lukewarmness, see Church, Religion. Lutherans) their Principle of Perfecution, 205. they hold no Salvation without the Knowledge of Christ and the Scriptures; 208.

and Testimouy, 319. his afferting (Macquare) R. M's most abusive Railing against the Quakers, and their Doctrine, 540-542. And his shameless Flatteries to f. B. ibid. Magistracy is an Ordinance of God, 243. Its Lawfulness and Power,

512, 513. He bears not the Sword in vain, 166. Not to punish for Religious Matters, but Evil in Civil Matters, ibid. The Priests make the Magistrate their Executioner, 170. Christ's Kingdom needs not outward Power to protect it, 479. Forcing a Conformity is not compelling the Conscience, as f. B. afferts, 513, 514. fee Herefies.

Majesty) Excellent Majesty, 532. Maintenance) A Ministers Necessities to be answered, 158. what kind of Maintenance is denied, 157, 159. what Maintenance allowed to Ministers in Scripture, 468.

Martyrs) F. B's condemning Primitive Martyrs fallly, as led by a

Spirit of Error, 284.

Meats and Drinks) the Observing of Meats, Drinks and Washings, to be confidered in a threefold Respect, 30.

Mediator, see Christ.

J. M. his Answer to a Jesuit, 70. Minister of the Gospel) the Properties of a true Call, 452, 454. the Ministration of the Gospel, is a Ministration of Life and Grace, 148. true Ministers Call is not of Man, 153. Ministers of the Gospel, and of the Law and Shadows differ, 146. the Lame and Blind no Legal Ministers, ibid. Students graceless Ministry, Judas its Patron, ibid. Holiness required in a Preacher, ibid. the work of the Ministry, is not limited to outward Ordination and Literature 231. see Priests. Whether Natural Sciences are necessary to the Ministry, 457. the Forerunner of the Downfal of a Manmade Ministry, 548.

Miracles, the unbelieving fews believed them not, 93. the Preaching of found Doctrine with an Holy Life, is a better Evidence of a true Prophet, than outward Miracles, ibid. we need no outward Miracles to believe the Scrip-

tures, 581.

Motions to worship are previous in Order of Nature, 114. Falle Motions denied, 460. f. B. is for Praying without the Spirit's Motion, 473. He calls the Movings of the Power of God upon the Quakers, Devilry, 475. f.B. instanceth unufual Motions of his own Party, 475, 478.

Nature) the Book of Nature car not discover all things necessary to Salvation, 105. fee Sociniuns. The Lamb's Nature not to be found in most Christians, but the Doggish and Woivish Nature doth prevail, 241.

Naylor James, 533. his Repentance

104, 533. Nero, 167.

Number) to thee and thou a fingle Person, says f. B. is blunt and rude, 530, 531.

Oaths, 522--527.

Obedience) No Man's Obedience to any Command will avail him any thing, unless upon inward Belief and Conviction, that the thing commanded is of God, 287.

Object of Faith, see Faith.

Office) what is meant by an Office in the Church? 462, 463.

Ordination) the best primitive Protestants had no Lawful Ordination at all, and therefore could not convey any to others after them, whether Protestants or others, 136, 137, 156, 157.

Papilts) the Maxim among the Papists, Extra Ecclesiam nulla Salus, Pp3

understood by them generally, it destroys Love and Charity, 202. Papists pretended Charity, see Armenian.

Peace) the worldly Peace-contrivers Rule is not Equity, but the Power of Parties, 242, 243.

Perfection evicted, 86.

Persecution) Violent Persecutions upon the Account of Religious Principles, rather confirm than drive the Persecuted from the Belief of those Principles, 200, 201. the Lutherans and Calvinists united in the Doctrine and Pra-Stice of Persecution, even pra-Hise the same against one another, 205, 206. Preffing after, and feeking to establish a National Church, tends to promote Persecution, 207. the Principle of Perfecution preached up and practifed by the Church of Rome, 203, 204. Severity, fee 513, 514. Pharaob, 538.

