# LOEB CLASSICAL LIBRARY FOUNDED BY JAMES LOEB 1911

EDITED BY
JEFFREY HENDERSON

# EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY IX

LCL 532

9502 Teath (v.9)

# EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY

**VOLUME IX** 

SOPHISTS PART 2

EDITED AND TRANSLATED BY
ANDRÉ LAKS AND GLENN W. MOST

IN COLLABORATION WITH GÉRARD JOURNÉE

GERARD JOURNEE

DAVID LÉVYSTONE





HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS LONDON, ENGLAND 2016



# Copyright © 2016 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College All rights reserved

# First published 2016

# LOEB CLASSICAL LIBRARY® is a registered trademark of the President and Fellows of Harvard College

Library of Congress Control Number 2015957358 CIP data available from the Library of Congress

ISBN 978-0-674-99710-3

Composed in ZephGreek and ZephText by Technologies 'N Typography, Merrimac, Massachusetts. Printed on acid-free paper and bound by The Maple-Vail Book Manufacturing Group

# CONTENTS

THE 'SOPHISTS,' PART 2	
37. Antiphon	2
38. Lycophron	120
39. Xeniades	132
40. The Anonymous of Iamblichus	140
41. Pairs of Arguments (Dissoi Logoi)	164
42. 'Sophists' and 'Sophistic': Collective	
Representations and General Characterizations	208
43. Appendix: Philosophy and Philosophers in Greek	
Comedy and Tragedy	256

# THE 'SOPHISTS' PART 2

# 37. ANTIPHON [ANTIPH.]

Already the ancients disagreed on the question of how many Antiphons were active in Athens in the second half of the fifth century BC, and even today the problem remains unresolved. An Antiphon from the Athenian deme of Rhamnous who lived from ca. 480 until 411 BC is well known from various historical sources that mention his activities as an orator and politician, and a collection of three of his political speeches as well as three judiciary tetralogies survives. Is this Antiphon the same person as the Antiphon who is called a 'sophist' and to whom writings are attributed on epistemology, natural phenomena, mathematics, moral theory, and political life (On Truth, On Concord), to say nothing of a variety of other activities such as soothsaying and dream interpretation (which some people have assigned to yet another Antiphon)? Modern scholars (following ancient ones) have cited numerous arguments both for the identification of these two men and for their separation. None of the arguments in support of their separation seems decisive, and the improbability of two men of the same name operating in Athens at the same time in such closely related fields as rhetoric and politics suggests instead that it is one and the same man at issue. We have therefore, like a number of recent scholars, assigned to a single Antiphon reports that other scholars distribute among different persons. However, for the sake

#### ANTIPHON

of prudence, we have placed in the section R a number of reports, notably on Antiphon's place in the history of rhetoric, which could in principle also have been located in D, in order to collect in D what are for the most part only original texts. For reasons of space, we do not include the preserved and fragmentary political and judicial speeches transmitted under Antiphon's name, as the hypothesis of a single author would suggest doing; these are easily available in volume 1 of the Loeb Classical Library Minor Attic Orators (LCL 308) and elsewhere. Instead, we limit ourselves to the fragments surviving from both of Antiphon's major treatises and from his other works; indeed, we are rather well informed about On Truth because an Oxyrhynchus papyrus transmits a continuous portion of its text and, more marginally, because later lexicographers were interested in the idiosyncratic use Antiphon made of numerous terms. Xenophon reports a discussion between Socrates and Antiphon; given the difficulty of assessing how reliable this report is, we include it not in the main section on Antiphon's doctrines, but in an appendix to it.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

# Edition with Commentary

G. J. Pendrick. Antiphon the Sophist. The Fragments (Cambridge, 2002).

### The Papyrus

G. Bastianini and F. Decleva Caizzi. "Antipho," in Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici Greci e Latini (CPF), part I, vol. 1\* (Florence, 1989), pp. 176–222.

# Speeches

F. Decleva Caizzi. Antiphontis Tetralogiae (Milan, 1969).
 L. Gernet. Antiphon, Discours. Suivis des Fragments d'Antiphon le sophiste (Paris, 1923).

See also the titles listed in the General Introduction to Chapters 31–42.

# OUTLINE OF THE CHAPTER

#### P

How Many Antiphons? (P1-P2)
Origin and Chronology of the Orator (P3-P5)
The Soothsayer and Interpreter of Dreams (P6-P7)
The Poet? (P8)
The Psychotherapist (P9-P11)
The Politician (P12-P14)
Trial and Death (P15-P18)

#### $\mathbf{D}$

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to On Truth (D1–D40).

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Book 1 (D1–D16)

Thought (D1)

Some Epistemological Terms (D2-D6)

Nature and Matter (D7-D8)

Other Isolated Words Attested for Book 1 (D9–D16)

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Book 2 (D17-D35)

#### ANTIPHON

Time and Eternity (D17-D18) The World Order (D19-D20) The Vortex (D21) The Heavenly Bodies (D22-D24) The Sun (D22) The Moon (D23–D24) Meteorology (D25) The Earth (D26) The Sea (D27) Human Anatomy and Medicine (D28-D35) Fragments Not Assigned to a Specific Book of On Truth (D36-D40) Geometry (D36) Rejection of Divine Providence (D37) Justice and Nature (D38) Wealth (D39) Precautions (D40) Fragments Attested for On Concord (D41-D49) Organization of the Discourse (D41) Human Pretentions (D42) Human Realities: Names of Barbarian Peoples (D43-D45) Good and Bad Characteristics and Sentiments (D46-D49Moderation (D46) Hesitation (D47) Regret (D48) False Friends (D49) Fragments Attributable to On Concord (D50–D63) The Unsuccessful Life (D50-D59) The Defects of Human Life (D50–D51) Anxieties (D52–D53)

Cowardice (D54) False and True Temperance (D55-D56) Marriage and Family (D57-D58) Wealth and Foolishness (D59) Aspects of Life in Society (D60-D63) Friendship (D60-D61) Education (D62-D63) Other Isolated Words Attested for On Concord (D64-D68) Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Politicus (D69-D74)Computation (D69-D70) Disorderliness (D71) Intemperance and Wastefulness (D72-D74) Fragments Attributable to Interpretation of Dreams (D75-D77)Theory of the Interpretation of Dreams (D75) Reported Interpretations of Dreams (D76-D77) Divination (D78) Isolated Words Attributed to Antiphon but Not Attributable to a Specific Treatise (D79-D82) Crafts (D79-D80) Weights and Measures (D81) Another Isolated Word (D82) Appendix: Xenophon's Representation of Antiphon (D83-D84)

#### R

The Identity of the Orator and the Sophist in the Lexicographical Tradition: An Example (R1) Antiphon as Rhetorician and Orator (R2–R9) His Place in the History of Rhetoric (R2–R6)

#### ANTIPHON

Judgments on His Style (R7–R9)
His Fame as an Interpreter of Dreams (R10–R12)
His Fame as a Soothsayer (R13)
Reactions to Antiphon's Attempt to Square the Circle (R14–R16)
Two Interpretations Deriving Probably from Eudemus (R14–R15)
A Discussion about the Nature of the Error in Antiphon's Reasoning (R16)
A Christian Polemic (R17)

# ANTIPHON [87 DK]

P

# How Many Antiphons? (P1-P2)

#### P1 Suda

# a (< A1) A.2744

'Αυτιφων 'Αθηναίος τερατοσκόπος καὶ ἐποποιὸς καὶ σοφιστής ἐκαλείτο δὲ Λογομάγειρος.

# b (T3 Pendrick) A.2745

'Αυτιφών, Σοφίλου, 'Αθηναίος, τών δήμων 'Ραμνούσιος διδάσκαλος δὲ αὐτοῦ οὐδεὶς προγινώσκεται ἀλλ' ὅμως ἦρξε τοῦ δικανικοῦ χαρακτῆρος μετὰ Γοργίαν. λέγεται δὲ καὶ Θουκυδίδου γενέσθαι διδάσκαλος. ἐκαλεῖτο δὲ Νέστωρ.

# c (< A1) A.2746

'Αυτιφων 'Αθηναίος ονειροκρίτης Περὶ κρίσεως ονείρων έγραψεν.

# ANTIPHON

P

# How Many Antiphons? (P1-P2)

#### P1 Suda

# a (< A1)

Antiphon, Athenian, soothsayer, poet, and sophist. He was called 'Speech Chef.'

# **b** $(\neq DK)$

Antiphon, son of Sophilus, Athenian, from the deme Rhamnous. No earlier teacher of his is known; nonetheless, he began the judicial genre after Gorgias. He is also said to have been Thucydides' teacher. He was called 'Nestor.'

#### c (< Al)

Antiphon, Athenian, interpreter of dreams. He wrote On the Interpretation of Dreams.

P2 (< A2) Hermog. Ideis 2.11 (399.18-400.21 Rabe)

περὶ δὲ ἀντιφώντος λέγοντας ἀνάγκη προειπεῖν, ὅτι καθάπερ ἄλλοι τέ φασιν οὐκ ὀλίγοι καὶ Δίδυμος ὁ γραμματικός, πρὸς δὲ καὶ ἀπὸ ἱστορίας φαίνεται, πλείους μεν γεγόνασιν Άντιφωντες, δύο δε οί σοφιστεύσαντες, ὧν καὶ λόγον ἀνάγκη ποιήσασθαι. ὧν εἶς μέν έστιν ὁ ρήτωρ, οδπερ οἱ φονικοὶ φέρονται λόγοι καὶ <οί>  $^1$  δημηγορικοὶ καὶ ὅσοι τούτοις ὅμοιοι, ἔτερος δὲ ὁ καὶ τερατοσκόπος καὶ ὀνειροκρίτης λεγόμενος γενέσθαι, οὖπερ οἴ τε Περὶ τῆς ἀληθείας εἶναι λέγονται λόγοι καὶ ὁ Περὶ ὁμονοίας³ καὶ ὁ Πολιτικός.4 ἐγὼ δὲ ἔνεκα μὲν τοῦ διαφόρου τῶν ἐν τοῖς λόγοις τούτοις ίδεῶν πείθομαι δύο τοὺς ἀντιφῶντας γενέσθαι (πολὺ γὰρ ὡς ὄντως τὸ παραλλάττον τῶν ἐπιγραφομένων τῆς ἀληθείας λόγων πρὸς τοὺς λοιπούς), ἔνεκα δὲ τοῦ καὶ παρὰ Πλάτωνι καὶ παρ' ἄλλοις ἱστορουμένου πάλιν οὐ πείθομαι. Θουκυδίδην γὰρ ἀντιφῶντος εἶναι τοῦ 'Ραμνουσίου μαθητὴν ἀκούω πολλῶν λεγόντων, καὶ τὸν μὲν Ῥαμνούσιον είδως ἐκείνον, οὖπερ εἰσὶν οί φονικοί, τὸν Θουκυδίδην δὲ πολλῷ κεχωρισμένον<sup>5</sup> καὶ κεκοινωνηκότα τῷ εἴδει τῶν τῆς ἀληθείας λόγων, πάλιν οὐ πείθομαι. οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' εἴτε εἶς ὁ ἀντιφῶν ἐγέ-

1 < oi> Waltz et Spengel 2 καὶ ὁ πολιτικὸς post δημηγορικοὶ add. Waltz et Spengel 3 καὶ οἱ δημηγορικοὶ post ὁμονοίας hab. mss. plerique, del. Sauppe, post λέγονται λόγοι transp. Lb 4 καὶ οἱ πολιτικοί Md 5 < τούτου> κεχωρισμένον Blass

#### ANTIPHON

P2 (< A2) Hermogenes, On Types of Style

When one speaks about Antiphon, it is necessary to state beforehand that, as Didymus the grammarian and many other authors say, and as is apparent from historical research as well, there were several Antiphons, of whom two were sophists, who must be taken account of. One of these is the orator, whose homicide speeches, public speeches, and all the other speeches of the same kind are extant; while the other is the one who is also said to have been a soothsayer and interpreter of dreams, and to whom the discourses On Truth, and On Concord and Politicus, are attributed. As for me, when I consider the difference in style among these discourses, I am persuaded that there were two Antiphons; for the disparity between the discourses entitled On Truth and the other ones is really very large. But then again, because of what Plato1 and other authors report, once again I am persuaded of the opposite view [i.e. I am persuaded that there was only one Antiphon]. For I have read in many authors that Thucydides was a student of Antiphon of Rhamnous, and I am aware that it is the one from Rhamnous to whom the homicide speeches belong but that Thucydides is very remote from these and shares the style of writing of the discourses On Truth; so that once again I am persuaded of the opposite view. But whether there was one Antiphon who used two

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Plato, Menexenus 236a: "the man who learned music from Lamprus and rhetoric from Antiphon of Rhamnous."

νετο, δύο λόγων εἴδεσι τοσοῦτον ἀλλήλων διεστηκόσι<sup>6</sup> χρησάμενος, εἴτε καὶ δύο, χωρὶς ἐκάτερος ὁ μὲν τοῦτο, ὁ δὲ ἐκεῖνο μετελθών, ἀνάγκη χωρὶς περὶ ἑκατέρου διελθεῖν πλεῖστον γὰρ ὡς ἔφαμεν τὸ μεταξύ.

6 διεστηκότων mss., corr. Blass

Origin and Chronology of the Orator (P3-P5)

**P3** (≠ DK) Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.79.3

[. . . = **R5**]  $^{3}$ Αντιφώντα  $\langle \Sigma \omega \rangle \phi$ ίλου $^{1}$   $^{6}$ Ραμνούσιον, ως φησι Διόδωρος $^{2}$  [. . .].

1 φίλου ms., corr. Potter

<sup>2</sup> Άπολλόδωρος Wilamowitz

P4 (≠ DK) Hermog. Ideis 2.11, p. 401.5-6

[. . . = R3] καὶ γάρ ἐστι τοῖς χρόνοις τῶν δέκα ῥητόρων τούτων πρεσβύτατος ἀπάντων [. . . = R8].

P5 (> 82 A6) Ps.-Plut. Vtt. X Orat. 832F

γέγονε δὲ κατὰ τὰ Περσικὰ καὶ Γοργίαν τὸν σοφιστήν, ὀλίγφ¹ νεώτερος αὐτοῦ, καὶ παρατέτακεν έως καταλύσεως τῆς δημοκρατίας ὑπὸ τῶν τετρακοσίων γενομένης [...].

1 ὀλίγω <ὧν> Herwerden

#### ANTIPHON

kinds of discourses so different from each other, or two, of whom separately the one adopted this kind of style and the other that one, each of them must be discussed separately, for the distance between them is, as we said, very large.

# Origin and Chronology of the Orator (P3-P5)

P3 (≠ DK) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

[...] Antiphon of Rhamnous, the son of Sophilus, as Diodorus<sup>1</sup> says [...].

#### See also R4

<sup>1</sup> Not identified. Wilamowitz proposed correcting to Apollodorus.

P4 (≠ DK) Hermogenes, On Types of Style

[...] in terms of chronology he is the oldest of all of these ten [scil. Attic] orators [...].

P5 (> 82 A6) Ps.-Plutarch, Lives of the Ten Orators

He was born at the time of the Persian Wars [i.e. 480 BC] and of Gorgias the sophist, being a little younger than the latter; and he lived until the Four Hundred overthrew the democracy [i.e. 411] [...].

# The Soothsayer and Interpreter of Dreams (P6–P7)

P6 (T5 Pendrick) Arist. Frag. 75 Rose in Diog. Laert. 2 46

τούτψ τις, καθά φησιν Άριστοτέλης ἐν τρίτφ Περὶ ποιητικῆς, ἐφιλονείκει Ἀντίλοχος Λήμνιος καὶ Ἀντιφῶν ὁ τερατοσκόπος [. . .].

P7 (A8) Clem. Alex. Strom. 7.24.4

χαρίεν τὸ τοῦ ἀντιφῶντος οἰωνισαμένου τινός, ὅτι κατέφαγεν ὖς τὰ δελφάκια, θεασάμενος αὐτὴν ὑπὸ λιμοῦ διὰ μικροψυχίαν τοῦ τρέφοντος κατισχναμένην "χαῖρε," εἶπεν, "ἐπὶ τῷ σημείῳ, ὅτι οὕτω πεινῶσα τὰ σὰ οὐκ ἔφαγεν τέκνα."

# The Poet? (P8)

P8 (< A6) Ps.-Plut. Vit. X Orat. 833C

λέγεται δὲ τραγφδίας συνθεῖναι καὶ ἰδία καὶ σὺν Διονυσίω τῷ τυράννω [. . . = **P10**].

#### ANTIPHON

# The Soothsayer and Interpreter of Dreams (P6-P7)

**P6** (≠ DK) Aristotle, Fragment of *On Poetry* in Diogenes Laertius

As Aristotle says in Book 3 of his On Poetry, a certain Antilochus of Lemnos and Antiphon the soothsayer engaged in rivalry with him [i.e. Socrates] [...].

# P7 (A8) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

Antiphon's remark is pleasant: when someone took as an omen the fact that a sow had eaten its piglets, he observed that it was emaciated from starvation because of its keeper's stinginess and said, "Rejoice at this omen: hungry as it was, it was not your own children that it ate."

See also Pla, Plc, P2

# The Poet? (P8)

**P8**  $\langle\langle$  A6 $\rangle$  Ps.-Plutarch, Lives of the Ten Orators He is said to have composed tragedies, both on his own and in collaboration with Dionysius the tyrant [. . .].

# The Psychotherapist (P9–P11)

**P9** (A6) Philostr. Vit. soph. 1.15, pp. 15.32–16.3 Kayser πιθανώτατος δὲ ὁ ἀντιφῶν γενόμενος καὶ προσρηθεὶς Νέστωρ ἐπὶ τῷ περὶ παντὸς εἰπὼν ἂν πεῖσαι νηπενθεῖς ἀκροάσεις ἐπήγγειλεν, ὡς οὐδὲν οὕτω δεινὸν ἐρούντων ἄχος, ὁ μὴ ἐξελεῖν τῆς γνώμης.

# P10 (< A6) Ps.-Plut. Vit. X Orat. 833C-D

[... = P8] ἔτι δ' ὢν πρὸς τῆ ποιήσει τέχνην ἀλυπίας συνεστήσατο, ὥσπερ τοῖς νοσοῦσιν ἡ παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν θεραπεία ὑπάρχει· ἐν Κορίνθῳ τε κατεσκευασμένος οἴκημά τι παρὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν προέγραψεν, ὅτι δύναται τοὺς λυπουμένους διὰ λόγων θεραπεύειν, καὶ πυνθανόμενος τὰς αἰτίας παρεμυθεῖτο τοὺς κάμνοντας, νομίζων δὲ τὴν τέχνην ἐλάττω ἢ καθ' αὐτὸν εἶναι ἐπὶ ῥητορικὴν ἀπετράπη [...].

P11 (< B93) Philod. *Poem.* (P. Herc. 994), xxxviii.14–23 (p. 113 Sbordone)

εὕδη|λος ἡ τῶν ἄλλων φλη|ναφ[ία φ]αίνεται τῷν ἢ | ταὐτὰ τέρπειν καὶ ὀχλεῖν | ἢ διάφορα κατηξιωκό|των, ώς καί τινος τῶν | ἀρχαίων ἀντιφῶντος, | εἴτ οὖν ῥητορικὸς εἴτε | καὶ φιλόσοφος ἡβούλε|τ εἶναι.

#### ANTIPHON

# The Psychotherapist (P9-P11)

# P9 (A6) Philostratus, Lives of the Sophists

When Antiphon had attained a great degree of persuasive power and was nicknamed 'Nestor' because he could succeed in persuading people when he spoke about anything, he announced that he would give lectures capable of eliminating pain, as he supposed that no one could name to him a grief so terrible that he could not banish it from that man's thought.

# P10 (< A6) Ps.-Plutarch, Lives of the Ten Orators

[...] While he was still engaged in poetry he invented an art of eliminating pain, just as there is a kind of treatment provided by doctors for people who are sick. In Corinth he established an office next to the marketplace and advertised that he was able to treat grief-stricken people by means of his speeches. And he inquired into the causes and thereby consoled those people who were suffering. But then, considering that this art was beneath him, he turned to rhetoric [...].

### P11 (< B93) Philodemus, On Poems

It is easy to see the nonsense those other people utter who have claimed that either the same ones [i.e. sounds] or different ones cause pleasure and pain, like for example one of the ancients, Antiphon, whether he wanted to be thought of as a rhetorician or as a philosopher.

# The Politician (P12–P14)

### **P12** ( $\neq$ DK) Thuc. 8.68.1

ην δὲ ὁ μὲν την γνώμην ταύτην εἰπὼν Πείσανδρος, καὶ τάλλα ἐκ τοῦ προφανοῦς προθυμότατα ξυγκαταλύσας τὸν δημον ὁ μέντοι ἄπαν τὸ πράγμα ξυνθεὶς ὅτῷ τρόπῷ κατέστη ἐς τοῦτο καὶ ἐκ πλείστου ἐπιμεληθεὶς ἀντιφῶν ην ἀνηρ ἀθηναίων τῶν καθ ἑαυτὸν ἀρετῃ τε οὐδενὸς ὕστερος καὶ κράτιστος ἐνθυμηθηναι γενόμενος καὶ ἃ γνοίη εἰπεῖν, καὶ ἐς μὲν δημον οὐ παριὼν οὐδ ἐς ἄλλον ἀγῶνα ἑκούσιος οὐδένα, ἀλλ ὑπόπτως τῷ πλήθει διὰ δόξαν δεινότητος διακείμενος, τοὺς μέντοι ἀγωνιζομένους καὶ ἐν δικαστηρίῳ καὶ ἐν δήμῳ πλεῖστα εἶς ἀνήρ, ὅστις ξυμβουλεύσαιτό τι, δυνάμενος ἀφελεῖν [. . . = P15].

# P13 (≠ DK) Arist. Ath. Pol. 32.2

ή μὲν οὖν ὀλιγαρχία τοῦτον κατέστη τὸν τρόπον, ἐπὶ Καλλίου μὲν ἄρχοντος, ἔτεσι δ' ὕστερον τῆς τῶν τυράννων ἐκβολῆς μάλιστα ἐκατὸν, αἰτίων μάλιστα γενομένων Πεισάνδρου καὶ Ἀντιφῶντος καὶ Θηραμένους, ἀνδρῶν καὶ γεγενημένων εὖ καὶ συνέσει καὶ γνώμη δοκούντων διαφέρειν.

P14 (≠ DK) Philostr. Vit. soph. 1.15, p. 15.16–26 Kayser ἀντιφῶντα δὲ τὸν Ῥαμνούσιον οὐκ οἶδ', εἴτε χρηστὸν δεῖ προσειπεῖν, εἴτε φαῦλον. χρηστὸς μὲν γὰρ προσ-

#### ANTIPHON

# The Politician (P12-P14)

# P12 (≠ DK) Thucydides, The Peloponnesian War

The man who proposed this resolution [scil. to establish the regime of the Four Hundred] was Peisander, who on other occasions too had publicly demonstrated great eagerness to overthrow the democracy. But the man who contrived the whole plan to bring matters to this point and who had devoted his attention to it for the longest time was Antiphon, a man second to none of the Athenians of his time in excellence and one who was extremely forceful in thinking and in saying what he thought; and though he did not willingly appear before the assembly or take part in any other debate, and was suspect in the eyes of the populace because of his reputation for great cleverness, he was nonetheless the one man who was most able to help whoever asked his advice when they were involved in contests, both in the law court and in the assembly [...].

P13 (≠ DK) Aristotle, The Constitution of the Athenians So this is how the oligarchy was established, under the archonship of Callias, about one hundred years after the expulsion of the tyrants; the principal men responsible were Peisander, Antiphon, and Theramenes, men who were well born and were thought to possess superior intelligence and judgment.

# P14 (≠ DK) Philostratus, Lives of the Sophists

As for Antiphon of Rhamnous, I do not know whether he should be called a good man or a wicked one. For on the

ειρήσθω διὰ τάδε· ἐστρατήγησε πλεῖστα, ἐνίκησε πλεῖστα, ἐξήκοντα τριήρεσι πεπληρωμέναις ηὕξησεν ᾿Αθηναίοις τὸ ναυτικόν, ἱκανώτατος ἀνθρώπων ἔδοξεν εἰπεῖν τε καὶ γνῶναι· διὰ μὲν δὴ ταῦτα ἐμοί τε ἐπαινετέος καὶ ἐτέρῳ. κακὸς δ' ἂν εἰκότως διὰ τάδε φαίνοιτο· κατέλυσε τὴν δημοκρατίαν, ἐδούλωσε τὸν ᾿Αθηναίων δῆμον, ἐλακώνισε κατ' ἀρχὰς μὲν ἀφανῶς, ὕστερον δ' ἐπιδήλως, τυράννων τετρακοσίων δῆμον ἐπαφῆκε τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίων πράγμασιν.

# Trial and Death (P15-P18)

**P15** ( $\neq$  DK) Thuc. 8.68.2

[... = P12] καὶ αὐτός τε, ἐπειδὴ τὰ τῶν τετρακοσίων ἐν ὑστέρω μεταπεσόντα ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου ἐκακοῦτο, ἄριστα φαίνεται τῶν μέχρι ἐμοῦ ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν τούτων αἰτιαθείς, ὡς ξυγκατέστησε, θανάτου δίκην ἀπολογησάμενος.

# **P16** (≠ DK) Ps.-Plut. Vit. X Orat. 833D-F, 834A-B

ψήφισμα ἐπὶ Θεοπόμπου ἄρχοντος, ἐφ' οὖ οἱ τετρακόσιοι κατελύθησαν, καθ' οἶ ἔδοξεν² ἀντιφῶντα κριθηναι, οἱ Καικίλιος παρατέθειται. "ἔδοξε τῆ βουλῆ μιῷ καὶ εἰκοστῆ τῆς πρυτανείας. Δημόνικος ἀλωπεκήθεν

#### ANTIPHON

one hand he is to be called a good man for the following reasons: he often held the office of general, he often gained victories, he increased the Athenian fleet by sixty fully equipped triremes, he was considered to be, of all men, the one most capable of speaking and understanding. For these reasons he deserves to be praised by me and by anyone else. But on the other hand he showed himself to be a wicked man in the following ways: he overthrew the democracy, he enslaved the Athenian populace, he supported the Spartan cause, at first in secret, but later in public, and he set loose the mob of the Four Hundred against the Athenian state.

# Trial and Death (P15–P18)

# P15 (≠ DK) Thucydides, The Peloponnesian War

[...] And later, when the actions of the Four Hundred had been reversed and were being assailed by the assembly and he was accused because he had helped to establish that regime, he was clearly the man who delivered the best speech of those who lived before me, when he defended himself on a capital charge regarding these same matters.

# P16 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plutarch, Lives of the Ten Orators

Caecilius has preserved the decree dating from the archonship of Theopompus [= 411 BC], when the regime of the Four Hundred was overthrown, which resolved that Antiphon should be tried: "Resolved by the Council on the twenty-first day of the prytany, Demonicus of Alo-

<sup>1</sup> ψήφισμα ante καθ' δ hab. mss.: secl. Duebner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ἔδοξαν mss., corr. Reiske

έγραμμάτευε, Φιλόστρατος Παλληνεύς3 ἐπεστάτει "Ανδρων εἶπε περὶ τῶν ἀνδρῶν, οὓς ἀποφαίνουσιν οί στρατηγοί πρεσβευομένους είς Λακεδαίμονα έπὶ κακώ της πόλεως της 'Αθηναίων, καὶ ἐκ⁴ τοῦ στρατοπέδου πλείν ἐπὶ πολεμίας νεώς καὶ πεζεύσαι διὰ Δεκελείας, Άρχεπτόλεμον καὶ Όνομακλέα καὶ Άντιφῶντα5 συλλαβείν καὶ ἀποδούναι εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον, όπως δώσι δίκην [. . .]." τούτω ὑπογέγραπται τῷ δόγματι ή καταδίκη. "προδοσίας ὧφλον Άρχεπτόλεμος Ίπποδάμου Άγρύληθεν παρών, Άντιφῶν Σωφίλου 'Ραμνούσιος παρών τούτοιν ἐτιμήθη τοῖς ἔνδεκα παραδοθήναι καὶ τὰ χρήματα δημόσια εἶναι καὶ τῆς θεοῦ τὸ ἐπιδέκατον, καὶ τὼ οἰκία κατασκάψαι αὐτῶν καὶ ὅρους θείναι τοίν οἰκοπέδοιν, ἐπιγράψαντας ΑΡ-ΧΕΠΤΟΛΕΜΟΎ ΚΑΙ ΑΝΤΙΦΩΝΤΟΣ ΤΟΙΝ ΠΡΟ-ΔΟΝΤΟΙΝ. [...] μὴ ἐξεῖναι θάψαι Άρχεπτόλεμον καὶ Άντιφωντα Άθήνησι, μηδ' δσης Άθηναίοι κρατούσι καὶ ἄτιμον εἶναι Ἀρχεπτόλεμον καὶ Ἀντιφῶντα καὶ γένος τὸ ἐκ τούτοιν, καὶ νόθους καὶ γνησίους καὶ ἐάν τις ποιήσηταί τινα των έξ Άρχεπτολέμου καὶ Άντιφῶντος, ἄτιμος ἔστω ὁ ποιησάμενος, ταῦτα δὲ γράψαι έν στήλη χαλκή καὶ ήπερ ἀνάκειται τὰ ψηφίσματα τὰ περὶ Φρυνίχου, καὶ τοῦτο θέσθαι."

#### ANTIPHON

pece being secretary and Philostratus of Pallene chairman. Andron moved concerning those men-Archeptolemus, Onomacles, and Antiphon-who the generals declare went as ambassadors to Lacedaemon to the detriment of the city of Athens, sailed from the camp on an enemy ship, and traveled by land through Decelia: that they be arrested and confined in prison in order to be punished. [. . .]" After this decree there follows the sentence: "Archeptolemus of Agryle, son of Hippodamus, present, and Antiphon of Rhamnous, son of Sophilus, present, were condemned for treason. They were sentenced to be delivered to the Eleven [i.e. the executioners], their property to be confiscated after one tenth had been dedicated to the goddess [i.e. Athena], their houses to be demolished, and boundary stones to be placed on their estates, bearing the inscription, '[scil. the property] of Archeptolemus and Antiphon, the traitors.' [. . .] it is forbidden to bury Archeptolemus and Antiphon in Athens or in any other land ruled by the Athenians. Archeptolemus and Antiphon are to be deprived of their civic rights and so too their descendants, both illegitimate and legitimate ones; and anyone who adopts any of the descendants of Archeptolemus and Antiphon shall himself be deprived of his civic rights. This is to be inscribed on a bronze column; and this as well is to be erected in the place where the decrees regarding Phrynichus<sup>1</sup> are set up.

<sup>3</sup> Πελληνεύς mss., corr. Taylor

<sup>4</sup> ék secl. Reiske

<sup>5 &#</sup>x27;Ονομαλέα et 'Αρχιφώντα mss., corr. edd.

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>mathrm{One}$  of the principal instigators of the oligarchic coup of June 411.

#### **P17** ( $\neq$ DK) Arist. *EE* 3.5 1232b6–9

[...] καὶ μᾶλλον ἂν φροντίσειεν ἀνὴρ μεγαλόψυχος, τί δοκεῖ ἐνὶ σπουδαίῳ ἢ πολλοῖς τοῖς τυγχάνουσιν, ὅσπερ ἀντιφῶν ἔφη πρὸς ἀγάθωνα κατεψηφισμένος τὴν ἀπολογίαν ἐπαινέσαντα.

# P18 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plut. Vit. X Orat. 833A-C

οί δ' ύπὸ τῶν τριάκοντα ἀνηρησθαι αὐτὸν ἱστοροῦσιν, ώσπερ Λυσίας έν τῷ ὑπὲρ τῆς Αντιφῶντος θυγατρὸς λόγω [Frag. 25a Carey]· ἐγένετο γὰρ αὐτῷ θυγάτριον, οὖ Κάλλαισχρος ἐπεδικάσατο. ὅτι δ' ὑπὸ τῶν τριάκοντα ἀπέθανεν, ἱστορεῖ καὶ Θεόπομπος ἐν τῆ πεντεκαιδεκάτη των Φιλιππικών [FGrHist 115 F120]· άλλ' οὖτός γ' ἂν εἴη ἔτερος, Λυσιδωνίδου πατρός [, , .]. έστι δὲ καὶ ἄλλος λόγος περὶ τῆς τελευτῆς αὐτοῦ. πρεσβευτὴν γὰρ ὄντα αὐτὸν εἰς Συρακούσας πλεῦσαι, ἡνίκα ἤκμαζεν ἡ τοῦ προτέρου Διονυσίου τυραννίς γενομένης δε παρά πότον ζητήσεως, τίς ἄριστός ἐστι χαλκός, καὶ τῶν πολλῶν διαφερομένων, αὐτὸν εἰπεῖν ἄριστον εἶναι έξ οὖ Άρμόδιος καὶ Άριστογείτων πεποίηνται τοῦτο δ' ἀκούσαντα τὸν Διονύσιον καὶ ὑπονοήσαντα προτροπὴν εἰς ἐπίθεσιν εἶναι τὸ ρηθὲν προστάξαι ἀναιρεθηναι αὐτόν οί δέ, ὅτι τὰς τραγωδίας αὐτοῦ διέσυρε, χαλεπήναντα.

#### ANTIPHON

#### P17 (≠ DK) Aristotle, Eudemian Ethics

III TEBIIL OL OLIONE ILEGA OL

[...] a great-souled man would be much more concerned about the opinion of a single serious man than about that of a multitude of ordinary ones, as Antiphon said, when he had been condemned, to Agathon, who was praising his defense speech.

# P18 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plutarch, Lives of the Ten Orators

Others report that he was killed by the Thirty, for example Lysias in his speech on Antiphon's daughter;1 for he left behind a young daughter, whom Callaeschrus sought to marry. Theopompus too, in Book 15 of his Philippics, reports that he was put to death by the Thirty; but this must be a different one [i.e. a different Antiphon], the son of Lysidonides [. . .]. There is also another story about his death: viz. that he sailed as ambassador to Syracuse, when the tyranny of the earlier Dionysius was at its height. During drinks the question arose, what was the best bronze, and many people proposed different answers; but he said that the best kind was that from which [scil, the statues of] Harmodius and Aristogeiton were made. When Dionysius heard this, he suspected that these words were an instigation to assassinate him and he ordered that he be killed. Others say that he was angry with him because he was disparaging his tragedies.

<sup>1</sup> This speech is lost.

# ANTIPHON [87 DK]

D

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to
On Truth (D1-D40)
Fragments Attested for or Attributable to
Book 1 (D1-D16)
Thought (D1)

**D1** Gal. *In Hipp. Med. off.* (XVIII.2, p. 656 Kühn) **a** (> B1)

καὶ πολλάκις [. . .] ἀντιδιαιρῶν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι τὴν γνώμην, πολλάκις εἴρηκεν, ὥσπερ καὶ ὁ ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ προτέρῳ τῆς ἀληθείας οὕτω λέγων "ταῦτα δὲ γνοὺς εἴσ<η> ἔν τι οὐδὲν αὐτῶν, οὕτε ὧν ὄψει ὁρῷ <ὁ ὁρῶν> μακρότατα οὕτε ὧν γνώμη γιγνώσκει ὁ μακρότατα γιγνώσκων" [. . . = D1b].

 $^1$ οὕτω λέγων. . . γιγνώσκων] locum variis corruptelis adfectum ita restituit Pendrick post Diels

#### ANTIPHON

D

# Fragments Attested for or Attributable to On Truth (D1-D40)<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Most of these texts are explicitly attributed by their sources. For the others, especially for the papyri fragments, the attribution is based on inference.

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Book 1 (D1–D16) Thought (D1)

**D1** Galen, Commentary on Hippocrates' On the Office of the Doctor

a (> B1)

[...] often distinguishing 'thought'  $(gn\hat{o}m\hat{e})$  from the sensations, he [scil. Critias, 88 B40] has often used the term, just like Antiphon, who says in the first book of *Truth*: "even if you perceive these things, you will not know at all any one of them, neither those which <he who sees> farthest sees by sight nor those which he who perceives farthest perceives by thought  $(gn\hat{o}m\hat{e})^{n1}$ [...].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The text of this sentence is very corrupt in the manuscripts and is very uncertain.

#### **b** (B2)

[... = D1a] καὶ "πᾶσι γὰρ ἀνθρώποις ἡ γνώμη τοῦ σώματος ἡγεῖται¹ καὶ εἰς ὑγίειαν καὶ νόσον καὶ εἰς τὰ ἄλλα πάντα."

1 ήρεῖται Μ: αιειται P, corr. edd.

Some Epistemological Terms (D2-D6)

# **D2** (B4) Harpoer. A.167

ἄοπτα· ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀόρατα καὶ οὐκ ὀφθέντα, ἀλλὰ δόξαντα ὁρᾶσθαι. Ἀντιφῶν Ἀληθείας α΄.

# **D3** (B5) Harpoor. A.170

ἀπαθή ἀντὶ τοῦ τὰ μὴ ὡς ἀληθῶς γεγονότα πάθη. ἀντιφῶν ἀληθείας α΄.

# **D4** (B6) Pollux Onom. 2.58–59

[...] καὶ διοπτεύειν Κριτίας καὶ ἀντιφῶν, ἀντιφῶν δὲ καὶ εἴσοπτοι.

# **D5** (B7) Pollux *Onom.* 2.57

'Αντιφων δε και το όψόμενον είπε και τη όψει οίον τοις όφθαλμοις και όπτηρ και άσπτα.

#### ANTIPHON

**b** (B2)

[...] and "for thought leads the body, for all humans, toward health and disease and toward everything else."

# Some Epistemological Terms (D2-D6)

**D2** (B4) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'unseen things': instead of 'invisible ones' and 'ones that are not seen, but are thought to be seen.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

D3 (B5) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'things not experienced': instead of 'experiences which did not truly happen.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

D4 (B6) Pollux, Onomasticon

[...] and 'to inspect,' Critias and Antiphon; and Antiphon also 'visible.'

### D5 (B7) Pollux, Onomasticon

Antiphon also said "what will see," and "by sight" to mean 'by the eyes,' and "eyewitness," and "unseen things" [cf. D2].

<sup>1</sup> The term is used by Antiphon the orator (5.27).

**D6** (< B8) Pollux Onom. 2.76

παρὰ μόνω δ' Ἀντιφωντι ὀδμὰς καὶ εὐοδμίαν εὕροι τις ἄν.

# Nature and Matter (D7-D8)

**D7** (B15) Harpoer. E.42

ἔμβιος· Ἀντιφῶν Ἀληθείας α΄· "καὶ ἡ¹ σηπεδῶν τοῦ ἔύλου ἔμβιος γένοιτο" ἀντὶ τοῦ ἐν τῷ ζῆν, τούτεστι ζήσειε καὶ μὴ ξηρανθείη μηδ' ἀποθάνοι.

 $^1\,\gamma\hat\eta$ post  $\dot\eta$ hab. A, del. Dindorf post Bekker: <br/>  $\dot\epsilon\nu$   $\gamma\hat\eta$ prop. Sedley

# D8 (< B15) Arist. Phys. 2.1 193a9-14

δοκεί δ' ή φύσις καὶ ή οὐσία τῶν φύσει ὄντων ἐνίοις εἶναι τὸ πρῶτον ἐνυπάρχον ἑκάστω ἀρρύθμιστον <ο̈ν> καθ' ἑαυτό, οἷον κλίνης φύσις τὸ ξύλον, ἀνδριάντος δ' ὁ χαλκός. σημεῖον δέ φησιν Άντιφῶν ὅτι, εἴ τις κατορύξειε κλίνην καὶ λάβοι δύναμιν ή σηπεδῶν ὅστε ἀνεῖναι βλαστόν, οὐκ ἃν γενέσθαι κλίνην ἀλλὰ ξύλον [. . .].

1 ⟨ôv⟩ Ross

#### ANTIPHON

D6 (< B8) Pollux, Onomasticon

Antiphon is the only one [scil. among the Attic prose authors] in whom one would find 'smells' and 'fragrance.'

1 Poetic terms found also in non-Attic prose.

# Nature and Matter (D7-D8)

D7 (B15) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'alive': Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth: "and the rotting of the wood would be alive" instead of 'in the condition of life,' that is, 'would live and not dry out or die.'

# D8 (< B15) Aristotle, Physics

Some people think that the nature and the substance of the things that are by nature is what is present first of all in each thing, without possessing configuration (arrhuth-mistos) in itself, as the nature of a bed is the wood, and that of a statue the bronze. Antiphon says that evidence of this is the fact that if one were to bury a bed and the rotting could acquire the power of sending up a shoot, it would not become a bed, but wood [...].