Philosopher) an Heathen Philosopher converted, his Testimony concerning an Old Man's Words, 129. A Philosopher troubled for being commended by a profligate

Person, 179.

Power) the Power of God being inwardly felt to give Victory over Sin, in some serious Enquirers, was the Caufe of their Uniting and agreeing unanimously to the Universal Preaching up of this Power, which is the true Church's first and chiefest Principle, and most agreeable to the Universal Love of God, 220.

Prayer) Mental Prayer the Caufe and Spring of Vocal Prayer, 127. is fometimes of more. Force than Vocal Prayer, ibid. All that are faithful, who have no Natural Defect, may Pray vocally

times, 132.

in some sence true; but as it is Preaching, see Worship. No Preaching is profitable, but that which comes from the Immediate Teachings of God's Spirit, 483, 484.

Presbyterians) Scots Presbyterians. the severest of that Sea, they derive their Pedigree from Geneva, but surpass it in Zeal, 186. Presbyterians Compliance, 323. A Presbyterian Preacher's Prayer to the Devil, 506. A twofold Will in God vindicated by the Presbyterians, 356.

Pride, 549.

Princes) the Courts of Princes, the Scenes of the greatest Wickedness, 239.

Prophets) concerning Prophets, 59,

130, 171.

Protestants) According to the Epifcopalian and Presbyterian Principles and Practices, no Man can be a Member of the State, but first he must be a Member of the Church, 207,208. the Protestants to their shame, have recourse to their old abdicated Father, the Pope, for a Title to their Call, 456. concerning Protestants, 71, 260.

Quakers) i. e. Tremblers. A Catalogue of Lies and Calumnies, fallly alledged to be the Quakers Assertions, 557, 558, 559. the Quakers Adversaries fasten Contradictions upon Christ himself, 167, 168. J. B's Doubts concerning the time of the Author's Joyning with the People called Quakers, rejolved, 520. the Author's Education, 72, 186. his Burthen, 237. Reflections cast upon him, 255, 540, 541. the Quakers Ministry, &c. 65, 67. Their Sufferings, 260. F. B's Calumnies, 326. Ungodly Railas sada cagings

ings against them, 385, 430, 459, 472, 493, 448, 474. and false Charges, 534,536. the Lord their Honour and Reward, 222.

R

Regeneration is not wrought in an

Inftant, 433, 434.

Religion) Indifferency and Lukewarmness in Religious Matters highly displeasing to the Lord, 191.

Remonstrants of Holland) the Pra-Stice of the Remonstrants and Contra-Remonstrants of Holland; doth shew, how void they are of

Christian Love and Charity, 207. Reprobation) who espouse the precise Decree of Rebrobation de-Universal Love of God, 213, 215. The precise Decree of Reprobation is inconsistent with the Universal Love of God, ibid. The Presbyterian Doctrine of Rebrobation makes God the Author of Sin, 354. the same Dostrine makes the Gospel a meer Mock, 356. It is injurious to Christ's Propitiatory Sacrifice, ibid. It puts Devils in a better Condition than Men, 359.

Revelation) Divine Revelations the Priviledge of all true Christians, 63. the inward Efficacy of the Spirit is that Objective Revelation pleaded for, 108. No true Revelation can contradict the Scripture, 296. How and after what Manner these Revelations were the Objects of the Saints Faith of Old, 298, 299. Of the Neces. fity of Immediate Revelation to the Building up of true Faith, 92, 108. The Distinction of Subje-Rive and Objective Revelation unnatural, 153. It is in the Power of God to reveal himself, when, how, and fo long as he pleases, 310. what Revelations are contrary to the Scriptures, are to be rejected, 312. Heer Paets his Argument against Immediate Revelation discussed, 565.

Rule) of Faith and Manners, fee Scripture. Whatever Difficulties happen in saying, The Spirit is the Christians Rule, whereby to be ordered in Life and Conversation; the same will occur in saying The Scripture is the Rule, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44.

Sacraments) the most Wicked may both minister and partake of these outward elementary things, called Sacraments, as the most holy and fincere, 232, 494, 511. clare themselves Strangers to the Salvation, not only supposed, but concluded possible to all Men, 225. The Lutherans, Calvinists and Arminians hold, that there can be no Salvation without the Explicit Knowledge of Christ and Benefit of the Scriptures, 208. Those that hold this Opinion cannot juftly pretend to Universal Love, 211. Salvation chiefly depends upon the inward Work of

Grace, 399, 400. Salutations, 529, 530. Schools and Universities, 550. Sciences, 457, 465.

Scriptures) Noah and Fob were Preachers of Righteousness before the Scriptures were written, the Knowledge of the 232. Scriptures to be of great Advantage, is owned, 225. The Scriptures cannot beguile Men, but Men may beguile themselves by a wrong Use of them, 14. the Scriptures the best outward Rule in the World, ibid. the Scriptures are a clear and perfect Copy, as to all Essentials of Christian Religion, 57. That the Scriptures are a sufficient objedivs

dive Revelation of all things necessary to & Thee and Thou, fee Number. Salvation, is desied. 107. the Scriptures A Seconare the Words of God, 303. dary Rule, 315, 316. that Supposition is false, that supposes the Will of God is only known by the Scriptures, 325. The Canon of the Scripture not compleated, 282, 309, 310. They are not the Means of knowing God in Spirit, 553, 556, 590. fee Gifts.

Scriptures explained) Gen. 2. 17. p. 330. I/a. 8.20. p. 318. Prov. 10. 11. p. 130. Fobn 1. 9. P. 391, 392. I Cor. 11. 5. 19, 20. p. 296. Jam. 1. 25. p. 321.

1 fobn 4. 1. p. 151.

Sea) the Definition of a Sect, 218. Those cannot pretend to univ. rlal Love, who confine all spiritual and temporal Bleffings to their own Sect, 297. One Mark of a Sect is, when People feek to advance and propagate their Way in the strength of their own Spirits, Gc. 222, 223. those whose unity ariles from Notions and Opinions, do derive their Names and Defignations from the first Anthors, Inventors and Fomentors of those Opinions, 221, 222.

Seed) the Seed a diffinct Principle from the

Soul, 289.

Sense) Supernatural, 150, 569, 573, 582. Silence) Silence and an inward Turning of the Mind, necessary to the Entering upon Worship, 476, 477.

Sin) Continuance in Sin eclipses and takes away the Sense of God's Favour, 547,548.

Society, see Religion, Principles.

Socinians) they exalt felt, or Nature, 224. their scanty Confession does not reach to Universal Love, 211.

Son of God) see Christ, Knowledge, R.ve-

lation.

Spirit) to be led by the Spirit of God, is a Priviledge common to all Christians and Members of the Church, if Obedience thereunto be yielded, 231. 232. Spirit's Operations, 54.

Study) the Priefts Study and Premeditation to preach by the Hour Glals, 482, 485. Suppir) Arguments concerning the Supper,

answered, &c. 78, 83, 508, 510.

Testimony, see Spirit. The four Students Testimony against their fellow Students, 181.

Translations, lee Bible, Intertreters.

Voices) Outward Voices, see Faith, Miracles.

War) the National Preachers and Profesfors the chief Promoters of War, 240, 241. who account it lawful to revenge every injury, are no Favourers of Univerial Love, nor true Followers of Patient Jesus. 233, 234. the Devil the primary Cause of all the Contusion and Wars in Christendom (lo called) 238. unless this be toreseen, and this evil Guest turned out, no effectual Remedy can be applied, ibid. Worldly and Carnal Wildom the Caule of Wars, 244.