# Other Isolated Words Attested for Book 1 (D9–D16)

**D9** (B10)

#### a Suda A.435

άδέητος ὁ μηδενὸς δεόμενος καὶ πάντα ἔχων. Ἀντιφῶν ἐν α΄ Ἀληθείας "διὰ τοῦτο οὐδενὸς δεῖται, οὐδεὶ προσδέχεται οὐδενός τι, ἀλλ' ἄπειρος καὶ ἀδέητος."

1 οὖτε mss., corr. Bernhardy

# b Harpoer. A.26

άδέητος ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀνενδεής, παρ' Ἀντιφῶντι Ἀληθείας α΄.

# **D10** (B11) Harpoer. Δ.8

δεήσεις άντὶ τοῦ ἐνδείας. Άντιφῶν Άληθείας α΄.

### **D11** (B17) Anecd. Gr. 1.173.5 Bachmann

**ἀφροδίτης:** ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀφροδισίων, οὕτως ἀντιφῶν ἐν ἀληθείας πρώτω<sup>1</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> πρῶτα ms., corr. Bachmann

#### ANTIPHON

# Other Isolated Words Attested for Book 1 (D9–D16)

**D9** (B10)

a Suda

'unlacking': he who lacks nothing and possesses everything. Antiphon in Book 1 of *Truth*: "that is why he [i.e. perhaps: god] lacks nothing and receives nothing from anyone, but is unlimited and unlacking."

**b** Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'unlacking': instead of 'lacking nothing,' in Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

**D10** (B11) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'lackings': instead of 'needs.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

#### DII (B17) Anecdota Graeca

'of Aphrodite': instead of 'of sexual acts.' So Antiphon in the first book of *Truth*.

**D12** (B18)

a Harpocr. A.121

ἀναποδιζόμενα· ἀντὶ τοῦ¹ ἐξεταζόμενα, ἢ ἀντὶ τοῦ ἄνωθεν τὰ αὐτὰ πολλάκις λεγόμενα ἢ πραττόμενα. ἀντιφῶν ἀληθείας α΄.

1 τοῦ <πάλιν> Diels (cf. D12b)

Pollux Onom. 2.196
 ἀναποδιζόμενα ἀντιφῶν τὰ πάλιν ἐξεταζόμενα.

**D13** (B19)

a Harpocr. A.140

ἀνήκει παρ' Αντιφῶντι Άληθείας α΄ ἀντὶ ἀπλοῦ τοῦ ήκει, ἢ οἷον ἀνεβιβάσθη καὶ προελήλυθεν.

b Anecd. Gr. 1.96.25 Bachmann ἀνήκει ἀντιφῶν μὲν ἀντὶ τοῦ καθήκει [. . .].

**D14** (B14) Harpoer. Δ.42

διάθεσις: [. . . = R1] καὶ γὰρ τὸ ἡῆμα διαθέσθαι λέγουσιν ἐπὶ τοῦ διοικῆσαι. ἀντιφῶν ἀληθείας α΄ "γυμνωθεῖσα δὲ ἀφορμῆς πολλὰ ἄν καὶ καλὰ κακῶς διαθεῖτο."

#### ANTIPHON

**D12** (B18)

a Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators [scil. things] 'reexamined': instead of 'closely examined' or instead of 'the same things being said or done many times from the beginning.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

**b** Pollux, Onomasticon

[scil. things] 'reexamined': Antiphon, "closely examined again."

**D13** (B19)

a Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators

'comes up': in Antiphon, Book 1 of *Truth*, instead of the simple form 'comes,' or like 'has stepped up' and 'has gone forward.'

**b** Anecdota Graeca

'comes up': Antiphon instead of 'arrives' [...].

D14 (B14) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators

'organization': [...] For they use the verb 'to organize' for 'to arrange.' Antiphon, Book 1 of *Truth*: "stripped of resources (aphormê) it [i.e. thought?] would organize badly many fine things."

**D15** (B20) Harpoer. E.77

έπαλλάξεις ἀντὶ τοῦ συναλλαγὰς ἢ μίξεις. Ἀντιφῶν Ἀληθείας α΄.

**D16** (B21) Harpoer. O.31

οριγνηθήναι άντὶ τοῦ ἐπιθυμήσαι. Ἀντιφων Ἀληθείας α΄.

> Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Book 2 (D17–D35) Time and Eternity (D17–D18)

**D17** (< B9) Aët. 1.22.6 (Stob.) [περὶ οὐσίας χρόνου] ἀντιφῶν [. . .] νόημα ἢ μέτρον τὸν χρόνου [. . .].

**D18** (cf. B22) Phot. Lex. A.422 (cf. Harpocr. A.38) ἀειεστώ· ἀντιφῶν τὴν ἀϊδιότητα ἐν ἀληθείας β΄ καὶ τὸ ἐπὶ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀεὶ ἑστάναι [. . .].

The World Order (D19–D20)

D19 Harpoer.

**a** (B23)  $\Delta$ .40

διάστασις· 'Αντιφῶν 'Αληθείας β΄· "περὶ τῆς νθν κρατούσης διαστάσεως" ἀντὶ τοῦ διακοσμήσεως τῶν ὅλων.

#### ANTIPHON

**D15** (B20) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'interchanges': instead of 'exchanges' or 'mixtures.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

**D16** (B21) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'to stretch toward': instead of 'to desire.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth.

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Book 2 (D17–D35) Time and Eternity (D17–D18)

**D17** (< B9) Aëtius

Antiphon [...]: time is a thought or a measure [...].

**D18** (cf. B22) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'eternal being': Antiphon in the second book of Truth, eternity and always being in the same condition [...].

The World Order (D19-D20)

D19 Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Oratorsa (B23)

**'separation':** Antiphon, Book 2 of *Truth:* **"about the separation prevailing now"** instead of 'the ordering of the world.'

**b** (B24) A.30

άδιάστατον τὸ μήπω διεστηκὸς μηδὲ διακεκριμένον Αντιφών εἶπεν.

**D20** (B24a) Suda Δ.557

διάθεσις καὶ διατίθεσθαι [. . . =  $\mathbf{D41b}$ ] ἐν τῷ β΄ τῆς λληθείας ὁ αὐτὸς κέχρηται αὐτῷ καὶ ἐπὶ τῆς διακοσμήσεως.

The Vortex (D21)

**D21** (B25) Harpoer. Δ.12

δίνω άντὶ τοῦ δινήσει. Άντιφων Άληθείας β΄.

The Heavenly Bodies (D22–D24)
The Sun (D22)

**D22** (B26) Aët. 2.20.15 (Stob.) [περὶ οὐσίας ἡλίου]

'Αντιφών πῦρ ἐπινεμόμενον μὲν τὸν περὶ τὴν γῆν ὑγρὸν ἀέρα, ἀνατολὰς δὲ καὶ δύσεις ποιούμενον τῷ τὸν μὲν ἐπικαιόμενον ἀεὶ προλείπειν, τοῦ δ' ὑπονοτι-ζομένου πάλιν ἀντέχεσθαι.

#### ANTIPHON

**b** (B24)

'unseparated': Antiphon called by this term what has not yet been separated nor differentiated.

**D20** (B24a) Suda

**'organization'** and **'to be organized':** [...] In Book 2 of *Truth* the same man [i.e. Antiphon] has also applied the term to the world ordering.

The Vortex (D21)

**D21** (B25) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'by the vortex': instead of 'by the whirling motion.' Antiphon, Book 2 of Truth.

The Heavenly Bodies (D22–D24)
The Sun (D22)

**D22** (B26) Aëtius

Antiphon: [scil. the sun consists of] fire that is nourished by the moist air surrounding the earth, and that rises and sets because each time it leaves behind the air that has been burned and in turn adheres to the air that possesses some moisture.

The Moon (D23-D24)

**D23** (B27) Aët. 2.28.4 (Stob.; cf. Plut.) [ $\pi$ ερὶ φωτισμῶν  $\sigma$ ελήνης]

'Αντιφων ίδιοφεγγη μέν την σελήνην, το δε άποκρυπτόμενον περί αὐτην ὑπο της προσβολης τοῦ ἡλίου ἀμαυροῦσθαι, πεφυκότος τοῦ ἰσχυροτέρου πυρος! το ἀσθενέστερον ἀμαυροῦν· ὁ δὴ συμβαίνειν καὶ περὶ τὰ ἄλλα ἄστρα.

1 πυρὸς om. Stob.

**D24** (B28) Aët. 2.29.3 (Stob.) [περὶ ἐκλείψεως σελήνης] [...] Άντιφῶν κατὰ τὴν τοῦ σκαφοείδοῦς στροφὴν καὶ τὰς περικλίσεις.

# Meteorology~(D25)

**D25** (< B29) Gal. In Hipp. Epid. 3.33, p. 129.1–6

οὕτω [scil. εἰλλόμενον] δὲ καὶ παρ' ἀντιφῶντι κατὰ τὸ δεύτερον τῆς ἀληθείας ἔστιν εὐρεῖν γεγραμμένην τὴν προσηγορίαν ἐν τῆδε τῆ ῥήσει "ὅταν οὖν γένωνται ἐν τῷ ἀέρι ὅμβροι τε καὶ πνεύματα ὑπεναντία ἀλλήλοις, τότε συστρέφεται τὸ ὕδωρ καὶ πυκνοῦται κατὰ πολλά ὅ τι δ' ἂν τῶν ξυμπιπτόντων κρατηθῆ,¹ τοῦτ' ἐπυκνώθη καὶ συνεστράφη ὑπό τε τοῦ πνεύματος εἰλλόμενον² καὶ ὑπὸ τῆς βίας."

#### ANTIPHON

# The Moon (D23-D24)

**D23** (B27) Aëtius

Antiphon: the moon possesses its own light, but the obscured area around it is made dim by the impact of the sun, since what is stronger by nature makes dim what is weaker. This is what happens in the case of the other celestial bodies as well.

### **D24** (B28) Aëtius

[. . .] Antiphon: [scil. the lunar eclipse occurs] because of the rotation of the bowl-shaped body and its inclinations.

# Meteorology (D25)

D25 (< B29) Galen, Commentary on Hippocrates' Epidemics

In Antiphon too [scil. as in Plato], in the second book of Truth, one can find the term [i.e. 'compressed'] written in this way [scil. eillomenon] in the following passage: "so whenever rains and winds that oppose one another form in the air, the water comes together and condenses in many places; and, among the aggregates, whatever is dominated, undergoes condensation and aggregation, being compressed by both the air and its violence."

<sup>1</sup> This probably describes the formation of hail.

<sup>1</sup> κρατήση mss., corr. Sauppe, ut corruptum not. Pendrick

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> verbum leviter corruptum in mss. rest. Wenkebach

# The Earth (D26)

#### D26

**a** (B30) Harpocr. Γ.18

γρυπάνιον 'Αντιφων 'Αληθείας β΄. "καιον γαρ την γην και συντηκον γρυπάνιον ποιεί."

**b** (cf. B31) Phot. Lex. Γ.220 (et al.)

γρυπανίζειν σείεσθαι τὴν γῆν παλλομένην καὶ ὅσπερ ρυσοῦσθαι² ἀπὸ σεισμοῦ· οὕτως ἐχρήσατο ἀντιφῶν.

 $^{1}$  παλλομένην Anecd. Gr. 1.228 Bekker et Etym. Mag. s.v. γυπάνειν: βαλλομένην ms.  $^{2}$  ρυσοῦσθαι Etym. Gen. et Etym. Mag.: ρυποῦσθαι ms.: γρυποῦσθαι Theodoridis

# The Sea (D27)

**D27** (B32) Αët. 3.16.4 (Plut.)  $[\pi \epsilon \rho i \theta \alpha \lambda \acute{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta s \pi \acute{\omega} s \sigma \upsilon \iota \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha i \pi \acute{\omega} s \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \iota \kappa \rho \acute{\alpha}]$ 

'Αντιφων ίδρωτα του θερμου, έξ οὖ τὸ περιληφθέν ύγρὸν ἀπεκρίθη, τῷ καθεψηθήναι παραλυκίσαντα,¹ ὅπερ ἐπὶ παντὸς ἱδρωτος συμβαίνει.

1 παραλυκίσασα Mm: παρακυλίσασα Π: corr. Xylander

#### ANTIPHON

# The Earth (D26)

#### **D26**

a (B30) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'wrinkled': Antiphon, Book 2 of Truth: "for burning the earth and making it melt, it makes it wrinkled."

b (cf. B31) Photius, Lexicon

'to become wrinkled': for the earth, to quake when it is shaken and as it were to shrivel because of an earthquake. This is how Antiphon used it.

### The Sea (D27)

### **D27** (B32) Aëtius

Antiphon: [scil. the sea is] the sweat that comes from heat from which the enclosed moisture has separated out, and that has turned salty from having been boiled, which is what happens to every kind of sweat.

Human Anatomy and Medicine (D28-D35)

**D28** (B29a, Nachtrag, vol. II, p. 426.17–35) Gal. *Nom. med.*, p. 34.9–38 Meyerhof-Schacht

وإن احتجت منى إلى شهادة استدل بها من كلام أصحاب الريطوريقي لتعلم أن أولئك أيضا إنما كانوا يريدون بقولهم حمى الحرارة النارية الخارجة عن الطبع فلسمع قول أنطيفون حيث يقول فهذه أشباء قد أخبرتك أن المرة كانت تقعلها لأنها كانت في البدين والرجلين وأما التي كانت تتأدى إلى اللحم فإنما كانت إذا كان مقدارها كثيرا أحدثت حميات دائمة لأن اللحم إذا صارت إليه حدث به منها فساد في نفس جوهره وتورم فالحرارة الخارجة عن الطبع تكون من هذا الموضع فأما دوامها وأطباقها فيكون من قبل المرة إذا كانت كثيرة في اللحم لم تنصب وتخف سريعا بل كانت تبقى بأن تصبر عند الحرارة الخارجة عن الطبع . فأنت تجد الطبغون في كلامه هذا لم يقتصر على أن يسمى الحرارة الخارجة عن الطبع وهو بريتي بالاسم الذي يسميها به جميع اليونانيين من أهل اللغة المعروفة باطبقي وهو بريتي فقال إن في جميع المحمومين حرارة تسمى بهذا الاسم دون أن أخبرك كيف تكون هذه المقالة والمدرارة فنسب السبب في كونها إلى المرار. وكذلك قال أيضا في هذه المقالة

#### ANTIPHON

Human Anatomy and Medicine (D28-D35)

D28 (B29a, Nachtrag, vol. II, p. 426.17-35) Galen, On Medical Names

If you require me to provide you with a quotation from the rhetoricians that illustrates that when they say 'fever' they mean 'an unnatural fiery heat' (so that you can know this), then listen to what Antiphon said: "I have informed you that these are things that bile produces, because it is present in the hands and feet. If the quantity of bile which reaches the flesh is great, it brings about constant fevers. For if it arrives at the flesh, this produces putrefaction in its very essence, so that it [i.e. the flesh] swells. Unnatural heat is generated from this location [i.e. where the flesh putrefies and produces a swelling]. Their [scil. the fevers'] length and extent is determined by the bile: if it is plentiful in the flesh, they do not dissipate and diminish quickly, but rather remain, because the unnatural heat endures." You can see that Antiphon does not limit himself to calling 'unnatural heat' by the word used by all Greeks speaking the dialect known as Attic, namely puretoi. For he said that in all patients suffering from fever, there is a heat called by this word, before informing you how this heat is generated: he attributes the cause for its generation to bile. In the same second book of his treatises On Truth, he has a passage attributing the cause for the generation of fever to bile, saying: "Whatever [scil. bile] reaches the flesh, generates severe and long-lasting fevers." After-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Arabic text only offers traces of letters (the so-called rasm), and can be read in a variety of ways; the previous editors restored ' $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \eta$ ,' but they recognized that this makes little sense in this context.

الثانية بعينها من مقالاته في الحق قو لا نسب فيه السبب في كون الحمى إلى المرار فقال وأما كل شيء منها يتأدى إلى اللحم فإنه يولد حميات شديدة طويلة المدة. ثم إنه من بعد هذا إذا أمعن في القول قليلا يلقب الحرارة التي تكون على غير المجرى الطبيعي في النقرس بغير اللقب الذي يلقبها به جميع أصحابه فيسميها فلغموني وحمى و هذان اسمان يدلان في هذا الموضع على اللهبب. [...] وأما أنهم كانوا يسمون ذلك أيضا حمى فأنت تستدل على ذلك من هذا القول الذي أنسخه لك من قول أنطيفون فالعروق إذا وردها أكثر مما تحتمل انفتحت وبسبب هذا يحدث بها فلغموني وصارت توجع صاحبها وإذا استحكم ذلك سميت فلغموني فالمة نقرسا.

**D29** (B33) Harpoer. Π.65; cf. Φ.25 (F33b Pendrick)

[...] ὅτι γὰρ καὶ ἐπ' ἀνθρώπων τάσσουσι τὴν φορίνην δῆλον ποιεῖ ἀντιφῶν ἐν β' ἀληθείας.

**D30** (B38) Pollux Onom. 2.7

[. . .] καὶ ἄμβλωμα ὡς ἀντιφῶν.

**D31** (B34) Pollux Onom. 2.41

[. . .] καὶ καρηβαρικὸν ποτὸν ἢ βρῶμα τὸ δὲ τοῦτο ποιείν καροῦν ἀντιφῶν φησίν.

#### ANTIPHON

ward, he continues his discussion for quite a while, employing a term for the unnatural heat during gout which is different from that used by all the patients having it [i.e. the unnatural heat]: he calls it 'phlegmonê' and 'fever.' At this place, these two words refer to 'burning [lahīb].' [...] They [scil. the ancients] used to call this [scil. phlegmonê] also fever. You can deduce this from the quotation from Antiphon which I have copied for you [scil. here]: "If more than they can tolerate comes to the blood vessels, they burst. For this reason, phlegmonê occurs in them. When phlegmonê occurs and they [i.e. the blood vessels] cause the patient pain, and if it [i.e. the phlegmonê] becomes inveterate, then this disease is called gout."

<sup>2</sup> Translated by Peter E. Pormann.

D29 (B33) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators

 $[\ldots]$  For Antiphon makes it clear in Book 2 of Truth that they also apply the term 'hide' to human beings.

 $^{\rm 1}$  Usually used for the thick skin of certain animals, like the tortoise or elephant.

D30 (B38) Pollux, Onomasticon

[...] and 'abortion,' like Antiphon.

D31 (B34) Pollux, Onomasticon

[...] and a drink or food that causes headache: this effect Antiphon calls 'stupefying.'

**D32** (B39) Pollux Onom. 2.61

'Αντιφών δ'  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν τοῖς Περὶ ἀληθείας καὶ ἀνάπηρα εἴρηκεν.

**D33** (B35) Pollux Onom. 2.215

[. . .] καὶ ἐναιμῶδες παρὰ ἀντιφῶντι.

D34 (B36) Pollux Onom. 2.223

καὶ ἀντιφῶν δ' εἴρηκεν "ἐν ῷ τὸ ἔμβρυον αὐξάνεταί τε καὶ τρέφεται, καλεῖται 'χόριον.'"

D35 (B37) Pollux Onom. 2.224

[. . .] ἐπίπλους [. . .] ἀντιφών δ' αὐτὸν καὶ ἀρρενικώς καὶ οὐδετέρως καλεῖ.

Fragments Not Assigned to a Specific Book of On Truth (D36-D40) Geometry (D36)

**D36** (< B13)

a Arist. Phys. 1.2 185a16-17

[...= R16a] τὸν τετραγωνισμὸν τὸν μὲν διὰ τῶν τμημάτων γεωμετρικοῦ διαλῦσαι, τὸν δὲ ἀντιφῶντος οὐ γεωμετρικοῦ.

#### ANTIPHON

D32 (B39) Pollux, Onomasticon
Antiphon in his books On Truth has also said 'mutilated.'

p33 (B35) Pollux, Onomasticon

[. , .] and 'blood-like' in Antiphon.

D34 (B36) Pollux, Onomasticon

And Antiphon has said, "that in which the fetus grows and is nourished is called the 'chorium' ('afterbirth')."

D35 (B37) Pollux, Onomasticon

[...] *epiplous*<sup>1</sup> [...] Antiphon uses the word both as masculine and as neuter.

<sup>1</sup> The omentum, a fold of peritoneum that connects the stomach with other organs of the abdomen.

Fragments Not Assigned to a Specific Book of On Truth (D36–D40) Geometry (D36)

**D36** (< B13)

a Aristotle, Physics

[...] it is up to the geometer to refute the squaring [scil. of the circle] by means of segments, but it is not up to the geometer [scil. to refute] that of Antiphon.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aristotle also mentions Antiphon's procedure, without giving further details, at *Sophistic Refutations* 172a7.

**b** Simpl. In Phys., p. 54.12, 20-22

τὸν γὰρ τετραγωνισμὸν τοῦ κύκλου πολλῶν ζητούντων [. . . cf. R16b], ὁ δὲ ἀντιφῶν γράψας κύκλον ἐνέγραψέ τι χωρίον εἰς αὐτὸν πολύγωνον τῶν ἐγγράφεσθαι δυναμένων [. . . = R15].

Rejection of Divine Providence (D37)

**D37** (< B12) Orig. Cels. 4.25

[...] ἀντιφῶν ἄλλος ῥήτωρ νομιζόμενος εἶναι καὶ τὴν πρόνοιαν ἀναιρῶν ἐν τοῖς ἐπιγεγραμμένοις Περὶ ἀληθείας [... =  $\mathbf{R17}$ ].

Justice and Nature (D38)

**D38** (B44) P.Oxy. 1364 + 3647 + 1797 (*CPF* Antipho 1–2) **a** (B44A) P.Oxy. 1364, Frag. 1

[Col. 1]  $\mu\iota$  [. . .] δικα[ιοσ]ύνη | [δ' οὖ]ν τὰ² τῆς πόι[λεω]ς νόμιμα, | [ἐν ἢ] ᾶν πολι|[τεύ]ηταί τις,  $\mu$ ὴ | [παρ]-αβαίνειν. | χρῷτ' ἄν οὖν | ἄνθρωπος μάλλιστα ἐαυτῷ

#### ANTIPHON

b Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics

Many people were looking for how to square the circle [...]. Antiphon drew a circle and then inscribed within it one of the polygons that can be inscribed in it [...] [cf. R14-R16].

Rejection of Divine Providence (D37)

D37 (< B12) Origen, Against Celsus

[...] Antiphon, who is thought to be another orator [scil. besides Demosthenes], and who abolishes providence in his books entitled *On Truth* [...].

Justice and Nature (D38)

D38 (B44) Oxyrhynchus papyri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The original sequence of the fragments printed here as **D38a** and **b** is uncertain: we adopt the order proposed by the first editors, Grenfell and Hunt, followed by DK, but Funghi has argued that the sequence of the fragments should be inverted (cf. *CPF* Antipho 1–2, vol. 1\*, p. 183).

a (B44A)

[Col. 1] . . . <therefore > justice is not to <trans>gress against the legal institutions (nomima) <of whatever > city one happens to be a citizen of. So a man would make use of justice in the way that would be most advantageous for himself if, in the presence of witnesses, he considered that it is the laws that are great, but, alone and without witnesses, that it is what belongs to nature. For what belongs to the laws

| ξυμφ $[\epsilon]$ ρόντως | δικαιο $[\sigma]$ ύνη,  $\epsilon$ ί | μετὰ μὲν μαρ|τύρων τ[ο]ὺς νόΙμους μεγά[λο]υς Ι ἄγοι,3 μονούμεΙνος δὲ μαρτύρων τὰ τῆς φύισεως τὰ μὲν γὰρ Ιτῶν νόμων | [έπίθ]ετα, τὰ δὲ | [τῆς] φύσεως ἀ|[ναγ]καῖα· καὶ τὰ |[μὲν]τῶν νό|[μω]ψ ὁμολογη<math>|[θέντ]ᾳ οὐ φύν|[τα] $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma \tau \dot{\iota}]\nu, \ \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ | \ [\tau \hat{\eta}_{S} \ \phi \dot{\upsilon}\sigma] \epsilon \omega_{S} \ \phi \dot{\upsilon}\nu | \ [\tau \alpha \ o \dot{\upsilon}\chi] \ \dot{\delta}\mu o \lambda o \gamma \eta |[\text{Col. 2}] \theta[\epsilon] \nu \tau \alpha$ . τὰ οὖν νόμι $|\mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha$ ίνων  $|\epsilon i \ \mathring{\alpha} \nu^1$ λάθη τοὺς Ιόμολογήσαντας Ικαὶ αἰσχύνης Ικαὶ ζημίας ἀΙπήλλακται, μὴ Ιλαθών δ' οὔ τῶν Ιδὲ τῆ φύσει ξυμιφύτων έάν τι² | παρά τὸ δυνατὸν | βιάζηται, ἐάν | τε πάντας ἀν|θρώπους λάθη, | οὐδὲν ἔλαττον Ιτὸ κακόν, ἐάν τε Ιπάντες ἴδωσιν, Ιοὐδὲν μεῖζον Ιού γὰρ διὰ δόξαν Ιβλάπτεται, ἀλλὰ Ιδι' ἀλήθειαν. ἔστι | δὲ τῶνδε πάντων³ ἔνε|κα τούτων ἡ σκέ|ψις, ὅτι τὰ πολλὰ Ιτῶν κατὰ νόμον δικαίων Ιπολεμίως τῆ Ι φύσ $[\epsilon\iota]$  κεῖται· νε\νο $[\mu o]\theta[\epsilon]$ τηται | γὰρ  $[\epsilon]πί$  τε τοῖς ό $|\phi[\theta]$ αλμοῖς,  $\hat{a}$  δε $\hat{i}$  | [Col. 3] αὐτο $[\hat{v}]$ ς ὁρ $\hat{a}v$  κα $\hat{i}$  |  $\hat{a}$  οὐ  $[\delta \epsilon]$  $\hat{\imath}$ · καὶ ἐπὶ | τοῖς ἀσίν, ἃ δεῖ αὐ|τὰ ἀκούειν καὶ | α οὐ δεῖ· καὶ ἐπὶ τῆ Ιγλώττη, ἄ τ[ε] Ιδεῖ αὐτὴν λέγειν Ι καὶ ἃ οὐ δεῦ καὶ ἐΙπὶ ταῖς χερσίν, Ι ἄ τε δεῦ αὐτὰς δράν | καὶ ἃ οὐ δεῖ· καὶ | ἐπὶ τοῖς ποσίν, ἐΙφ' ἄ τε δεῖ αὐτοὺς Ι ἰέναι καὶ ἐφ' ἃ οὐ Ιδεῦ καὶ ἐπὶ τῷ νῷ, | ὧν τε δεῖ αὐτὸν | ἐπιθυμεῖν καὶ | ὧν μή. [ἦττο]ν¹ οὖν  $\mid$ οὐδὲν  $\tau[\hat{\eta}]$  φύσει  $\mid$  φιλιώτ $[\epsilon\rho]$ α οὐδ' οἰ $\mid$ κειότε $[\rho\alpha]$  ἀφ'

#### ANTIPHON

is <adventi>tious, but what belongs to nature is necessary. And what belongs to the laws is the product of an agreement, not of nature, but what <belongs to nature) is the product of nature, not of an agreement. [Col. 2] So if someone transgresses against legal institutions without being noticed by those who agreed upon them, he escapes shame and punishment; but if they notice, he does not. But if, contravening what is possible, he does violence to anything produced by nature, the harm is not less if no man notices him, and it is not greater if all men see him. For it is not because of opinion that he is harmed, but because of the truth. Our examination of all these points is for the sake of the following thesis: that most of the things that are just according to the law are established in a way that is hostile to nature. For laws have been established regarding the eyes, [Col. 3] saying what they must see and what they <must> not; and regarding the ears, what they must hear and what they must not; and regarding the tongue, what it must say and what it must not; and regarding the hands, what they must do and what they must not; and regarding the feet, where they must go and where they must not; and regarding thought, what it must desire and what it must not. But what the laws deter people from is not <less>

<sup>3</sup> cf. Harpocration A.7 (Anecd. Gr. 13 Bachmann): ἀντιφῶν τῷ Περὶ ἀληθείας φησὶ τοὺς νόμους μεγάλους ἄγοι, ἀντὶ τοῦ ἡγοῖτο.

Col. 2  $^{1}$   $\epsilon \hat{i}$   $\hat{\alpha}\nu$  *GPF*:  $\hat{\eta}$  ante,  $\hat{\eta}\iota$  post corr.:  $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\alpha}\nu$  Pendrick:  $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{i}\hat{\alpha}\nu$  Wilamowitz  $^{2}$   $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\alpha}\nu$   $\tau\iota$  GrH:  $\hat{\epsilon}\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon$  pap.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  τωνδε a.c.: παντων p.c. (παν suprascr.): πάν<τως> τῶνδε Diels Col.  $^3$  [ἦττο]ν CPF: [ἔστι]ν GrH, Pendrick: [οὐ με]ν Diels: [οὐ]κ Wilamowitz

 $\mathring{\omega}_{\nu}$  | οἱ νόμο[ι ἀ]ποτρέ|πουσι τ[οὺς] ἀν[θ]ρώπ[ους] |  $\mathring{\eta}$  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi^{\prime}$   $\dot{\alpha}$   $[\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}]^{2}|\pi\sigma\nu\sigma[\iota\nu]\cdot\tau[\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho^{3}]$  |  $\zeta\hat{\eta}\nu$   $[\dot{\epsilon}]\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$  $\phi$ ύ $|\sigma \epsilon \omega_S \kappa[ai \tau]$ ο  $\dot{a}\pi o |\theta a \nu[\epsilon \hat{i}] \nu$  καὶ το  $|\mu \epsilon \nu [\zeta] \hat{\eta} \nu$  $a \dot{v} \tau [o \hat{i} s^4] + \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota [\nu \ \dot{a}] \pi \dot{o} \ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu + \xi \upsilon \mu [\phi \epsilon \rho \dot{o}] \nu \tau \omega [\nu,] + \tau \dot{o} \ \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  $\dot{a}$ [ποθανεῖν] | ἀπὸ τ[ῶν μὴ ξυμ-]|[Col. 4]φερόντω[ν. τὰ | δὲ ξυμφέρ[οντα] | τὰ μὲν ὑπ[ὸ τῶν] | νόμων | κε[ί]| $μενα^1 δεσμ[οὶ]^2 | της φύσεως έ[στι,] | τὰ δ' ὑπὸ της$ φύ|σεως ἐλεύθερα. [οὔ]|κουν τὰ ἀλγύ|νοντα ὀρθῷ γε λ[ό]|γω ὀνίνησιν τὴ[ν] | φύσιν μᾶλλον | ἢ τὰ εὐφραίνονίτα οὔκουν [α]ν οὐίδὲ ξυμφέρονίτ εἴη τὰ λυποῦ[ντa] | μâλλον η τ[à η]|δοντ[a]· τà γàρ τφ | dλη[θε] $\hat{\imath}$  $\xi \nu \mu \phi \epsilon |\rho[\nu \nu \tau] a \quad \text{où} \quad \beta \lambda \acute{a} |\pi[\tau \epsilon \iota] \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \quad \mathring{a} \lambda [\lambda' \quad \mathring{\omega}] |\phi[\epsilon] \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu.$ τὰ τοίνυ[ν] | τῆ φύσει ξυμ|φέροντα τ[α]υτ[...[25-31α illegible]  $[\ldots]_{\nu \tau a \iota} \kappa a[\iota] \mid [o\iota \tau \iota \nu \epsilon] \circ a \nu \mid \pi a \mid [Col. 5]$  $[\theta \acute{o}ν]τες$  ἀμύνων[ται κ]αὶ μὴ αὐτοὶ  $[\mathring{a}ρχ]ωσι τοῦ$  $\delta \rho \hat{a} v + [\kappa a \hat{i} \ o] \tilde{i} \tau \iota \nu \epsilon [\varsigma] \hat{a} \nu + [\tau o \hat{\nu} \varsigma] \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu a \mu \epsilon [\nu o \nu] \varsigma \kappa a \hat{i}$ κακούς | όντας είς αύτους | εὖ ποιώσιν καὶ οἱ | κατόμνυσθαι | διδόντες έτέ ροις, αὐτοὶ δὲ μὴ | κατομνύμε [νοι.] καὶ τούτων | τῶν εἰρημένων | πόλλ' ἄν τις εύ|ροι πολέμια τη Ιφύσει ένι τ' έν αὐ|τοῖς ἀλγύνεσθαί | τε μᾶλλον έξὸν | ήττω, καὶ ἐλάτ|τω ήδεσθαι έξον | πλείω, καὶ κακώς | πάσχειν έξον | μὴ πάσχειν. | εί μεν οὖν τις | [τ]οῖς τοιαῦτα προ<σ>|[ι]εμένοις1  $\epsilon$ πικού $[\rho]$ ησις  $\epsilon$ γίγνε[το] παρὰ τῶν νό $[\mu]$ ων, τοῖς δ $\epsilon$ μη | [π]ρο(σ>ιεμένοις, ² ἀλ|[λ' έ]ναντιουμέ|[ν]οις ἐλάτ-

#### ANTIPHON

agreeable or akin to nature than what they <indu>ce them toward. (For) to live and to die belong to nature; and to live comes (for them) from what is be ne icial, to die from what is not [Col. 4] bene/ficial. As for what is beneficial, what is established (by the) laws are fetters upon nature, while what is established by nature is free. If one reasons correctly, what causes pain does <not> help nature more than what causes pleasure; and so what causes pain would not be more beneficial either than what pleases. For what is truly beneficial must be not harmful but helpful. So what is beneficial to nature ... and <those who> [Col. 5] defend themselves after they have suffered some harm, and do not themselves <seize the init >iative in acting; <and those > who treat <their> parents well, even if these have been bad to them; and those who allow other people to swear an oath, but do not themselves swear an oath. And many of the things mentioned will be found to be hostile to nature: for they entail that people suffer more pain, when less would be possible, and that they have less pleasure, when more would be possible, and that they undergo suffering, when it would be possible not to do so. Well then, if the laws provided some help for those who submit to such situations, and loss for those who do not submit to them

<sup>2 [</sup>ϵπιτρϵ]- Schöne, CPF: [προτρϵ]- GrH

 $<sup>^3\</sup>tau[\grave{o}\ \gamma\grave{a}\rho]$  GrH, *CPF*:  $\tau[\grave{o}\ \delta\grave{e}]$  Pendrick:  $\tau[\grave{o}\ \delta'\ a\mathring{\sigma}]$  Diels:  $\pi[\acute{a}\lambda\iota\nu\ \tau\grave{o}]$  Wilamowitz  $^4$   $a\mathring{v}\tau[\hat{o}\hat{s}]$  GrH, *CPF*:  $a\mathring{v}\tau[\hat{\eta}]$  Pendrick

Col. 4  ${}^{1}$ κε[i]μενα GrH: κεκ[ρι]μένα von Arnim: κεκ[ελευσ]-μένα Diels  ${}^{2}$  δεσμ[οι] CPF: δεσμ[α] GrH

Col. 5 1,2 προ<σ>[ι]εμένοις GrH

τωσις, | [Col. 6] οὖκ ἀν[ωφελὲς ἂν] $^1$  | ἦν τ[ὸ ἐν τοῦς  $νό]|μοις πε<math>\hat{\iota}[σμα·ν\hat{\upsilon}ν]^2 |δεφαίνε[ται το \hat{\iota}ς]|προσι \epsilon \mu [\epsilon \nu o \iota \varsigma] \mid \tau \grave{a} \tau o \iota a \mathring{v} \tau a \tau \grave{o} \epsilon [\kappa] \mid \nu \acute{o} \mu o \nu \delta \acute{\iota} \kappa a \iota [o \nu] \mid o \mathring{v} \chi$ ίκανὸν ἐπιΙκουρείν· ὅ γε πρώΙτον μὲν ἐπιτρέ πει τῷ πάσχον|τι παθεῖν καὶ τῷ | δρῶντι δρᾶσαι: | καὶ οὔτε ένταῦ θα διεκώλυε τὸν Ιπάσχοντα μὴ Ιπαθεῖν οὕτε τὸν | δρῶντα δρᾶσαι: | εἴς τε τὴν τιμω|ρίαν ἀναφερό|μενον οὐδὲν | ἰδιώτερον ἐπὶ |  $[\tau]$ ῷ πεπονθότι |  $\mathring{\eta}^3$  $τ \hat{\omega}$  δεδρακό $[τ \iota]$   $πε \hat{\iota}[\sigma]$ αι γὰρ δ $[ε \hat{\iota}]$   $[α[\mathring{\upsilon}] τ \hat{\varrho} ν^4$   $[τ ο] \hat{\upsilon}$ ς  $\tau[\iota\mu\omega]\rho[\dot{\eta}\sigma\circ\nu\tau]as^5$  ώς ἔ $|\pi a\theta \epsilon \nu$ ,  $[\dot{\eta}]^6$  δύνα $|\sigma\theta a\iota$   $d\pi[\dot{\alpha}\tau]$ η δί|κην [ἔχει]ν. ταὐ $|τα^7$  δὲ κ[α]ταλεί|πετα[ι] καὶ  $\tau \hat{\omega} = \delta \rho \hat{a} |\sigma a \nu \tau[\iota \ \hat{a}] \rho \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota + [\text{Col. 7}] [1-4 \text{ illegible}]$  $[\ldots \tau \hat{\omega} \mid [\hat{a}\pi o] \lambda o \gamma [o \hat{v} v \tau \hat{\epsilon}] \mid \sigma \tau i v \dot{\eta} \dot{a}\pi [o \lambda o - v \hat{\omega}]$ γία] | ὅσηπερ τ[ῷ κα] |<br/>τηγοροῦν [τι ή] | κατηγορ<br/>[ία, ή δὲ] |  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \grave{\omega}$  ἀν[ $\tau \iota \pi a \lambda o s$ ] |  $\tau \hat{\psi}$   $\gamma \epsilon$   $\pi \epsilon [\pi o \nu \theta \acute{o}] | \tau \iota$  καὶ  $\tau \hat{\psi}$ [δεδρα] κότι έγίγ $[νετο^1]$  | γὰρ ν[

Col. 6 Ι ἀν[ωφελές ἃν] GrH: ἀν[όνητον ἂν] Diels έν τοῖς νό]μοις πεῖ[σμα· νῦν] CPF: τ[ὸ τοῖς νό]μοις πεῖ[σμα·  $ν \hat{v} v$  Diels:  $τ[\grave{o} το \hat{i} s ν \acute{o}] μοι s πεί[θεσθαι· <math>ν \hat{v} v$ ] GrH  $4 \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}[\sigma]$ αι γὰρ  $\delta[\epsilon \hat{\imath}] \alpha[\dot{\imath}] \tau$ ον l. 400) ante  $\hat{\eta}$  hab. in marg. pap. 5 [το] υς τ[ιμω]-CPF:  $\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}[\sigma] \alpha \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \ \hat{\alpha}[\nu \ \tilde{\epsilon}] \lambda[o \iota] \tau o$  Diels  $\rho$ [ήσοντ]as CPF post Diels: [το]ν̀s τ[ιμω] $\rho$ [οῦντ]as GrH 7 ταὐτὰ CPF: ταῦτα Janko, 6 [n] CPF: [καὶ] Diels Col. 7 1 εγίγ[νετο CPF: γίγ[νεται GrH Pendrick

**b** (B44 Fragment B) P.Oxy. 1364, Frag. 2 + P.Oxy. 3647 [Col. 1] [Illegible] [Col. 2] . . .  $ρων^1 ἐπ[ιστάμε]|θά τε κ[αὶ σέβομεν·]| τοὺς$ 

#### ANTIPHON

but who oppose them, [Col. 6] it would not <be use >less <to obey the laws. But as it is, > it is evident that, for those who submit to such situations, a just outcome deriving from a law is not enough to provide them help. First, it permits the sufferer to suffer and the doer to do. And just as, at that time [i.e. before the crime, it did not prevent either the sufferer from suffering or the doer from doing, so too when reference is made to it for the purpose of punishment, it is not more on the side of the one who has suffered than on that of the one who has done. For it is necessary that he persuade < those people who will exact punishment> that he has suffered, <or> that he be capable of <obtaining> justice by deceit. But the doer too is permitted to deny the same things. [Col. 7] ... exactly as much of a <defense > is available to the <defendant > as the accusation that is available to the accuser, and persuasiveness is <br/>
balanced> for the one <who has suffered and for the one (who has done). For it happened . . .