Westminster Confession of Fairb faith expresty (Chap. 3.) That God ordained Juch as are not Elected for Dishonour and Wrath, to the Proise of his glorious Juflice, 352. The same Confession saith, that nothing future, or what was to come,

even as foreseen by God, was the Cause of God's Decree, ibid. The Westminster Confession of Faith hath long lain under the Censure of an Examen, not yet answered, 269. the Confession weakly confirmed, and the Scriptures perverted to make them lerve for a Proof, 268-270. the Scriptures are made to ferve this Confession of Faith, and not it to answer

the Scriptures, 270. Arguments against Women's Women)

Preaching answered, 89, 90.
Word) the Eternal Word is the Son, it was in the Beginning wi b God, and was God, It is Jelus Christ, by whom God created all things, 303. the Life and Virtue of Words, is a dillinct thing from the Words, 129, 130. the Word of God is ascribed to Christ, 303.

Northip) Concerning Worthip, 299, 300.

Kaverius, his Testimony concerning the Inward Innate Life in the Soul, 227, 228.

Zeal, having a right Bottom and Foundation, proceeding purely from the Love of God, is a great Virtue, and greatly to be commended and pressed after, 191. Faife Zeal, and the feveral Kinds there= ot. 192, 193.

BOOKS Printed and Sold by the Assigns of J. Sowle, at the Bible in George-Tard, in Lombard-Street.

Sacred History; or the Historical Part of the Holy Scriptures of the OLD Testament, digested into due Method, with respect to Order of Time and Place; with some Observations, tending to Illustrate the same; with a Table to the whole, by Thomas Ellmood. Price 10 s.

Sacred History; or the Historical Part of the Holy Scriptures of the NEW Testament, digested into due Method, with respect to Order of Time and Place; with some Observations, tending to Illustrate the same; with a Table to the whole, by Thomas Ellwood, Price 9 s.

Pavideis. the Life of David King of Israel: A Sacred Poem. In

Five Books. by Thomas Ellwood. price 2 s. 6 d.

A Journal, or Historical Account of the Life, Travels, Sufferings, Christian Experiences, and Labour of Love in the Work of the Ministry, of that Ancient, Eminent and Faithful Servant of Jesus Christ, George Fox. the second Edition in two Volumes Price 10 s.

A Collection of many Select and Christian Epistles, Letters and Testimonies, written on sundry Occasions, by that Ancient, Eminent, Faithful Friend and Minister of Jesus Christ. George Fox. Price 115.

ful Friend and Minister of Jesus Christ, George Fox. Price 115.
Gospel Truth Demonstrated, in a Collection of Doctrinal Books, Containing Principles, Essential to Christianity and Salvation, held amongst the People called Quakers, given forth by that Faithful Minister of Jesus Christ, George Fox, Price 18 s.

The Enormous Sin of Covetousness detected; with its Branches: Fraud Oppression, Lying, Ingratitude, &c. And some Proposals how to escape these Dangerous and Destructive Evils. by William Crouch Price 2's.

Posthuma Christiana; Or, a Collection of some Papers of William Crouch. Being a brief Historical Account, under his own Hand, of his Convincement of, and Early Sufferings for the Truth. with Remarks on Sundry memorable Transactions, relating to the People call'd Quakers p. 15.6d.

Scripture-Instruction; digested into several Section, by way of Question and Answer. In order to Promote Piety and Virtue, and Discourage Vice and Immorality. With a Preface relating to Education. By

John Freame. price 1 s.

A Treatife concerning the Fear of God; Scripturally recommended unto all People, from the Example of the Patriarchs, Prophets, Kings, and Judges, &c. With an Historical Account, briefly relating the many Advantages which they received, who lived therein. price 1 s.

Scripture Truth demonstrated, in 32 Sermons, or Declarations of Stephen Crifp, late of Colchester in Essex, two of them not before Printed in his other three Vol. now all done in one Vol. on a larger Caracter P. 3 s

The Works of William Dell, Minister of the Gospel, and Master of Gonvil and Caius Colledge in Cambridge. All Reprinted in 1 Vol. pr. 5 s.

A Treatife concerning Baptism, and the Supper. Shewing, that the One Baptism of the Spirit, and Spiritual Supper of the Lord, are only and alone, Essential, and Necessary to Salvation. Wherein, the Strongest Arguments for the use of the Outward Baptism and Supper are Considered, the People called Quakers Vindicated: and the greatest Objections against them, for their disuse of these Outward Signs are Answered, by Foseph Pike. Price bound 1s.6 d. Primi

. BOOKS Printed and Sold by the Assigns of J. Sowle.