**b** (B44B)

2 τ [ò

[Col. 1] [Illegible]

[Col. 2] . . . (we know them and we respect them); but

Col. 2 Ι [τῶν μὲν ἐγγυτέ]ρρων vel [οἰκειοτέ]ρων Funghi; [των πατέ]ρων CPF: [των ἐγχω]ρων Pendrick, alii alia

δὲ [τῶν τη] λοῦ οἰκ [ούν] των | οὖτε ἐπί [στ] άμε θα οὖτε σέβομεν. | ἐν τ [ο] ὑτῳ οὖν | πρὸς ἀλλήλους | βεβαρβαρώμε θα ἐπεὶ φύσει γε | πάντα πάντες | ὁμοίως πεφύκ [α] | μεν καὶ βάρβα | ροι καὶ ελλην [ες] | εἶναι. σκοπεῖν | δ[ὲ] παρέχει τὰ | τῶν φύσει [ὄντων] 2 | ἀναγκαῖ [α ἐν] 3 | πᾶσιν ἀν [θρώ] | ποις π [οριζόμεν ά] | τε κατὰ τ [ὰς αὐτὰς] | δυνά [μεις ἄπασι,] | καὶ ἐν [αὐτοῖς τού] | τοις οὔτε β [άρβα] | ρος ἀφώρι [σται] | ἡμῶν ο [ὐδεὶς] | οὔτε ελλην ἀναπνέομέν | τε γὰρ εἰς τὸν ἀ | έρ[α] ἄπαντες | κατὰ τὸ στόμ [α] | [κ] αὶ κατ [ὰ] τὰς ρίνας κ [αὶ γελῶ] | [με]ν χ [αίροντες τῷ] 5 | [νῷ ἢ] δακρύ | [Col. 3] ομε [ν] λυπού | μενοί καὶ τῆ αὐγῆ | μετὰ τῆς φθόγ | γους εἰσδεχόμε θα καὶ τῆ αὐγῆ | μετὰ τῆς φθοίς ποσὶν βαδ [ίζο] | μεν. υβ [

 $^2$  [ὄντων] GrH: [ὁμοίως] Fränkel  $^3$  ἀναγκαῖα[α ἐν] CPF: ἀναγκαί[ων] GrH: ἀναγκαῖ[α] Funghi  $^4$  κ[αὶ γελῶμε]ν Funghi  $^5$  χ[αίροντες τῷ] Funghi: χ[αίρον- Luppe

# e (B44) P.Oxy. 1797

[Col. 1].....] τοῦ δικαίου | [.....]. ου δοκουν | [... τὸ] μαρτυρεῖν | [ἀλ]λήλοις τάληθη | [δίκαιο]ν νομίζεται | [εἶναι] καὶ χρήσιμον | [οὐδὲν] ήττον εἰς | [τὰ τῶν] ἀνθρώπων | [ἐπιτ]ηδεύματα. | [τοῦτο] τοίνυν οὐ δί | [καιος] ἔσται ὁ ποιῶν, | [εἴπε]ρ² τὸ μὴ ἀδικεῖν | [μηδ]ένα μὴ ἀδι| [κού]μενον αὐτὸν | [δίκ]αιόν ἐστιν ἀνάγ| [κη] γὰρ τὸν μαρτυ | [ροῦ] υτα, κᾶν ἀλη | [θῆ] μαρ-

#### ANTIPHON

those [scil. probably laws] of those people who live far away we neither know nor do we respect them. Thus in this regard we have become barbarians toward each other, since, in nature at least, we are all fitted similarly by nature in all regards to be both barbarians and Greeks. But it is possible to examine what is necessary (in what exists) by nature for all humans and <what is provided to them > in conformity with <the same > properties, and in < these things none > of us has been defined as either bearbaria or Greek. For we all breathe into the air through our mouth and nose; <we laugh when we are happy in our mind> [Col. 3] or we weep when we are grieved; we take in sounds by our hearing, and we see by means of light with our vision; we do work with our hands and we walk with our feet . . .

c (B44)

[Col. 1]... of what is just... to provide true testimony to one another is considered <to be just> and to be <not at all> less useful for people's <act>ivities. But a man who does <this> will not be <just, if it is true that> not to commit injustice against anyone, if one has not suffered injustice oneself, is just. For necessarily a man who provides testimony, even if he provides true testimony, all the same commits injustice

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  [ἀλ]λήλοις Pendrick: ἐν ἀλ]λήλοις GrH: ἐπ' ἀλ]λήλοις Fränkel  $^{2}$  εἴπε]ρ Bignone: καὶ γὰ]ρ GrH

τυρ $\hat{\eta}$ , ὅμως | [ἄλλον π]ως ἀδικε $\hat{\iota}$ ν | [. . . . . α]ὐτὸν $^3$  $\mathring{a}$ [δι]κ $\mathring{\epsilon}$ [ $\mathring{\rho}$ σθαι [] | [...] ν $\epsilon$ ν $\epsilon$ [.....] $^4$  | [ $\mathring{\epsilon}$ ]ν  $\mathring{\psi}$  δι $\mathring{a}$   $\tau$ [ $\mathring{a}$ ύπ' ἐκεί][v]ου μαρτ $[v\rho\eta\theta$ έν]]τα ἀλίσκ $[\epsilon]$ ται ὁ κα]ταμαρτυρούμενος καὶ ἀπόλλυσιν ἢ! χρήματα ἢ αὐτὸν  $| [\delta]$ ιὰ τοῦτον ὃν οὐδὲν  $| [\dot{a}]$ δικεῖ ἐν μὲν οὖν | τούτω τὸν καται[μ]αρτυρούμενον [[ά]δικεῖ, ὅτι οὐκ ἀδιι[κο]θντα έαυτὸν ἀι[δι]κεί· αὐτὸς δ' ἀδικείι[ται ύ]πὸ τοῦ καταμαρ[τυρηθ]έντος, ὅτι μι[σεῖται] ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τάι[Col. 2]ληθη μαρτυ[ρή]|σας· καὶ οὐ μόν[ον] | τῷ μίσει, ἀλλὰ [καὶ] Ι ὅτι δεῖ αὐτὸν τ[ὸν] Ι αἰῶνα πάντα φυλάττεσθαι τοῦτο $[\nu]$   $\mid$  οὖ κατεμαρτύρ $[\eta]$  $\mid$ σεν· ώς  $\dot{v}πάρχε[ι]$  | γ' α $\dot{v}τ\hat{φ}$   $\dot{\epsilon}χθρ$ ος τοιο[ $\hat{v}$ ]|τος, οἷος καὶ λέγειν | καὶ δρᾶν εἴ τι δύν[αι]|το κακὸν αὐτόν. κα[ί]|τοι ταῦτα φαίνεται Ι οὐ σμικρὰ ὄντα τάΙδικήματα, οὕτε | ἃ αὐτὸς ἀδικεῖται | οὕτε ἃ ἀδικεῖ· οὐ γὰρ | οῗόν τε ταθτά τε δί<br/>|καια εἶναι καὶ τὸ μη $|[\delta]$ ἐν ἀδικεῖν μη $|[\delta è]$ αὐτὸν ἀδικεῖσθαι, |[ἀλ]λ' ἀνάγκη ἐστὶν  $|[\mathring{\eta}]$  τὰ ἔτερα αὐτῶν | [δ]ίκαια εἶναι ἢ ἀμ|φότερα ἄδικα. φαί|νεται δὲ καὶ τὸ δικά|ζειν καὶ τὸ κρίνειν | καὶ τὸ διαιτᾶν, όπως | ầν περαίνηται, οὐ | δίκαια ὄντα· τὸ γὰρ | [ἄ]λλους ώφελοῦν ἄλι[λο]υς βλάπτει ἐν δὲ Ι [τού]τω οί μεν ώφελού [μενο]ι οὐκ ἀδικοῦ[ν] [ται, οί] δε βλαπτό $με[νοι] | [ἀδικο] <math>\hat{v}ντα[ι.....$ 

#### ANTIPHON

against <another person> in some way. . . . to suffer injustice himself . . . in which, <because of the testimony that man provides,> the one against whom he testifies is convicted and loses either his money or his life because of this man against whom he commits no injustice. Thus in this case he commits injustice against the one against whom he provides testimony, because he commits injustice against someone who is not committing injustice against himself; and he himself suffers injustice from the one against whom he provides testimony, because he (is hated) by him for having [Col. 2] provided true testimony and not only because of the hatred, but (also) because for the rest of his whole life he must be on his guard against this man against whom he has provided testimony, for he has the sort of enemy who would do him any harm he could, in word and in deed. And yet it is evident that these are not petty injustices, neither the ones that he himself suffers nor the ones he commits. For it is not possible both that these things be just, and at the same time that one not commit injustice nor suffer injustice oneself; <br/>
<br/>
ti is necessary <either> that [scil. only] one of them be just or that both of them be unjust. It is also evident that judging, deciding, and adjudicating, in whatever way these are performed, are not just. For what benefits some harms others; and in this case. the ones who are benefited do not suffer injustice, while those who are harm<ed do suffer injust>ice . . .

<sup>3 [</sup>εἶτα δὲ α]ὐτὸν CPF

<sup>4 [</sup>ἐν μίσει οὖ]ν ἐνε[χόμενος CPF

Wealth (D39)

#### D39

a (< B43) Harpoer. A.2

ἄβιος· τὸν ἄβιον Ἀντιφῶν ἐπὶ τοῦ πολὺν τὸν² βίον κεκτημένου ἔταξεν [. . .].

 $1 \stackrel{\cdot}{\epsilon} \pi \stackrel{\cdot}{n}$  Epitome Harp.:  $\stackrel{\circ}{a} \nu \tau \stackrel{\circ}{n}$  mss.  $2 \stackrel{\circ}{\tau} \stackrel{\circ}{o} \nu$  Epitome Harp.: om. mss.

**b** (B43) Hesych. A.127

ἄβιος πλούσιος ώς Άντιφῶν ἐν Άληθείą.

Precautions (D40)

**D40** (B3) Pollux Onom. 6.143

[...] ἀπαρασκεύφ γνώμη ἐν τοῖς Περὶ ἀληθείας ἀντιφῶν εἶπεν, ἀπαρασκεύαστον δ' ἐν ταῖς ῥητορικαῖς τέχναις—δοκοῦσι δ' οὐ γνήσιαι [...].

Fragments Attested for On Concord (D41–D49)
Organization of the Discourse (D41)

#### **D41**

a (B63) Harpoer. Δ.42

διάθεσις: [...] ἀντὶ τοῦ διοίκησις ὁ αὐτὸς ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας: "ἀλλὰ εἰδότες τὴν διάθεσιν ἀκούουσιν."

#### ANTIPHON

# Wealth (D39)

#### D39

a (< B43) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'opulent' (abios): Antiphon applied 'opulent' to the man who possesses a large livelihood (bios) [...].

**b** (B43) Hesychius, *Lexicon*'opulent': 'wealthy,' as Antiphon in *Truth*.

# Precautions (D40)

D40 (B3) Pollux, Onomasticon

[...] 'with unprepared thought,' says Antiphon in his writings *On Truth*, but 'unreadied' in his technical handbooks of rhetoric (but these are considered to be inauthentic) [...].

Fragments Attested for On Concord (D41–D49) Organization of the Discourse (D41)

### **D41**

a (B63) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators

'organization': [...] instead of 'arrangement,' the same man [i.e. Antiphon] in his On Concord: "but, knowing the organization, they listen."

**b** (B24a) Suda Δ.557

διάθεσις καὶ διατίθεσθαι [. . .] Άντιφῶν δὲ τἢ διαθέσει ἐχρήσατο ἐπὶ γνώμης ἢ διανοίας ὁ αὐτὸς καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ διαθεῖναι λόγον, τουτέστιν ἐπὶ τοῦ ἐξαγγεῖλαί τι. [. . . = **D20**]

Human Pretentions (D42)

**D42** (B48) Phot. Lex. Θ.48

θεειδέστατον θεοῦ ἰδέαν ἔχον. εἶπε δὲ ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας οὕτως ἄνθρωπος, ὅς φησι μὲν πάντων θηρίων θεειδέστατον γενέσθαι.

Human Realities: Names of Barbarian Peoples (D43-D45)

**D43** (B45) Harpoer. Σ.28

Σκιάποδες 'Αντιφων έν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας. ἔθνος ἐστὶ Λιβυκόν.

**D44** (B46) Harpoer. M.2

Μακροκέφαλοι 'Αντιφών έν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας. ἔθνος ἐστὶν οὕτω καλούμενον [. . .].

#### ANTIPHON

b (B24a) Suda

'organization' and 'to be organized': [...] Antiphon applied 'organization' to an intention or thought. The same man also applied it to organizing a discourse, that is, to proclaiming something. [...]

# Human Pretentions (D42)

D42 (B48) Photius, Lexicon

'most godlike': possessing the outward appearance of a god. Antiphon spoke as follows in his On Concord: "a human being, who on the one hand claims to be the most godlike of all the beasts."

Human Realities: Names of Barbarian Peoples (D43–D45)

**D43** (B45) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'Shadowfeet': Antiphon in his On Concord; it is a people of Libya.

**D44** (B46) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'Longheads': Antiphon in his On Concord. There exists a people called by this name [...].

**D45** (< B47) Harpoer. T.8

ύπὸ γῆν οἰκοῦντες· λέγοι ἂν τοὺς [. . .] λεγομένους Τρωγοδύτας¹ [. . .]. ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας.

1 Τρωγλοδύτας mss., corr. Untersteiner

Good and Bad Characteristics and Sentiments (D46–D49) Moderation (D46)

**D46** (B70) Harpoer. E.156

εὐηνιώτατα: ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας. εὐήνιος ὁ<sup>1</sup> πρᾶος καὶ μέτριος καὶ μὴ ταραχώδης. ἡ μεταφορὰ ἀπὸ τῶν ἵππων.

1 δ Epit. Harp: ἐστι mss.

Hesitation (D47)

### **D47**

a (B55) Phot. Lex. I.133

ίνα· ὅπου. ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῆς ὁμονοίας· "ὀκνείνὶ ἴνα οὐδὲν ἔργον ὀκνείν."

1 ἀκνεῖν Suda I.360; om. Phot.

#### ANTIPHON

**D45** (B47) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'Those who dwell under the earth' (perhaps he is speaking of the ones [...] called 'Trogodytes' [...]. Antiphon in his On Concord.

> Good and Bad Characteristics and Sentiments (D46–D49) Moderation (D46)

**D46** (B70) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'most obedient to the reins': Antiphon in his On Concord. Obedient to the reins is someone who is tame, moderate, and not disordered. The metaphor comes from horses.

### Hesitation (D47)

### **D47**

a (B55) Photius, Lexicon

'where': in the place in which. Antiphon in his On Concord: "to hesitate where it does no good to hesitate."

## **b** (B56) Suda O.116

όκνω φοβούμαι. [...] καὶ οἱ ῥήτορες οὐκ ἐπὶ δειλίας καὶ ῥαθυμίας ἐχρήσαντο τῷ ὀνόματι, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τοῦ φόβου καὶ τοῦ φοβεῖσθαι. ἀντιφων "κακὸς δ' ἄν, εἰ¹ ἀποῦσι μὲν καὶ μέλλουσι τοῖς κινδύνοις τῆ γλώττη θρασύνεται καὶ τῷ θέλειν ἐπείγει, τὸ δ' ἔργον ἂν παρῆ, ὀκνεῖ."

1 ắ $\nu$ ,  $\epsilon \hat{i}$ ] ἀ $\epsilon \hat{i}$  Gaisford: ἀ $\nu$  < $\epsilon \hat{i}$ η>  $\epsilon \hat{i}$  Diels: ἀ $\nu$   $\epsilon \hat{i}$  < $\epsilon \hat{r}$ η'> Sauppe

# Regret (D48)

# **D48** (B52) Harpoer. A.111

ἀναθέσθαι 'Αντιφών Περὶ ὁμονοίας: "ἀναθέσθαι δὲ ὅσπερ πεττὸν τὸν βίον οὐκ ἔστιν" ἀντὶ τοῦ ἄνωθεν βιώναι μετανοήσαντας ἐπὶ τῷ προτέρῳ βίῳ. εἴρηται δὲ ἐκ μεταφορᾶς τῶν πεττευομένων.

# False Friends (D49)

## **D49** (B65) Suda Θ.434

θωπεία· κολακεία [. . .] 'Αντιφών εν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας· "πολλοὶ δ' ἔχοντες φίλους οὐ γινώσκουσιν, ἀλλ' εταίρους ποιοῦνται θῶπας πλούτου καὶ τύχης κόλακας."

### ANTIPHON

### **b** (B56) Suda

'I hesitate': I am afraid. [...] and the orators did not use the word for cowardice and laziness, but for fear and being afraid. Antiphon: "he would be worthless, if about absent and future dangers he is bold with his tongue and presses on with desire, but hesitates when the task is at hand."

## Regret (D48)

**D48** (B52) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'to retract': Antiphon, On Concord: "it is not possible to retract one's life like a move in checkers," instead of 'to live one's life again as one regrets one's earlier life.' The expression comes from the metaphor of checkers.

## False Friends (D49)

## **D49** (B65) Suda

'flattery': adulation. [...] Antiphon in his On Concord: "many men who have friends do not know them, but they take as their companions people who flatter their wealth and adulate their good fortune."

Fragments Attributable to
On Concord (D50–D63)
The Unsuccessful Life (D50–D59)
The Defects of Human Life (D50–D51)

**D50** (B51) Stob. 4.34.56

'Αντιφώντος.¹ εὐκατηγόρητος πᾶς ὁ βίος θαυμαστώς, ὧ μακάριε, καὶ οὐδὲν ἔχων περιττὸν οὐδὲ μέγα καὶ σεμνόν, ἀλλὰ πάντα σμικρὰ καὶ ἀσθενῆ καὶ ὀλιγοχρόνια καὶ ἀναμεμειγμένα λύπαις μεγάλαις.

1 Άντιφωντος ed. Trincav.: ἀντιφάνους mss.

**D51** (B50) Stob. 4.34.63

Αντιφώντος, τὸ ζῆν ἔοικε φρουρῷ ἐφημέρῳ τό τε μῆκος τοῦ βίου ἡμέρᾳ μιῷ, ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, ἡ ἀναβλέψαντες πρὸς τὸ φῶς παρεγγυῶμεν τοῖς ἐπιγιγνομένοις ἑτέροις.

Anxieties (D52-D53)

**D52** (B53) Stob. 3.10.39

Άντιφωντος, οἱ δὲ ἐργαζόμενοι μὲν καὶ φειδόμενοι καὶ

#### ANTIPHON

Fragments Attributable to
On Concord (D50–D63)
The Unsuccessful Life (D50–D59)
The Defects of Human Life (D50–D51)

**D50** (B51) Stobaeus, Anthology<sup>1</sup>

Of Antiphon: It is astonishingly easy to find fault with all of life, my friend, since it possesses nothing exceptional nor great and imposing, but everything in it is little, weak, ephemeral, and mixed with great pains.

<sup>1</sup> There is some doubt in the case of this and the following quotations from Stobaeus regarding which Antiphon is involved, which work, and whether they are genuine: cf. Pendrick 2002, pp. 39–40. But the grounds for suspicion about their authenticity seem less weighty than in the case of e.g. Pythagoras (cf. **PYTHS. R36**) or Democritus (cf. **ATOM. D227** and the following), and we have therefore not printed these fragments in italics (but we have not set them in boldface either).

D51 (B50) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: Living is like a day-long sentry duty, and the whole length of life is like a single day, as it were, during which no sooner have we raised our eyes toward the light than we pass on the baton to other people who come after us.

1 Cf. Plato, Phaedo 62b.

Anxieties (D52–D53)

D52 (B53) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: People who work and economize, and toil

ταλαιπωρούντες καὶ προστιθέντες ἥδονται οἶα δή τις ἃν εἰκάσειεν ἥδεσθαι· ἀφαιρούντες δὲ καὶ χρώμενοι ἀλγούσιν, ὤσπερ ἀπὸ τῶν σαρκῶν ἀφαιρούμενοι.

**D53** (B53a) Stob. 3.16.20

Αντιφώντος, εἰσί τινες οἱ τὸν παρόντα μὲν βίον οὐ ζώσιν, ἀλλὰ παρασκευάζονται πολλῆ σπουδῆ ὡς ἔτερόν τινα βίον βιωσόμενοι, οὐ τὸν παρόντα καὶ ἐν τούτφ παραλειπόμενος ὁ χρόνος οἴχεται.

# Cowardice (D54)

**D54** (B57) Stob. 3.8.18

'Αντιφώντος. νόσος δειλοίσιν ξορτή οὐ γὰρ ἐκπορεύονται ἐπὶ πράξιν.

False and True Temperance (D55-D56)

**D55** (B58) Stob. 3.20.66

Αντιφώντος. ὅστις δὲ ἰων ἐπὶ τὸν πλησίον κακῶς ποιήσων δειμαίνει μὴ ἃ θέλει ποιῆσαι άμαρτων τούτων ἃ μὴ θέλει ἀπενέγκηται, σωφρονέστερος. ἐν ῷ γὰρ δειμαίνει, μέλλει ἐν ῷ δὲ μέλλει, πολλάκις ὁ διὰ μέσου χρόνος ἀπέστρεψε τὸν νοῦν τῶν θελημάτων καὶ ἐν μὲν τῷ γεγενῆσθαι οὐκ ἔνεστιν, ἐν δὲ τῷ μέλλειν

#### ANTIPHON

and accumulate, feel a pleasure of the sort that one might guess them to feel. But when they take some of it [scil. what they have acquired] away and make use of it, they feel a pain as though it were some of their own flesh they were taking away.

# D53 (B53a) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: There are some people who do not live the present life but make preparations with great effort as though they were going to live some different life, and not the present one. And while they are doing this, the time that they are neglecting is gone.

## Cowardice (D54)

**D54** (B57) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: For cowards, illness is a holiday; for then they do not have to set out for action.

# False and True Temperance (D55–D56)

D55 (B58) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: Someone who sets out against another man intending to do him harm, and fears that he will fail in his purpose and will obtain what he does not want, demonstrates a certain temperance. For as long as he fears, he hesitates; and while he hesitates, it often happens that the lapse of time deters his mind from its intentions. And this is not possible for what is past, but it is possible for this to

ἐνδέχεται¹ γενέσθαι. ὅστις δὲ δράσειν μὲν οἴεται τοὺς πέλας κακῶς, πείσεσθαι δ' οὔ, οὖ² σωφρονεῖ. ἐλπίδες δ' οὖ πανταχοῦ ἀγαθόν πολλοὺς γὰρ τοιαῦται ἐλπίδες κατέβαλον εἰς ἀνηκέστους συμφοράς, ἃ δ' ἐδόκουν τοὺς³ πέλας ποιήσειν, παθόντες ταῦτα ἀνεφάνησαν αὐτοί. σωφροσύνην δὲ ἀνδρὸς οὐκ ἂν ἄλλου⁴ ὀρθότερόν τις κρίνειεν, ἢ ὅστις τοῦ θυμοῦ ταῖς παραχρῆμα ἡδοναῖς ἐμφράσσει αὐτὸς ἑαυτὸν κρατεῖν τε καὶ νικᾶν ἠδυνήθη αὐτὸς ἑαυτόν. ὁς δὲ θέλει χαρίσασθαι τῷ θυμῷ παραχρῆμα θέλει τὰ κακίω ἀντὶ τῶν ἀμεινόνων.

1 ἐνδέχεται <μὴ> Bücheler 2 οὐ om. MA 3 τοὺς Meineke: τοῖς S 4 ἄλλου Jacoby: ἄλλος S

**D56** (B59) Stob. 3.5.57

'Αντιφώντος, ὄστις δὲ τῶν αἰσχρῶν ἢ τῶν κακῶν μήτε ἐπεθύμησε μήτε ἥψατο, οὐκ ἔστι σώφρων οὐ γὰρ ἔσθ' ὅτου κρατήσας αὐτὸς ἐαυτὸν κόσμιον παρέχεται.

# Marriage and Family (D57-D58)

**D57** (B49) Stob. 4.22.66

'Αντιφώντος, φέρε δη προελθέτω ὁ βίος εἰς τὸ πρόσθεν καὶ γάμων καὶ γυναικὸς ἐπιθυμησάτω, αὕτη ἡ ἡμέρα, αὕτη ἡ νὺξ καινοῦ δαίμονος ἄρχει, καινοῦ πότμου, μέγας γὰρ ἀγὼν γάμος ἀνθρώπῳ, εἰ γὰρ τύχοι μὴ ἐπιτηδεία γενομένη, τί χρὴ τῆ συμφορῷ χρῆ-

#### ANTIPHON

happen for something in the future. But someone who supposes that he can do harm to other men, without himself suffering, does <not> show temperance. Hopes are not always a good thing: for hopes of this sort have cast many men into incurable misfortunes, and the evil they expected to inflict on others they turned out to suffer themselves. One would attribute temperance most correctly only to that man who blocks himself from the immediate pleasures of his desire and is himself capable of dominating and defeating himself. But someone who wants to satisfy his desire immediately wants what is worse instead of what is better.

# D56 (B59) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: Someone who has not desired nor touched what is shameful or evil is not temperate: for there is nothing in which he shows himself to be well-ordered by dominating it.

# Marriage and Family (D57-D58)

# D57 (B49) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: Come then, let us suppose that someone's life has moved forward and that he feels a desire for marriage and a wife. This very day, this very night is the beginning of a new personal fortune, of a new destiny. For marriage is a great trial for a man. For if the woman turns out to be unsuitable, what is he to do about this misfor-

σθαι; χαλεπαὶ μὲν ἐκπομπαί, τοὺς φίλους ἐχθροὺς ποιῆσαι, ἴσα φρονοῦντας ἴσα πνέοντας, ἀξιώσαντα καὶ ἀξιωθέντα· χαλεπὸν δὲ καὶ ἐκτῆσθαι¹ κτῆμα τοιοῦτον, δοκοῦντα ἡδονὰς κτᾶσθαι λύπας ἄγεσθαι.

φέρε δή, μὴ τὰ παλίγκοτα λέγωμεν, λεγέσθω τὰ πάντων ἐπιτηδειότατα. τί γὰρ ἥδιον ἀνθρώπω γυναικὸς καταθυμίας; τί δὲ γλυκύτερον ἄλλως τε καὶ νέω; έν τῷ αὐτῷ δέ γε τούτῳ, ἔνθα τὸ ἡδύ, ἔνεστι πλησίον που καὶ τὸ λυπηρόν αἱ γὰρ ἡδοναὶ οὐκ ἐπὶ σφῶν αὐτῶν ἐμπορεύονται, ἀλλ' ἀκολουθοῦσιν αὐταῖς λῦπαι καὶ πόνοι. ἐπεὶ καὶ ὀλυμπιονίκαι καὶ πυθιονίκαι καὶ οί τοιούτοι άγωνες καὶ σοφίαι καὶ πάσαι ήδοναὶ ἐκ μεγάλων λυπημάτων έθέλουσι παραγίνεσθαι τιμαί γάρ, ἆθλα-δελέατα, α ὁ θεὸς ἔδωκεν ἀνθρώποιςμεγάλων πόνων καὶ ἱδρώτων εἰς ἀνάγκας καθιστᾶσιν.² έγω γάρ, εί μοι γένοιτο σώμα έτερον τοιοῦτον3 οἷον έγὼ έμαυτῷ, οὐκ ἂν δυναίμην ζῆν, οὕτως έμαυτῷ πολλά πράγματα παρέχων ὑπέρ τε τῆς ὑγιείας τοῦ σώματος ὑπέρ τε τοῦ καθ' ἡμέραν βίου ἐς τὴν ξυλλογην ύπέρ τε δόξης καὶ σωφροσύνης καὶ εὐκλείας καὶ τοῦ εὖ ἀκούειν τί οὖν, εἴ μοι γένοιτο σῶμα ἔτερον τοιοῦτον, ὅ γέ μοι οὕτως ἐπιμελὲς εἴη; οὐκ οὖν δῆλον, ότι γυνη ἀνδρί, ἐὰν ἢ καταθυμία, οὐδὲν ἐλάττους τὰς φιλότητας παρέχεται καὶ τὰς ὀδύνας ἢ αὐτὸς αύτῷ ύπέρ τε της ύγιείας δισσών σωμάτων ύπέρ τε τοῦ βίου της συλλογης ύπέρ τε της σωφροσύνης καὶ της εὐκλείας:

#### ANTIPHON

tune? Divorce is difficult: to make enemies out of his friends, people who think the same as he does and who breathe the same, after he has given them his esteem and received theirs. But it is also difficult to hold onto a possession of this sort, when, imagining that he was acquiring pleasures, he brings home pains.

Come then, let us not speak of disagreeable matters, let it be the most suitable things of all that we speak of. For what is more pleasant for a man than a woman that is in accord with his own heart? And what is sweeter, especially for a young man? And yet precisely where there is pleasure, pain too is somewhere near at hand. For pleasures do not travel on their own, but are accompanied by pains and toils. Olympian and Pythian victories, other contests of this sort, the forms of wisdom (sophiai), and all pleasures tend to be attained at the cost of great sufferings. For honors, prizes—these lures that god has set out for humans-impose on them the constraint of great toil and sweat. As for me, if I had a second body like the one I have for myself, I could not live, so many are the troubles I give myself for my body's health, for earning a living every day, and for a reputation, for temperance, for renown, and for a good name. What then if I had a second body like this to which I had to devote such care? Is it not evident that a wife causes her husband, when she is in accord with his spirit, just as many pleasures and pains as he causes himself for the sake of the health of two bodies and for earning a living, for temperance, and renown?

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ καὶ ἐκτῆσθαι Diels: κεκτῆσθαι mss.  $^2$ καθιστῶσιν mss., corr. Sauppe  $^3$  τοιοῦτον <ἐπιμελὲς ὂν> Diels, alii alia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> καὶ post συλλογῆς hab. mss., secl. Sauppe

φέρε δη καὶ παίδες γενέσθωσαν φροντίδων ήδη πάντα πλέα καὶ έξοίχεται τὸ νεοτήσιον σκίρτημα ἐκ τῆς γνώμης καὶ <τὸ>5 πρόσωπον οὐκέτι τὸ αὐτό.

<sup>5</sup> <τò> Sauppe

**D58** (B66) Clem. Alex. Strom. 6.19.7

'Αντιφων ὁ ἡήτωρ λέγει "γηροτροφία γὰρ προσέοικεν παιδοτροφία."

Wealth and Foolishness (D59)

**D59** (B54) Stob. 3.16.30

Αντιφώντος. ἔστι δέ τις λόγος, ὡς ἄρα ἰδὼν ἀνὴρ ἄνδρα ἔτερον ἀργύριον ἀναιρούμενον πολὺ ἐδείτό οἱ δανείσαι ἐπὶ τόκῳ ὁ δ' οὐκ ἡθέλησεν, ἀλλ' ἦν οἷος ἀπιστεῖν τε καὶ μὴ ὡφελεῖν μηδένα φέρων δ' ἀπέθετο ὅποι¹ δή καί τις καταμαθὼν τοῦτο ποιοῦντα ὑφείλετο ὑστέρῳ δὲ χρόνῳ ἐλθὼν οὖχ εὕρισκε τὰ χρήματα ὁ καταθέμενος. περιαλγῶν οὖν τῆ συμφορῷ τά τε ἄλλα καὶ ὅτι οὐκ ἔχρησε τῷ δεομένῳ, ὁ ἂν αὐτῷ καὶ σῷον ἦν καὶ ἔτερον προσέφερεν, ἀπαντήσας δὲ τῷ ἀνδρὶ τῷ τότε δανειζομένῳ ἀπωλοφύρετο τὴν συμφοράν, ὅτι ἐξήμαρτε καὶ ὅτι οἱ μεταμέλει οὐ χαρισαμένῳ, ἀλλ' ἀχαριστήσαντι, ὡς² πάντως οἱ ἀπολόμενον³ τὸ ἀργύ-

#### ANTIPHON

Come then, and let us suppose that children are born too: now everything is full of worries, youthful frolicking is gone from the mind, and one's face is no longer the same.

D58 (B66) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

Antiphon the orator says, "Taking care of the elderly is like taking care of children."

Wealth and Foolishness (D59)

D59 (B54) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: There is a story that when one man saw another man taking out some money, he implored him to lend it to him at interest. But the other man refused—he was a distrustful kind of man, disinclined to help anyone. He carried the money away and hid it somewhere; but someone noticed him doing this and stole it. When the man who had hidden the money came back later he could not find it. In great distress at his misfortune—especially because he had not lent his money to the man who needed it, for it would not only have been safe for him, it would also have brought in more—he ran into the man who had wanted to borrow money at that time and he lamented his misfortune, saying that he had made a mistake and that he regretted that he had refused to do this favor instead of granting it, since in any case he had lost the money. The

<sup>1</sup> ποΐ mss., corr. Blass 2 ώς Blass: καὶ mss.

<sup>3</sup> οί ἀπολόμενον: verba leviter corrupta in mss. corr. Gesner

ριον. ὁ δ' αὐτὸν ἐκέλευε μὴ φροντίζειν, ἀλλὰ νομίζειν αὐτῷ εἶναι καὶ μὴ ἀπολωλέναι καταθέμενον λίθον εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ χωρίον "πάντως γὰρ οὐδ' ὅτε ἦν σοι, ἐχρῶ αὐτῷ, ὅθεν μηδὲ νῦν νόμιζε στέρεσθαι μηδενός." ὅτῳ γάρ τις μὴ ἐχρήσατο μηδὲ χρήσεται, ὅντος ἢ μὴ ὅντος αὐτῷ οὐδὲν οὕτε πλέον οὕτε ἔλασσον βλάπτεται. ὅταν γὰρ ὁ θεὸς μὴ παντελῶς βούληται ἀγαθὰ διδόναι ἀνδρί, χρημάτων πλοῦτον παρασχών, τοῦ φρονεῖν ‹δὲ› καλῶς πένητα ποιήσας, τὸ ἔτερον ἀφελόμενος ἐκατέρων ἀπεστέρησεν.

4 <δὲ> Sauppe

Aspects of Life in Society (D60-D63) Friendship (D60-D61)

**D60** (B62) Stob. 2.31.41

ὅτ $\psi^1$  τις ἂν τὸ πλείστον τής ἡμέρας συνή, τοιοῦτον ἀνάγκη γενέσθαι καὶ αὐτὸν τοὺς τρόπους.

haec sententia caret lemmate in Stob., sed sequitur duas sententias Antiphonti attributas; eam refinxit Spengel ut duos versus Menandri  $1 (\delta) \tau \omega$  ms., corr. Pendrick

**D61** (B64) Φιλοσόφων λόγοι 62 (11 Schenkl) = Excerpta Vind. 44 (4.293 Meineke)

'Αυτιφών· αἱ νέαι φιλίαι ἀναγκαῖαι μέν, αἱ δὲ παλαιαὶ ἀναγκαιότεραι.

#### ANTIPHON

other man told him not to worry but to imagine that he still possessed his money and had not lost it, and to set down a stone in the same place: "In any case, when you had it, you did not use it; so don't think that you have been deprived of anything now either." For someone who has not used something and will not use it is not harmed either more or less, whether he possesses it or not. For when a god does not wish to give entirely good things to a man, he gives him wealth of money but makes him poor in good sense, thereby depriving him of both things by taking away one of them.

Aspects of Life in Society (D60–D63) Friendship (D60–D61)

D60 (B62) Stobaeus, Anthology

One necessarily oneself becomes similar in character to whomever one spends most of the day with.

**D61** (B64) Words of Philosophers

Antiphon: New friendships constrain, but old ones constrain even more.

Education (D62–D63)

**D62** (B60) Stob. 2.31.39

Αντιφώντος πρώτον, οἶμαι, τῶν ἐν ἀνθρώποις¹ ἐστὶ παίδευσις ὅταν γάρ τις πράγματος κἂν ὁτουοῦν τὴν ἀρχὴν ὀρθῶς ποιήσηται, εἰκὸς καὶ τὴν τελευτὴν ὀρθῶς γίγνεσθαι καὶ γὰρ τῆ γῆ οἷον ἄν τις τὸ σπέρμα ἐναρόση, τοιαῦτα καὶ τὰ ἔκφορα δεῖ προσδοκῶν καὶ ἐν νέφ σώματι ὅταν τις τὴν παίδευσιν γενναίαν ἐναρόση, ζῆ τοῦτο καὶ θάλλει διὰ παντὸς τοῦ βίου, καὶ αὐτὸ οὔτε ὅμβρος οὔτε ἀνομβρία ἀφαιρεῖται.

1 ἀνθρώποις Pflugk: οὐρανοῖς ms.

**D63** (B61) Stob. 2.31.40

τοῦ αὐτοῦ. ἀναρχίας δ' οὐδὲν κάκιον ἀνθρώποις ταῦτα γινώσκοντες οἱ πρόσθεν ἄνθρωποι ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρχῆς εἴθιζον τοὺς παῖδας ἄρχεσθαι καὶ τὸ κελευόμενον ποιεῖν, ἵνα μὴ ἐξανδρούμενοι εἰς μεγάλην μεταβολὴν ἰόντες ἐκπλήσσοιντο.

Other Isolated Words Attested for On Concord (D64–D68)

**D64** (B67) Harpoer. A.43

άθεώρητος· ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀθέατος παρ' Άντιφῶντι ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας.

#### ANTIPHON

Education (D62-D63)

D62 (B60) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of Antiphon: The foremost thing in human affairs, I think, is education. For in any action whatsoever, if one begins in the right way, then it is likely that the end will turn out right too. For so too whatever kind of seed one sows in the earth, one should expect the same kind of produce. And if someone sows a noble education in a young body, it lives and flourishes for his whole life, and neither rain nor drought destroys it.

D63 (B61) Stobaeus, Anthology

Of the same man [i.e. Antiphon]: Nothing is worse for human beings than the lack of rules. The men of earlier times, recognizing this, accustomed their children from the beginning to obey and to do what they were told, so that when they became adults they would not be thrown into turmoil if they encountered some great change.

Other Isolated Words Attested for On Concord (D64–D68)

**D64** (B67) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'unobserved': instead of 'unseen' in Antiphon in his On Concord.

**D65** (B67a) Harpoer. A.131 (et Epit.)

ἀνδρεία· ή τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἡλικία. ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας.

**D66** (B68) Harpoer. A.265

αὐλιζόμενοι ἀντὶ τοῦ κοιμώμενοι. ἀντιφών Περὶ ὁμονοίας.

D67 (B69) Harpoer. B.I (et Epit.)

βαλβίσιν 'Αντιφών Περὶ όμονοίας ἀντὶ τοῦ ταῖς ἀρχαῖς.

**D68** (B71) Harpoer. Φ.12

φηλώματα 'Αντιφών ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας ἐξαπάτας φηλοῦν γὰρ τὸ ἐξαπατᾶν.

> Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Politicus (D69–D74) Computation (D69–D70)

**D69** (B74) Harpoer. E.168

εὐσύμβολος· ἀντὶ τοῦ ῥαδίως καὶ εὖ συμβάλλων, τουτέστιν ἀγαθὸς συμβάλλειν. ἀντιφῶν Πολιτικῷ.

### ANTIPHON

**D65** (B67a) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'manhood': the age of men. Antiphon in his On Concord.

**D66** (B68) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'spending the night': instead of 'sleeping.' Antiphon On Concord.

**D67** (B69) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'starting lines' [scil. of a race]: Antiphon On Concord instead of 'beginnings.'

**D68** (B71) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'cheatings': Antiphon in his On Concord, deceits. For to cheat is to deceive.

Fragments Attested for or Attributable to Politicus (D69–D74) Computation (D69–D70)

**D69** (B74) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'good at computing': instead of 'computing easily and well,' that is, good at performing computation. Antiphon Politicus.

D70 (B75) Harpoer. H.12 (et Epit.)

ήμιολιασμός 'Αντιφών Πολιτικώ 'διπλασιασμού' καὶ 'ήμιολιασμού' ἀντὶ τοῦ 'τὸ ἡμιόλιον δοῦναι' ἐν τοῖς λογισμοῖς.

1 ἡμιόλιστον mss., corr. Schneider

Disorderliness (D71)

**D71** (B72) Anecd. Gr. 1.78.20 Bekker

ἀπειθαρχία 'Αντιφῶν Πολιτικῷ.

Intemperance and Wastefulness (D72-D74)

**D72** (< B76) Prise. Inst. 18.230

'Αντιφών ἐν τῷ Πολιτικῷ· μήτε φιλοπότην κληθήναι καὶ δοκεῖν τὰ πράγματα καταμελεῖν ὑπὸ οἴνου ἡσσώμενον.

D73 (B73) Athen. Deipn. 10 423A

καταριστᾶν δὲ εἴρηκεν ἐν τῷ Πολιτικῷ ἀντιφῶν οὕτως: "ὅτε δή¹ τις πράγματα τὰ ἑαυτοῦ ἢ τὰ τῶν φίλων κατηρίστηκεν."