Primitive Christianity Revived, in the Faith and Practice of the People called Quakers. Written in Testimony to the present Dispensation of God, through them to the World; that Prejudices may be removed. the Simple informed, the Well-inclined encouraged, and the Truth and its Innocent Friends rightly represented. by VV. Penn. Price 1 s.

An Account of VV. Penns Travels in Holland and Germany, for the Service of the Gospel of Christ; by way of Journal. Containing also divers Letters and Epistles, writ to several great and Eminent persons whilst there; the third Impression. Corrected by the Author's own Copy; with some Answers not Printed in the First. To which is now added Two Epistles, formerly Printed in Dutch, but never in English, till this third Edition. price 2s.

VV. Penns Key in English new Edition. price 4 d. French 4 d.

The History of the Life of Thomas Ellwood. Or, an Account of his Birth, Education, &c. with divers Observations on his Life and manners n ben a Youth: And how he came to be convinced of the Truth; with his many Sufferings and Services for the same. also several other Remarkable Paifages and Occurrences. written by his own Hand. pr. 35.6d.

More Fruits of Solitude; being the second part of Reflections and Maxims relating to the Conduct of Humane Life. by the Author of the former. pr. 9 d.

The Harmony of Divine and Heavenly Dollrines, demonstrated in sundry Declarations, on Parie y of Subjects. Preached at the Quakers Meetings in London, by Mr. VV. Penn. Mr. G. VV bitehead. Mr. S. VValdenfield. Mr. B. Cole. taken in Short-hand, as it was delivered by them, and now Faithfully transcribed and published for the Information of those, who by reason of Ignorance, may have received a prejudice against them. by a Lover of that people. price 1 s. 6 d.

No Cross, No Crown. A Discourse shewing the Nature and Discipline of the Holy Cross of Christ; and that the Denyal of Self, and daily bearing of Christ's Cross, is the alone way to the Rest and Kingdom of God. which is added, the living and dying Testimonies of divers Persons of Fame and Learning, in Favour of the Vertue and temperance recomended in this

Treatife. by W. Penn. the Sixth Eddi ion. price 3 s.

The Harmony of the Old and New Testament, and the fullfilling of the Prophets, concerning our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, and his Kingdom and Glory in the latter Days; with a Brief Concordance of the Names and Attributes, &c. given unto Christ: and some Texts of Scripture colletted, co cerning Christ's Humiliation and Sufferings, also his Excellent Dignity and Glorification. Published for the benefit of Christians and Jews by John Tomkins. with an Appendix to the Jews, by W. Penn. the 3 d Edit. with Additions. price 1 s.

The VVorks of the Long Mournful and Sorely Diffressed Isaac Penningcon, whom the Lord in his tender Mercy at length visited and relieved, by the Ministry of that Despised People, called Quakers; and in the springings f that Light, Life and Holy power in him, which they had truly and faithfully testified of, and directed his Mind to, were these things written, and now published as a shank ful testimony of the goodness of the Lord to bim, and

for the Benefit of oth rs. In two Parts. price 12 5.

The VVork of that memorable and ancient Servant of Christ, Stephen

BOOKS Printed and Sold by the Assigns of J. Sowle.

Crisp; containing also a lournal of his Life, giving an Account of his Convincement, Travels, Labours and Sufferings in and for the Truth. price 5 sa

Truth's Innoceacy and Simplicity thining, through the Conversion, Gospel-Ministry, Labours, Epistles of Love, Testimonies and Warnings, to Professions and Profane (with the Long and Patient Sufferings) of that Ancient and Faithful Minister and Servant of Jesus Christ, Thomas Taylor. price bound 5 s.

The Memory of the Righteous revived, being a Collection of the Books and written Epistles of John Camm and John Audland; together with several Testimonies relating to those two faithful Labourers. p. 25.

The defign of Christianity testified, in the Books, Epistles, and Manuscripts, of that Ancient and faithful Servant of Christ Jesus, John Crook, who departed this Life the 26th Day of the 2d Month, 1699. in the Eighty-second Year of his age. price 3 s. 6 d.

. A Collection of the writings and Epistles of our ancient deceased

Eriend John VV bitehead, price 35.

Sion's Travellers comforted, and the Disobedient Warned In a Collection of Books and Epistles of that Faithful Minister of Jesus Christ Charles Marshal, price 3's

A Collection of the Christian writings Labours, Travels and Sufferings, of that faithful and approved Minister of Jesus Christ, Roger Hadock, with an account of his Death and Burial, price bound is 9d.

An Apology for the true Christian Divinity, as the same is held Forth and Preached by the People, called in Scorn Quakers; Being a Full Examination and Vindication of their Principle and Doctrines, by many arguments, deduced from Scripture and right Reason, and the Testimonies of Famous Authors, both ancient and Modern, with a full Answer to the strongest Objections usually made against them. By Robert Barelay. the Fifth Edition in English. Price bound 4 s.

Rebert Barclay's Apology Translated into French, and Spinish, for the

Information of Strangers. price 5 %.

New England Judged, In two Parts. First, Containing a Brief Relation of the Sufferings of the People called Quakers in New England, from the Time of their first Arrival there, in the Year 1656, to the Year 1660. Wherein their Merciless Whippings, Chainings, Finings, Imprisonings, Starvings, Burning in the Hand, Cutting of Ears, and putting to Death, with divers other Cruelties, inflicted upon the Bodies of Innocent Men and Women, only for Conscience-sake, are briefly de-Second Part, being a farther Relation of the cruel Sufferings of the People called Quikers in New England, continued from Anno 1667, to Anno 1665. Beginning with the Sufferings of William Leddra, whom they put to Death. Published by George Bisloop, in Anno 1661 and 1667. and now some-what abreviated. an Appendix, containing the Writing: of several of the Sufferers; sith fome Notes, shewing the Accomplishment of their Prophecies, and a Postscript of the Judgments of God, that have befallen divers of their Persecutors. Also, an Answer to Cotton Mather's abuses of the sail People, in his late Hist ry of New England, printed anno 1702. price & s.

Vindiciæ Veritatis: Or an Occasional Defence of the Principles and

BOOKS Printed and Sold by the Assigns of J. Sowle:

Practices of the People called Quakers: In Answer to a Treatise of John Stillingsteet's, miscalled, Seasonable Advice concerning Quakerism, Go. By Daniel Phillips, M. D. price 1 s. 6 d.

A Differtation concerning the Lords Supper. The second part, by the

Author of the First part. Price 3 d.

An Encouragement early to Seek the Lord: And be Faithful to him! In an Account of the LIFE and Services of that Ancient Servant of God, Thomas 1 hompson. Price 6 d.

The Christian-Quaker, and his divine Testimony, stated and vindicated, from Scripture, Reason and Authority. by W. Penn. Price 2 s.

Piets Promoted, in a Collection of the Dying Sayings of many of the People called Quakers. with a Brief account of some of their Labours in the Gospel, and sufferings for the same. In three Parts by John Tomkins. price bound is each Part.

Piety Promoted, in a Collection of Dying-Sayings of many of the People call d Quakers. with some Memorials of their Virtuous Lives The

Fourth and Fifth Part by J. F. price bound 1 s. each Part.

Persecution exposed in some Memoirs of the Sufferings of John Whit-

ing and many antient eminent Friends. price 3 s.

A Journal of the Life, Travels and Sufferings of VVilliam Edmund-

Son. price bound 3 s.