I ὅτ' ἄν ms., corr. Kaibel

#### ANTIPHON

D70 (B75) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators 'multiplying by one and a half': Antiphon Politicus. 'multiplying by two' and 'multiplying by one and a half' instead of 'making one a half times as much,' in calculations.

## Disorderliness (D71)

D71 (B72) Anecdota Graeca 'disobedience to authority': Antiphon Politicus.

Intemperance and Wastefulness (D72–D74)

D72 (< B76) Priscian, The Institutions

Antiphon in his *Politicus:* "and not to be called bibulous either, and to have the reputation of neglecting one's affairs because one is overcome by wine."

D73 (B73) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists

Antiphon said, "to consume in breakfasts" in his *Politicus* as follows: "when someone has consumed in breakfasts his own property or his friends'."

D74 (B77) Plut. Ant. 28

άναλίσκειν καὶ καθηδυπαθεῖν τὸ πολυτελέστατον, ὡς ἀντιφῶν εἶπεν, ἀνάλωμα, τὸν χρόνον.

Fragments Attributable to Interpretation of Dreams (D75–D77) Theory of the Interpretation of Dreams (D75)

### **D75**

a (< B79) Cic. Div. 1.51.116

hic magna quaedam exoritur neque ea naturalis sed artificiosa somniorum Antiphontis<sup>1</sup> interpretatio eodemque modo et oraculorum et vaticinationum.

1 Antiphontis secl. edd.

**b** (< A9) Gnomol. Vind. 50 (14 Wachsmuth) = Gnomol. Vat. 71, p. 1 Sternbach

'Αντιφων έρωτηθείς, τί έστι μαντική, εἶπεν· "ἀνθρώπου φρονίμου εἰκασμός."

Reported Interpretations of Dreams (D76-D77)

**D76** (B78) Artem. Oneiro. 1.14

σηπία· αὕτη δὲ μόνη καὶ τοὺς ἀποδρᾶναι πειρωμένους ἀφελεῖ διὰ τὸν θολόν, ῷ χρωμένη πολλάκις φεύγει. μέμνηται δὲ τούτου τοῦ ὀνείρου καὶ Ἀντιφῶν ὁ Ἀθηναῖος.

#### ANTIPHON

D74 (B77) Plutarch, Antony

To use up and squander what, as Antiphon said, is the expense that costs the most—time.

Fragments Attributable to Interpretation of Dreams (D75–D77) Theory of the Interpretation of Dreams (D75)

#### **D75**

a (< B79) Cicero, On Divination

At this point one should mention Antiphon's celebrated interpretation of dreams, which is based not on a natural capacity but on a technical skill, just like that of oracles and prophecies.

 $\boldsymbol{b}~(<$  A9) Vienna and Vatican Gnomologies When Antiphon was asked what divination is, he said, "It is the conjecture of an intelligent human being."

Reported Interpretations of Dreams (D76-D77)

D76 (B78) Artemidorus, Interpretation of Dreams

Cuttlefish: Only this one also helps those who are trying to run away, because of its ink by making use of which it often escapes. Antiphon of Athens too mentions this dream.

### D77

a (< B80) Cic. Div. 2.70.144

cursor ad Olympia proficisci cogitans visus est in somnis curru quadrigarum vehi. mane ad coniectorem. at ille "vinces," inquit; "id enim celeritas significat et vis equorum." post idem ad Antiphontem. is autem "vincare," inquit, "necesse est; an non intellegis quattuor ante te cucurrisse?" ecce alius cursor [...]: ad interpretem detulit aquilam se in somnis visum esse factum. at ille: "vicisti; ista enim avis volat nulla vehementius." huic eidem¹ Antipho "baro," inquit, "victum te esse non vides? ista enim avis insectans alias avis et agitans semper ipsa postrema est."

1 huic equidem vel est quidem mss.: corr. Lambinus

# b (F80b Pendrick) Diog. Oen. 24.II-III Smith

... | [Col. II] δη μέλλοντος ['O]λυμ|πίασιν ἀγωνιεῖσθαι | ὅτι λελείψεται. ὁ μὲν | γάρ, φησίν, ἀετὸν ἔφη | [5] δόξαι διώκειν ἐν τοῖς | ὀνείροις, ἐπερωτῶν | τὸν ᾿Αντιφῶντα· ὁ δ' αὐ|[τ]όθεν κελ ... [ἐπε]|[Col. III]ρω[τηθέντα οὐκ] ἄν|τικρυς εἰπεῖν τῷ | δρομεῖ τὸν θεὸν | ὅτι λελείψη, καὶ | [5] τὸν ἀετὸν μηδὲν | ὀχλεῖν. εἰ μή τι δι | ᾿Αντιφῶντα παρέ|[δ]εμξεν αὐτόν, ἵν᾽ ε . . .

Col. II et III suppl. dub. Smith II.8 κελ[εύσας] vel κελ[εύει] Smith

#### ANTIPHON

### **D77**

a (< B80) Cicero, On Divination

[Cicero reporting examples found in the Stoics:] A runner who was thinking of setting out for the Olympian games dreamed that he was being conveyed in a four-horse chariot. The next morning he went to an interpreter of dreams, who told him, "You will gain the victory; for this is what the horses' speed and power signifies." Then the same man went to Antiphon; but he said, "You will necessarily be defeated: or do you not understand that there were four running in front of you?" And here is another runner: [...]. He reported to an interpreter that he had dreamed that he had been transformed into an eagle, and that man said, "You have gained the victory: for no bird flies more powerfully than that bird of yours." To the same man Antiphon said, "You fool, do you not see that you have been defeated? That bird of yours is always the very last one, because it pursues other birds and drives them before it."

b (≠ DK) Diogenes of Oenoanda, Epicurean inscription . . . [Col. II] who was planning to compete at Olympia, that he would be left behind. For, he [i.e. probably: Chrysippus or Antipater] says, that man [i.e. that runner] said when he consulted Antiphon that he had dreamed that he was pursuing an eagle. And he [i.e. Antiphon] told him on the spot . . . [Col. III] when he was asked, he said that the god had not said outright to the runner that he would be left behind, and that the eagle was not a problem. If he had not indicated him with the help of Antiphon, so that . . .

Divination (D78)

D78 (B81a) Melamp. Περὶ παλμῶν μαντική 18–19 όφθαλμὸς δεξιὸς ἐὰν ἄλληται, κατὰ Φημονόην καὶ Αἰγυπτίους καὶ ἀντιφῶντα ἐχθροὺς ὑποχειρίους ἔξει ἄγει δὲ καὶ ἀποδήμους. ὀφθαλμοῦ δεξιοῦ τὸ ἄνω βλέφαρον ἐὰν ἄλληται, ἐπίκτησιν πάντως δηλοῖ, κατὰ δὲ ἀντιφῶντα πρᾶξιν καὶ ὑγείαν, δούλῳ ἐπιβουλήν, χήρα ἀποδημίαν.

Isolated Words Attributed to Antiphon but Not Attributable to a Specific Treatise (D79–D82) Crafts (D79–D80)

**D79** (B40) Pollux Onom. 7.169 ἀντιφῶν βάψιν χαλκοῦ καὶ σιδήρου.

**D80** (B41) Pollux Onom. 7.189 [...] βιομήχανοι ὡς ἀντιφῶν.

Weights and Measures (D81)

D81

a (B42) Pollux Onom. 9.53

ή μὲν γὰρ ἀντιφῶντος ταλάντωσις τὸ βάρος δηλοῖ.

### ANTIPHON

## Divination (D78)

D78 (B81a) Melampus, On Divination by Vibrations If the right eye quivers, according to Phemonoe, the Egyptians, and Antiphon you will have your enemies in your power; it also guides travelers abroad. If the upper lid of the right eye quivers, this signifies profit in general, and according to Antiphon success and health, for a slave a conspiracy, for a widow travel abroad.

Isolated Words Attributed to Antiphon but Not Attributable to a Specific Treatise (D79–D82) Crafts (D79–D80)

**D79** (B40) Pollux, Onomasticon

Antiphon: 'dipping' of bronze and iron.

D80 (B41) Pollux, Onomasticon

[...] 'people who are good at contriving a living,' as Antiphon.

Cf. **D39** 

Weights and Measures (D81)

D81

a (B42) Pollux, Onomasticon

For Antiphon's 'weighing' means the weight.

b (F42b Pendrick) Hesych. T.61
 ταλαντώσει· σταθμήσει, στήσει.

Another Isolated Word (D82)

**D82** (B16) Anecd. Gr. 1.171.15 Bachmann ἀφήκοντος ἀντιφῶν ἀντὶ τοῦ διήκοντος.

Appendix: Xenophon's Representation of Antiphon (D83–D84)

D83 (< A3) Xen. Mem. 1.6.1-4, 10-15

ἄξιον δ' αὐτοῦ καὶ ἃ πρὸς ἀντιφῶντα τὸν σοφιστὴν διελέχθη μὴ παραλιπεῖν. ὁ γὰρ ἀντιφῶν ποτε βουλόμενος τοὺς συνουσιαστὰς αὐτοῦ παρελέσθαι προσελθῶν τῷ Σωκράτει παρόντων αὐτῶν ἔλεξε τάδε: [2] "ὧ Σώκρατες, ἐγὼ μὲν ἄμην τοὺς φιλοσοφοῦντας εὐδαιμονεστέρους χρῆναι γίγνεσθαι σὶ δέ μοι δοκεῖς τἀναντία τῆς φιλοσοφίας ἀπολελαυκέναι. ζῆς γοῦν οὕτως ὡς οὐδ' ἄν εἶς δοῦλος ὑπὸ δεσπότη διαιτώμενος μείνειε σῖτά τε σιτεῖ καὶ ποτὰ πίνεις τὰ φαυλότατα, καὶ ἰμάτιον ἡμφίεσαι οὐ μόνον φαῦλον, ἀλλὰ τὸ αὐτὸ θέρους τε καὶ χειμῶνος, ἀνυπόδητός τε καὶ ἀχίτων διατελεῖς. [3] καὶ μὴν χρήματά γε οὐ λαμβάνεις, ἃ καὶ κτωμένους εὐφραίνει καὶ κεκτημένους ἐλευθεριώτερόν τε καὶ ἤδιον ποιεῖ ζῆν. εἰ οὖν ὥσπερ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἔργων οἱ διδάσκαλοι τοὺς μαθητὰς μιμητὰς ἑαυτῶν

#### ANTIPHON

**b** (≠ DK) Hesychius, Lexicon

'by weighing': by measuring the weight, by placing it on the scales.

Another Isolated Word (D82)

D82 (B16) Anecdota Graeca

'arriving at': Antiphon instead of 'extending to.'

Appendix: Xenophon's Representation of Antiphon (D83–D84)

D83 (< A3) Xenophon, Memorabilia

The discussion he [i.e. Socrates] had with Antiphon the sophist also deserves not to be omitted. For one day Antiphon, wanting to detach Socrates' companions from him, came to Socrates while they were present and spoke as follows: [2] "Socrates, I for one used to think that those who practice philosophy must become happier. But you yourself seem to have obtained the opposite from philosophy. For at any rate you live in such a way as not even a single slave spending his life under a master would endure: you eat and drink the cheapest food and drink; and not only is the cloak you wear cheap but it is also the same one, summer and winter; and you never have shoes or a shirt on. [3] And yet you do not accept money, which is what makes people happy when they acquire it and lets them live more freely and pleasantly when they possess it. If then, just as teachers of other subjects make their disciples imitators of themselves, so you too are going to

άποδεικνύουσιν, ούτω καὶ σὰ τοὺς συνόντας διαθήσεις, νόμιζε κακοδαιμονίας διδάσκαλος εἶναι." [4] καὶ ό Σωκράτης πρὸς ταῦτα εἶπε "δοκεῖς μοι, ὧ Άντιφῶν, ύπειληφέναι με ούτως άνιαρως ζην, ώστε πέπεισμαι σὲ μᾶλλον ἀποθανεῖν ἂν έλέσθαι ἢ ζῆν ὥσπερ ἐγώ. ίθι οὖν ἐπισκεψώμεθα τί χαλεπὸν ἤσθησαι τοῦ ἐμοῦ βίου. [. . .] [10] ἔοικας, ὧ Άντιφῶν, τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν οἰομένω τρυφην καὶ πολυτέλειαν εἶναι ἐγὼ δ' ἐνόμιζον τὸ μὲν μηδενὸς δεῖσθαι θεῖον εἶναι, τὸ δ' ώς ἐλαχίστων έγγυτάτω τοῦ θείου, καὶ τὸ μὲν θείον κράτιστον, τὸ δ' ἐγγυτάτω τοῦ θείου ἐγγυτάτω τοῦ κρατίστου." [11] πάλιν δέ ποτε ὁ Άντιφῶν διαλεγόμενος τῷ Σωκράτει εἶπεν "ὧ Σώκρατες, ἐγώ τοί σε δίκαιον μὲν νομίζω, σοφον δε ούδ' όπωστιούν δοκείς δε μοι καὶ αὐτὸς τοῦτο γιγνώσκειν οὐδένα γοῦν τῆς συνουσίας άργύριον πράττη, καίτοι τό γε ίμάτιον ή την οἰκίαν η άλλο τι ων κέκτησαι νομίζων άργυρίου άξιον είναι οὐδενὶ ἂν μὴ ὅτι προῖκα δοίης, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἔλαττον τῆς άξίας λαβών. [12] δήλον δη ότι εί καὶ την συνουσίαν φου τινὸς άξιαν είναι, καὶ ταύτης ἂν οὐκ ἔλαττον τῆς άξίας άργύριον ἐπράττου. δίκαιος μὲν οὖν ἂν εἴης, ὅτι οὐκ ἐξαπατᾶς ἐπὶ πλεονεξία, σοφὸς δὲ οὐκ ἄν, μηδενός γε ἄξια ἐπιστάμενος." [13] ὁ δὲ Σωκράτης πρὸς ταῦτα εἶπεν "ὦ Άντιφῶν, [. . .] ὄστις [. . .] ὂν ἂν γνῷ εὐφυῆ ὅντα διδάσκων ὅ τι ἂν ἔχη ἀγαθὸν φίλον ποιείται, τούτον νομίζομεν, α τώ καλώ κάγαθώ πολίτη προσήκει, ταῦτα ποιεῖν. [...]"

[15] καὶ πάλιν ποτὲ τοῦ ἀντιφῶντος ἐρομένου αὐτόν,

have the same effect upon your own companions, then acknowledge that you are a teacher of misfortune." [4] And Socrates replied, "You seem to me, Antiphon, to suppose that I live so wretchedly that I am convinced that you would prefer to die rather than to live as I do. Come, then, let us consider what you perceive to be difficult in my life. [...] [10] You, Antiphon, are like someone who thinks that happiness consists of luxury and expense; but I have always thought that to be in need of nothing is what is divine, and that to be in need of as few things as possible is the closest thing to what is divine, and that what is divine is the thing that is best, and that what is closest to what is divine is what is closest to what is best."

[11] And on another occasion, when Antiphon was discussing with Socrates, he said, "Socrates, I myself consider you to be just, but not at all wise; and I think that you yourself know this. At least you do not charge any money for your company. And yet your cloak, your house, or any of your other possessions that you think is worth money, you would not give them to anyone for free, nor would you be willing to sell it for less than it is worth. [12] It is quite clear that if you thought that your company was worth something, you would not charge less money for it than it is worth. So while you might well be just, since you do not deceive people for the sake of your own advantage, you would not be wise, since what you know is worth nothing." [13] And Socrates replied, "Antiphon, [...] whoever makes someone he knows to have a good natural disposition his friend and teaches him whatever good he might possess, we think that this man is doing what is fitting for a fine and noble citizen. [...]"

[15] And on another occasion, when Antiphon asked him

πῶς ἄλλους μὲν ἡγοῖτο πολιτικοὺς ποιεῖν, αὐτὸς δ' οὐ πράττει τὰ πολιτικά, εἴπερ ἐπίσταιτο "ποτέρως δ' ἄν," ἔφη, "ὧ 'Αντιφῶν, μᾶλλον τὰ πολιτικὰ πράττοιμι, εἰ μόνος αὐτὰ πράττοιμι ἢ εἰ ἐπιμελοίμην τοῦ ὡς πλείστους ἱκανοὺς εἶναι πράττειν αὐτά;"

# D84 (< A4) Athen. Deipn. 15.15 673F

[...] σφετερισάμενος καὶ ταῦτα ἐπέγραψέν τι βιβλίον Περὶ τοῦ παρὰ Εενοφῶντι ἐν τοῖς Ἀπομνημονεύμασιν ἀντιφῶντος, οὐδὲν ἴδιον προσεξευρών.

#### ANTIPHON

how he could make other men good at politics when he did not engage in politics himself, if indeed he knew how to do so, he replied, "Antiphon, would I be engaging in politics more if I were to engage in it alone or if I took care that as many men as possible were competent at engaging in it?"

# D84 (< A4) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists

[...] he [i.e. Hephaestion] took over these [scil. statements by Adrastus about Antiphon the tragedian] and wrote a book On the Antiphon in Xenophon's Memorabilia without adding anything of his own that he had discovered himself.

# ANTIPHON [87 DK]

R

The Identity of the Orator and the Sophist in the Lexicographical Tradition: An Example (R1)

R1 (cf. B14, B63) Harpoer. Δ.42

διάθεσις· ἀντὶ τοῦ πρᾶσις Ἰσοκράτης Βουσίριδι [Isoc. 11.14]. ἀντὶ δὲ τοῦ ἀποδεδόμεθα "διεθέμεθα" εἶπεν ἀντιφῶν ἐν τῷ Πρὸς τὴν Καλλίου ἔνδειξιν [Frag. 17 Blass], καὶ ἀντὶ τοῦ διοίκησις ὁ αὐτὸς ἐν τῷ Περὶ ὁμονοίας "ἀλλὰ εἰδότες τὴν διάθεσιν ἀκούουσιν." Φρύνιχος Τραγφδοῖς "τῇ διαθέσει τῶν ἐπῶν" φησί. καὶ γὰρ τὸ ῥῆμα διαθέσθαι λέγουσιν ἐπὶ τοῦ διοικῆσαι ἀντιφῶν ἀληθείας α΄· "γυμνωθεῖσα δὲ ἀφορμῆς πολλὰ ἂν καὶ καλὰ κακῶς διαθεῖτο."

Antiphon as Rhetorician and Orator (R2–R9) His Place in the History of Rhetoric (R2–R6)

R2 (≠ DK) Quintil, Inst. or. 3.1.11

Antiphon [. . .] et orationem primus omnium scripsit, et

### ANTIPHON

R

The Identity of the Orator and the Sophist in the Lexicographical Tradition: An Example (R1)

R1 (cf. B14, B63) Harpocration, Lexicon of the Ten Orators

'organization': instead of 'sale', Isocrates in Busiris. Instead of 'we have given,' Antiphon in his Against the Accusation by Callias said, "we have organized"; and instead of 'arrangement,' the same man in his On Concord: "but, knowing the organization, they listen" [= D41a]. Phrynichus in his Tragic Actors says, "by the organization of the words." For they use the verb 'to organize' for 'to arrange.' Antiphon, Book 1 of Truth: "stripped of resources (aphormê) it [i.e. thought?] would organize badly many fine things" [= D14].

Antiphon as Rhetorician and Orator (R2–R9) His Place in the History of Rhetoric (R2–R6)

R2 (≠ DK) Quintilian, Training in Oratory

Antiphon [...] was the first of all to write a speech but

nihilo minus artem et ipse composuit et pro se dixisse optime est creditus.

R3 (< A2) Hermog. Ideis 2.11 (401.3-5 Rabe)

[...= R8] ἐπεὶ καὶ πρῶτος λέγεται τοῦτο μετελθεῖν τὸ εἶδος καὶ ὅλως εὐρετης καὶ ἀρχηγὸς γενέσθαι τοῦ τύπου τοῦ πολιτικοῦ [...= P4].

R4 (≠ DK) Philostr. Vit. soph. 1.15, p. 15.27–32 Kayser ρητορικὴν δὲ τὸν ἀντιφῶντα οἱ μὲν οὐκ οὖσαν εὐρεῖν, οἱ δ' εὐρημένην αὐξῆσαι, γενέσθαι τε αὐτὸν οἱ μὲν αὐτομαθῶς σοφόν, οἱ δὲ ἐκ πατρός. πατέρα γὰρ εἶναι δὴ αὐτῷ Σώφιλον διδάσκαλον ρητορικῶν λόγων, δς ἄλλους τε τῶν ἐν δυνάμει καὶ τὸν τοῦ Κλεινίου ἐπαίδευσεν.

**R5** (≠ DK) Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.79.3

φασὶ δὲ καὶ τοὺς κατὰ διατριβὴν λόγους καὶ τὰ ἑητορικὰ ἰδιώματα εὐρεῖν καὶ μισθοῦ συνηγορῆσαι πρῶτον δικανικὸν λόγον εἰς ἔκδοσιν γραψάμενον ἀντιφῶντα «Σω»φίλου¹ Ῥαμνούσιον [...cf. **P3**].

1 φίλου ms., corr. Potter

R6 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plut. Vit. X Orat. 832C-D, Ε
καί τινας λόγους τοις δεομένοις τῶν πολιτῶν συν-

#### ANTIPHON

nonetheless he both composed a technical manual himself, and is thought to have spoken excellently in his own defense [cf. P15].

R3 (< A2) Hermogenes, On Types of Style

[...] since he is said to have been the first to have practiced this genre and in general to have been the inventor and founder of the political kind [scil. of oratory] [...].

R4 (≠ DK) Philostratus, Lives of the Sophists

Some people say that Antiphon discovered rhetoric and that it did not exist before him, others that it had already been discovered but that he developed it further; some people say that he became expert on his own, others thanks to his father. For his father was Sophilus, a teacher of rhetorical speeches who taught the sons of powerful people, including Clinias' [i.e. Alcibiades].

**R5** (≠ DK) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

They say that Antiphon of Rhamnous, the son of Sophilus, invented speeches for study and the styles specific to oratory, and that he was the first to deliver publicly a judicial speech for a fee after having written it for publication [...].

**R6** (≠ DK) Ps.-Plutarch, *Lives of the Ten Orators*And he wrote some speeches for contests in the law courts

έγραφεν εἰς τοὺς ἐν τοῖς δικαστηρίοις ἀγῶνας πρῶτος ἐπὶ τοῦτο τραπείς, ὥσπερ τινές φασιν τῶν γοῦν πρὸ αὐτοῦ γενομένων οὐδενὸς φέρεται δικανικὸς λόγος, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ τῶν κατ' αὐτόν, διὰ τὸ μηδέπω ἐν ἔθει τοῦ συγγράφειν εἶναι [...]. πρῶτος δὲ καὶ ῥητορικὰς τέχνας ἐξήνεγκε [...].

# Judgments on His Style (R7-R9)

R7 (B44a) Philostr. Vit. soph. 1.15, p. 17.22-28 Kayser

λόγοι δ' αὐτοῦ δικανικοὶ μὲν πλείους, ἐν οῗς ἡ δεινότης καὶ πᾶν τὸ ἐκ τέχνης ἔγκειται, σοφιστικοὶ δὲ καὶ ἔτεροι μέν, σοφιστικώτατος δὲ ὁ ὑπὲρ τῆς ὁμονοίας, ἐν ῷ γνωμολογίαι τε λαμπραὶ καὶ φιλόσοφοι σεμνή τε ἀπαγγελία καὶ ἐπηνθισμένη ποιητικοῖς ὀνόμασι καὶ τὰ ἀποτάδην ἐρμηνευόμενα παραπλήσια τῶν πεδίων τοῦς λείοις.

**R8** (cf. A2) Hermog. *Ideis* 2.11 (400.22–401.2, 6–23 Rabe)

ό τοίνυν 'Ραμνούσιος 'Αντιφών, οὖπερ οἱ φονικοὶ φέρονται, πολιτικὸς μὲν καὶ κατὰ τὸ σαφὲς καὶ κατὰ τὸ ἀληθινὸν καὶ τὸ ἄλλως ἡθικόν, ὤστε καὶ πιθανός, ἦττον δὲ ἄπαντα ταῦτα ἡ κατὰ τοὺς ἄλλους [... = R3] μεγέθει δὲ χρῆται μὲν οὐκ ὀλίγω, καλῶς δέ πως συνυφασμένω καὶ οὐ κατὰ τὸν 'Υπερίδην διεστηκότι τῶν ἄλλων οὐδ' αὖ κατὰ τὸν Αἰσχίνην σοφιστικῷ,

#### ANTIPHON

for the use of his fellow citizens who needed them, being the first person to have turned to this, as some people say; at least there is no judicial speech extant composed by any of his predecessors, nor of his contemporaries either, since it was not yet the custom to write them down [...]. And he was the first person to publish technical manuals of rhetoric [...].

# Judgments on His Style (R7-R9)

R7 (B44a) Philostratus, Lives of the Sophists

There exist many judicial discourses of his, which display great skill and everything that derives from art. Others are sophistic, and the most sophistic of all is the one *On Concord*, where there are maxims that are brilliant and philosophical, a mode of style that is lofty and embellished by poetic diction, and lengthy expositions similar to level plains.

# R8 (cf. A2) Hermogenes, On Types of Style

The Antiphon from Rhamnous, whose homicide speeches are extant, is a political speaker with regard to clarity, sincerity, and other aspects of ethical character, so that he is also believable, but he is inferior in regard to all this than are the other ones [i.e. the Attic orators]. [...] He employs an amplitude that is not inconsiderable, but is woven finely [scil. into the tissue of the speech] and is not, as in Hyperides, separated from the rest nor, as in Aeschines, sophis-

καίτοι τής λέξεως αὐτῷ πολλαχοῦ διηρμένης. ἐπιμελής γε μὴν οὕτως ὡς μὴ εἶναι προσκορής, γοργὸς δὲ μετρίως ἐστὶ καὶ δεινὸς ὡσαύτως. ὁ δὶ ἔτερος Ἀντιφῶν, οὖπερ οἱ τῆς Ἀληθείας εἰσὶ λεγόμενοι λόγοι, πολιτικὸς μὲν ἤκιστά ἐστι, σεμνὸς δὲ καὶ ὑπέρογκος τοῖς τε ἄλλοις καὶ τῷ δι᾽ ἀποφάνσεων περαίνειν τὸ πῶν, ὁ δὴ τοῦ ἀξιωματικοῦ τε λόγου ἐστὶ καὶ πρὸς μέγεθος ὁρῶντος, ὑψηλὸς δὲ τἢ λέξει καὶ τραχύς, ὥστε καὶ μὴ πόρρω σκληρότητος εἶναι. καὶ περιβάλλει δὲ χωρὶς εὐκρινείας, διὸ καὶ συγχεῖ τὸν λόγον καὶ ἔστιν ἀσαφὴς τὰ πολλά. καὶ ἐπιμελὴς δὲ κατὰ τὴν συνθήκην καὶ ταῖς παρισώσεσι χαίρων. οὐ μὴν ἤθους γέ τι οὐδ᾽ ἀληθινοῦ τύπου μέτεστι τῷ ἀνδρί, φαίην δ᾽ ἄν ὡς οὐδὲ δεινότητος πλὴν τῆς φαινομένης μέν, οὐ μὴν οὔσης γε ὡς ἀληθῶς.

# R9 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plut. Vit. X Orat. 832E

ἔστι δ' ἐν τοῖς λόγοις ἀκριβὴς καὶ πιθανὸς καὶ δεινὸς περὶ τὴν εὕρεσιν καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἀπόροις τεχνικὸς καὶ ἐπιχειρῶν ἐξ ἀδήλου καὶ ἐπὶ τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὰ πάθη τρέπων τοὺς λόγους τοῦ εὐπρεποῦς μάλιστα στοχα-ζόμενος.

1 καὶ (σὐ) Gernet

#### ANTIPHON

tic, even though his style is often lofty. He takes care not to be tedious, he is moderately vehement and forceful in the same way. The other Antiphon, who is the author of the discourses called *Of Truth*, is not in the least political, but is lofty and very weighty, especially because he proceeds entirely by means of declarative statements, which is something characteristic of a dignified discourse that aims for grandeur. His diction is sublime and rugged, to the point of not being far from harshness. And he amplifies without clarity; and this is why his discourse becomes confused and is often obscure. He is careful with regard to composition and is fond of balanced clauses. But the man has nothing of a determinate character or sincerity, and, I would say, not even of forcefulness, except for what is apparent but is not so in reality.

# R9 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plutarch, Lives of the Ten Orators

In his speeches he is precise and persuasive, very forceful in invention and skillful in dealing with difficulties, venturesome when the matter is unclear, and he directs his speeches toward the laws and the passions, aiming above all at decorum.

See also THRAS. D8

His Fame as an Interpreter of Dreams (R10-R12)

R10 (B79) Cic. Div. 1.20.39

de quibus disputans Chrysippus multis et minutis somniis colligendis facit idem quod Antipater ea conquirens quae Antiphontis interpretatione explicata declarant illa quidem acumen interpretis [...].

R11 (B81) Sen. Contr. 2.1.33

Otho Iunius pater [. . .] edidit quidem quattuor libros Colorum, quos belle Gallio noster 'Antiphontis libros' vocabat, tantum in illis somniorum est.

R12 (> A7) Luc. V. hist. 2.33

ἐν μέση δὲ τῆ ἀγορῷ πηγή τίς ἐστιν, ἢν καλοῦσι Καρεῶτιν καὶ πλησίον ναοὶ δύο ᾿Απάτης καὶ ᾿Αληθείας ἔνθα καὶ τὸ ἄδυτόν ἐστιν αὐτοῖς καὶ τὸ μαντεῖον, οὖ προειστήκει προφητεύων ᾿Αντιφῶν ὁ τῶν ὀνείρων ὑποκριτὴς ταύτης παρὰ τοῦ "Υπνου λαχὼν τῆς τιμῆς.

His Fame as a Soothsayer (R13)

**R13** (T11a Pendrick) Ps.-Call. *Hist. Alex.* rec. vet. 11.1–4, p. 11.6–17, 20–21

μετ' όλίγας δε παντελώς ήμερας εν τινι συμφύτφ τόπφ τών βασιλείων καθεζομένου τοῦ Φιλίππου, παν-

#### ANTIPHON

His Fame as an Interpreter of Dreams (R10-R12)

R10 (B79) Cicero, On Divination

When Chrysippus discusses these [scil. dreams], he does the same thing as Antipater: he collects many trivial dreams and tries to find the ones that manifest the interpreter's intelligence when they are explained by means of Antiphon's interpretation [...].

R11 (B81) Seneca the Elder, Controversies

Junius Otho senior [...] published four books of 'colors' [i.e. arguments presenting the facts of a case under a favorable color], which our friend Gallio wittily called 'the books of Antiphon,' so full of dreams were they.

R12 (> A7) Lucian, True Histories

In the middle of the marketplace [scil. in the City of Dreams] there is a spring that they call Careotis. And nearby are two temples, of Deceit and of Truth. There too are their sacred precinct and oracle; in front of this stood Antiphon, the interpreter of dreams, prophesying, since he had received this office from Sleep.

His Fame as a Soothsayer (R13)

**R13**  $(\neq DK)$  Ps.-Callisthenes, History of Alexander the Great

Some days later, while Philip [scil. of Macedon] was sitting in a thickly wooded area of the palace, with all kinds of

τοίων ὄρνεων παρ' αὐτοῦ τρεφομένων καὶ ἐν τῷ χωρίῳ νεμομένων, πρὸς τὴν ἡσυχίαν ἐν φιλολόγοις βιβλίοις γενομένου αὐτοῦ ὄρνις ἤμερος νεοττὸς¹ εἰς τοὺς κόλπους αὐτοῦ ἀλλομένη ἔτεκεν ἀόν, ὁ κατακυλισθὲν ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ἐρράγη, ἀφ' οῦ ἐξεπήδησε μικρὸν δρακόντιον κυκλεῦσαν δὲ τὸ ἀόν, ὅθεν ἐξῆλθε, καὶ εἰσελθεῖν βουλόμενον, πρὶν βαλεῖν ἔσω τὴν κεφαλὴν ἐτελεύτησεν. τοῦτου γεναμένου ταραχθεῖς οὐ μετρίως ὁ Φίλιππος μετεπέμψατο τὸν κατὰ ἐκεῖνον τὸν καιρὸν ἐπίσημον σημειολύτην ᾿Αντιφῶντα καὶ ὑφηγεῖται αὐτῷ τὸ γενόμενον, ὁ δὲ πρὸς τοῦτο εἶπεν "υἰός σοι ἔσται, ὸς βασιλεύσει καὶ περιελεύσεται τὸν ὅλον κόσμον, τῇ ἰδίᾳ δυνάμει πάντας ὑποτάσσων οὖτος δὲ εἰς τὰ ἴδια συστρέφων ὀλιγοχρόνιος τελευτήσει [. . ]." οὖτος μὲν οὖν ἐπιλύσας τὸ σημεῖον δωνατισθεῖς ἐξήει.

1 νεοττός Müller: νόθος mss.

Reactions to Antiphon's Attempt to Square the Circle (R14–R16) Two Interpretations Deriving Probably from Eudemus (R14–R15)

R14 (< B13) Them. In Phys., p. 4.3-8, Schenkl

[...] δς έγγράφων τρίγωνον ἰσόπλευρον εἰς τὸν κύκλον καὶ ἐφ' ἐκάστης τῶν πλευρῶν ἔτερον ἰσοσκελὲς συνιστὰς πρὸς τῷ περιφερεία τοῦ κύκλου καὶ τοῦτο ἐφεξῆς ποιῶν ὤετό ποτε ἐφαρμόσειν τοῦ τελευταίου τριγώνου τὴν πλευρὰν εὐθείαν οὖσαν τῷ περιφερεία.

#### ANTIPHON

birds that he kept and that were feeding in that place, a tame young bird jumped into his lap, while he was spending some time at leisure among his scholarly books, and laid an egg, which rolled down onto the ground and broke open. From it leaped forth a small snake, which coiled itself around the egg out of which it had come and wanted to go back into it, but which died before it could put its head inside. Philip was very troubled by this incident and sent for the soothsayer Antiphon, who was very celebrated at that time, and he reported to him what had happened. And he replied, "You will have a son who will be a king and will travel about the whole world, subjecting all men to his own power. But while he is returning to his own country he will die while he is still young. [...]." And thus, after he had deciphered the omen, Antiphon received gifts and departed.1

 $^{\rm 1}\,\rm This$  fictional anecdote presupposes that Antiphon the soothsayer was active in the middle of the fourth century BC.

Reactions to Antiphon's Attempt to Square the Circle (R14–R16) Two Interpretations Deriving Probably from Eudemus (R14–R15)

R14 (< B13) Themistius, Paraphrase of Aristotle's Physics

[...] by inscribing an equilateral triangle in a circle, constructing on each of its sides another isosceles triangle touching the circumference of the circle, and doing this in succession, he [i.e. Antiphon] thought that at some time the side of the final triangle, though being straight,

τοῦτο δε τὴν ἐπ' ἄπειρον τομὴν ἀναιροῦντος, ἢν ὑπόθεσιν ὁ γεωμέτρης λαμβάνει.

1 δὲ ἦν <τοῦ> Diels

R15 (< B13) Simpl. In Phys., pp. 54.20-55.11

[... = D36b] ὁ δὲ ἀντιφῶν γράψας κύκλον ἐνέγραψέ τι χωρίον είς αὐτὸν πολύγωνον τῶν ἐγγράφεσθαι δυναμένων. έστω δε εί τύχοι τετράγωνον τὸ έγγεγραμμένον. ἔπειτα ἐκάστην τῶν τοῦ τετραγώνου πλευρῶν δίχα τέμνων ἀπὸ τῆς τομῆς ἐπὶ τὰς περιφερείας πρὸς όρθὰς ἦγε γραμμάς, αι δηλονότι δίχα ἔτεμνον ἐκάστη τὸ καθ' αύτὴν τμῆμα τοῦ κύκλου. ἔπειτα ἀπὸ² τῆς τομής ἐπεζεύγνυεν ἐπὶ τὰ πέρατα τῶν γραμμῶν τοῦ τετραγώνου εὐθείας, ώς γίνεσθαι τέτταρα τρίγωνα τὰ ἀπὸ τῶν εὐθειῶν, τὸ δὲ ὅλον σχῆμα τὸ ἐγγεγραμμένον ὀκτάγωνον, καὶ οὕτως πάλιν κατὰ τὴν αὐτὴν μέθοδον, έκάστην τῶν τοῦ ὀκταγώνου πλευρῶν δίχα τέμνων ἀπὸ τῆς τομῆς ἐπὶ τὴν περιφέρειαν πρὸς ὀρθὰς άγων καὶ ἐπιζευγνὺς ἀπὸ τῶν σημείων, καθ' ἃ αί πρὸς ὀρθὰς ἀχθείσαι ἐφήπτοντο τῶν περιφερειῶν, εὐθείας ἐπὶ τὰ πέρατα τῶν διηρημένων εὐθειῶν, ἑκκαιδεκάγωνον ἐποίει τὸ ἐγγραφόμενον. καὶ κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν πάλιν λόγον τέμνων τὰς πλευρὰς τοῦ έκκαιδεκαγώνου τοῦ ἐγγεγραμμένου καὶ ἐπιζευγνὺς εὐθείας καὶ

#### ANTIPHON

would coincide with the circumference. But this belongs to someone who violates divisibility to infinity, which the geometer takes as an assumption.

 ${f R15}~(<{f B13})$  Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics

[...] Antiphon drew a circle and then inscribed within it one of the polygons that can be inscribed in it. Let the inscribed figure be for example a square. Then, dividing each of the sides of the square in half, he drew perpendicular lines from the point of division to the circumference; clearly each one divided in half its corresponding arc of the circle. Then from the point of division [scil. on the circumference] he connected straight lines to the end points of the sides of the square so that four triangles would be produced from the straight lines and the whole inscribed figure would be an octagon. And so again according to the same procedure: by dividing in half each of the sides of the octagon, drawing perpendicular lines from the point of division to the circumference and connecting straight lines from the points at which the perpendicular lines that had been drawn touched the circumference, to the end points of the divided sides [scil. of the octagon], he made the inscribed figure a sixteen-sided polygon. And again according to the same method: by dividing the sides of the inscribed sixteen-sided polygon, connecting straight lines, and doubling the inscribed polygon, and repeating

<sup>1</sup> τὴν περιφέρειαν Torstrik

 $<sup>2 \</sup>stackrel{?}{\alpha} \pi \stackrel{?}{\alpha} Ald$ .:  $\stackrel{?}{\epsilon} \pi \stackrel{?}{\iota} DE^b F$ 

διπλασιάζων τὸ ἐγγραφόμενον πολύγωνον καὶ τοῦτο ἀεὶ ποιῶν ἤετό³ ποτε δαπανωμένου τοῦ ἐπιπέδου ἐγγραφήσεσθαί τι πολύγωνον τούτῳ τῷ τρόπῳ ἐν τῷ κύκλῳ, οῦ αἱ πλευραὶ διὰ σμικρότητα ἐφαρμόσουσι τῷ τοῦ κύκλου περιφερείᾳ. παντὶ δὲ πολυγώνῳ ἴσον τετράγωνον δυνάμενοι θέσθαι, ὡς ἐν τοῦς Στοιχείοις [Eucl. 2.14] παρελάβομεν, διὰ τὸ ἴσον ὑποκεῖσθαι τὸ πολύγωνον τῷ κύκλῳ ἐφαρμόζον αὐτῷ, ἐσόμεθα καὶ κύκλω ἴσον τιθέντες τετράγωνον.

3 ὥετό coni. Diels: ὥστε vel ὡς τό mss.



A Discussion about the Nature of the Error in Antiphon's Reasoning (R16)

### **R16**

a (B13) Arist. Phys. 1.2 185a14-17
 αμα δ' οὐδὲ λύειν ἄπαντα προσήκει, ἀλλ' ἢ ὅσα ἐκ

#### ANTIPHON

this in succession, he thought that at some point, the area [scil. of the circle] being exhausted, in this way there would be inscribed within the circle some kind of polygon, whose sides, because of their smallness, would coincide with the circumference of the circle. But since we are able to construct a square equal to any polygon, as we have learned in the *Elements* [scil. of Euclid], because the polygon that coincides with the circle is assumed to be equal to it we shall have constructed a square that is also equal to a circle. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This description, like those found in other testimonia (F13c–l Pendrick), probably reflects Antiphon's method in global terms, but the details doubtless derive from reconstructions.

A Discussion about the Nature of the Error in Antiphon's Reasoning (R16)

### **R16**

a (B13) Aristotle, Physics

At the same time, it is not necessary to solve all difficulties

τῶν ἀρχῶν τις ἐπιδεικνὺς ψεύδεται, ὅσα δὲ μή, οὕ, οἷον τὸν τετραγωνισμὸν τὸν μὲν διὰ τῶν τμημάτων γεωμετρικοῦ διαλῦσαι, τὸν δὲ ἀντιφῶντος οὐ γεωμετρικοῦ.

# **b** (B13) Simpl. In Phys., p. 54.12-16

τον γὰρ τετραγωνισμόν τοῦ κύκλου πολλῶν ζητούντων (τοῦτο δὲ ἦν τὸ κύκλοψ ἴσον τετράγωνον θέσθαι) καὶ ἀντιφῶν ἐνόμισεν εὐρίσκειν καὶ Ἱπποκράτης ὁ Χῖος ψευσθέντες. ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν ἀντιφῶντος ψεῦδος διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀπὸ γεωμετρικῶν ἀρχῶν ὡρμῆσθαι [. . .] οὐκ ἔστι γεωμετρικοῦ λύειν [. . .].

# e (< F13e Pendrick) Simpl. In Phys., p. 55.12-24

καὶ δῆλον ὅτι ἡ συναγωγὴ παρὰ τὰς γεωμετρικὰς ἀρχὰς γέγονεν οὐχ ὡς ὁ ἀλέξανδρός φησιν, ὅτι ὑποτίθεται μὲν ὁ γεωμέτρης τὸ τὸν κύκλον τῆς εὐθείας κατὰ σημεῖον ἄπτεσθαι ὡς ἀρχήν, ὁ δὲ ἀντιφῶν ἀναιρεῖ τοῦτο. οὐ γὰρ ὑποτίθεται ὁ γεωμέτρης τοῦτο, ἀλλ' ἀποδείκνυσιν αὐτὸ ἐν τῷ τρίτῳ βιβλίῳ [cf. Eucl. 3.2 et 3.16]. ἄμεινον οὖν λέγειν ἀρχὴν εἶναι τὸ ἀδύνατον εἶναι εὐθεῖαν ἐφαρμόσαι περιφερεία, ἀλλ' ἡ μὲν ἐκτὸς κατὰ εν σημεῖον ἐφάψεται τοῦ κύκλου, ἡ δὲ ἐντὸς κατὰ δύο μόνον καὶ οὐ πλείω, καὶ ἡ ἐπαφὴ κατὰ σημεῖον γίνεται. καὶ μέντοι τέμνων ἀεὶ τὸ μεταξὺ τῆς

 $^{1}$  τρίτ $_{\varphi}$  Diels:  $_{i\gamma}$  DF:  $_{i\gamma}$ δό $_{\varphi}$  E $_{i\gamma}$ 

#### ANTIPHON

either, but only those that someone falsely demonstrates on the basis of the principles—the others, not: for example, it is up to the geometer to refute the squaring [scil. of the circle] by means of segments, but it is not up to the geometer [scil. to refute] that of Antiphon.

**b** (B13) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics Many people were looking for how to square the circle (this is the construction of a square equal to a circle), but both Antiphon and Hippocrates of Chios thought they had discovered it—mistakenly. But it is not up to the geometer to refute the fallacy of Antiphon since it does not arise

from geometrical principles [...] [cf. D36].

c ( $\neq$  DK) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics And it is clear that the conclusion goes against the principles of geometry, but not, as Alexander says, because the geometer assumes as a principle that a circle touches a straight line at a point, and that Antiphon violates this. For the geometer does not assume this, but he demonstrates it in the third book [scil. of Euclid's Elements: it is a consequence of 3.2 and 3.16 with the corollary]. So it is better to say that the principle is that it is impossible to make a straight line coincide with an arc of a circle, but that an external line will touch the circle in one point, while an internal one will do so in two points and not in more, and that the contact takes place at a point. And if he divides in

εὐθείας καὶ τῆς τοῦ κύκλου περιφερείας ἐπίπεδον οὐ δαπανήσει αὐτὸ οὐδὲ καταλήψεταί ποτε τὴν τοῦ κύκλου περιφέρειαν, εἴπερ ἐπ' ἄπειρόν ἐστι διαιρετὸν τὸ ἐπίπεδον. εἰ δὲ καταλαμβάνει, ἀνήρηταί τις ἀρχὴ γεωμετρικὴ ἡ λέγουσα ἐπ' ἄπειρον εἶναι τὰ μεγέθη διαιρετά. καὶ ταύτην καὶ ὁ Εὔδημος τὴν ἀρχὴν ἀναιρεῖσθαί φησιν [Frag. 140 Wehrli, p. 159] ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀντιφῶντος.

# A Christian Polemic (R17)

R17 (< B12) Orig. Cels. 4.25

[...] Άντιφων ἄλλος ρήτωρ νομιζόμενος εἶναι καὶ τὴν πρόνοιαν ἀναιρων ἐν τοῖς ἐπιγεγραμμένοις Περὶ ἀληθείας [...] οὐδὲν ἢττόν εἶσιν οὖτοι σκώληκες ἐν βορβόρου γωνία τοῦ τῆς ἀμαθίας καὶ ἀγνοίας καλινδούμενοι.

#### ANTIPHON

succession the plane surface between the straight line and the circumference of the circle, he will nonetheless not exhaust it and he will never reach the circumference of the circle, since the area is divisible to infinity. And if he does reach it, a geometric principle, which says that magnitudes are divisible to infinity, has been violated. And it is this principle that Eudemus too says has been violated by Antiphon.

## A Christian Polemic (R17)

R16 (< B12) Origen, Against Celsus

[...] Antiphon, who is thought to be another orator [scil. besides Demosthenes], and who abolishes providence in his books entitled *On Truth* [...]: these people are not less [scil. than any other evil people] worms rolling around in a corner of the mire of stupidity and ignorance.

# 38. LYCOPHRON [LYC.]

Aside from an (often suspected) allusion in the second Pseudo-Platonic letter (P1) to Lycophron's presence at the court of Dionysius II, nothing whatsoever is known about Lycophron beyond the information provided by Aristotle—Alexander of Aphrodisias seems to have no other basis, for the explanations he provides for a passage in the Sophistic Refutations (D5b), than his own hypotheses. Aristotle, who mentions Lycophron six times, calls him a 'sophist.' Some modern scholars, on the basis of his definition of law (D3), have considered him an important forerunner of social contract theory; Aristotle's own interest in him appears to have been directed above all to his definitions, his innovative use of language, and his rhetorical techniques. To judge from the few surviving traces, he seems to have been a lesser Gorgias.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

R. G. Mulgan. "Lycophron and Greek Theories of Social Contract," *Journal of the History of Ideas* 40 (1979): 121–28.

See also the titles listed in the General Introduction to Chapters 31–42.

#### LYCOPHRON

### OUTLINE OF THE CHAPTER

#### P

Lycophron at the Court of Dionysius II in Sicily? (P1)

#### Ι

A Radical Solution to the Problem of Multiple Predication (D1)

Three Definitions (D2-D4)

Definition of Knowledge (D2)

Definition of Law (D3)

Definition of Nobility (D4)

Rhetorical Issues (D5-D6)

A Loophole (D5)

The Use of Compound and Rare Terms (D6)

# LYCOPHRON [83 DK]

F

Lycophron at the Court of Dionysius II in Sicily? (P1)

**P1** (≠ DK) Ps.-Plat. *Epist*. 2 314d1–5

έγω δὲ καὶ περὶ Λυκόφρονος καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τῶν παρὰ σοὶ ὅντων λέγω καὶ πάλαι καὶ νῦν τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον, ὅτι πρὸς τὸ διαλεχθῆναι καὶ φύσει καὶ τῆ μεθόδω τῶν λόγων πάμπολυ διαφέρεις αὐτῶν [. . .].

## LYCOPHRON

F

Lycophron at the Court of Dionysius II in Sicily? (P1)

P1 (≠ DK) Ps.-Plato, Letter 2

But, with regard to both Lycophron and the other men who are with you, I have been saying for a long time the same thing, and I say it again now. that you [i.e. Dionysius] are far superior to them in the art of discussion, both by your natural talent and by your mode of argumentation [...].<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> According to this indication, Lycophron would have lived in the first part of the fourth century BC. But this testimonium has often been considered suspect.

# LYCOPHRON [83 DK]

D

A Radical Solution to the Problem of Multiple Predication (D1)

D1 (< A2) Arist. Phys. 1.2 185b25-31

έθορυβοῦντο δὲ καὶ οἱ ὕστεροι τῶν ἀρχαίων ὅπως μὴ ἄμα γένηται αὐτοῖς τὸ αὐτὸ εν καὶ πολλά. διὸ οἱ μὲν τὸ ἔστι ἀφεῖλον, ὥσπερ Δυκόφρων, [. . .] ἵνα μή ποτε τὸ ἔστι προσάπτοντες πολλὰ εἶναι ποιῶσι τὸ ἔν.¹

 $^{1}$  τὸ ἔν Λ, add.  $E^{1}$ : τὸ ὅν S

Three Definitions (D2–D4)
Definition of Knowledge (D2)

D2 (< A1) Arist. Metaph. H6 1045b9-11

οί δὲ συνουσίαν,¹ ὥσπερ Λυκόφρων φησὶν εἶναι τὴν ἐπιστήμην τοῦ ἐπίστασθαι καὶ ψυχῆς.

1 ψυχ $\hat{\eta}$ s post συνουσίαν hab. mss., del. Bonitz

## LYCOPHRON

D

A Radical Solution to the Problem of Multiple Predication (D1)

D1 (< A2) Aristotle, Physics

Among the ancient thinkers, those who were later [scil. than Parmenides and Melissus] were also troubled by the question of knowing how to avoid that the same thing be at the same time one and many for them. That is why some of them suppressed the word 'is,' like Lycophron, [...] in order not to make the one be many by adding the word 'is.'

See also PROT. R26

Three Definitions (D2–D4) Definition of Knowledge (D2)

D2 (< A1) Aristotle, Metaphysics

Some people [scil. speak, in order to explain how the terms of a definition are united,] of 'coexistence,' as Lycophron says that knowledge (epistêmê) is [scil. the coexistence] of the act of knowing (epistasthai) and the soul.

Definition of Law (D3)

**D3** (< A3) Arist. *Pol*. 3.9 1280b8–12

γίνεται [. . .] ὁ νόμος συνθήκη καί, καθάπερ ἔφη Λυκόφρων ὁ σοφιστής, ἐγγυητὴς ἀλλήλοις τῶν δικαίων, ἀλλ' οὐχ οἶος ποιεῖν ἀγαθοὺς καὶ δικαίους τοὺς πολίτας.

# Definition of Nobility (D4)

**D4** (A4) Arist. *Nob.* Frag. 91 (p. 92.5–10 Rose) = Stob. 4.29.24

λέγω δὲ τοῦτο, πότερον τῶν τιμίων ἐστὶ καὶ σπουδαίων ἤ, καθάπερ Λυκόφρων ὁ σοφιστὴς ἔγραψε, κενόν¹ τι πάμπαν. ἐκεῖνος γὰρ ἀντιπαραβάλλων ἑτέροις ἀγαθοῖς αὐτήν "εὐγενείας μὲν οὖν" φησίν² "ἀφανὲς τὸ κάλλος, ἐν λόγῳ δὲ τὸ σεμνόν," ὡς πρὸς δόξαν οὖσαν τὴν αἴρεσιν αὐτῆς, κατὰ δ' ἀλήθειαν οὐθὲν διαφέροντας τοὺς ἀγενεῖς τῶν εὐγενῶν.

### LYCOPHRON

## Definition of Law (D3)

D3 (< A3) Aristotle, Politics

Law becomes [...] a [scil. mere] contract and, as Lycophron the sophist said, 'the guarantor for each other of what is just,' but not capable of making the citizens good and just.

# Definition of Nobility (D4)

D4 (A4) Aristotle, Fragment On Nobility

I mean this: whether it [i.e. nobility] belongs to the things that are honorable and worth taking seriously, or whether, as Lycophron the sophist wrote, it is something entirely empty. For that man, comparing it to other good things, said, "the beauty of nobility is invisible, dignity exists in speech," on the idea that the preference accorded to it is based on opinion, while according to the truth those people who are not noble do not differ in any way from the ones who are noble.

<sup>1</sup> καινὸν mss., corr. Jacobs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> εύγενείας . . . φησίν Jacobs: εύγένεια . . . ής mss.

# Rhetorical Issues (D5–D6) A Loophole (D5)

## D5

a (A6) Arist. SE 15 174b30-33

ἐπιχειρητέον δ' ἐνίοτε καὶ πρὸς ἄλλα τοῦ εἰρημένου, ἐκεῖνο ἐκλαβόντας, ἐὰν μὴ πρὸς τὸ κείμενον ἔχῃ τις ἐπιχειρεῖν ὅπερ ὁ Δυκόφρων ἐποίησε προβληθέντος λύραν ἐγκωμιάζειν.

**b** (> A6) Alex. In SE, pp. 118.30-119.3

καὶ ὁ σοφιστὴς Λυκόφρων τοὺς λυρικοὺς ἐπαινῶν ἐπειδὴ τὴν λύραν μετήνεγκε τὸν ἔπαινον ἢ μᾶλλον ἐπειδὴ ὑπό τινων ἠναγκάζετο ἐπαινέσαι τὴν λύραν, εἶτα μὴ λόγων εὐπόρει πολλῶν, μικρόν τι ἐπαινέσας τὴν αἰσθητὴν ταύτην λύραν ἐπὶ τὴν οὐράνιον ἀνηνέχθη ἔστι γὰρ ἐν οὐρανῷ ἄστρον τι ἐξ ἄστρων πολλῶν συγκείμενον λύρα ὀνομαζόμενον, εἰς ἢν πολλοὺς καλοὺς καὶ ἀγαθοὺς λόγους ἐξεῦρεν.

### LYCOPHRON

## Rhetorical Issues (D5–D6) A Loophole (D5)

### D5

a (A6) Aristotle, Sophistic Refutations

Sometimes one must undertake something different from what has been said, interpreting this [scil. in a certain way], if one is not able to undertake what has been laid down—this is what Lycophron did when the proposal was made to deliver an encomium on the lyre.

 $\mathbf{b}~(>$  A6) Alexander of Aphrodisias, Commentary on Aristotle's Sophistic Refutations

And while the sophist Lycophron was praising the lyric poets, he transferred his praise to the lyre; or rather, when he was obliged by certain people to praise the lyre but did not have available much to say about it, first he briefly praised the perceptible lyre that we know and then he moved upward to the lyre in the heavens. For in the heavens there is a constellation made up of a number of stars called 'the Lyre,' concerning which he found many fine and excellent things to say.\(^1\)

<sup>1</sup> The double explanation suggests that these are simple hypotheses and that no other information about the incident mentioned was available.

The Use of Compound and Rare Terms (D6)

D6 (A5) Arist. Rhet. 3.3 1405b34-37, 1406a7-8

τὰ δὲ ψυχρὰ ἐν τέτταρσι γίνεται κατὰ τὴν λέξιν, ἔν τε τοῖς διπλοῖς ὀνόμασιν, οἶον Λυκόφρων τὸν πολυπρόσωπον οὐρανὸν τῆς μεγαλοκορύφου<sup>1</sup> γῆς καὶ ἀκτὴν δὲ στενόπορον [...]· τὸ χρῆσθαι γλώτταις, οἷον Λυκόφρων Ξέρξην τὸν πέλωρον ἄνδρα καὶ Σκίρων σίνις ἀνήρ [...].

#### LYCOPHRON

The Use of Compound and Rare Terms (D6)

D6 (A5) Aristotle, Rhetoric

Frigidity in style is produced in four ways: by compound terms, as in Lycophron 'the many-faced heavens of the great-summitted earth' and 'narrow-passaged promontory' [...]; by the use of rare terms, as in Lycophron 'Xerxes, the monstrous (pelôros) man' and 'Sciron, a ravaging (sinis) man' [...].

<sup>1</sup> Both terms are otherwise exclusively poetic. *pelôros* is not usually applied to human beings; *sinis* is usually not an adjective but a substantive.

<sup>1</sup> μεγαλοκορύφου mss.: μελανοκ-anon.

# 39. XENIADES [XENI.]

Our only source for the philosophical views of Xeniades of Corinth is Sextus Empiricus, who mentions him seven times; one of these passages (D1) provides a summary of his four principal theses and what is probably a report (unless it is merely a reconstruction) of Xeniades' argument for one of them. The nature of his ontological theses and his epistemological nihilism are the only evidence for counting Xeniades among the 'sophists'; they seem to be linked less closely to Gorgias (with whom he has often been connected) than to Protagoras' epistemological doctrine. It is probably for this reason that he attracted Democritus' attention (cf. ATOM, P25).

Scholars disagree about whether this Xeniades of Corinth is to be identified with a Xeniades of Corinth, mentioned by Diogenes Laertius (6.30, 36, 74), who bought Diogenes the Cynic as a slave; the chronology makes this unlikely, even if we assign our Xeniades a relatively late date (the beginning of the fourth century BC).

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### Studies

J. Brunschwig. "Democritus and Xeniades," in V. Caston and D. W. Graham, eds., Presocratic Philosophy. Essays

#### XENIADES

in Honour of Alexander Mourelatos (Aldershot, 2002), pp. 159-67.

See also the titles listed in the General Introduction to Chapters 31–42.

### OUTLINE OF THE CHAPTER

#### D

Sextus Empiricus' Report on Xeniades' Theses (D1)

#### R

A Rapprochement between Xeniades and Xenophanes (RI)

A Contrast with Protagoras (R2)

A Skeptical Criticism of Xeniades (R3)

# XENIADES [81 DK]

D

Sextus Empiricus' Report on Xeniades' Theses (D1)

D1 (>81., 68 B163) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.53

Ξενιάδης δὲ ὁ Κορίνθιος, οὖ καὶ Δημόκριτος μέμνηται, πάντ' εἰπὼν ψευδῆ καὶ πᾶσαν φαντασίαν καὶ δόξαν ψεύδεσθαι καὶ ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὅντος πᾶν τὸ γινόμενον γίνεσθαι καὶ εἰς τὸ μὴ ὂν πᾶν τὸ φθειρόμενον φθείρεσθαι [. . . = R1]. τὸ δ᾽ ὅτι πάντα ἐστὶ ψευδῆ καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἀκατάληπτα, δείκνυται ἐκ τῆς τῶν αἰσθήσεων διαβολῆς· εἰ γὰρ τὸ ἐπαναβεβηκὸς κριτήριον πάντων τῶν πραγμάτων ἐστὶ ψευδές, ἐξ ἀνάγκης καὶ πάντα ἐστὶ ψευδῆ. τὸ δέ γε ἐπαναβεβηκὸς κριτήριον πάντων τῶν πραγμάτων εἰσὶν αὶ αἰσθήσεις, καὶ δείκνυνται ψευδες· πάντα ἄρα τὰ πράγματά ἐστι ψευδῆ.

### **XENIADES**

D

Sextus Empiricus' Report on Xeniades' Theses (D1)

**D1** (> 81., 68 B163) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians

Xeniades of Corinth, whom Democritus mentions [cf. ATOM. P25], and who asserted that all things are false, that every representation and opinion is false, that everything that comes to be comes to be out of what is not, and that everything that perishes perishes into what is not [...]. And it is demonstrated on the basis of slandering the senses that all things are false and for this reason cannot be known; for if the highest criterion of all things is false, then of necessity all things too are false. Now the highest criterion of all things are the perceptions, and it is demonstrated that they are false; therefore all things are false.

## XENIADES [81 DK]

R

# A Rapprochement between Xeniades and Xenophanes (R1)

RI (>81.) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.53

Εενιάδης δὲ ὁ Κορίνθιος [... = DI] δυνάμει τῆς αὐτῆς ἔχεται τῷ Εενοφάνει στάσεως. μὴ ὄντος γάρ τινος ἀληθοῦς κατὰ διαφορὰν τοῦ ψεύδους, ἀλλὰ πάντων ψευδῶν ὄντων καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἀκαταλήπτων, οὐδὲ διακριτικόν τι τούτων ἔσται κριτήριον.

# A Contrast with Protagoras (R2)

**R2** (≠ DK) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.388

εί γὰρ κριτήριον ἀπολειπτέον τὴν φαντασίαν, ἤτοι πᾶσαν ἀληθῆ φαντασίαν λεκτέον εἶναι, καθὼς ἔλεγεν

1 ἀπολειπτέον Ν: ἀποληπτέον LE5

### XENIADES

R

## A Rapprochement between Xeniades and Xenophanes (R1)

R1 (> 81.) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians

Xeniades of Corinth [. . .] occupies potentially the same position as Xenophanes. For if nothing true exists that would be different from what is false, but all things are false and for this reason cannot be known, there will not exist either any criterion that could distinguish among them [cf. XEN. R21b].

# A Contrast with Protagoras (R2)

**R2** ( $\neq$  DK) Sextus Empiricus, *Against the Logicians* For if one admits that the representation (*phantasia*) is the criterion, then one must say either that every representa-

ό Πρωταγόρας, ἢ πᾶσαν ψευδῆ, ὡς ἔφασκε Ξενιάδης ὁ Κορίνθιος [. . .].

# A Skeptical Criticism of Xeniades (R3)

**R3** (≠ DK) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.399

εἰ γὰρ πᾶσαι αἱ φαντασίαι εἰσὶ ψευδεῖς καὶ οὐδέν ἐστιν ἀληθές, ἀληθές ἐστι τὸ 'οὐδέν ἐστιν ἀληθές.' εἰ ἄρα μηδέν ἐστιν ἀληθές, ἔστιν ἀληθές καὶ οὕτως εἰς τοὐναντίον τῆ προθέσει περιήχθησαν οἱ περὶ τὸν Ξενιάδην, λέγοντες πάσας τὰς φαντασίας εἶναι ψευδεῖς καὶ μηδὲν ὅλως ἐν τοῖς οὖσιν ὑπάρχειν ἀληθές.

# **XENIADES**

tion is true, as Protagoras said, or else that every one is false, as Xeniades of Corinth asserted [...].

# A Skeptical Criticism of Xeniades (R3)

R3 ( $\neq$  DK) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians

For if all representations (*phantasiai*) are false and nothing is true, the proposition 'nothing is true' is true: if therefore nothing is true, there is something true. And in this way Xeniades and his followers are led by reversal to affirm the contrary of their thesis, when they say that all representations are false and that there is nothing true at all among the things that are.

# 40. THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS [ANON. IAMBL.]

In one section of his Protrepticus, the Neoplatonist philosopher Iamblichus presents a series of paragraphs on the subjects of how one can achieve excellence (aretê), how one's own excellence can be made to benefit other people, and why one should practice temperance and respect for the laws and justice. In 1889 Friedrich Blass identified these paragraphs as an extract, almost entirely continuous (setting aside transitions added by Iamblichus), from a treatise on ethics and politics from the time of the Peloponnesian War. Since then scholars have attributed them to a number of possible authors, including Antiphon, Antisthenes, Critias, Protagoras, or one of his followers. But even if some suggestions are more plausible than others, any such attribution is destined to remain arbitrary in the absence of any decisive evidence. And even the traditional dating of the text to the fifth century and its identification as belonging to the 'sophistic' movement are not certain; indeed, recently the proposal has been made to date it as late as the fourth century BC on the basis of certain affinities with Xenophon's writings.

For this text and its translation, we have adopted the following conventions:

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

- (1.) = reference to the numbering in DK
- $[\ldots]$  = lines of the text of Iamblichus that are omitted here
- [1] = indications taken from the text of DK

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

# Editions and Translations

- F. Blass. De Antiphonte sophista Iamblichi auctore (Progr. Kiel, 1889).
- D. Musti (trans., intro., comm. M. Mari). Anonimo di Giamblico: La pace e il benessere. Idee sull'economia, la società, la morale (Milan, 2003).

# Studies

- A. T. Cole, Jr. "The Anonymus Iamblichi and His Place in Greek Political Theory," *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 65 (1962): 127–63.
- J. de Romilly. "Sur un écrit anonyme ancien et ses rapports avec Thucydide," *Journal des Savants* (1980): 19–34.

See also the titles listed in the General Introduction to Chapters 31–42.

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS [89 DK]

(> DK) Anon. in Iambl. Protr.

[Excellence derives from a combination of natural disposition and training] p. 95.13–24 Pistelli

(1.) [...] [1] ὅ τι ἄν τις ἐθέλη ἐξεργάσασθαι εἰς τέλος τὸ βέλτιστον, ἐάν τε σοφίαν ἐάν τε ἀνδρείαν ἐάν τε εὐγλωσσίαν ἐάν τε ἀρετὴν ἢ τὴν σύμπασαν ἢ μέρος τι αὐτῆς, ἐκ τῶνδε οἶόν τε εἶναι κατεργάσασθαι. [2] φῦναι μὲν πρῶτον δεῖν, καὶ τοῦτο μὲν τῆ τύχη ἀποδεδόσθαι, τὰ δὲ ἐπ' αὐτῷ ἤδη τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ τάδε εἶναι, ἐπιθυμητὴν γενέσθαι τῶν καλῶν καὶ ἀγαθῶν φιλόπονόν τε καὶ πρωιαίτατα μανθάνοντα καὶ πολὺν χρόνον αὐτοῖς συνδιατελοῦντα. [3] εἰ δέ τι ἀπέσται τούτων καὶ ἔν, οὐχ οῗόν τέ ἐστιν οὐδὲὶ ἐς τέλος τὸ ἄκρον ἐξεργάσασθαι, ἔχοντος δὲ ἄπαντα ταῦτα, ἀνυπέρβλητον γίγνεται τοῦτο, ὅ τι ἂν ἀσκῆ τις τῶν ἀνθρώπων. [...]

1 οὐδὲν Kaibel

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

(> DK) Anonymous, in Iamblichus, Protreptic

[Excellence derives from a combination of natural disposition and training]

(1.) [...] [1] whatever one wishes to bring to perfection in the finest terms possible—whether wisdom, manly valor, eloquence, or virtue, either as a whole or in some part of it—one can achieve this in the following way. [2] First one must have a certain natural disposition, and while this has been assigned by chance, the following things are already within a person's own power: to become desirous of fine and good things and to become industrious, learning these things as early as possible and also continuing to spend a long time with them. [3] If any of these [scil. factors] is absent, even if only one of them, it is not possible to bring to perfection what is highest either; but if one possesses all of these, then whatever a person works at turns out to be unsurpassable. [...]

[This combination is most effective when it is practiced over a long period of time] pp. 96.1–97.8

(2.) [. . .] [1] έξ οὖ ἄν τις βούληται δόξαν παρὰ τοῖς άνθρώποις λαβείν καὶ τοιούτος φαίνεσθαι οίος αν ή, αὐτίκα δεῖ νέον τε ἄρξασθαι καὶ ἐπιχρήσθαι αὐτῷ όμαλως ἀεὶ καὶ μὴ ἄλλοτε ἄλλως. [2] συγχρονισθέν μεν γαρ εκαστον τούτων και αυτίκα τε αρξάμενον και συναυξηθέν είς τέλος λαμβάνει βέβαιον την δόξαν καὶ τὸ κλέος διὰ τάδε, ὅτι πιστεύεταί τε ἤδη ἀνενδοιάστως, καὶ ὁ φθόνος τῶν ἀνθρώπων οὐ προσγίγνεται, δι' δν τὰ μὲν οὐκ αὕξουσιν οὐδ' εὐλόγως μηνύουσι, τὰ δὲ καταψεύδονται μεμφόμενοι παρὰ τὸ δίκαιον. [3] οὐ γὰρ ἡδὺ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἄλλον τινὰ τιμᾶν (αὐτοὶ γὰρ στερίσκεσθαί τινος ἡγοῦνται), χειρωθέντες δὲ ύπὸ τῆς ἀνάγκης αὐτῆς καὶ κατὰ σμικρὸν ἐκ πολλοῦ έπαχθέντες έπαινέται καὶ ἄκοντες ὅμως γίγνονται [4] άμα δὲ καὶ οὐκ ἀμφιβάλλουσιν, εἴτε¹ ἄρα τοιοῦτος άνθρωπός έστιν οΐος φαίνεται, η ένεδρεύει και θηρεύεται τὴν δόξαν ἐπὶ ἀπάτη, καὶ ἃ ποιεῖ, ταῦτα καλλωπίζεται ὑπαγόμενος τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐν ἐκείνῳ δὲ τῷ τρόπω, ψ έγω προείπον, ἀσκηθείσα ή ἀρετή πίστιν έμποιεί περί έαυτης καὶ εὔκλειαν. [5] έαλωκότες γὰρ ήδη κατά τὸ ἰσχυρὸν οἱ ἄνθρωποι οὖτε τῷ φθόνῳ ἔτι δύνανται χρησθαι οὔτε ἀπατᾶσθαι ἔτι οἴονται. [6] ἔτι δὲ καὶ ὁ χρόνος συνὼν μὲν ἑκάστω ἔργω καὶ πρά-

1 εί Diels

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

[This combination is most effective when it is practiced over a long period of time]

(2.) [...] [1] from the moment that one forms the desire to obtain renown among men and to show oneself to be the sort of man one is, one must begin straightaway while young and one must practice it always in the same way and not in different ways at different times. [2] For when each of these [scil. good] things has lasted a long time and has begun straightaway and has grown to fulfillment, he obtains a secure renown and fame for the following reasons: because by now he is trusted without hesitation, and the envy of humans does not adhere to him-envy, on account of which people do not extol certain things or speak in praise of them, and speak falsely about other things, criticizing them unjustly. [3] For it does not provide pleasure to people to assign honor to another person (for they suppose that they themselves are being deprived of something); but if they are defeated by necessity itself and have been influenced little by little over a long time, they become praisers, even if unwillingly. [4] At the same time, they do not doubt whether a person really is then just as he appears to be, or is setting a trap and hunting for renown by means of deceit, or [scil. suspect] that whatever he does he is only putting on a show and misleading people. But if virtue is practiced in the way I just mentioned, it produces trust in itself and a good reputation. [5] For when people have already been strongly won over, they are no longer capable of feeling envy and they do not think any longer that they are being deceived. [6] Moreover, a long duration of time too, when it accompanies each deed and action, at length provides confirma-

γματι πολὺς καὶ διὰ μακροῦ κρατύνει τὸ ἀσκούμενον, ὁ δὲ ὀλίγος χρόνος οὐ δύναται τοῦτο ἀπεργάζεσθαι. [7] καὶ τέχνην μὲν ἄν τις τὴν κατὰ λόγους πυθόμενος καὶ μαθὼν οὐ χείρων τοῦ διδάσκοντος ἂν γένοιτο ἐν ὀλίγῳ χρόνῳ, ἀρετὴ δὲ ἤτις ἐξ ἔργων πολλῶν συνίσταται, ταύτην γε² οὐχ οἶόν τε ὀψὲ ἀρξαμένῳ οὕτε³ ὀλίγοχρονίως ἐπὶ τέλος ἀγαγεῖν, ἀλλὰ συντραφῆναί τε αὐτἢ δεῖ καὶ συναυξηθῆναι τῶν μὲν εἰργόμενον κακῶν καὶ λόγων καὶ ἡθῶν, τὰ δ' ἐπιτηδεύοντα καὶ κατεργαζόμενον σὺν πολλῷ χρόνῳ καὶ ἐπιμελείᾳ. [8] ἄμα δέ τις καὶ τἢ ἐξ ὀλίγου χρόνου εὐδοξίᾳ προσγίγνεται βλάβη τοιάδε τοὺς γὰρ ἐξαπιναίως καὶ ἐξ ὀλίγου χρόνον πολοῦν ἢ ἀγαθοὺς ἡ ἀνδρείους γενομένους οὐκ ἀποδέχονται ἡδέως οἱ ἄνθρωποι. [...]

 $^2$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  ms., corr. Kiessling:  $\delta \hat{\eta}$  Pistelli

<sup>3</sup> οὐδὲ Pistelli

# [Excellence must be applied to good ends] p. 97.16–24

(3.) [...] [1] ὅταν τις ὀρεχθείς τινος τούτων¹ κατεργασάμενος ἔχη αὐτὸ εἰς τέλος, ἐάν τε εὐγλωσσίαν ἐάν τε σοφίαν ἐάν τε ἰσχύν, τούτω εἰς ἀγαθὰ καὶ νόμιμα καταχρῆσθαι δεῖ· εἰ δὲ εἰς ἄδικά τε καὶ ἄνομα χρήσεταί τις τῷ ὑπάρχοντι ἀγαθῷ, πάντων κάκιστον εἶναι τὸ τοιοῦτον καὶ ἀπεῖναι κρεῖσσον αὐτὸ ἢ παρεῖναι [2] καὶ ὥσπερ ἀγαθὸς τελέως ὁ τούτων τι ἔχων γίγνεται εἰς τὰ ἀγαθὰ αὐτοῖς καταχρώμενος, οὕτω πάλιν πάγκακος τελέως ὁ εἰς τὰ πονηρὰ χρώμενος.

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

tion for what one is devoting one's efforts to, whereas a short period of time is not able to accomplish this. [7] And in the case of an art, it is possible for someone who learns and is taught the art of speeches to become as good as his teacher in a short time; but it is not possible to bring virtue, which is made up of a large number of deeds, to perfection if someone begins late, or to do so in a short time, but one must be raised together with it and must grow together with it, avoiding both wicked words and wicked habits, and instead practicing and achieving ones of the other kind over a long time and with diligence. [8] At the same time, there is a drawback adhering to a good reputation acquired in a short time, namely that people do not accept with pleasure those who, suddenly and in a short time, have become either wealthy or wise or good or valorous. [...]

[Excellence must be applied to good ends]
(3.) [...] [1] whenever someone desiring one of these [scil. good] things, has obtained it by his labor, and possesses it to perfection, whether it is eloquence, wisdom, or strength, he must use it for good and lawful ends; but if anyone uses what is good for unjust and unlawful ends, this sort of thing is the worst of all, and it is better that it be absent rather than present. [2] And just as someone who possesses one of these [scil. good things] shows himself to be a perfectly good man if he uses it for good ends, so too in turn he shows himself to be a perfectly wicked one if he uses it for evil ends.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> τοῦτο ms., corr. Töpfer: secl. Blass

[The greatest excellence is what benefits the most people] pp. 97.25–98.11

[3] τόν τε αὖ ἀρετῆς ὀρεγόμενον τῆς συμπάσης σκεπτέον είναι, έκ τίνος ἃν λόγου ἢ ἔργου ἄριστος εἴη: τοιούτος δ' αν είη ὁ πλείστοις ωφέλιμος ων. [4] εἰ μέν τις χρήματα διδούς εὐεργετήσει τούς πλησίον, ἀναγκασθήσεται κακὸς εἶναι πάλιν αὖ συλλέγων τὰ χρήματα έπειτα ούκ ἂν ούτω ἄφθονα συναγάγοι ὥστε μὴ έπιλείπειν διδόντα καὶ δωρούμενον εἶτα αὕτη αὖθις δευτέρα κακία προσγίγνεται μετά την συναγωγην των χρημάτων, έὰν ἐκ πλουσίου πένης γένηται καὶ ἐκ κεκτημένου μηδεν έχων. [5] καὶ προσέτι δωρούμενος πως αν έχοι την δόσιν ανέκλειπτον; πως αν οδν δή τις μὴ χρήματα νέμων άλλὰ ἄλλφ δή τινι τρόπφ εὐποιητικός ἂν εἴη ἀνθρώπων, καὶ ταθτα μὴ σὺν κακία άλλὰ σὺν ἀρετῆ; [6] ὧδε οὖν ἔσται τοῦτο, εἰ τοῖς νόμοις τε καὶ τῷ δικαίῳ ἐπικουροίη τοῦτο γὰρ τάς τε πόλεις καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους τὸ συνοικίζον καὶ τὸ συνέχου.2 [...]

1 καὶ . . . ἀνέκλειπτον post ἀρετῆ; infra hab. ms., transp.
 nos
 2 post συνέχον hab. ms. εἶναι, secl. Wilamowitz

[One must practice temperance, especially regarding money] pp. 98.17–99.15

(4.) [. . .] [1] ἐγκρατέστατόν γε δεῖ εἶναι πάντα ἄνδρα διαφερόντως τοιοῦτος δ' ἂν μάλιστα, εἴ τις¹ τῶν χρη-

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

[The greatest excellence is what benefits the most people]

[3] One must now consider on the basis of what speech or what deed the man who desires the whole of virtue could become best. Such a man would be the one who is beneficial to the most people. [4] For if someone does a good deed to his neighbors by giving them money, he will be obliged to be wicked again in turn when he collects the money; and then, it would not be possible for him to accumulate resources in such abundance that he would not end up being in need if he made gifts and presents; then again, here is a second drawback that comes about from accumulating money, if, after having been rich, one becomes poor, and, after having been affluent, one possesses nothing. [5] And furthermore, if he gives presents, how could he continue to do so without interruption? How then could someone do a good deed to people not by distributing money but in some other way, and do this not with wickedness but with virtue? [6] That will happen in the following way: if he comes to the aid of the laws and of justice. For this is what brings together cities and people and holds them together. [...]

[One must practice temperance, especially regarding money] (4.) [...] [1] it is necessary that every man be surpassingly temperate. That person would most of all be a man of this

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  μάλιστα ϵἴη, ϵἰ Diels: μάλιστα ϵἴη, ϵἴ τις Kiessling

μάτων κρείσσων είη, πρὸς ἃ πάντες διαφθείρονται, καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀφειδὴς ἐπὶ τοῖς δικαίοις ἐσπουδακώς καὶ τὴν ἀρετὴν μεταδιώκων πρὸς ταῦτα γὰρ δύο οί πλείστοι άκρατείς είσι. [2] διὰ τοιούτον δέ τι ταύτα πάσχουσιν φιλοψυχοῦσι μέν, ὅτι τοῦτο ἡ ζωή ἐστιν, ή ψυχή. ταύτης οὖν φείδονται καὶ ποθοῦσιν αὐτὴν διὰ φιλίαν της ζωής καὶ συνήθειαν ή συντρέφονται φιλοχρηματούσι δὲ τῶνδε εἵνεκα, ἄπερ φοβεῖ αὐτούς. [3] τί δ' ἐστὶ ταῦτα; αἱ νόσοι, τὸ γῆρας, αἱ ἐξαπιναῖοι ζημίαι, οὐ τὰς ἐκ τῶν νόμων λέγω ζημίας (ταύτας μὲν γὰρ καὶ εὐλαβηθῆναι ἔστι καὶ φυλάξασθαι), ἀλλὰ τὰς τοιαύτας, πυρκαϊάς, θανάτους οἰκετῶν, τετραπόδων, ἄλλας αὖ συμφοράς, αι περίκεινται αι μεν τοίς σώμασιν, αί δὲ ταῖς ψυχαῖς, αί δὲ τοῖς χρήμασι. [4] τούτων δη οὖν ἔνεκα πάντων, ὅπως ἐς ταῦτα ἔχωσι χρησθαι τοις χρήμασι, πας άνηρ του πλούτου όρέγεται. [5] καὶ ἄλλ' ἄττα δέ ἐστιν ἄπερ οὐχ ἦσσον ἢ τὰ προειρημένα έξορμα τους άνθρώπους έπι τον χρηματισμόν, αἱ πρὸς ἀλλήλους φιλοτιμίαι καὶ οἱ ζήλοι καὶ αί δυναστείαι, δι' ας τὰ χρήματα περὶ πολλοῦ ποιοῦνται, ὅτι συμβάλλεται εἰς τὰ τοιαῦτα. [6] ὅστις δέ έστιν άνηρ άληθως άγαθός, ούτος ούκ άλλοτρίω κόσμφ περικειμένφ την δόξαν θηραται, άλλα τη αύτου  $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\tau\hat{\eta}$ . [. . .]

 $^2$  η ζωή ἐστιν η ψυχή ms., corr. Diels: ταὐτὸ ἡ ζωή ἐστι $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ψυχ $\hat{\eta}$  Kiessling: alii alia

### THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

sort if he were superior to money, which is what corrupts all men, and if, without caring about his life, he bestowed his pains on things that are just and pursued virtue. For these are the two areas in which most men lack temperance. [2] It is for the following reason that they suffer this. On the one hand they are pusillanimous [philopsukhein, literally 'they love their souls', because this is what their life is, namely their soul [psukhê]; hence they care about this and they feel a great desire for it because of their love for life and because of their familiarity with what they were raised with. And on the other hand they love money because they fear the following things. [3] What are these? Diseases, old age, sudden adversities—I do not mean adversities from the laws (for one can take precautions against these and guard against them), but instead ones of the following sort: house fires, the deaths of members of one's household, of animals, and again other misfortunes. some of which concern bodies, others souls, and others money. [4] It is because of all these things that every man desires wealth, so that he can use his money against these eventualities. [5] And there are some other reasons that. no less than the ones I have mentioned, drive people to moneymaking: rivalries with each other, jealousies, political powers, because of which they attach great importance to money, because it makes a contribution in situations of this sort. [6] But whoever is a truly good man seeks a renown not by means of an ornament that does not belong to him but by means of his own virtue. [...]