A Catechism and Confession of Faith. by Robert Barclay. price 9d. Fruits of Retirement; or Miscellaneous Poems, Moral and Divine: Being some Letters, Contemplations, &c. written on Variety of Subjects; By

M. Mollineux, late of Leverpool, deceased. price 1 s. 6 f.

A Light shining out of Darkness: Or, Occasional Queries, submitted to the Fudgment of such as would enquire into the true State of things in our limes, the whole Work revised by the Author, the Proof's Englished and augmented, with sundry Material Discourses concerning the Ministry, Separtion, Inspiration, Scriptures, Humane Learning, Oaths, Tithes, &c. With a brief Apology for the Quakers, that they are not Inconsistent with Magistracy, by an indifferent but Learned Hand, the 3d. Edit. pr. 15.6d.

God's Protesting Providence, Man's surest Help and Defence, in Times of the greatest Difficulty, and most eminent Danger. Evidenced in the Remarkable Deliverance of Robert Barrow, with divers other Persons, from the devouring Waves of the Sea, among which they suffered Shipwrack: and also, from the cruel Devouring Faws of the Inhumane Canibals of Florida. Faithfully related by one of the Persons concerned therein, J. Dickenson. 8d.

A Scripture Catchifm for Children, by Ambrose Rigge. price B. 6 d. Truth's Vindication; or, a gentle Stroke to wipe off the soul Aspertions, salse Accordations and Misrepresentations, cast upon the People of God, called Quiters, both with respect to their Principle, and their way of proselyting people over to them. by E. Bathurst. price 1 s.

A brief Testimony to the great Duty of Prayer; shewing the Nature and Benefit thereof; to which is added, many Eminent and Select Instances of God's Answer to Prayer: Collected out of the Record of Holy Scriptures, by F. Tomkins, one of the People called Quakers. With a Postscript by f. F. The Second Edition, with Additions. price 6 d.

The

BOOKS Printed and Sold by the Assigns of J. Sowie.

The Poor Mechanick's Plea, against the Rich Clergy's Oppression s shewing Tithes are no Gospel-Ministers Maintenance: In a bri f and Plain Method, how that Tithes, (as now paid) are both Inconsistent with the Despenfation of the Law, and Dispensation of the Gospel. also how they were brought into the Church many Hundred Years after Christ, and testified a gainst by several ancient Christians and Martyrs. with several sover Reasons against the payment of them, By J. Bockett. price 3 d.

Academia Coeleitis: The Heavenly University; or, the Highest School, where alone is that Highest teaching, the teaching of the Heart. By Francis Roule, some time Provost of Eaton Colledge. A Treatise written above 60 Years since. the third Edition, Revised and Compared with the Latin, p. 15.

A Differention of the Small Pox, by Daniel Phillips, at his Commencing Doctor of Physick in the University of Leyden in Holland, in the Year

1696. translated by T.E. price 9 d.

Mercy covering the Judgment Seat; and Life and Light Triumphing over Death and Darkness: In the Lord's Tender Visitation, and Wonderful Deliverance, of One that Sat in Darkness, and in the Region and Shadow of Death. Witnessed unto in certain Epistles and Papers of

living Experience. by Richard Claridge. price 6 d.

Lux Evangelica Attestata. Or a further Testimony to the Sufficiency of the Light within. Being a Reply to George Keith's Censure, in his Book, intisuled, An account of the Quakers Politicks, upon certain passages in my Book, intituled, Mercy covering the Judgment-leat, &c. For the clearing of Truth from G. K's Misrepresentations, and satisfying of sober Enquirers into the true state of the Controversie between us. by R. Claridge. 18.

Anguis Flagellatus; or a Switch for the Snake. Be ng an answer to the third and last Edition of the Snake in the Gras, wherein the Author's Injustice and Falshood, both in Quotation and Story, are discovered and obviated; and the Truth Doctrinally delivered by us, stated and maintained, in opposition to his Misrepresentation and Perversion. By Joseph Wyeth,

10 which is added, A Supplement, by G.W. price 4s.

The Clergy-Man's Pretence of Divine Right to Tithes, Examined and Refuted. Being a full answer to W. W's Fourth Letter, in his Book, Intituled, The Clergy's Legal Right to Tithes afferted. By 1. Gratton. 6d.