# [Arguments against pusillanimity] p. 99.19–28

(5.) [1] [...] ότι, εἰ μεν ὑπῆρχε τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ εἰ μὴ ὑπ' ἄλλου ἀποθάνοι ἀγήρῳ τε εἶναι καὶ ἀθανάτῳ τὸν λοιπὸν χρόνον, συγγνώμη ἂν¹ πολλὴ τῷ φειδομένῳ τῆς ψυχῆς. [2] ἐπεὶ δὲ ὑπάρχει τῷ βίῳ μηκυνομένῳ τό τε γῆρας κάκιον ὂν ἀνθρώποις καὶ μὴ ἀθάνατον εἶναι, καὶ² ἀμαθία ἤδη ἐστὶ³ μεγάλη καὶ συνήθεια πονηρῶν λόγων τε καὶ ἐπιθυμημάτων, ταύτην περιποιεῖν ἐπὶ δυσκλείᾳ, ἀλλὰ μὴ ἀθάνατόν ⟨τι⟩⁴ ἀντ' αὐτῆς λείπεσθαι,⁵ ἀντὶ θνητῆς οὕσης εὐλογίαν ἀέναον καὶ ἀεὶ ζῶσαν, [...]

1  $\ddot{a}\nu \langle \dot{\eta}\nu \rangle$  Blass 2 post  $\kappa a \dot{i}$  hab. ms.  $\dot{\eta}$ , del. Pistelli]

3 an δή ἐστι scribendum? 4 <τι> nos

5 λείπεσθαι <κλέος> Wilamowitz

# [Respect for the laws and justice] pp. 100.5–101.6

(6.) [1] [. . .] οὐκ ἐπὶ πλεονεξίαν ὁρμᾶν δεῖ, οὐδὲ τὸ κράτος τὸ ἐπὶ τῆ πλεονεξία ἡγεῖσθαι ἀρετὴν εἶναι, τὸ δὲ τῶν νόμων ὑπακούειν δειλίαν πονηροτάτη γὰρ αὕτη ἡ διάνοιά ἐστι, καὶ ἐξ αὐτῆς πάντα τἀναντία τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς γίνεται, κακία τε καὶ βλάβη. εἰ γὰρ ἔφυσαν μὲν οἱ ἄνθρωποι ἀδύνατοι καθ' ἔνα ζῆν, συν-ῆλθον δὲ πρὸς ἀλλήλους τῆ ἀνάγκη εἴκοντες, πᾶσα δὲ ἡ ζωὴ αὐτοῖς εὕρηται καὶ τὰ τεχνήματα πρὸς αὐτήν, σὺν ἀλλήλοις δὲ εἶναι αὐτοὺς κἀν² ἀνομία διαιτᾶσθαι οὐχ οἷόν τε (μείζω γὰρ αὐτοῖς ζημίαν³ οὔτω

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

[Arguments against pusillanimity]

(5.) [1] [...] if it befell the man who cared about his life, unless he was killed by someone else, to be ageless and deathless for the rest of time, then he would be easily forgiven. [2] But since what befalls a life that is prolonged is old age, which is worse for people, and not to be deathless, it is truly both a great foolishness and the effect of habituation to wicked words and desires to preserve this [scil. life] at the cost of a bad reputation, and not to leave behind in its place something deathless, a renown that is everlasting and always alive instead of one that is mortal. [...]

[Respect for the laws and justice]

(6.) [...] [1] one must not be greedy to have more, nor think that power founded upon greed is virtue while obedience to the laws is cowardice. For this is the most evil idea of all, and it is the source of all the things that are the contrary of good ones: viz., iniquity and harm. For if by nature people have been born unable to live alone, and came together with one another yielding to constraint, and discovered all the means of life and the technical resources to achieve it, and if it is also not possible for them to live with one another and to spend their lives without laws (for a greater tribulation happens to them in this way than

 $<sup>1 \</sup>delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda \hat{\imath} \alpha \nu$  ms., corr. Arcerius

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> καὶ ms., corr. Diels

<sup>3</sup> ζημίαν <ầν> Diels

γίγνεσθαι ἐκείνης τῆς κατὰ ἔνα διαίτης), διὰ ταύτας τοίνυν τὰς ἀνάγκας τόν τε νόμον καὶ τὸ δίκαιον ἐμβασιλεύειν τοῖς ἀνθρώποις καὶ οὐδαμή μεταστήναι αν αὐτά φύσει γὰρ ἰσχυρά ἐνδεδέσθαι ταῦτα. [2] εἰ μεν δη γένοιτό τις έξ άρχης φύσιν τοιάνδε έχων, άτρωτος τὸν χρώτα άνοσός τε καὶ άπαθης καὶ ὑπερφυής καὶ ἀδαμάντινος τό τε σώμα καὶ τὴν ψυχήν, τῷ τοιούτω ίσως ἄν τις άρκειν ένόμισε τὸ ἐπὶ τῆ πλεονεξία κράτος (τὸν γὰρ τοιοῦτον τῷ νόμῳ μὴ ὑποδύνοντα δύνασθαι άθώον είναι), οὐ μὴν όρθώς οὖτος οἴεται [3] εί γὰρ καὶ τοιοῦτός τις είη, ώς οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο, τοῖς μεν νόμοις συμμαχών καὶ τῷ δικαίῳ καὶ ταῦτα κρατύνων καὶ τῆ ἰσχύι χρώμενος ἐπὶ ταῦτά τε καὶ τὰ τούτοις έπικουρούντα, ούτω μέν ἂν σώζοιτο ὁ τοιούτος, άλλως δε ούκ αν διαμένου [4] δοκείν γαρ αν τούς άπαντας άνθρώπους τῷ τοιούτω φύντι πολεμίους κατασταθέντας διὰ τὴν ξαυτῶν εὐνομίαν, καὶ τὸ πληθος η τέχνη η δυνάμει ύπερβαλέσθαι αν και περιγενέσθαι τοῦ τοιούτου ἀνδρός. [5] οὕτω φαίνεται καὶ αὐτὸ τὸ κράτος, ὅπερ δὴ κράτος ἐστί, διά τε τοῦ νόμου καὶ διὰ τὴν δίκην σωζόμενον. [...]

<sup>4</sup> ἰσχυρὰ ms., corr. Blass et iam MT

[Positive consequences of respect for the laws] pp. 101.17–102.24

(< 7.) [. . .] [1] πίστις μὲν πρώτη ἐγγίγνεται ἐκ τῆς εὐνομίας μεγάλα ἀφελοῦσα τοὺς ἀνθρώπους τοὺς

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

from living alone)—because of all these constraints, law and justice rule over people and could not be altered in any way. For these [scil. law and justice] are bound together by a strong nature. [2] Indeed, if someone were born possessing from the beginning such a nature as to be invulnerable in his flesh, immune to illness and suffering, extraordinarily large, and indestructible in body and soul. one might perhaps think that, for someone like that, power founded upon greed might be sufficient: for a man like that could live in impunity without submitting to the law. And yet to think like this is incorrect. [3] For even if someone like this, such as could never come about, actually existed, it would only be by allying himself with the laws and justice, and fortifying these, using his strength for the benefit of them and of what comes to their aid, that someone like this could preserve himself; otherwise he could not survive. [4] For all men would decide to make themselves the enemies of the man who had this nature, because of their respect for the laws: and their multitude. either by skill or by strength, would surpass such a man and would prevail over him. [5] In this way it is evident that even force itself, as force, is preserved by law and justice. [...]

[Positive consequences of respect for the laws] (<7.) [...] [1] Trust is the first thing that comes about from respect for the laws; it greatly benefits all people, and it

σύμπαντας, καὶ τῶν μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν τοῦτό ἐστι κοινά γάρ τὰ χρήματα γίγνεται έξ αὐτης, καὶ οὕτω μεν έαν και όλίγα ή έξαρκεί όμως κυκλούμενα, άνευ δὲ ταύτης οὐδ' ἂν πολλὰ ἢ έξαρκεῖ. [2] καὶ αἱ τύχαι δὲ αἱ εἰς τὰ χρήματα καὶ τὸν βίον, αἴ τε ἀγαθαὶ καὶ μή, ἐκ τῆς εὐνομίας τοῖς ἀνθρώποις προσφορώτατα κυβερνώνται τούς τε γάρ εὐτυχοῦντας ἀσφαλεῖ αὐτῆ χρησθαι καὶ ἀνεπιβουλεύτω, τούς τε αὖ δυστυχοῦντας έπικουρείσθαι έκ των εύτυχούντων διά την έπιμιξίαν τε καὶ πίστιν, ἄπερ ἐκ τῆς εὐνομίας γίγνεται. [3] τόν τε αὖ χρόνον τοῖς ἀνθρώποις διὰ τὴν εὐνομίαν εἰς μέν τὰ πράγματα άργὸν γίγνεσθαι, εἰς δὲ τὰ ἔργα της ζωης έργάσιμον. [4] φροντίδος δὲ της μὲν ἀηδεστάτης ἀπηλλάχθαι τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐν τῆ εὐνομία, τη δε ηδίστη συνείναι πραγμάτων μεν γάρ φροντίδα αηδεστάτην είναι, έργων δε ήδίστην. [5] είς τε αὖ τὸν ύπνον ἰοῦσιν, ὅπερ ἀνάπαυμα κακῶν ἐστιν ἀνθρώποις, ἀφόβους μὲν καὶ ἄλυπα μεριμνῶντας ἔρχεσθαι είς αὐτόν, γιγνομένους δὲ ἀπ'ι αὐτοῦ ἔτερα τοιαῦτα πάσχειν, καὶ μὴ ἐμφόβους ἐξάπινα καθίστασθαι, ούτω δ'2 έκ μεταλλαγής ήδίστης τὸ3 γνωστήν τήν ήμέραν είναι προσδέχεσθαι, άλλὰ ήδέως φροντίδας μεν άλύπους περί τὰ έργα της ζωής ποιουμένους, τοὺς πόνους δὲ τῆ ἀντιλήψει ἀγαθῶν ἐλπίσιν εὐπίστοις καὶ εὐπροσδοκήτοις ἀνακουφίζοντας, ὧν πάν-

 $^{1}$  ἐπ' ms., corr. Wilamowitz  $^{2}$  οὐδ' ms., corr. Töpfer: ὧδε δὲ Vitelli  $^{3}$  τοῦ ms., corr. Vitelli  $^{4}$  ἀδεῶς Wilamowitz

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

belongs among the great good things. For because of this. resources are shared in common, and in this way, even if they are scarce, nonetheless they suffice because they circulate, while, without this, they would not suffice even if they were abundant. [2] And the most suitable way for people to navigate the uncertainties of fortune that affect money and life, both those that are favorable and those that are not, is for them to base themselves on respect for the laws: for those people who have good fortune enjoy it in safety and freedom from intrigues, while on the contrary those who have bad fortune receive assistance from the fortunate ones on the basis of the interconnection and trust that come about from respect for the laws. [3] Again. thanks to respect for the laws, people's time is freed from legal matters and can be devoted to the activities of their own life. [4] When the laws are respected, men are relieved of the most disagreeable kinds of thoughts and spend their time on the most agreeable ones: for thinking about legal matters is most disagreeable, but thinking about one's own activities is most agreeable. [5] Again, when they go to sleep, which for people is a repose from evils, they do so without fear and painful thoughts; and when they wake up they feel the same way, and they are not suddenly filled with fear, nor in this way, after a most agreeable respite, do they wait for the day to make itself known, but agreeably thinking painless thoughts about the activities of their life, they lessen their troubles by thinking instead about good things, with confident and optimistic hopes. For all these things, respect for the laws is the

των τὴν εὐνομίαν αἰτίαν εἶναι. [6] καὶ τὸ κακὰ μέγιστα τοῖς ἀνθρώποις πορίζον, πόλεμον ἐπιφερόμενον εἰς καταστροφὴν καὶ δούλωσιν, καὶ τοῦτο ἀνομοῦσι μὲν μᾶλλον ἐπέρχεσθαι, εὐνομουμένοις δ' ἦσσον. [7] καὶ ἄλλα δὲ πολλά ἐστιν ἐν τῆ εὐνομία ἀγαθά, ἄπερ ἐπικουρήματα τῆ ζωῆ καὶ παραψυχὴ τῶν χαλεπῶν ἐξ αὐτῆς γίγνεται.

[Negative consequences of lack of respect for the laws] p. 102.26—104.16

[. . .] [8] ἄσχολοι μὲν πρῶτον οἱ ἄνθρωποι πρὸς τὰ ἔργα γίγνονται καὶ ἐπιμελοῦνται τοῦ ἀηδεστάτου, πραγμάτων ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔργων, τά τε χρήματα δι' ἀπιστίαν καὶ ἀμιξίαν ἀποθησαυρίζουσιν ἀλλ' οὐ κοινοῦνται, καὶ οὕτως σπάνια γίγνεται, ἐὰν καὶ πολλὰ ἢ. [9] αἴ τε τύχαι αἱ φλαῦραι < . . .>¹ καὶ αἱ ἀγαθαὶ εἰς τὰναντία ὑπηρετοῦσιν ἤ τε γὰρ εὐτυχία οὐκ ἀσφαλής ἐστιν ἐν τἢ ἀνομίᾳ ἀλλ' ἐπιβουλεύεται, ἤ τε δυστυχία οὐκ ἀπωθεῖται ἀλλὰ κρατύνεται διὰ τὴν ἀπιστίαν καὶ ἀμιξίαν. [10] ὅ τε πόλεμος ἔξωθεν μᾶλλον ἐπάγεται καὶ ἡ οἰκεία στάσις ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς αἰτίας, καὶ ἐὰν μὴ πρόσθεν γίγνηται, τότε συμβαίνει ἔν τε πράγμασι² συμβαίνει καθεστάναι³ ἀεὶ διὰ ἐπιβουλὰς τὰς ἐξ ἀλλήλων, δι' ἄσπερ εὐλαβουμένους τε διατελεῖν καὶ ἀντεπιβουλεύοντας ἀλλήλοις.

1 lac. pos. nos, e.g. <ἔτι βαρύτεραι γίγνονται> vel <οὐ (ἀνα-) κουφίζονται> vel <οὐκ ἐπανορθοῦνται>  $^2$  πράγματι ms., cott. Cobet  $^3$  καθιστάναι ms., cott. Cobet

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

cause. [6] And what is responsible for the greatest evils for people, war, which leads to subjugation and enslavement, this too happens more to people who do not respect the laws, less to those who respect them. [7] And in respect for the laws there are many other good things that provide assistance to life and consolation for the difficulties that come from it.

[Negative consequences of lack of respect for the laws] [...] [8] first, people do not have time for their own activities and busy themselves with what is most disagreeable. legal matters and not their own activities, and they hoard their money out of a lack of trust and interconnection and do not share it in common, and in this way it becomes scarce, even if it is abundant. [9] Adverse fortunes <e.g. become even worse > and good ones serve contrary ends: for good fortune is not safe when the laws are not respected, but instead is the object of intrigues, while misfortune is not expelled, but instead is exacerbated by lack of trust and interconnection. [10] War is introduced all the more from abroad, and internal dissension too, for the same reason, and if it did not come about earlier, it happens then: it happens that people are always involved in legal matters because of intrigues devised by each other, because of which they spend all their time on their guard and devising intrigues against each other in return.

[11] καὶ οὕτε ἐγρηγορόσιν ἡδείας τὰς φροντίδας εἶναι οὕτε ἐς τὸν ὕπνον ἀπερχομένοις ἡδεῖαν τὴν ὑποδοχὴν ἀλλὰ ἐνδείματον, τήν τε ἀνέγερσιν ἔμφοβον καὶ πτοοῦσαν τὸν ἄνθρωπον ἐπὶ μνήμας κακῶν ἐξαπιναίους ἄγειν· ἄπερ ἐκ τῆς ἀνομίας ταῦτά τε καὶ τὰ ἄλλα κακὰ τὰ προειρημένα ἄπαντα ἀποβαίνει.

[12] γίνεται δὲ καὶ ἡ τυραννίς, κακὸν τοσοῦτόν τε καὶ τοιοῦτον, οὐκ ἐξ ἄλλου τινὸς ἢ ἀνομίας. οἴονται δέ τινες των άνθρώπων, όσοι μη όρθως συμβάλλονται, τύραννον έξ ἄλλου τινὸς καθίστασθαι καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους στερίσκεσθαι της έλευθερίας οὐκ αὐτοὺς αίτίους όντας, άλλα βιασθέντας ύπο του κατασταθέντος τυράννου, οὐκ ὀρθώς ταῦτα λογιζόμενοι [13] όστις γὰρ ἡγείται βασιλέα ἢ τύραννον ἐξ άλλου τινὸς γίγνεσθαι ἢ ἐξ ἀνομίας τε καὶ πλεονεξίας, μωρός έστιν. ἐπειδὰν γὰρ ἄπαντες ἐπὶ κακίαν τράπωνται, τότε τοῦτο γίγνεται οὐ γὰρ οἶόν τε ἀνθρώπους ἄνευ νόμων καὶ δίκης ζην. [14] ὅταν οὖν ταῦτα τὰ δύο ἐκ τοῦ πλήθους ἐκλίπη, ὅ τε νόμος καὶ ἡ δίκη, τότε ἤδη εἰς ἔνα ἀποχωρεῖν τὴν ἐπιτροπίαν τούτων καὶ φυλακήν. πῶς γὰρ ἂν ἄλλως εἰς ἔνα μοναρχία περισταίη, εὶ μὴ τοῦ νόμου έξωσθέντος τοῦ τῷ πλήθει συμφέροντος; [15] δεῖ γὰρ τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦτον, ὃς τὴν δίκην καταλύει<sup>4</sup> καὶ τὸν νόμον τὸν πᾶσι κοινὸν καὶ συμφέροντα άφαιρήσεται, άδαμάντινον γενέσθαι, εἰ μέλλει συλήσειν ταθτα παρά τοθ πλήθους των άνθρώπων είς ων παρὰ πολλών [16] σάρκινος δὲ καὶ ὅμοιος τοῖς λοιποίς γενόμενος ταθτα μέν οὐκ ἂν δυνηθείη ποιήσαι,

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

[11] And neither when they awaken are their thoughts agreeable, nor when they go to sleep is their expectation agreeable, but fearful, and their frightened and terrifying awakening brings a man to sudden memories of evils. These things, and the other evils I mentioned earlier, all derive from lack of respect for the laws.

[12] And tyranny, which is so great an evil and one of such a kind, comes about for no other reason than from lack of respect for the laws. Some people—those who reason incorrectly—think that a tyrant comes to power for some other reason and that people are deprived of their freedom without their being responsible themselves, but because they have been coerced by the tyrant who has come to power. But to reason like this is incorrect. [13] For whoever supposes that a king or tyrant comes to power for any other reason than from lack of respect for the laws and from greed is a fool. For when everyone turns to iniquity. then this is what happens; for it is not possible for people to live without laws and justice. [14] So when these two things, law and justice, are lacking for the multitude of the people, then the power of decision over them and their custody pass over to a single man. For otherwise how could a monarchy devolve upon a single man, unless the law, which is beneficial to the multitude, has been expelled? [15] This man, who overthrows justice and will abolish the law, which is shared in common and is beneficial for all, must of necessity be made of steel, if, being only one man, he is planning to deprive of these things the multitude of people, though they are many. [16] But if he were made of flesh and were similar to the rest of man-

<sup>4</sup> καταλύσει Kiessling

τάναντία<sup>5</sup> δὲ ἐκλελοιπότα καθιστὰς μοναρχήσειεν ἄν· διὸ καὶ γιγνόμενον τοῦτο ἐνίους τῶν ἀνθρώπων λαν- θάνει.

[17] εἰ τοίνυν τοσούτων μὲν αἰτία κακῶν ἐστιν ἀνομία, τοσοῦτον δὲ ἀγαθὸν<sup>6</sup> εὐνομία, οὐκ ἄλλως ἔνεστι τυχεῖν εὐδαιμονίας εἰ μή τις νόμον ἡγεμόνα προστήσαιτο τοῦ οἰκείου βίου. [. . .]

5 συλήσαι, τοὐναντίον Vitelli: ποιήσαι τὰ πάτρια vel ποιήσαι τοὐναντίον <τὰ πάτρια> Diels

6 τοσούτων δὲ ἀγαθῶν ex Ciz. Kiessling

# THE ANONYMOUS OF IAMBLICHUS

kind, he would not be able to do this; [scil. only] if he made the opposition cease to exist, could he become a monarch. And this is why some people do not notice that this is happening.

[17] If then lack of respect for the laws is the cause of so many evils, and if respect for the laws is such a great good, then it is not possible to achieve happiness in any other way than by establishing law as the guide for one's own life. 1 [...]

<sup>1</sup> Diels does not include this sentence at the beginning of section 17; Untersteiner adds a few more lines.

# 41. PAIRS OF ARGUMENTS (DISSOI LOGOI) (DISS.)

The manuscripts that transmit the works of Sextus Empiricus append at their end a further text for which they do not indicate either the title or the author. It was first published in 1570 by Henri Étienne, under the arbitrary title  $\Delta \iota \alpha \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$  (Arguments), as an appendix to his edition of Diogenes Laertius; the name by which modern scholars refer to this text, Δισσοί Λόγοι (Pairs of Discourses or Arguments), is not so much a title (and is certainly not its author's intended title) as rather a description of its contents, derived from the opening words of the text (which are repeated three times in what follows). The treatise does in fact begin with a series of four opposing arguments presenting two contrasting positions on the same issue indicated by titles-whether good and bad, seemly and unseemly, just and unjust, true and false are identical with one another or not; occasionally the author expresses a preference for one position or the other. But then, after a lacuna of indeterminable extent, the text goes on to deal with a variety of heterogeneous topics—insanity and sanity, wisdom and foolishness, whether wisdom and virtue (aretê) can be taught and learned, whether political offices should be assigned by lot, whether someone who knows the art of speeches can speak on all subjects, and how to

# DISSOI LOGOI

exercise one's memory—in five further sections that do not all propose contrasting arguments and that are not furnished with titles. Shortly after the beginning of the ninth section, the manuscripts indicate that the text breaks off. The treatise is written for the most part in a Doric dialect; its date is unknown, but on the fragile basis of a reference to the end of the Peloponnesian War it is usually assigned to sometime between the last decades of the fifth and the first decades of the fourth centuries BC. A number of subjects discussed in Plato's dialogues, especially the Gorgias and the Protagoras, can be recognized, particularly in the final sections.

The reader should note that, whereas elsewhere in our edition we have indicated by boldface the words that we consider to be exact verbal citations from the works of the early Greek philosophers, considerations of appearance have led us in the present chapter to use plain characters for this text, which has reached us *in extenso* by direct transmission via medieval manuscripts.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

# Edition

T. M. Robinson. Contrasting Arguments. An Edition of the Dissoi Logoi (New York, 1979).

See also the titles listed in the General Introduction to Chapters 31–42.

# Δισσοὶ λόγοι (vel Διαλέξεις) [90 DK]

# 1. Περὶ ἀγαθῶ καὶ κακῶ

[1] δισσοὶ λόγοι λέγονται ἐν τῆ Ἑλλάδι ὑπὸ τῶν φιλοσοφούντων περί τῶ ἀγαθῶ καὶ τῶ κακῶ. τοὶ μὲν γὰρ λέγοντι ὡς ἄλλο μέν ἐστι τὸ ἀγαθόν, ἄλλο δὲ τὸ κακόν τοὶ δέ ώς τὸ αὐτό ἐστι, καὶ τοῖς μὲν ἀγαθὸν εἴη, τοῖς δὲ κακόν, καὶ τῷ αὐτῷ ἀνθρώπῳ τοτὲ μὲν άγαθόν, τοτὲ δὲ κακόν. [2] ἐγὰ δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς τοῖσδε ποτιτίθεμαι, σκέψομαι δὲ ἐκ τῶ ἀνθρωπίνω βίω,  $\hat{\psi}^1$ ἐπιμελὲς βρώσιός τε καὶ πόσιος καὶ ἀφροδισίων. ταθτα γάρ ἀσθενοθντι μέν κακόν, θγιαίνοντι δέ καὶ δεομένω άγαθόν. [3] καὶ ἀκρασία τοίνυν τούτων τοῖς μεν άκρατέσι κακόν, τοις δε πωλεθντι ταθτα καί μισθαρνέοντι ἀγαθόν, νόσος τοίνυν τοῖς μὲν ἀσθενεθντι κακόν, τοις δὲ ἰατροις ἀγαθόν, ὁ τοίνυν θάνατος τοις μεν ἀποθανοῦσι κακόν, τοῖς δ' ἐνταφιοπώλαις καὶ τυμβοποιοίς αγαθόν. [4] γεωργία τε καλώς έξενείκασα τως καρπως τοις μέν γεωργοίς αγαθόν, τοις δέ έμπόροις κακόν. τὰς τοίνυν ὁλκάδας συντρίβεσθαι καὶ παραθραύεσθαι τῷ μὲν ναυκλήρω κακόν, τοῖς δὲ

# PAIRS OF ARGUMENTS (DISSOI LOGOI)

# 1. On Good and Bad

[1] A pair of arguments is stated in Greece by those who philosophize about good and bad. For some people say that the good is one thing, the bad another; but other people say that they are the same thing, and that for some people it is good, for others bad, and for the same person at one time good and at another time bad. [2] As for me, I agree with these latter. I shall consider this question in terms of human life, which is concerned for food, drink, and sex; for these things are bad for a sick person but good for a healthy one who needs them. [3] And so intemperance (akrasia) in these matters is bad for intemperate people (akrateis); but it is good for those who sell them and profit from them. So illness is bad for sick people, but it is good for doctors. So death is bad for those who die, but it is good for undertakers and gravediggers. [4] Farming that produces crops in abundance is good for the farmers, but it is bad for merchants. So when trading vessels are staved in and smashed this is bad for the ship owner,

<sup>1</sup> ὧν mss., corr. Wilamowitz

ναυπαγοίς ἀγαθόν. [5] ἔτι <δέ>2 τὸν σίδαρον κατέσθεσθαι καὶ ἀμβλύνεσθαι καὶ συντρίβεσθαι τοῖς μὲν άλλοις κακόν, τῷ δὲ χαλκῆ ἀγαθόν. καὶ μὰν τὸν κέραμον παραθραύεσθαι τοῖς μὲν ἄλλοις κακόν, τοῖς δὲ κεραμεῦσιν ἀγαθόν, τὰ δὲ ὑποδήματα κατατρίβεσθαι καὶ διαρρήγνυσθαι τοῖς μὲν ἄλλοις κακόν, τῷ δὲ σκυτή ἀγαθόν. [6] ἐν τοίνυν τοῖς ἀγῶσι τοῖς γυμνικοίς καὶ τοίς μωσικοίς καὶ τοίς πολεμικοίς αὐτίκα ἐν τῷ γυμνικῷ, τῷ σταδιοδρόμῳ ά νίκα τῷ μὲν νικῶντι άγαθόν, τοις δε ήσσαμένοις κακόν. [7] καττωὐτὸ δε καὶ τοὶ παλαισταὶ καὶ πύκται καὶ τοὶ ἄλλοι πάντες μωσικοί αὐτίκα ὁ κιθαρωδία τῷ μὲν νικῶντι ἀγαθόν, τοῖς δὲ ἡσσαμένοις κακόν. [8] ἔν τε τῷ πολέμῳ (καὶ τὰ νεώτατα πρώτον ἐρώ) ά τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων νίκα, αν ενίκων 'Αθηναίως και τως συμμάχως, Λακεδαιμονίοις μὲν ἀγαθόν, Ἀθηναίοις δὲ καὶ τοῖς συμμάχοις κακόν ἄ τε νίκα, αν τοὶ Έλλανες τὸν Πέρσαν ἐνίκασαν, τοις μεν Ελλασιν άγαθόν, τοις δε βαρβάροις κακόν. [9] ά τοίνυν τοῦ Ἰλίου αίρεσις τοῖς μὲν Άχαιοῖς ἀγαθόν, τοῖς δὲ Τρωσὶ κακόν. καδδὲ ταὐτὸν καὶ τὰ τῶν Θηβαίων καὶ τὰ τῶν ᾿Αργείων πάθη. [10] καὶ ά τῶν Κενταύρων καὶ Λαπιθᾶν μάχα τοῖς μὲν Λαπίθαις άγαθόν, τοῖς δὲ Κενταύροις κακόν. καὶ μὰν καὶ ά τῶν θεῶν καὶ Γιγάντων λεγομένα μάχα καὶ νίκα τοῖς μὲν θεοῖς ἀγαθόν, τοῖς δὲ Γίγασι κακόν.

# DISSOI LOGOI

but it is good for the shipbuilders. [5] Furthermore, when an iron tool rusts, loses its edge, and is broken, this is bad for other people, but it is good for the blacksmith. And certainly when a clay pot is smashed this is bad for other people, but it is good for the potters. When sandals are worn out and split apart this is bad for other people, but it is good for the cobbler. [6] And so in competitions, athletic, musical, and military ones: for example in the athletic one, the victory is good for the runner in the stadium race who wins, but it is bad for the losers. [7] The same holds for the wrestlers and boxers and for all the musicians: for example singing to the cithara is good for the winner, but it is bad for the losers. [8] And in war (I shall speak first about the most recent events), the victory of the Spartans that they won over the Athenians and their allies was good for the Spartans, but it was bad for the Athenians and their allies. And the victory that the Greeks won over the Persian was good for the Greeks, but it was bad for the barbarians. [9] So the capture of Troy was good for the Achaeans, but it was bad for the Trojans. And the same holds for what happened to the Thebans and the Argives. [10] And the battle of the Centaurs and Lapiths was good for the Lapiths, but it was bad for the Centaurs. And certainly the battle that is reported between the gods and the Giants and the victory was good for the gods, but it was bad for the Giants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <δè> Vossianus <sup>3</sup> γυμναστικοῖς mss., corr. Blass

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> καὶ τοῦτο mss., corr. Matthew de Varis

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  å κιθαρφδία mss. (ὁ κιθαρωδός P1 P2): å κιθαρφδίας Diels:  $\langle$ å νίκα $\rangle$  å κιθαρφδίας Kranz  $^6$  τὰ νεώτατα Koen: τῷ νεότατι mss. (τὰ P2, νεώτατοι M S): τὰ νεωστὶ North

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  ά τῶν Koen: αὐτῶν mss.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> αν Weber: ἐν ễ mss.

[11] άλλος δὲ λόγος λέγεται, ὡς άλλο μὲν τάγαθὸν είη, άλλο δε τὸ κακόν, διαφέρον ὥσπερ καὶ τὤνυμα, ούτω καὶ τὸ πράγμα. ἐγὼ δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς τοῦτον διαιρεθμαι τὸν τρόπον δοκώ γὰρ οὐδὲ διάδαλον ήμεν, ποίον άγαθὸν καὶ ποίον κακόν, αἰ τὸ αὐτὸ καὶ μὴ άλλο έκάτερον είη καὶ γὰρ θαυμαστόν κ' είη. [12] οίμαι δε ούδε κ' αύτον έχεν ἀποκρίνασθαι, αἴ τις10 έροιτο τὸν ταῦτα λέγοντα: "εἶπον δή μοι, ἤδη τύ τι τοὶ γονέες ἀγαθὸν ἐποίησαν;"11 φαίη κα: "καὶ πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα." "τὸ ἄρα κακὰ καὶ μεγάλα καὶ πολλὰ τούτοις ὀφείλεις, αἴπερ τωὐτόν ἐστι τὸ ἀγαθὸν τῷ κακώ." [13]—"τί δέ, τως συγγενέας ήδη τι άγαθὸν έποίησας; 12 τως άρα συγγενέας κακον έποίεις, τί δέ, τως έχθρως ήδη κακως 13 έποίησας; καὶ πολλά καὶ μεγάλα<sup>14</sup> ἄρα ἀγαθὰ ἐποίησας." [14] "ἄγε δή μοι καὶ τόδε ἀπόκριναι ἄλλο τι ἢ τὼς πτωχὼς οἰκτίρεις, ὅτι πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα  $\langle κακὰ \rangle^{15}$  ἔχοντι,  $\langle καὶ \rangle^{16}$  πάλιν εὐδαιμονίζεις, ότι πολλά καὶ άγαθά πράσσοντι, αἴπερ τωύτὸ κακὸν καὶ ἀγαθόν;" [15] τὸν δὲ βασιλή τὸν μέγαν οὐδὲν κωλύει ὁμοίως διακεῖσθαι τοῖς πτωχοῖς. τὰ γὰρ πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα ἀγαθὰ αὐτῷ πολλὰ κακὰ καὶ μεγάλα ἐστίν, αἴ γα<sup>17</sup> τωὐτόν ἐστιν ἀγαθὸν καὶ κακόν. καὶ τάδε μὲν περὶ τῶ παντὸς εἰρήσθω. [16] εἶμι δὲ καὶ καθ' ξκαστον ἀρξάμενος ἀπὸ τῶ ἐσθίεν καὶ πῖνεν καὶ

# DISSOI LOGOI

[11] Another argument is stated, that the good is one thing, the bad another, which differs, just as in the name, so too in the thing (pragma). As for me, I explain the distinction in the following way: for I think that one could not even distinguish what kind of thing is good and what kind of thing is bad, if both of them were the same and not different; and this would be astonishing. [12] I think that the man who affirms this would not even be able to answer. if someone asked him, "Tell me, did your parents already do you any good?" He would say, "Yes, a great deal." "Then you owe them much that is bad, if indeed the good is the same thing as the bad." [13] "Well then, have you ever done your relatives any good? Then you have been doing your relatives ill. Well then, have you ever done your enemies ill? Then you have done them a great deal of good." [14] "Come now and answer me this: do you not pity beggars, because they suffer many bad things; <and> inversely you consider them happy, because they have many good things, if indeed bad and good are the same?" [15] Nothing prevents the Great King [scil. of Persia] from being in the same condition as beggars. For his many great good things are for him many great bad things, if good and bad are the same. And let these arguments be made about everything. [16] I shall consider each case, beginning with

<sup>9</sup> διάδαλόν <κ'> Blass

<sup>10</sup> post τις hab. mss. αὐτὸν (αὐτὸν αὐτόν P6 V2), del. Diels

<sup>11</sup> τύ τι τοὶ γονέες ἀγαθὸν ἐποίησαν W. Schulze: τι τοὺς (τὼς P1 P2 P4 P6 V2) γονέας ἀγαθὸν ἐποίησας Robinson

<sup>12</sup> ἐποίησας;" <"καὶ πολλὰ καὶ μεγάλα."> Diels

<sup>13</sup> κακώς mss. (κακὸν P1 P2) 14 μεγάλα mss. (μέγιστα P1 P2): μεγάλα." "μέγιστα Diels 15 μεγάλα <κακὰ > Matth. de Varis: κακὰ Diels 16 <καὶ > Schanz

<sup>17</sup> αΐκα mss., corr. Diels

ἀφροδισιάζεν. ταῦτα¹8 γὰρ τοῖς ἀσθενεῦντι <ποιὲν κακόν, καὶ πάλιν⟩¹9 ταῦτα ποιὲν ἀγαθόν ἐστιν αὐτοῖς,²0 αἴπερ τωὐτόν ἐστιν ἀγαθὸν καὶ κακόν καὶ τοῖς νοσέοντι κακόν ἐστι τὸ νοσεῖν καὶ ἀγαθόν, αἴπερ τωὐτόν ἐστι τὸ ἀγαθὸν τῷ κακῷ. [17] καδδὲ τόδε καὶ τἆλλα πάντα, τὰ ἐν τῷ ἔμπροσθεν λόγῳ εἴρηται. καὶ οὐ λέγω τί ἐστι τὸ ἀγαθόν, ἀλλὰ τοῦτο πειρῶμαι διδάσκειν, ὡς οὐ τωὐτὸν εἴη κακὸν καὶ ἀγαθόν,²1 ἀλλ' <ἄλλο⟩²² ἑκάτερον.

18 ταῦτα Mullach: τοῦτο mss.: τωὐτὸ Orelli

19 <ποιέν κακόν, καὶ πάλιν> Blass 20 αὐτοῖς del. Trieber

21 τὸ κακὸν καὶ τἀγαθόν Diels 22 <ἄλλο> Blass

# 2. Περὶ καλοῦ καὶ αἰσχροῦ

[1] λέγονται δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶ καλῶ καὶ αἰσχρῶ δισσοὶ λόγοι. τοὶ μὲν γάρ φαντι ἄλλο μὲν ἡμεν τὸ καλόν, ἄλλο δὲ τὸ αἰσχρόν, διαφέρον, ὥσπερ καὶ τἄνυμα, οὕτω καὶ τὸ σῶμα τοὶ δὲ τωὐτὸ καλὸν καὶ αἰσχρόν. [2] κἀγὼ πειρασεῦμαι τόνδε τὸν τρόπον ἐξαγεύμενος. αὐτίκα γὰρ παιδὶ ὡραίῳ ἐραστῷ μὲν χρηστῷ χαρίζεσθαι καλόν, μὴ ἐραστῷ δὲ καλῷ αἰσχρόν. [3] καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας λοῦσθαι ἔνδοι καλόν, ἐν παλαίστρᾳ δὲ αἰσχρόν (ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἀνδράσιν ἐν παλαίστρᾳ καὶ ἐν γυμνασίω καλόν). [4] καὶ συνίμεν τῷ ἀνδρὶ ἐν ἁσυχίᾳ

 $1 καὶ \langle τ \hat{\omega} \rangle$  Trieber  $2 \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \omega$  mss., corr. Blass

### DISSOI LOGOI

eating, drinking, and sex. For those who are sick these things are <bad to do, and inversely> to do them is good for them, if indeed good and bad are the same thing. And for those who are sick it is bad to be sick, and good, if indeed the good is the same thing as the bad. [17] And the same holds for all the other cases too that I mentioned in the preceding argument. And I am not saying what the good is, but I am trying to teach the following: that bad and good are not the same thing, but each one is <different> [scil. from the other].

# 2. On Seemly (kalon) and Unseemly (aischron)1

<sup>1</sup> We have maintained the translation of *kalon* as 'seemly' and of *aiskhron* as 'unseemly' throughout, even though in certain cases 'beautiful' and 'ugly' would have been more appropriate in English.

[1] About the seemly and unseemly too a pair of arguments is stated. For some people say that the seemly is one thing, the unseemly another, which differs, just as in the name, so too in the reality named (sôma); but other people say that seemly and unseemly are the same. [2] And as for me, I shall try to explain in the following way. For example, it is seemly for a boy in the bloom of youth to give pleasure to a fine lover, but unseemly to do so to one who is not a seemly lover. [3] And it is seemly for women to wash themselves at home, but unseemly in a palaestra (but it is seemly for men in a palaestra or gymnasium). [4] And it is seemly to have sex with her husband when they are alone,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> χρηστῷ del. Wilamowitz <sup>4</sup> καλῷ del. Diels post Wilamowitz, alii alia <sup>5</sup> ἔνδοι Vahlen: ἔνιοι P1 P2: ἔνδον cett.

μὲν καλόν, ὅπου τοίχοις κρυφθήσεται· ἔξω δὲ αἰσχρόν, ὅπου τις ὅψεται. [5] καὶ τῷ μὲν ἑαυτᾶς συνίμεν ἀνδρὶ καλόν, ἀλλοτρίῳ δὲ αἴσχιστον. ⁶ καὶ τῷ γ' ἀνδρὶ τῷ μὲν ἑαυτῶ γυναικὶ συνίμεν καλόν, ἀλλοτρίᾳ δὲ αἰσχρόν. [6] καὶ κοσμεῖσθαι καὶ ψιμυθίῳ χρίεσθαι καὶ χρυσία περιάπτεσθαι, τῷ μὲν ἀνδρὶ αἰσχρόν, τῷ δὲ γυναικὶ καλόν. [7] καὶ τὼς μὲν φίλως εὖ ποιὲν καλόν, τὼς δὲ ἐχθρὼς αἰσχρόν. καὶ τὼς μὲν πολεμίως φεύγεν αἰσχρόν, τὼς δὲ ἐν σταδίῳ ἀγωνιστὰς παλόν. [8] καὶ τὼς μὲν φίλως καλόν. καὶ τὰδς φονεύεν αἰσχρόν, τὼς δὲ πολεμίως καλόν. καὶ τάδε μὲν περὶ πάντων.