A Treatise concerning Baptism and the Lord's Supper. Shewing that the true Discipl's of Christ are sent to Baptise Men into the Name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; for the carrying on of which, Christ is with them, and will be, to the End of the World. also a few words concerning the Lord's Supper; shewing, that those that Sup with him, are in his Kingdom. By John Gratton. price 9 d.

A Brief Concordance of the Names and attributes. with fundry Texts, Relating unto our Bleffed Lord and Saviour Fesus Christ. Collected out of the

Scriptures. by John Tomkins. price 4d.

A brief Apology in behalf of the People called Quakers. written for the Information of our sober and well-inclined Neighbours in and about the town of Warminster in the county of Wilts. By W. Chandler. A. P yot. J. Hodges. and some others. price 6 d.

The Saints Travel to Spiritual Canaan; wherein are discovered several false Rest: , short of the true Spiritual coming of Christ in his People, with

a brief

BOOKS Printed and Sold by the Assigns of J. Sowle.

sentations often put upon them by Envious Apostates, and Mercenary

Adversaries. price 3 d.

The Glorious Brightness of the Gospel-Day, Dispelling the Shadows of the Legal Dispensation: and whatsoever else of Human Invention hath been super-added thereunto. Set forth, in some Observations made on a late Pamphlet called a Divine Treatise, (written by way of Essay, and pretending to Demonstrate, according to the Mosaical Philosophy, that Water-Baptism, Imposition of Hands, and the Commemoration of the Death and Passion of our ever Blessed Lord and Saviour, under the Species of Bread and Wine, were all of them suitably and Homogeneally adapted to the present impersed State of Nature, as Man consists of Body, Soul and Spirit.) By Thomas Ellwood. price 1 s.

The Works of Samuel Fisher, in Folio.

Cerinthus and Ebion: Or the Herefie of Tithing under the Gospel Detected: in some Observations upon a Book entituled, An Essay concerning the Divine Right of Tithes: By the Author of the Snake in the Grass-Together with an Essay concerning the First Rise of Tithes: And an

Effay against their Divine Right. price 8 d.

An Abridgment of Eusebius Pamphilius's Ecclefiastical History, in two Parts. Part I. A Compendious Commemoration of the Remarkablest Chronoligies which are contained in that Famous History. Part II. A Summ ary or brief hint of the Twelve Persecutions sustained by the Ancient Christians: with a Compendious Paraphrase upon the same. whereunto is added a Catalogue of the Synods and Counsels, which were after the days of the Apostles; together with a hint of what was decreed in the same. by William Caton. price Is.

Musa Parænetica; or, a Tractate of Christian Epistles, on sundry

Occasions, in Verse. By VVilliam Massey. price 6d.

A Vindication of Women, s Preaching, as well from holy Scripture and antient Writings, as from the Paraphrase and Notes of the judicious John

Locke, on 1 Cor. xi. By Josiah Martin. price 1 S.

A New Discourse of Trade, wherein is Recommended, several weighty Points relating to the Companies of Merchants. The Act of Navigation, Naturalization of Strangers, And our Woolen Manusactures. The ballance of Trade, Sc. by Sr. Josab Child. price bound 2 s.

The way to Health, long Life and Happiness: Or, a Discourse of Temperance, and the particular Nature of all things requisite for the Life of Man, &c. by Thomas Tryon, Student in Physick. Price

bound 4s. 6d.

The good House-wife made a Doctor, Or Healths choice and sure Friend. Being a Plain way of Natures own Prescribing, to Prevent and Cure most diseases incident to Men, Women and Children, by Diet and Kitchin-physick only, with some Remarks on the Practice of Physick and Chimistry, by Thomas Tryon. Student in Physick. The Second Edition. To which is added some Observations on the Tedious Methods of Unskilful Surgeons; with cheap and Easie Remedies, by the same Author, price bound 15.6 d.

Where also may be had Bibles, Testaments, Concordances, Spelling Books, Primers, Horn-Books; with Writing-Paper, and Paper-Books, Ink-Powder, &c. And Marriage Certificates on Parchment, Stamp'd.



700

a F Se M the

Boo Ink.