[9] εἶμι δ' <ἐφ' >8 ἃ ταὶ πόλιές τε αἰσχρὰ ἄγηνται καὶ τὰ ἔθνεα. αὐτίκα Λακεδαιμονίοις τὰς κόρας γυμνάζεσθαι <καὶ >β ἀχειριδώτως καὶ ἀχίτωνας παρέρπεν καλόν, "Ιωσι δὲ αἰσχρόν [10] καὶ τὰς παΐδας μὴ μανθάνειν μωσικὰ καὶ γράμματα καλόν, "Ιωσι δ' αἰσχρὸν μὴ ἐπίστασθαι ταῦτα πάντα. [11] Θεσσαλοῦσι δὲ καλὸν τὰς ἵππως ἐκ τᾶς ἀγέλας λαβόντι αὐτῷ τὰ δαμάσαι καὶ τὰς ὀρέας, βῶν τε λαβόντι αὐτῷ σφάξαι καὶ ἐκδεῖραι καὶ κατακόψαι ἐν Σικελία δὲ αἰσχρὸν καὶ δώλων ἔργα. [12] Μακεδόσι δὲ καλὸν δοκεῖ ἡμεν τὰς κόρας, πρὶν ἀνδρὶ γάμασθαι, ἐρᾶσθαι καὶ ἀνδρὶ συγγίνεσθαι, ἐπεὶ δέ κα γάμηται, 12 αἰσχρόν "Ελλασι δ' ἄμφω αἰσχρόν. [13] τοῖς δὲ Θραξὶ κόσμος τὰς

where it will be concealed by walls, but unseemly outside, where someone will see. [5] And it is seemly to have sex with her own husband, but most unseemly of all with another woman's husband; and it is seemly for a man to have sex with his own wife, but unseemly with another man's wife. [6] And to adorn oneself, apply makeup, and wear gold jewelry is unseemly for a man, but seemly for a woman. [7] And it is seemly to do good to one's friends, but unseemly to one's enemies. And to run away from one's enemies in war is unseemly, but from one's competitors in the stadium is seemly. [8] And to kill one's friends and fellow citizens is unseemly, but one's enemies in war is seemly. And this can be said about all subjects.

[9] I shall proceed <to> the things that cities and peoples consider unseemly. For example, for the Spartans it is seemly that girls engage in athletics <and> appear in public without sleeves or tunics, but for the Ionians it is unseemly; [10] and it is seemly that their [i.e. the Spartans'] children not learn music and letters, but for the Ionians it is unseemly not to know all these things. [11] For the Thessalians it is seemly that a man select horses and mules from a herd and break them in himself, and that he take a cow and slaughter it himself, skin it, and chop it up, but in Sicily this is unseemly and is the work of slaves. [12] The Macedonians think that it is seemly that girls fall in love and have sex with a man before they get married, but unseemly when they are married; but for the Greeks both are unseemly. [13] For the Thracians it is an adorn-

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  αἴσχιστον F2 P1 P3 R V1 (ex αἰσχρόν Z, in mg. V2): αἰσχρόν cett.  $^7$  ἀνταγωνιστὰς Orelli

 $<sup>8 &</sup>lt; \hat{\epsilon} \phi$ '> Stephanus  $9 < \kappa \alpha \hat{\epsilon}$  Blass

<sup>10</sup> καὶ <τοῖς μὲν> Diels

<sup>11</sup> αὐτὼς mss., corr. Blass

<sup>12</sup> καὶ γαμεῖται mss., corr. Blass

κόρας στίζεσθαι τοῖς δ' ἄλλοις τιμωρία τὰ στίγματα τοις άδικέοντι, τοι δε Σκύθαι καλόν νομίζοντι, ος ἄνδρα (κα)14 κατακανών15 ἐκδείρας τὰν κεφαλὰν τὸ μεν κόμιον πρό τοῦ ἵππου φορή, τὸ δ' ὀστέον χρυσώσας καὶ 16 ἀργυρώσας πίνη έξ αὐτοῦ καὶ σπένδη τοῖς θεοίς έν δε τοίς Έλλασιν οὐδε κ' ές τὰν αὐτὰν οἰκίαν συνεισελθείν βούλοιτό17 τις τοιαῦτα18 ποιήσαντι. [14] Μασσαγέται δὲ τὼς γονέας κατακόψαντες κατέσθοντι, καὶ τάφος κάλλιστος δοκεῖ ἢμεν ἐν τοῖς τέκνοις τεθάφθαι έν δὲ τὰ Ἑλλάδι αἴ τις ταῦτα ποιήσαι,  $^{19}$  έξελαθεὶς ἐκ τῆς Ἑλλάδος κακῶς κα $^{20}$  ἀποθάνοι ώς αἰσχρὰ καὶ δεινὰ ποιέων. [15] τοὶ δὲ Πέρσαι κοσμείσθαι τε ώσπερ τὰς γυναίκας καὶ τὼς ἄνδρας καλὸν νομίζοντι καὶ τὰ θυγατρὶ καὶ τὰ ματρὶ καὶ τὰ άδελφα συνίμεν τοὶ δὲ Ελλανες καὶ αἰσχρὰ καὶ παράνομα. [16] Αυδοίς τοίνυν τὰς κόρας πορνευθείσας καὶ ἀργύριον ἐνεργάσασθαι καὶ οὕτως γάμασθαι καλὸν δοκεί ήμεν, έν δὲ τοῖς "Ελλασιν οὐδείς κα θέλοι γάμαι, [17] Αἰγύπτιοί τε οὐ ταὐτὰ νομίζοντι καλὰ τοῖς άλλοις· τῆδε μὲν γὰρ γυναῖκας ὑφαίνειν καὶ<sup>21</sup> ἐργάζεσθαι καλόν, άλλὰ τηνεῖ τὼς ἄνδρας, τὰς δὲ γυναῖκας πράσσεν, ἄπερ τῆδε τοὶ ἄνδρες τὸν παλὸν δεύειν ταῖς χερσί, τὸν δὲ σῖτον τοῖς ποσί, τήνοις καλόν, ἀλλ' άμὶν τὸ ἐναντίον. [18] οἶμαι δ', ἄν²² τις τὰ καλὰ²³ ἐς εν

ZL: κτανών BRN 16 η Wilamowitz: <η̈> καὶ Diels

# DISSOI LOGOI

ment that girls are tattooed, but for everyone else tattoos are a punishment for those who have committed a crime. The Scythians consider it seemly that whoever kills a man cut off his scalp and set it on the front of his horse, and after having covered the skull with a layer of gold and silver to drink from it and to use it to give libations to the gods; but among the Greeks no one would wish even to go into the same house with anyone who did these things. [14] The Massagetes chop their parents up and eat them. and the most seemly burial is thought by them to be if they are buried inside their children; but in Greece if anyone did this he would be driven out of Greece and would die a terrible death for having done unseemly and terrible things. [15] The Persians consider it seemly that men too be adorned like women and have sex with their daughter, mother, and sister; but the Greeks, that this is both unseemly and illegal. [16] So the Lydians think that it is seemly that girls make money by prostituting themselves and then get married in this way, but among the Greeks no one would be willing to marry a girl like that. [17] And Egyptians do not consider the same things to be seemly as other people do: for here it is seemly that women weave and do manual labor, but there it is the men, and the women do what men do here; to moisten clay with the hands and dough with the feet is seemly for them, but the opposite is the case for us. [18] I think that if someone

<sup>13</sup> τιμωρίαν mss., corr. Weber 14 <κα> Robinson 15 κατακανών Blass: κατακτανών P2: κατκτανών P1: κανών

<sup>17</sup> βούλο*ιτ' ἄν* mss., corr. Blass

<sup>18</sup> τῷ ταῦτα Diels

<sup>19</sup> ποιήση uel ποιήση mss. (ποιήσας P2), corr. Blass

<sup>20</sup> κακὰ mss., corr. Blass 21 καὶ <ἔρια>Valckenaer

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ αΐ Wilamowitz  $^{23}$ αἰσχρὰ . . . καλὰ (infra ante λαβέν) North

κελεύοι συνενείκαι πάντας ἀνθρώπως, ἃ ἔκαστοι νομίζοντι, καὶ πάλιν ἐξ ἀθρόων τούτων²4 τὰ αἰσχρὰ λαβέν, ἃ ἔκαστοι ἄγηνται, οὐδὲν²5 <κα> καλλειφθῆμεν,²6 ἀλλὰ πάντας πάντα διαλαβέν. οὐ γὰρ πάντες ταὐτὰ νομίζοντι. [19] παρεξοῦμαι δὲ καὶ ποίημά τι [TrGF Adesp. F26]

καὶ γὰρ τὸν ἄλλον ὧδε θνητοῖσιν νόμον ὅψη διαιρῶν· οὐδὲν ἦν<sup>27</sup> πάντη καλόν, οὐδ' αἰσχρόν, ἀλλὰ ταὕτ'<sup>28</sup> ἐποίησεν λαβών ὁ καιρὸς αἰσχρὰ καὶ διαλλάξας καλά.

[20] ώς δὲ τὸ σύνολον εἶπαι, πάντα καιρῷ μὲν καλά ἐντι, ἐν ἀκαιρίᾳ δ' αἰσχρά.

τί ὧν διεπραξάμην; ἔφαν ἀποδείξειν ταὐτὰ αἰσχρὰ καὶ καλὰ ἐόντα, καὶ ἀπέδειξα ἐν τούτοις πῶσι.

[21] λέγεται δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶ αἰσχρῶ καὶ<sup>29</sup> καλῶ, ὡς ἄλλο ἑκάτερον εἴη. ἐπεὶ αἴ τις ἐρωτάσαι τὼς λέγοντας ὡς τὸ αὐτὸ πρᾶγμα αἰσχρὸν καὶ καλόν ἐστιν, αἴ ποκά τι αὐτοῖς καλὸν ἔργασται,<sup>30</sup> αἰσχρὸν ὁμολογησοῦντι, αἴπερ τωὐτὸν καὶ τὸ αἰσχρὸν καὶ τὸ καλόν. [22] καὶ αἴ τινά γα καλὸν οἴδαντι ἄνδρα, τοῦτον καὶ αἰσχρὸν τὸν αὐτόν καὶ αἴ τινά γα λευκόν, καὶ μέλανα τοῦτον τὸν αὐτόν. καὶ ⟨αἰ⟩<sup>31</sup> καλόν γ' ἐστὶ τὼς θεὼς σέβε-

# DISSOI LOGOI

ordered everyone to gather together the things that each one considered to be seemly and then to take away from the heaps those things that each one considered to be unseemly, nothing would be left, but everything would be divided up by everyone. For all people do not think the same things. [19] I shall also cite some verses:<sup>1</sup>

For you will see, if you distinguish, a different law For mortals, like this: nothing is seemly in every regard,

Nor unseemly, but the right occasion (kairos) takes the same things

And makes them unseemly and, changing them, seemly.

[20] To say this in general: all things are seemly when it is the right occasion and unseemly when it is not the right occasion.

What, therefore, have I accomplished? I said that I would show that the same things are unseemly and seemly, and I have shown this in all these cases.

[21] It is also said about the unseemly and seemly that each one is different [scil. from the other]. For if someone were to ask those people who say that the same thing is both unseemly and seemly whether they have ever done anything seemly, they would admit [scil. that it was] unseemly, if both the unseemly and the seemly are the same thing. [22] And if they know some man who is seemly, this same man is unseemly too; and if they know any man who is white, this same man is black too. And if it is seemly to

<sup>24</sup> τούτων Weber: τοι mss. 25 οὐδὲ ἕν Diels

<sup>26 &</sup>lt;κα> καλλειφθήμεν Weber: καλλειφθήμεν P1, καλυφθείμεν R, καλυφθήμεν cett.: κα λειφθήμεν Matth. de Varis

 $<sup>27 \</sup>text{ $\hat{a}\nu$ mss., corr. Nauck}$   $28 \tau a \tilde{v} \tau'$  Valckenaer:  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau'$  mss.:  $\tau \dot{a}\nu \tau'$  Wilamowitz  $29 \kappa a \hat{i} \langle \tau \hat{\omega} \rangle$  Blass

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From an unknown tragic poet.

<sup>30</sup> ἔργασται (καὶ) Wilamowitz

<sup>31 (</sup>ai) Robinson

σθαι, καὶ αἰσχρὸν ἄρα<sup>32</sup> τὼς θεὼς σέβεσθαι, αἴπερ τωὐτὸν αἰσχρὸν καὶ καλόν ἐστι.

[23] καὶ τάδε μὲν περὶ ἀπάντων εἰρήσθω μοι τρέψομαι δὲ ἐπὶ τὸν λόγον αὐτῶν, ὃν λέγοντι. [24] αἰ γὰρ τὰν γυναῖκα καλόν ἐστι κοσμεῖσθαι, τὰν γυναῖκα<sup>33</sup> αίσχρον κοσμείσθαι, αίπερ τωύτον αίσχρον καί καλόν και τάλλα κατά τωὐτόν. [25] ἐν Λακεδαίμονί έστι καλὸν τὰς παίδας γυμνάζεσθαι, ἐν Λακεδαίμονί έστιν αίσχρον τὰς παίδας γυμνάζεσθαι, καὶ τάλλα ούτως, [26] λέγοντι δέ, ώς αἴ τινες τὰ αἰσχρὰ ἐκ τῶν έθνέων πάντοθεν συνενείκαιεν, έπειτα συγκαλέσαντες34 κελεύοιεν, ἄ τις καλὰ νομίζοι, λαμβάνεν, πάντα κα<sup>35</sup> έν καλώ άπενειχθήμεν, έγω θαυμάζω, αἰ τὰ αίσχρὰ συνενεχθέντα καλὰ ἐσεῖται, καὶ οὐχ οἶάπερ  $\tilde{\eta}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$ , [27] at yoûv  $\tilde{\eta}\pi\omega$ s  $\tilde{\eta}$   $\tilde{\beta}\hat{\omega}$ s  $\tilde{\eta}$   $\tilde{\delta}$ is  $\tilde{\eta}$   $\tilde{d}\nu\theta\rho\hat{\omega}\pi\omega$ s άγαγον, οὐκ άλλο τί κα ἀπᾶγον ἐπεὶ οὐδ' αἰ χρυσὸν ήνεικαν, χαλκόν ἀπήνεικαν,  $^{36}$  οὐδ'  $^{37}$  ἀργύριον $^{38}$ ηνεικαν, μόλιβδόν κα ἀπέφερον. [28] ἀντὶ δ' ἄρα τῶν αἰσχρών καλὰ ἀπάγοντι;39 φέρε δή, αὶ ἄρα τις αἰ $σχρον^{40}$  ἄγαγ $ε,^{41}$  τοῦτον αὖ  $<κα>^{42}$  καλὸν ἀπάγαγ $ε,^{43}$ ποιητάς δε μάρτυρας επάγονται, <οί\) 44 ποτὶ άδονάν, ού ποτὶ ἀλάθειαν ποιεθντι.

 $32 \, \tilde{a} \rho a$  vel  $\tilde{a} \rho$  mss.:  $a \tilde{v}$  Wilamowitz

# DISSOI LOGOI

worship the gods, then again [scil. it is] unseemly to worship the gods, if unseemly and seemly are the same thing.

[23] And let these things be said by me about all cases. I shall turn to the argument that they state. [24] For if it is seemly that a woman adorn herself, it is unseemly that a woman adorn herself, if indeed unseemly and seemly are the same thing. And the same applies to all the other cases. [25] In Sparta it is seemly that girls engage in athletics, in Sparta it is unseemly that girls engage in athletics; and in the same way for all the other cases. [26] They say that if some people brought together unseemly things from peoples everywhere, then summoned them and ordered them to take away what each person considered seemly, everything would be taken away, as belonging to what is seemly. As for me, I am astonished that things that were unseemly when they were brought together become seemly, and do not remain as they were when they arrived. [27] At least if they had brought horses, cows, sheep, or people, they would not have taken away something different; for if they had brought gold, they would not have taken away bronze either, and if they had brought silver, they would not have carried off lead. [28] So do they take away seemly things instead of unseemly ones? Come then, if someone brought something unseemly, would he lead it away again as seemly? They introduce poets as witnesses, <but these> compose for the sake of pleasure, not for the sake of truth.

 <sup>33</sup> γυναῖκα ⟨καὶ⟩ Diels
 34 συγκαλεῦσαντες vel συγκαλεσοῦντες mss., corr. Schanz

 35 καὶ mss., corr. Orelli

<sup>36</sup> ἀπήνεικαν del. Wilamowitz 37 οὐδ' ἃν P1 P2: οὐδὲ

cett.: οὐδ' αἰ Weber 38 ἄργυρον Blass

<sup>39</sup> απάγαγοντι mss., corr. Wilamowitz

<sup>40</sup> αἰσχρὸν <ἄνδρα> Diels

 $<sup>^{41}</sup>$  ἀπάγαγε mss., corr. Mullach

 $<sup>^{42}</sup>$   $α\mathring{v}$   $\langle \kappa a \rangle$  Robinson: δ'  $\mathring{a}ν$  F2 P1 P4 P6 F:  $\mathring{a}ν$  cett.: δ'  $α\mathring{v}$   $\langle \kappa a \rangle$  Diels:  $^{43}$   $\mathring{a}π \mathring{a}γ \epsilon$  Diels  $^{44}$   $\langle ο\mathring{v} \rangle$  Orelli

# 3. Περὶ δικαίου καὶ ἀδίκου

[1] δισσοὶ δὲ λόγοι λέγονται καὶ περὶ τῶ δικαίω καὶ τῶ ἀδίκω, καὶ τοὶ μὲν ἄλλο ἦμεν τὸ δίκαιον, ἄλλο δὲ τὸ ἄδικον τοὶ δὲ τωὐτὸ δίκαιον καὶ ἄδικον καὶ ἔγὼ τούτω πειρασούμαι τιμωρέν. [2] καὶ πρώτον μὲν ψεύδεσθαι ώς δίκαιόν έστι λεξώ καὶ έξαπατάν, τώς μέν πολεμίως ταθτα ποιέν1 αἰσχρον καὶ πονηρον ἂν έξείποιεν, 2 τως δε φιλτάτως ού, αὐτίκα τως γονέας αἰ γὰρ δέοι τὸν πατέρα ἢ τὰν ματέρα φάρμακον πιὲν καὶ3 φαγέν, καὶ μὴ θέλοι, οὐ δίκαιόν ἐστι καὶ ἐν τῷ ῥοφήματι καὶ ἐν τῷ ποτῷ δόμεν καὶ μὴ φάμεν ἐνῆμεν; [3] οὐκῶν ἤδη ψεύδεσθαι καὶ ἐξαπατᾶν τὼς γονέας καὶ κλέπτεν μὰν τὰ τῶν φίλων καὶ βιῆσθαι τὼς φιλτάτως δίκαιον. [4] αὐτίκα αἴ τις λυπηθείς τι τῶν οἰκηίων καὶ ἀχθεσθεὶς μέλλοι αὐτὸν διαφθείρεν ἢ ξίφει ἢ σχοινίω ἢ ἄλλω τινί, δίκαιόν ἐστι ταῦτα κλέψαι, αὶ δύναιτο, αὶ δὲ ὑστερίξαι καὶ ἔχοντα καταλάβοι, ἀφελέσθαι βία. [5] ἀνδραποδίξασθαι δὲ πῶς οὐ δίκαιον τως πολεμίως,6 αἴ τις δύναιτο έλων πόλιν όλαν ἀποδόσθαι; τοιχωρυχέν δὲ τὰ τῶν πολιτῶν κοινα οἰκήματα δίκαιον φαίνεται, αι γαρ δ πατήρ ἐπὶ θανάτω, κατεστασιασμένος ύπὸ τῶν ἐχθρῶν, δεδεμένος είη, άρα οὐ δίκαιον διορύξαντα κλέψαι καὶ σῶσαι τὸν πατέρα; [6] ἐπιορκὲν δέ· αἴ τις ὑπὸ τῶν πολεμίων

 $^1$  ταῦτα  $\langle \mu \dot{\eta} \rangle$  ποιὲν Blass: ταῦτα ποιὲν  $\langle \kappa$ αλὸν καὶ δίκαιον, τὼς δὲ φίλως $\rangle$  Diels  $^2$  ἐξείποιεν $^2$   $\langle \tau$  ῶς δὲ τὼς πολεμίως, $\rangle$  Diels  $^3$   $\dot{\eta}$  Diels

# DISSOI LOGOI

3. On Just and Unjust

[1] A pair of arguments is stated about the just and the unjust too. And some people [scil. state] that the just is one thing, the unjust another; but other people, that just and unjust are the same thing. And as for me, I shall try to support the latter. [2] And first I shall maintain that it is just to tell lies and to deceive. For they [i.e. the first group] would assert that to do this to enemies in war is unseemly and wicked, but not to those who are dearest, for example, to one's parents: for if one's father or mother were supposed to drink and eat some medicine but refused to do so, is it not just to put it into their porridge or drink and to deny that it is in it? [3] Therefore already to tell lies and deceive one's parents, and certainly to steal what belongs to one's friends and to inflict violence upon those who are dearest, is just. [4] For example, if some member of the household, in a state of grief and distress, were about to kill himself with a sword or rope or something else, it is just to steal it if one can, and if one arrives too late and catches him holding it, to take it away by violence. [5] And how is it not just to enslave one's enemies in war, if one can sell into slavery a whole city after having captured it? And to break in to the public buildings of one's fellow citizens is evidently just. For if one's father has been overthrown by his political enemies and is in prison on a death penalty, is it not just to break in so as to steal one's father away and save him? [6] And perjury: if some-

<sup>4</sup> οὐκῶν Matth. de Varis: οὕκων uel οὕκουν mss.: οὐκοῦν Mullach: οὐκῶν <δίκαιον> Diels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> αὖτὸν mss., corr. Stephanus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> πολεμίως <καὶ> Diels

λαφθεὶς ὑποδέξαιτο ὀμνύων ἢ μὰν ἀφεθεὶς τὰν πόλιν προδώσεν, ἄρα οὖτος δίκαιά <κα> ποιήσαι εὐορκήσας; [7] ἐγὰ μὲν γὰρ οὐ δοκῶ, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον τὰν πόλιν καὶ τὰς φίλως καὶ τὰ ἱερὰ σώσαι <τὰ> πατρώϊα ἐπιορκήσας. ἤδη ἄρα δίκαιον καὶ τὸ ἐπιορκείν. καὶ τὸ ἱεροσυλέν· [8] τὰ μὲν ἴδια τῶν πόλεων ἐῶ· τὰ δὲ κοινὰ τᾶς Ἑλλάδος, τὰ ἐκ Δελφῶν καὶ τὰ ἐξ 'Ολυμπίας, μέλλοντος τῶ βαρβάρω τὰν 'Ελλάδα λαβεῖν<sup>9</sup> καὶ τᾶς σωτηρίας ἐν χρήμασιν ἐούσας, οὐ δίκαιον λαβεῖν<sup>10</sup> καὶ χρῆσθαι ἐς τὸν πόλεμον; [9] φονεύεν δὲ τὰς φιλτάτως δίκαιον ἐπεὶ καὶ 'Ορέστας καὶ 'Αλκμαίων καὶ ὁ θεὸς ἔχρησε δίκαια αὐτὰ<sup>11</sup> ποιῆσαι.

[10] ἐπὶ δὲ τὰς τέχνας τρέψομαι καὶ τὰ τῶν<sup>12</sup> ποιητῶν. ἐν γὰρ τραγφδοποιία καὶ ζωγραφία ὅστις ‹κα›<sup>13</sup> πλεῖστα ἐξαπατἢ ὅμοια τοῖς ἀληθινοῖς ποιέων, οὖτος ἄριστος. [11] θέλω δὲ καὶ ποιημάτων<sup>14</sup> παλαιοτέρων μαρτύριον ἐπαγαγέσθαι. Κλεοβουλίνης [Frag. 2 West]·

ἄνδρ' εἶδον κλέπτοντα καὶ ἐξαπατῶντα βιαίως, 15 καὶ τὸ βία ρέξαι τοῦτο δικαιότατον.

[12] ἦν πάλαι ταῦτα· Αἰσχύλου δὲ ταῦτα [Frag. 301, 302 Radt]·

ἀπάτης δικαίας οὐκ ἀποστατεῖ  $\theta$ εός.  $^{16}$  ψευδών δὲ καιρὸν ἔσθ' ὅπου $^{17}$  τιμ $\hat{\eta}$   $\theta$ εός.

11 αὐτὰ Blass: αὐτῶ vel αὐτῷ ms.: αὐτὰς Stephanus

#### DISSOI LOGOI

one who has been captured by enemies in war promises on oath that if he is freed he will certainly betray his city, <would> he be acting justly if he kept his oath? [7] For as for me, I think he should not [scil. do this], but instead should save his city, his friends, and his ancestral temples by perjuring himself. So it is already just to commit perjury as well. And to rob temples: [8] I omit the ones attached to the various cities; but as for the ones belonging in common to Greece, those in Delphi and Olympia: when the barbarian was about to conquer Greece and safety lay in money, was it not just to take them and use them for the war? [9] And to murder one's dearest is just. For both Orestes and Alcmaeon [scil. did this]: and the god proclaimed that what they both did was just.

[10] I shall turn to the arts and to the works of the poets. For in tragedy and painting, whoever deceives the most by making things similar to true ones is the best one. [11] I wish to introduce the testimony of more ancient poems too. From Cleobulina:

A man I saw stealing and deceiving by force, And to do this by force was most just.

[12] These lines were ancient. The following ones are from Aeschylus:

From just deceit a god does not stand aloof: Sometimes a god honors the right occasion for lies.

<sup>12</sup> ταῦτα mss., corr. Diels 13 (κα) Blass

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  πουήματα τῶν mss., corr. Diels  $^{15}$  βία· ὡς mss., corr. Matth. de Varis duos versus singulos  $^{16}$  <παὶ> post θεός Diels ut separet  $^{17}$  ὅποι mss., corr. Hermann

[13] λέγεται δὲ καὶ τώδε ἀντίος λόγος, ὡς ἄλλο τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ ἄδικόν ἐστιν, διαφέρον ὥσπερ καὶ τὤνυμα, ούτω καὶ τὸ πράγμα. ἐπεὶ αἴ τις ἐρωτάσαι τὼς λέγοντας, ώς τὸ αὐτό ἐστιν ἄδικον καὶ δίκαιον, αἰ ήδη<sup>18</sup> τι δίκαιον περὶ τως γονέας ἔπραξαν, όμολογησοῦντι. 19 καὶ ἄδικον ἄρα τὸ γὰρ αὐτὸ ἄδικον καὶ δίκαιον δμολογέοντι ήμεν. [14] φέρε άλλο δή 20 αἴ τινα γινώσκει<sup>21</sup> δίκαιον ἄνδρα, καὶ ἄδικον ἄρα τὸν αὐτόν, καὶ μέγαν τοίνυν καὶ μικρὸν κατὰ τωὐτόν, καίτοι<sup>22</sup> πολλά άδικήσας ἀποθανέτω, ἀποθανέτω<sup>23</sup> <πολλά καὶ δίκαια δια>πραξάμενος.24 [15] καὶ περὶ μὲν τούτων άλις, είμι δε εφ' α λέγοντες αξιόοντι το αυτό καὶ δίκαιον καὶ ἄδικον ἀποδεικνύεν. [16] τὸ γὰρ κλέπτεν τὰ τῶν πολεμίων δίκαιον, καὶ ἄδικον ἀποδεικνύεν τοῦτ' αὐτό, αἴ κ' ἀληθης ὁ τήνων λόγος, καὶ τἆλλα καττωύτό. [17] τέχνας δὲ ἐπάγονται, ἐν αἷς οὐκ ἔστι τὸ δίκαιον καὶ τὸ ἄδικον, καὶ τοὶ ποιηταὶ οὕτοι<sup>25</sup> ποτ' άλάθειαν, άλλὰ ποτὶ τὰς άδονὰς τῶν ἀνθρώπων τὰ ποιήματα ποιέοντι.

18 αἴ κα δή mss., corr. Wilamowitz

 $^{19}$  ὁμολογοῦντι mss. (ὁμολογοσοῦντι P1), corr. Matth. de Varis  $^{20}$  ἄλλον δὲ mss., corr. Diels

21 γινώσκεις Diels

 $^{22}$ καί τοι mss., corr. Blass: καί τοι <<br/>ό> Diels: καὶ <<br/>αἰ> λέγοιτο Kranz

23 ἀποθανέτω bis C P6 V2 Y1 Y2, semel cett.

24 <πολλά καὶ δίκαια δια>πραξάμενος Blass, alii alia

25 οὐτο vel οὐτό mss., corr. Blass

#### DISSOI LOGOL

[13] An opposing argument is stated to this one too: that the just and the unjust are different, differing just as in the name, so too in the thing named (pragma). For if someone were to ask those who say that unjust and just are the same thing whether they had ever yet acted justly regarding their parents, they would say yes. But then, unjustly: for they admit that unjust and just are the same thing. [14] Come then, another case: if one knows some man who is just, then therefore this same man is unjust too; and so too certainly large and small, by the same argument. So let him be killed for having performed many acts of injustice-let him be killed for having performed <many acts of justice>. [15] And that is enough about these cases. I shall proceed to what those people say who claim that they prove that the same thing is both just and unjust. [16] For [scil. one can assert] that to steal what belongs to enemies in war is just and also that to prove that this same thing is unjust, if their argument is true, and so too in all the other cases. [17] And they introduce the arts, in which the just and the unjust do not exist. And the poets certainly do not compose their poems for the sake of truth, but for the sake of people's pleasure.

4. Περὶ ἀλαθείας καὶ ψεύδεος

[1] λέγονται δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶ ψεύδεος καὶ τῶ ἀλαθέος1 δισσοί λόγοι, ὧν ὁ μέν φατι ἄλλον μὲν τὸν ψεύσταν ημεν λόγον, ἄλλον δὲ τὸν ἀλαθη τοὶ δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν αὖ. [2] κάγὼ τόνδε λέγω πρώτον μέν, ὅτι τοῖς αὐτοῖς ονόμασι λέγονται έπειτα δέ, όταν λόγος βηθή, αὶ μὲν ως «κα» λέγηται ὁ λόγος, οὖτω γεγένηται, αλαθής ὁ λόγος, αἰ δὲ μὴ γεγένηται, ψευδὴς ὁ αὐτὸς λόγος. [3] αὐτίκα κατηγορεί ἱεροσυλίαν τω αἴ γ'5 ἐγένετο τὧργον, άλαθης ὁ λόγος αἰ δὲ μη ἐγένετο, ψεύστας. καὶ τῶ ἀπολογουμένω ὥς γε ὁ δλόγος, καὶ τά γε δικαστήρια τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον καὶ ψεύσταν καὶ ἀλαθή κρίνοντι. [4] έπει τοι καί? έξης καθήμενοι αι λέγοιμεν8 "μύστας<sup>9</sup> εἰμί," τὸ αὐτὸ μὲν πάντες ἐροῦμεν, ἀλαθὴς δὲ μόνος ἐγώ, ἐπεὶ καὶ εἰμί. [5] δᾶλον ὧν ὅτι ὁ αὐτὸς λόγος, ὅταν μὲν αὐτῷ παρῆ τὸ ψεῦδος, ψεύστας ἐστίν, όταν δὲ τὸ ἀλαθές, ἀλαθής (ὥσπερ καὶ ἄνθρωπος τὸ αὐτό, καὶ παῖς καὶ νεανίσκος καὶ ἀνὴρ καὶ γέρων, έστίν).

[6] λέγεται δὲ καὶ ὡς ἄλλος εἴη ὁ ψεύστας λόγος, ἄλλος δὲ ὁ ἀλαθής, διαφέρων τὤνυμα <ὤσπερ καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα><sup>10</sup> αἰ γάρ τις ἐρωτάσαι τὼς λέγοντας, ὡς ὁ αὐτὸς λόγος εἴη ψεύστας καὶ ἀλαθής, ὃν αὐτοὶ λέ-

# DISSOI LOGOI

# 4. On True and False

[1] About the true and the false too a pair of arguments is stated, of which the one says that the false speech is one thing, the true one another; while others in turn say that they are the same. [2] And as for me, I maintain the latter: first, because these are stated with the same words; and then, whenever a speech is spoken, if things turn out just as the speech has asserted, the speech is true, whereas if they do not turn out like that, the same speech is false. [3] For example, a man accuses someone of robbing temples: if this deed happened, then his speech is true; if it did not happen, it is false. And the same argument applies to the speech of the defendant. And the law courts judge the same speech to be both false and true. [4] For surely if, sitting in a row, we were to say, "I have been initiated into the mysteries," we would all be saying the same thing, but I would be the only one to be telling the truth, since I also happen to be [scil. an initiate]. [5] So it is clear that the same speech, when it is accompanied by falsehood, is false, but when it is the truth [scil. that accompanies it], it is true (just as a human being too is the same person, as a boy, a youth, an adult man, and an old man).

[6] But it is also said that a false speech is one thing, a true one another, and that they differ in the name <just as in the thing named>. For if someone were to ask those people who say that the same speech is false and true,

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  ἀληθείας mss. (ἀλαθείας P3 P4), corr. Diels

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  <κα> Blass  $^3$  γένηται mss. (<γε>γένηται P4 in mg.), corr. Blass  $^4$  γένηται mss., corr. Blass

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> αἴκ' mss., corr. Diels <sup>6</sup> ώυτὸς Wilamowitz

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  ἔπειτα τοὶ Diels  $^8$  λέγοιμι mss. (λέγοιμεν P4 post corr., λέγοι μὲν Y1 Y2), corr. North  $^9$  Μίμας Stephanus: Μίλτας Bergk: alii alia  $^{10}$  <ὥσπερ καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα> Diels, alii alia

γοντι, πότερός ἐστιν· αἰ μὲν "ψεύστας," δᾶλον ὅτι δύο εἴη· αἰ δ' "ἀλαθής" ἀποκρίναιτο, 11 καὶ ψεύστας ὁ αὐτὸς οὖτος. καὶ <αἰ> ἀλαθές τί ποκα εἶπεν ἢ ἐξεμαρτύρησε, καὶ ψευδῆ ἄρα τὰ αὐτὰ ταῦτα. καὶ αἴ τινα ἄνδρα ἀλαθῆ οἶδε, καὶ ψεύσταν τὸν αὐτόν. [7] ἐκ δὲ τῶ λόγω λέγοντι ταῦτα, ὅτι γενομένω μὲν τῶ πράγματος ἀλαθῆ τὸν λόγον, 13 ἀγενήτω δὲ ψεύσταν. οὔκων διαφέρει <αὐτῶν τὤνυμα, ἀλλὰ τὸ πρᾶγμα. ἐρωτάσαι δὲ κά τις >14 [8] αὖθις τὰς δικαστάς, ὅ τι κρίνοιντο 15 (οὐ γὰρ πάρεντι τοῖς πράγμασιν)· [9] ὁμολογέοντι δὲ καὶ αὐτοί, ῷ μὲν τὸ ψεῦδος ἀναμέμεικται, ψεύσταν ἢμεν, ῷ δὲ τὸ ἀλαθές, ἀλαθῆ. τοῦτο δὲ ὅλον διαφέρει . . . 16

11 ἀποκρίναιτο del. Schanz 12 <αἰ> ἀλαθές τί Blass: ἀλαθές τί mss.: ἀλαθῆ τίς Schanz 13 λόγον <λέγοντι> Diels 14 <αὐτῶν τὤνυμα, ἀλλὰ τὸ πρᾶγμα. ἐρωτάσαι δὲ κά τις> Diels: <ἔρέσθαι> Robinson 15 κρίνοντι Schanz 16 lac. post διαφέρει indic. North

5.

[1] "ταὐτὰ¹ τοὶ μαινόμενοι καὶ τοὶ σωφρονοῦντες καὶ τοὶ σοφοὶ καὶ τοὶ ἀμαθεῖς καὶ λέγοντι καὶ πράσσοντι. [2] καὶ πρᾶτον μὲν ὀνομάζοντι ταὐτά,² γᾶν καὶ ἄνθρωπον καὶ ἵππον καὶ πῦρ καὶ τἄλλα πάντα. καὶ ποιέοντι ταὐτά,³ κάθηνται καὶ ἔσθοντι καὶ πίνοντι καὶ κατάκεινται, καὶ τἄλλα καττωὐτό. [3] καὶ μὰν καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ πρᾶγμα καὶ μέζον καὶ μῆόν ἐστι καὶ πλέον καὶ ἔλασσον καὶ βαρύτερον καὶ κουφότερον. οὕτω γάρ <κ'>

# DISSOI LOGOI

which of the two is the one that they themselves say—if it is "false," then it is clear that these are two things; but if they answer, "true," then this same speech is also false. And if anyone has ever said something true or borne witness to it, then these same [scil. words] would therefore be false too. And if a man knows that some man is true, then also he also knows that the same man is false. [7] On the basis of this argument they say that if the event occurs then the speech is true, while if it does not, then it is false. So it is not < their names > that are different, < but the thing. One could ask > [8] the jurors again what they are judging (for they are not present at the events themselves): [9] they themselves admit that what the false is mixed in is false, what the true is mixed in, true. But this is completely different . . . 1

 $^{\rm 1}\, {\rm The}$  text is doubtless lacunose; Robinson supplies  $<\!{\rm from}$  their original thesis>.

5.

[1] "The insane and the sane, and the wise and the foolish, both say and do the same things. [2] And first, they use the same names, 'earth,' 'human,' 'horse,' 'fire,' and all the others. And they do the same things, they sit, eat, drink, and lie down, and everything else in the same way. [3] And what is more, the same thing is both larger and smaller, and more numerous and less numerous, and heavier and lighter. For in this respect all things would be the same.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  ταῦτα vel ταυτα mss., corr. North corr. Meibom  $^{4}$   $<\kappa'>$  Blass

 $<sup>^{2,3}</sup>$   $au a \hat{v} au a$  bis mss.,

είη ταὐτὰ πάντα [4] τὸ τάλαντόν ἐστι βαρύτερον τῆς μνᾶς καὶ κουφότερον τῶν δύο ταλάντων τωὐτὸν ἄρα καὶ κουφότερον καὶ βαρύτερον.6 [5] καὶ ζώει ὁ αὐτὸς άνθρωπος καὶ οὐ ζώει, καὶ ταὐτὰ ἔστι καὶ οὐκ ἔστι τὰ γὰρ τῆδ' ἐόντα ἐν τᾶ Λιβύα οὐκ ἔστιν, οὐδέ γε τὰ έν Λιβύα έν Κύπρω, καὶ τἆλλα κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον. οὐκῶν καὶ ἐντὶ τὰ πράγματα καὶ οὐκ ἐντί." [6] τοὶ τῆνα8 λέγοντες, τως μαινομένως καίθ τως σοφώς καί τως άμαθείς τωὐτὰ διαπράσσεσθαι καὶ λέγεν, καὶ τάλλα <τά>10 έπόμενα τῷ λόγω, οὐκ ὀρθῶς λέγοντι. [7] αὶ γάρ τις αὐτὼς ἐρωτάσαι11 αὶ διαφέρει μανία σωφροσύνης καὶ σοφία ἀμαθίης, φαντί: "ναί." [8] εὖ γὰρ καὶ ἐξ ὧν πράσσοντι ἐκάτεροι δᾶλοί ἐντι, ὡς ὁμολογησοθντι, οὐκῶν αί12 ταὐτὰ πράσσοντι, καὶ τοὶ σοφοὶ μαίνονται, καὶ τοὶ μαινόμενοι σοφοί, καὶ πάντα συνταράσσονται. [9] καὶ ἐπακτέος 13 ὁ λόγος, πότερον οἷον14 ἐν δέοντι τοὶ σωφρονοῦντες λέγοντι ἢ τοὶ μαινόμενοι, άλλα γάρ φαντι ώς ταὐτὰ<sup>15</sup> μεν λέγοντι, ὅταν τις αὐτὼς ἐρωτῆ· ἀλλὰ τοὶ μὲν σοφοὶ ἐν τῷ δέοντι, τοὶ δὲ μαινόμενοι [16] οὐ δεῖ. [10] καὶ τοῦτο λέγοντες δοκοῦντι μικρὸν ποτιθήναι  $\langle \tau \dot{o} \rangle^{17}$   $\hat{a}^{18}$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$  καὶ μὴ  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ , ωστε μηκέτι τὸ αὐτὸ ἦμεν. [11] ἐγὼ δὲ οὐ πράγματος τοσούτω ποτιτεθέντος άλλοιοῦσθαι δοκώ τὰ πρά-

 $^5$  ταῦτα mss., corr. Meibom  $^6$  lac. post βαρύτερον susp. Diels  $^7$  κατταντὰ mss. (κατ' αὐτὰ Y1 Y2), corr. Mullach  $^8$  τινες mss., corr. Diels  $^9$  καὶ <τῶς σωφρονοῦντας καὶ <math>> Blass  $^{10}$  <τὰ > Blass

# DISSOI LOGOI

[4] A talent is heavier than a mina and lighter than two talents; so that the same thing is both lighter and heavier. [5] And the same man is both alive and not alive, and the same things both are and are not: for the things that are here are not in Libya, and those that are in Libya are not in Cyprus. And the same argument applies to everything else. And so things both are and are not." [6] Those people who say this, that the insane, the wise, and the foolish do and say the same things, and everything else that follows from this argument, do not speak correctly. [7] For if one were to ask them whether insanity differs from sanity and wisdom from foolishness, they would answer, "Yes." [8] For it is quite clear, also on the basis of what both groups do, that they will agree with this. In consequence, if they do the same things, then the wise are insane too, and the insane are wise, and everything is thrown into confusion. [9] And the question should be raised whether it is the sane or the insane who speak as it were at the right moment. For when someone asks them, they answer that they say the same things, but the wise say them at the right moment, the insane when it is not right. [10] And when they say this, they seem to be making a small addition, viz. 'the right moment' and 'when it is not right,' so that it is no longer the same thing. [11] But as for me, I think that things are altered not by the addition of something

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  ἐρωτάσας mss., corr. Fabricius  $^{12}$  καὶ mss., corr. Mullach  $^{13}$  ἐπάρτεος vel ἐπ' ἄρτεος mss. (ἔπ' ἄργεος F2, ἐπ' ἄγεος P4 P6), corr. Wilamowitz  $^{14}$  ὧν Wilamowitz: secl. Koen  $^{15}$  ταῦτα mss., corr. Fabricius

<sup>16</sup>  $\alpha i$  mss., corr. Blass 17  $\langle \tau \hat{\sigma} \rangle$  Diels

<sup>18</sup> at mss., corr. Blass

γματα, ἀλλ' ἀρμονίας διαλλαγείσας ὅσπερ 'Γλαῦκος' καὶ 'γλαυκός' καὶ 'Ξάνθος' καὶ 'ξανθός' καὶ 'Ξοῦθος' καὶ 'ξουθός' καὶ 'Εοῦθος' καὶ 'ξουθός.' [12] ταῦτα μὲν τὴν ἀρμονίαν ἀλλάξαντα διήνεικαν, τὰ δὲ μακρῶς καὶ βραχυτέρως ῥηθέντα. 'Τύρος' καὶ 'τυρός' 'σάκος' καὶ 'σακός,' <sup>19</sup> ἄτερα δὲ γράμματα διαλλάξαντα· 'κάρτος' καὶ 'κρατός,' <sup>20</sup> 'ὄνος' καὶ 'νόος.' [13] ἐπεὶ ὧν οὐκ ἀφαιρεθέντος οὐδενὸς τοσοῦτον διαφέρει, τί δή, αἴ τις ἢ ποτιτίθητί τι² ἢ ἀφαιρεῦ; καὶ τοῦτο δείξω οἷόν ἐστιν. [14] αἴ τις ἀπὸ τῶν δέκα ἑν ἀφέλοι,² οὐκέτι δέκα οὐδὲ ἑν ἄν² εἴη, καὶ τἄλλα καττωὐτό.² [15] τὸ δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν ἄνθρωπον καὶ ἢμεν καὶ μὴ ἦμεν, ἐρωτῶ· "τὶ ἢ τὰ πάντα ἔστιν'; οὐκῶν αἴ τις μὴ φαίη ἦμεν, ψεύδεται "τὰ πάντα" εἰπών.² ταῦτα πάντα² δὖν πή ἐστι.

19 σάκος καὶ σάκκος mss. (σάκκος καὶ σάκκος L, σάκκος καὶ σάκος Z), corr. Weber
 20 κράτος mss., corr. Wilamowitz

 $^{21}$ ἢ ποτιτιθεῖ τι mss., corr. nos: τι ποτιτίθητι Diels

22 ἀφέλοι <ἢ τοῖς δέκα ἐν ποτθείη> Diels

23 ầν L. om. cett. 24 καττοῦτο mss., corr. Meibom

25 εἰπόντες mss., corr. Mullach

<sup>26</sup> ταὐτὰ πάντα (vel πάντως) North: ταὐτά. πάντα Diels

6.1

[1] λέγεται δέ τις λόγος οὔτ' ἀλαθης οὔτε καινός, ὅτι² ἄρα σοφία καὶ ἀρετὰ οὔτε διδακτὸν εἴη οὔτε μαθητόν. τοὶ δὲ ταῦτα λέγοντες ταῖσδε ἀποδείξεσι χρῶνται. [2] ὡς οὐχ οἷόν τε εἴη, αἴ τι ἄλλῳ παραδοίης, τοῦτο αὐτὸν ἔτι ἔχειν. μία μὲν δὴ αὕτα. [3] ἄλλα δέ, ὡς, αἰ δι-

# DISSOI LOGOI

of this size, but rather by a change of the accent: for example, glaûkos ('Glaucus') and glaukós ('light blue'), xánthos ('Xanthus') and xanthós ('blond'), xoûthos ('Xouthus') and xouthós ('yellow-brown'). [12] These words differ by a change in accent, the following ones by whether they are pronounced with a long or a short vowel: Turos ("Tyre") and tūros ('cheese'), săkos ('shield') and sākos ('enclosure'); and other ones by a change in the sequence of letters: kartos ('strength') and kratos ('of the head'), onos ('ass') and noos ('mind'). [13] So since there is such a big difference even if nothing is taken away, what will happen then, if someone were either to add or to take away something? And I shall show what sort of thing this is. [14] If someone were to take away 1 from 10, it would no longer be 10 or 1; and everything else in the same way. [15] As for the statement that the same man both is and is not. I ask: "Is he in some particular respect, or in all respects?" So that if someone says that he is not, he is making a mistake by saying "in all respects." Therefore all these things are in some way.

6.

[1] A certain argument is stated, one that is neither true nor new: viz. that wisdom and virtue can neither be taught nor learned. Those people who say this make use of the following proofs: [2] That it is not possible, if you pass something on to someone else, to still possess it yourself. This is a first proof. [3] Another one is that, if it could

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  <Περὶ τᾶς σοφίας καὶ τᾶς ἀρετᾶς, αὶ διδακτόν> Stephanus  $^2$  τίς mss., corr. Stephanus

δακτον ήν, διδάσκαλοί κα ἀποδεδεγμένοι ήν, ώς τᾶς μωσικάς. [4] τρίτα δέ, ώς τοὶ ἐν τὰ Ἑλλάδι γενόμενοι σοφοί ἄνδρες τὰ αύτῶν τέκνα ἂν³ ἐδίδαξαν καὶ τὼς φίλως. 4 [5] τετάρτα δέ, ὅτι ἤδη τινὲς παρὰδ σοφιστὰς έλθόντες οὐδὲν ἀφέληθεν. [6] πέμπτα δέ, ὅτι πολλοὶ ού συγγενόμενοι σοφισταις άξιοι λόγω γεγένηνται. [7] έγω δε κάρτα εὐήθη νομίζω τόνδε τὸν λόγον γινώσκω γὰρ τὼς διδασκάλως γράμματα διδάσκοντας α καὶ αὐτὸς ἐπιστάμενος τυγχάνει, καὶ κιθαριστὰς κιθαρίζεν, πρὸς δὲ τὰν δευτέραν ἀπόδειξιν, ὡς ἄρα ούκ έντὶ διδάσκαλοι ἀποδεδεγμένοι, τί μὰν τοὶ σοφισταὶ διδάσκοντι ἄλλ' ἢ σοφίαν καὶ ἀρετάν;6 [8] τί δὲ Αναξαγόρειοι καὶ Πυθαγόρειοι ἦεν; τὸ δὲ τρίτον, ἐδίδαξε Πολύκλειτος τὸν υἱὸν ἀνδριάντας ποιείν. [9] καὶ  $\mathring{a}\nu^{7}$   $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\iota\varsigma$   $\mu\grave{\eta}$   $\delta\iota\delta\acute{a}\xi\eta,^{8}$   $ο\grave{v}$   $\sigma a\mu\hat{\eta}o\nu$   $o\iota$   $\delta$   $\acute{\epsilon}l\varsigma$   $\tau\iota\varsigma$   $\acute{\epsilon}\delta\acute{\iota}$ δαξε, τεκμάριον ὅτι δυνατόν ἐστι διδάξαι. [10] τέταρτον δέ, αἰ μή τοι παρὰ σοφών10 σοφιστών σοφοί γίνονται καὶ γὰρ γράμματα πολλοὶ οὖκ ἔμαθον μαθόντες. [11] ἔστι δέ τις καὶ φύσις, ἃ δή11 τις μὴ μαθών παρὰ σοφιστᾶν ἱκανὸς ἐγένετο, εὐφυής γα<sup>12</sup> γενόμενος, ραδίως συναρπάξαι τὰ πολλά, ὀλίγα μαθών παρ' ώνπερ καὶ τὰ ὀνύματα μανθάνομεν καὶ τούτων τι ήτοι

#### DISSOI LOGOI

be taught, there would be recognized teachers of it, as there are of music. [4] A third one is that those men in Greece who showed themselves to be wise would have taught their children and friends. [5] A fourth is that it has already happened that some people who came to study with the sophists derived thereby no benefit at all. [6] A fifth is that many of those who have not studied with sophists have turned out to be noteworthy. [7] As for me, I think that this argument is extremely simpleminded. For I know that teachers teach letters that each one at that moment also knows, and that cithara players [scil, teach how] to play the cithara. Against the second proof, that there are not recognized teachers, what else do the sophists teach, if not wisdom and virtue? [8] What were the Anaxagoreans and the Pythagoreans? The third: Polyclitus taught his son how to make statues. [9] And if someone has not taught, this is not a proof: whereas if any one man has taught, this is proof that it is possible to teach. [10] The fourth, that some people do not become wise from wise sophists: it is also the case that many people have not learned to read in spite of the fact that they have studied how to. [11] But there is also a certain natural predisposition, thanks to which someone who has not learned from the sophists becomes competent, so long as he has a suitable predisposition by nature, at grasping many things easily even though he has learned only a little from the very same people from whom we also learn how to read; and among these people, one man [scil. learns] either more or

 $<sup>^3</sup>$   $\tau$ àν  $\alpha$ ὐτῶν  $\tau$ έχναν Schulze  $^4$  καὶ  $\tau$ ὼς  $\phi$ ίλως L in mg.: καὶ  $\pi$ ῶς  $\phi$ ίλωσι vel  $\phi$ ίλως cett.: κα  $\tau$ ὼς  $\phi$ ίλως Schulze  $^5$   $\pi$ ερὶ mss., corr. Stephanus  $^6$  post  $^4$ ρετ $^4$ ν; hab. mss.  $\mathring{\eta}$ ,

del. Wilamowitz 7 ai mss., corr. Robinson

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  ἐδίδαξε Wilamowitz  $^9$ δ' ἔστι vel δ' ἐστὶ (δ' ἔστιν LZ) διδάξαι mss., corr. Wilamowitz

<sup>10</sup> σοφών del. Blass

<sup>11</sup> αί δè mss., corr. Diels

<sup>12</sup> καὶ mss., corr. Diels: κα Blass: secl. Wilamowitz

πλέον, ἤτοι ἔλασσον, ὁ μὲν παρὰ πατρός, ὁ δὲ παρὰ ματρός. [12] αἰ δὲ τῷ μὴ πιστόν ἐστι τὰ ὀνύματα μανθάνεν ἀμέ, 13 ἀλλ' ἐπισταμένως ἄμα γίνεσθαι, γνώτω ἐκ τῶνδε· αἴ τις εὐθὺς γενόμενον παιδίον ἐς Πέρσας ἀποπέμψαι καὶ τηνεῖ τράφοι, κωφὸν Ἑλλάδος φωνᾶς, περσίζοι κα· αἴ τις τηνόθεν τῆδε κομίζοι, 14 ἐλλανίζοι κα. οὕτω μανθάνομεν τὰ ὀνύματα, καὶ τὼς διδασκάλως οὐκ ἴσαμες. 15 [13] οὕτω 16 λέλεκταί μοι ὁ λόγος, καὶ ἔχεις ἀρχὴν καὶ τέλος καὶ μέσαν· καὶ οὐ λέγω ὡς διδακτόν ἐστιν, ἀλλ' ὅτι οὐκ 17 ἀποχρῶντί μοι τῆναι ταὶ 18 ἀποδείξεις. 19

 $^{13}$   $\Hat{a}\mu a$  mss. ( $\Hat{a}\mu \epsilon$  B P3 P4 P6 R V1), corr. Koen

14 κομίξαι Wilamowitz 15 οὐκὶ ἄμες mss. (οὐκὶ ἄμες L), corr. Blass 16 οὐ mss., corr. Diels: οὖ North: secl. Koen

17 ἀλλ' ὅτι οὐκ F2: ἀλλ' ὅτι cett.: ἀλλ' οὐκ Diels

18 αί Matth. de Varis 19 ἀποδείξιες mss., corr. Stephanus

7.

[1] λέγοντι δέ τινες τῶν δαμαγορούντων ὡς χρὴ τὰς ἀρχὰς ἀπὸ κλάρω γίνεσθαι, οὐ βέλτιστα ταῦτα νομίζοντες. [2] εἰ γάρ τις αὐτὸν ἐρωτῷη τὸν ταῦτα λέγοντα, "τί δὴ σὰ τοῖς οἰκέταις οὐκ ἀπὸ κλήρω τὰ ἔργα προστάσσεις, ὅπως ὁ μὲν ζευγηλάτας, αἴ κ' ὀψοποιὸς λάχη, ὀψοποιῷ, ὁ δὲ ὀψοποιὸς ζευγηλατῆ, καὶ τἆλλα κατὰ τωὐτό;¹ [3] καὶ πῶς οὐ καὶ τὼς χαλκῆας καὶ τὼς σκυτῆας συναγαγόντες καὶ τέκτονας καὶ χρυσοχόας διεκλαρώσαμεν² καὶ ἠναγκάσαμεν, ἄν χ'³ ἔκαστος λάχη τέχναν ἐργάζεσθαι, ἀλλὰ μὴ ἃν ἐπίσταται; [4]

#### DISSOI LOGOI

less, one from his father, another from his mother. [12] And if someone finds it plausible not that we learn words, but that we are born already knowing them, let him understand from the following: if a baby were sent to the Persians as soon as he was born and were raised there, so that he heard nothing of the Greek language, he would speak Persian; but if he were brought from there to here, he would speak Greek. It is in this way that we learn words, and we do not know who our teachers are. [13] In this way my argument has been stated, and you have the beginning, the end, and the middle. And what I am saying is not that they are teachable, but that those proofs are not sufficient for me.

7.

[1] Some of the orators who make speeches to the people say that offices should be assigned by lot; but their opinion is not the best one. [2] For if someone were to ask the man who says this, "Why do you not assign your house slaves their tasks by lot, so that the ox driver, if the lot of cook fell to him, would cook, while the cook would drive the oxen, and everything else in the same way? [3] And how is it that we do not bring together the blacksmiths and the cobblers, and carpenters and goldsmiths, and make an assignment by lot, and force each one to work at whichever art happens to fall to him and not at the one he knows? [4]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> τοῦτο mss., corr. Koen

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  διεκληρώσαμεν mss., corr. Meibom

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  ἀνάσχ' mss. (ά ἀν χ' L in mg.), corr. North

τωὐτὸν δὲ καὶ ἐν ἀγῶσι τᾶς μωσικᾶς διακλαρῶσαι τῶς ἀγωνιστὰς καὶ ὅ τι χ΄ ἔκαστος λάχη, ἀγωνίζεσθαι· αὐλητὰς κιθαριζέτω τυχὸν καὶ κιθαρωδὸς αὐλήσει· καὶ ἐν τῷ πολέμῳ τοξότας καὶ ὁπλίτας ἱππασεῖται, ὁ δὲ ἱππεὺς τοξεύσει, ὥστε πάντες ἃ οὐκ ἐπίστανται οὐδὲ δύνανται, πραξοῦντι." [5] λέγοντι δὲ καὶ ἀγαθὸν ἢμεν καὶ δαμοτικὸν κάρτα· ἐγὼ ἤκιστα νομίζω δαμοτικόν. ἐντὶ γὰρ ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι μισόδαμοι ἄνθρωποι, ὧν αἴ κα τύχη ὁ κύαμος, ἀπολοῦντι τὸν δᾶμον. [6] ἀλλὰ χρὴ τὸν δᾶμον αὐτὸν ὁρῶντα αἰρεῖσθαι πάντας τὼς εὔνως αὐτῷ, καὶ τὼς ἐπιταδείως στραταγέν, ἀτέρως δὲ νομοφυλακὲν καὶ τἆλλα.8

8 post τἆλλα lac. pauc. litt. mss. (praeter L): 〈ἐπιστατέν〉 Blass: 〈καττωὐτό〉 Schanz

8.

[1] <τῶ δ' αὐτῶ>¹ ἀνδρὸς καὶ τᾶς αὐτᾶς² τέχνας νομίζω κατὰ βραχύ τε δύνασθαι διαλέγεσθαι,³ καὶ <τὰν>⁴ ἀλάθειαν τῶν πραγμάτων ἐπίστασθαι, καὶ δικάσασθαι<sup>5</sup> ὀρθῶς, καὶ δαμαγορεῖν οἶόν τ' ἦμεν, καὶ λόγων τέχνας ἐπίστασθαι, καὶ περὶ φύσιος τῶν ἀπάντων ὥς τε ἔχει καὶ ὡς ἐγένετο διδάσκεν. [2] καὶ πρῶτον μὲν ὁ περὶ φύσιος τῶν ἀπάντων εἰδώς πῶς οὐ δυνασεῖται περὶ πάντων ὀρθῶς⁶ καὶ πράσσεν; [3] ἔτι δὲ¹ ὁ τὰς

# DISSOI LOGOI

The same thing in competitions in music too, choose the competitors by lot and, whatever happens to fall to each one, have him compete in that one: let an aulos player play the cithara perhaps, and a cithara player will play the aulos. And in war a bowmen and an infantryman will ride horseback, and the cavalryman will shoot with the bow, so that they will all do what they do not know how to do and what they are not capable of doing." [5] And they say that this is a good thing and extremely democratic; but as for me, I do not think that it is in the least bit democratic. For in the cities there are men who hate the people, and if the lot falls to them they will destroy the people. [6] But the people themselves must watch out and choose men all of whom are favorable to them, and suitable men to be generals, and other ones to be guardians of the laws. and so on.

8

[1] I think that it belongs <to the same > man and to the same art to be able to discuss briefly, to know <the> truth of things, to judge a legal case correctly, to be able to make speeches to the people, to know the arts of speeches, and to teach about the nature of all things, both their present condition and their origins. [2] And first, how would the man who knows about the nature of all things not be able also to act correctly about all things? [3] Furthermore, the

<sup>4</sup> post ἔκαστος hab. mss. κα (καὶ LZ), secl. Blass

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> κιθαριξεί Wilamowitz: κιθαριείται Robinson

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> πολέμω τὼς τοξότας καὶ τὼς ὁπλίτας mss., corr. Wilamowitz <sup>7</sup> post δύνανται hab. mss. οὐδὲ, del. Schanz

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  <τῶ δ' αὐτῶ> Robinson post Blass  $^2$  κατὰ τὰς αὐτὰς mss., corr. Blass  $^3$  καὶ ἀλέγεσθαι mss., corr. North

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  < τὰν> Wilamowitz  $^5$  δικάσασθαι F2: διδασκάσασθαι cett.  $^6$  ὀρθῶς < καὶ λέγεν> Blass, alii alia

 $<sup>^7 \, \</sup>delta \hat{\eta}$  mss., corr. Diels

τέχνας τῶν λόγων εἰδὼς ἐπιστασεῖται καὶ περὶ πάντων ὀρθῶς λέγεν. [4] δεῖ γὰρ τὸν μέλλοντα ὀρθῶς λέγεν, περί ὧν ἐπίσταται, περί τούτων λέγεν. <περί>8 πάντων γ' ἄρ' ἐπιστασείται. [5] πάντων μὲν γὰρ τῶν λόγων τὰς τέχνας ἐπίσταται, τοὶ δὲ λόγοι πάντες περὶ πάντων τῶν ἐ<όντων ἐντί>.10 [6] δεῖ δὲ ἐπίστασθαι τὸν μέλλοντα ὀρθώς λέγεν περὶ ὅτων καὶ λέγοι<sup>11</sup>..., <sup>12</sup> καὶ τὰ μὲν ἀγαθὰ ὀρθῶς διδάσκεν τὴν πόλιν πράσσεν, τὰ δὲ κακὰ τὼς 13 κωλύειν. [7] εἰδὼς δέ γε ταῦτα 14 είδήσει καὶ τὰ ἄτερα τούτων πάντα γὰρ ἐπιστασείται ἔστι γὰρ ταὐτὰ $^{15}$  τῶν πάντων, τῆνα  $\langle \delta \rangle$  $^{16}$  δὲ ποτὶ τωὐτὸν τὰ δέοντα παρέξεται, αἰ¹¹ χρή. [8] κἂν μὴ έπισταται<sup>18</sup> αὐλέν, ἀὶ δυνασεῖται αὐλέν, αἴ κα δέη τοῦτο πράσσεν. [9] τὸν δὲ δικάζεσθαι ἐπιστάμενον δεῖ τὸ δίκαιον ἐπίστασθαι ὀρθώς περὶ γὰρ τούτω ταὶ δίκαι. 19 είδως δε τούτο, είδήσει καὶ τὸ ὑπεναντίον αὐτῶ καὶ τὰ . . . < έ>τεροῖα. 20 [10] δεῖ δὲ αὐτὸν καὶ τὼς νόμως ἐπίστασθαι πάντας αἰ τοίνυν τὰ πράγματα μὴ

# DISSOI LOGOI

man who knows the arts of speeches will also know how to speak correctly about all things. [4] For it is necessary that the man who intends to speak correctly speak about those things he knows about. Therefore he will know <about > all things. [5] For he knows the arts of all speeches, and all speeches <are> about all of the <things that are>. [6] It is necessary that the man who intends to speak correctly, whatever he would speak about . . . <sup>1</sup> and teach the city correctly to do beneficial things and to prevent them from doing harmful ones. [7] Knowing all this, he will also know what is different from this, for he will know everything: for these things are the same for all men, while in the same way he will supply of himself what is necessary if it is required. [8] And even if he does not know how to play the aulos, he will always be able to play the aulos, if it should be necessary that he do this.<sup>2</sup> [9] It is necessary that a man who knows how to judge a legal case know correctly what is just; for legal cases revolve around this. And knowing this, he will also know what is the opposite of it and what is . . . 3 of a different sort. [10] It is also necessary that he know all the laws: so if he does not know

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  <περὶ> Rohde  $^9$  γ΄ ἄρ' Robinson: γὰρ mss.: del. Diels  $^{10}$  post ẻ vel ε lac. pauc. litt. mss., corr. Orelli  $^{11}$  καὶ λέγοι mss. (καὶ λέγει F1 F2, δεῖ λέγεν P3): κα λέγη Blass

<sup>12</sup> post λέγοι lac. 4–5 lin. mss. (ἐλλιπές τὸ χωρίον P3 in mg.),  $\langle \tau \grave{\alpha} \ \pi \rho \acute{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \rangle$  Diels 13 κακά τως Diels: κακὰ παντῶς Blass 14 δέ γε αὐτὰ mss., corr. Blass: γε del. Diels

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  ταῦτα mss., corr. Diels  $^{16}$  τῆνα P3: κεῖνα cett.: τῆνα, <δ> Diels  $^{17}$  παρασσεῖται. χρὴ vel πρασσεῖται χρὴ mss., corr. Robinson (aἰ χρή iam Wilamowitz): πράξει, aἰ Diels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The mss. have a lacuna of four to five lines at this point.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The sentence may be corrupt; but cf. perhaps Plato, *Protagoras* 327A-C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The mss. have a lacuna of about ten letters at this point.

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$  κ $^{\hat{\alpha}}$ ν  $\mu$ η  $^{\hat{\epsilon}}$   $^{\hat{\epsilon}}$ 

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  καὶ νel καὶ τὰ mss. (καὶ τὰς νόμως P4 P6) tum lac. 10 fere litt. tum τέρεια vel τερεία:  $\langle \dot{\epsilon} \rangle$ τεροῖα Mullach: καὶ τὰ  $\langle \ddot{a} \rangle$ λα αὐτῶ  $\hat{c}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \rangle$ τεροῖα Robinson: καὶ τὰ  $\langle \tau$ ούτων $\rangle$  ἄτερα Diels

ἐπιστασεῖται, οὐδὲ τὼς νόμως. [11] τὸν γὰρ ἐν μωσικὰ νόμον²¹ ἐπίσταται ὅσπερ καὶ μωσικάν, δς δὲ μὴ μωσικάν, οὐδὲ τὸν νόμον. [12] ὅς γα <μὰν>²² τὰν ἀλάθειαν τῶν πραγμάτων ἐπίσταται, εὐπετὴς²³ ὁ λόγος, ὅτι πάντα ἐπίσταται: [13] δς δὲ <κατὰ> βραχὺ <διαλέγεσθαι δύναται>,²⁴ δεῖ νιν ἐρωτώμενον ἀποκρίνασθαι περὶ πάντων οὐκῶν²⁵ δεῖ νιν πάντ' ἐπίστασθαι.

 $^{21}$  post νόμον hab. mss. τίς, del. Wilamowitz: ὁυτὸς Diels  $^{22}$   $<\mu$ αν> Wilamowitz  $^{23}$  ἀπετῆς mss. (εὐπατῆς ex ἀπατῆς Y2), corr. Matth. de Varis  $^{24}$   $<\kappa$ ατα > βραχυ < διαλέ $<\gamma$ εσθαι δύναται> Blass  $^{25}$  οὕκων P3 corr.: οὐκοῦν Y2: οὖκουν cett.

9.

[1] μέγιστον δὲ καὶ κάλλιστον ἐξεύρημα εὔρηται ἐς τὸν βίον μνάμα καὶ ἐς πάντα χρήσιμον, ἐς φιλοσοφίαν τε καὶ σοφίαν. [2] ἔστι δὲ τοῦτο ‹πρᾶτον›·¹ ἐὰν προσέχης τὸν νοῦν, διὰ τούτω² παρελθοῦσα ‹ά›³ γνώμα μᾶλλον αἰσθησεῖται σύνολον δ ἔμαθες.⁴ [3] δεύτερον⁵ δὲθ μελετᾶν,⁵ αἴ κα ἀκούσης· τῷθ γὰρ πολλάκις ταὐτὰ ἀκοῦσαι καὶ εἶπαι ἐς μνάμαν παρεγένετο. [4] τρίτον αἴ κα ἀκούσης ἐπὶ τὰθ οἶδας καταθέσθαι, οἷον τόδε· δεῖ μεμνᾶσθαι Χρύσιππον, κατθέμεν ἐπὶ τὸν χρυσὸν καὶ τὸν ἵππον. [5] ἄλλο· Πυριλάμπη κατθέμεν ἐπὶ ‹τὸ›¹θ πῦρ καὶ τὸ λάμπειν. τάδε μὲν περὶ

# DISSOI LOGOI

the things, he will not know the laws either. [11] For that man knows the law in music who also [scil. knows] music, but the man who does not [scil. know] music does not [scil. know] its law either. [12] <Certainly,> whoever knows the truth about things, it is easy to argue that that man knows all things; [13] and whoever <is able to discuss> briefly must answer, when someone questions him, about everything. And so it is necessary that he know everything.

9

[1] A very great and fine discovery has been made for life, viz. memory, and it is useful for everything, for philosophy and for wisdom. [2] It is this <first of all>: if you pay attention, then your thought, passing [scil. things] in review by this means, will better perceive the totality of what you have learned. [3] Second: to practice, if you hear [scil. something]; for by hearing and saying the same thing many times, it [scil. the totality of what you have learned] comes to be present to your memory. [4] Third: if you hear something, connect it with what you know, as for example the following: it is necessary to remember Chrysippus, connect it with khrusos ('gold') and hippos ('horse'). [5] Or another example: connect Pyrilampes with pur ('fire') and lampein ('to shine'). These examples are about names. [6]

<sup>1 &</sup>lt;πρᾶτον> Diels post Schanz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> τούτων mss., corr. Orelli

<sup>3 (</sup>å) Orelli

<sup>4</sup> σύνολον ὁ ἔμαθες post παρεγένετο transp. Diels

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> δευτέραν mss., corr. North

<sup>6</sup> δεî Robinson

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> μελέταν mss., corr. North

<sup>8</sup> τò mss., corr. Schanz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> ἔπειτα mss., corr. Matth. de Varis

<sup>10 ⟨</sup>τὸ⟩ Blass

τῶν ὀνυμάτων. 11 [6] τὰ δὲ πράγματα οὕτως: περὶ ἀνδρείας 12 ἐπὶ τὸν Ἄρη καὶ τὸν ἸΑχιλλῆα, περὶ χαλκείας δὲ ἐπὶ τὸν Ἡφαιστον, περὶ δειλίας ἐπὶ τὸν Ἐπειόν 13

# DISSOI LOGOI

For things, [scil. do] as follows: about manly valor, with Ares and Achilles; about smithery, with Hephaestus; about cowardice, with Epeius . . . <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The mss. indicate that the text breaks off at this point.

<sup>11</sup> ἀνυμάτων P3, ὀνομάτων cett.: corr. Trieber

<sup>12</sup> ανδρίας mss., corr. Stephanus

<sup>13</sup> post Ἐπειόν hab. P3 Ση: ἐλλιπὲς οὕτω καὶ τὸ ἀντίγραφον, ὡς ὁρᾶτε, cett. Ση ὅτι τὸ ἐπίλοιπον οὐχ εὐρέθη

# 42. 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC': COLLECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS AND GENERAL CHARACTERIZATIONS [SOPH.]

The present chapter gathers together a number of texts that illustrate how the loose group of fifth century intellectuals who were usually known as 'sophists' were collectively understood. It begins with passages in which the terms sophos ('wise man') or sophistes (originally 'man of learning, 'expert') were applied to various figures—poets, sages, legislators—from the period before the fifth century, and then it goes on to illustrate some basic features attributed to most or all of the fifth-century 'sophists': the requirement that a fee be paid; travel from one city to another; personal charisma; interest in education, especially in the teaching of virtue (aretê) and rhetoric; various forms of oratorical disputation; writing. It also includes a number of passages in which Plato and Xenophon, in speeches they attribute to Socrates or to other characters, propose analogies intended to define, usually pejoratively, what a 'sophist' is: above all, as a prostitute, a hunter, a merchant, or a charlatan. It concludes with texts that put 'sophistic' in relation to atheism and, usually by con-

# 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

trast, to philosophy. Beyond the chapters on the individual 'sophists' elsewhere in this volume, in which many of the same themes are found as they apply to one figure or another, the texts in this chapter show how the term came to be specialized in order to define—most often negatively—a definite group of thinkers.

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

See the titles listed in the General Introduction to Chapters 31–42.

# OUTLINE OF THE CHAPTER

The Earliest 'Sophists' (R1–R5) A Group Portrait (R6) The Sophists as Moneymakers, Travelers, and Charismatic Figures (R7-R8) The Sophists as Educators (R9-R11) The Sophists as Teachers of Virtue (R12-R13) The Sophists as Teachers of Rhetoric (R14-R15) Eristic, Antilogy, Opposed Speeches (R16-R22) The Sophists and Writing (R23-R24) Socratic Analogies for the Sophist (R25-R32) Prostitute (R25) Hunter (R26-R27) Merchant (R28-R29) Magician and Charlatan (R30-R31) Other Images (R32) Sophistic and Atheism (R33) Sophistic, Rhetoric, and Philosophy (R34-R36)

# 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC': COLLECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS AND GENERAL CHARACTERIZATIONS [cf. 79 DK]

The Earliest 'Sophists' (R1-R5)

# RI $(\neq DK)$ Isocr. Ant. 313

[...] τοὺς μὲν καλουμένους σοφιστὰς ἐθαύμαζον καὶ τοὺς συνόντας αὐτοῖς ἐζήλουν [...], μέγιστον δὲ τεκμήριον. Σόλωνα μὲν γάρ, τὸν πρῶτον τῶν πολιτῶν λαβόντα τὴν ἐπωνυμίαν ταύτην, προστάτην ἠξίωσαν τῆς πόλεως εἶναι [...].

## **R2** ( $\neq$ DK) Plut. E ap. Delph. 3 385D-E

[ΛΑ.] λέγουσι γὰρ ἐκείνους τοὺς σοφούς ὑπ' ἐνίων δὲ σοφιστὰς προσαγορευθέντας, αὐτοὺς μὲν εἶναι πέντε, Χίλωνα καὶ Θαλῆν καὶ Σόλωνα καὶ Βίαντα καὶ Πιττακόν [. . .].

# 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC': COLLECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS AND GENERAL CHARACTERIZATIONS

The Earliest 'Sophists' (R1-R5)

### RI (≠ DK) Isocrates, Antidosis

[...] they [i.e. Athenians of earlier times] felt admiration for the people called 'sophists' and envy for their students [...]. And the greatest proof is this: they thought it right to make Solon, the first of their fellow citizens to bear this title, the head of the city [...].

# R2 (≠ DK) Plutarch, On the Letter E in Delphi

[Lamprias:] For they say that those wise men (sophot) whom some call 'sophists' are in fact five in number: Chilon, Thales, Solon, Bias, and Pittacus [...] [cf. MOR. T35; THAL. R2].

# **R3** (≠ DK) Diog. Laert. 1.12

οί δὲ σοφοὶ καὶ σοφισταὶ ἐκαλοῦντο· καὶ οὐ μόνον, <sup>1</sup> ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ ποιηταὶ σοφισταί, καθὰ καὶ Κρατῖνος ἐν <sup>2</sup>Αρχιλόχοις [cf. Frag. 2 K–A] τοὺς περὶ "Ομηρον καὶ Ἡσίοδον ἐπαινῶν οὕτως καλεί.

1 μόνον <οὖτοι> Reiske

## R4 (79.1a Untersteiner) Plut. Them. 2.4

[...] μᾶλλον οὖν ἄν τις προσέχοι τοῖς Μνησιφίλου τὸν Θεμιστοκλέα τοῦ Φρεαρρίου ζηλωτὴν γενέσθαι λέγουσιν, οὕτε ῥήτορος ὄντος οὕτε τῶν φυσικῶν κληθέντων φιλοσόφων, ἀλλὰ τὴν τότε καλουμένην σοφίαν, οὖσαν δὲ δεινότητα πολιτικὴν καὶ δραστήριον σύνεσιν, ἐπιτήδευμα πεποιημένου καὶ διασώζοντος ὥσπερ αἵρεσιν ἐκ διαδοχῆς ἀπὸ Σόλωνος ἡν οἱ μετὰ ταῦτα δικανικαῖς μείξαντες τέχναις καὶ μεταγαγόντες ἀπὸ τῶν πράξεων τὴν ἄσκησιν ἐπὶ τοὺς λόγους, σοφισταὶ προσηγορεύθησαν.

### **R5** (79.1) Ael. Arist. Or. 3 311

άρχὴν δὲ οὐδ' εἰδέναι μοι δοκοῦσιν οὐδ' αὐτὸ τοὕνομα τῆς φιλοσοφίας ὅπως εἶχε τοῖς Ἦλλησι καὶ ὅτι ἠδύνατο οὐδ' ὅλως τῶν περὶ ταῦτ' οὐδέν. οὐχ Ἡρόδοτος Σόλωνα σοφιστὴν κέκληκεν, οὐ Πυθαγόραν πάλιν; οὐκ ἀνδροτίων [FCrHist 321 F69] τοὺς ἐπτὰ σοφιστὰς προσείρηκε, λέγων δὴ τοὺς σοφούς, καὶ πάλιν αὖ Σω-

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

# **R3** (≠ DK) Diogenes Laertius

Wise men (sophoi) also used to be called 'sophists,' and not only they, but the poets too were 'sophists.' And so when Cratinus in his Archilochuses praises Homer, Hesiod, and their disciples, he calls them this.

## R4 (≠ DK) Plutarch, Themistocles

[...] So one should rather pay attention to those people who say that Themistocles was a disciple of Mnesiphilus of Phrearrhi, who was neither an orator nor one of the so-called 'natural philosophers,' but who, practicing something that at that time was called 'wisdom' (sophia) but was really cleverness in political matters and pragmatic shrewdness, made this his profession and preserved it intact like a sect transmitted to him in succession from Solon. But those people who followed him mixed this with forensic arts and transferred its sphere of application from actions to speeches, and they were called 'sophists.'

# R5 (79.1) Aelius Aristides, Against Plato: In Defense of the Four

It seems to me that they [i.e. the critics of oratory] do not even know anything at all either about how the very term 'philosophy' was used by the Greeks and what it signified, or in general about anything connected with it. Did not Herodotus call Solon, and again Pythagoras, a 'sophist' [Histories 1.29, 6.95]? Did not Androtion speak of the seven 'sophists,' meaning by that the sages (sophoi), and

κράτη σοφιστήν τοῦτον τὸν πάνυ; αὖθις δ' Ἰσοκράτης σοφιστάς μέν τούς περί την έριν και τούς ώς αν αὐτοὶ φαῖεν διαλεκτικούς, φιλόσοφον δ' έαυτὸν καὶ τους ρήτορας και τους περί την πολιτικήν έξιν φιλοσόφους: ώσαύτως δε καὶ τῶν τούτω συγγενομένων ονομάζουσί τινες, οὐ Λυσίας Πλάτωνα σοφιστὴν κα- $\lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$  [Frag. 449 Carey] καὶ πάλιν Αἰσχίνην [VI A19 G<sup>2</sup>]; "κατηγορών οὖτός γε," φαίη τις ἄν. ἀλλ' οὐχ οῖ γε άλλοι κατηγοροῦντες ἐκείνων τῶν άλλων ὅμως ταυτὸν τοῦτο προσειρήκασιν αὐτούς. ἔτι δ' εἰ καὶ Πλάτωνος έξην κατηγορούντα σοφιστήν προσειπείν, τί τούτους γ' αν είποι τις; άλλ' οίμαι καὶ σοφιστής έπιεικως κοινὸν ἦν ὄνομα καὶ ἡ φιλοσοφία τοῦτ' ἡδύνατο, φιλοκαλία τις είναι καὶ διατριβή περὶ λόγους, καὶ οὐχ δ νῦν τρόπος οῧτος, ἀλλὰ παιδεία κοινῶς [...]. καὶ τὸν σοφιστην δοκεί μέν πως κακίζειν αεί, καὶ ὅ γε δη μάλιστα ἐπαναστὰς τῷ ὀνόματι Πλάτων εἶναί μοι δοκεί, αἴτιον δὲ τούτου καὶ τῶν πολλῶν αὐτὸν καὶ τῶν κατ' αὐτὸν ὑπερφρονῆσαι. φαίνεται δὲ καὶ ταύτη εἰς άπασαν εὐφημίαν τῆ προσηγορία κεχρημένος. δν γοῦν ἀξιοῖ σοφώτατον εἶναι θεὸν καὶ παρ' ὧ πᾶν εἶναι τάληθές, τοῦτον δή που τέλεον σοφιστὴν κέκληκεν.

# 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

then again [scil. did he not call] the great Socrates a 'sophist? Or again, [scil. did not] Isocrates [scil. call] the eristic speakers and the dialecticians (as they themselves would say) 'sophists' [cf. e.g. Against the Sophists, 1-8], but himself a 'philosopher,' and rhetoricians and those men involved in political activity 'philosophers' [cf. e.g. Paneg. 47]? Some of his associates too use the term in the same way. Does not Lysias call Plato a 'sophist,' and again Aeschines? "Yes indeed-when he accuses him," someone might say—except that the other authors call those other men [scil. the sophists] by this same name, even though they are not accusing them. Furthermore, if it was permissible to call Plato a 'sophist' when accusing him, what could one call them [i.e. the sophists]? In fact, I think that 'sophist' was a fairly general term and that 'philosophy' signified a certain love of beauty and an activity involving speeches, not in this present way, but a kind of education in general. [...] And Plato seems to me always somehow to be denigrating the sophist, and it is he who seems to me to attack this word most of all. The reason for this was that he despised the multitude of the people as well as his contemporaries. But it is clear that he also uses this term in an entirely positive sense. Indeed the god whom he considers to be the wisest of all and who has at his disposal all the truth [i.e. Hades] he has even called 'a perfect sophist' [Crat. 403e].

# A Group Portrait (R6)

R6 (cf. 84 A2, ad 86 A11) Plat. Prot. 314e-316a

[ΣΩ.] ἐπειδὴ δὲ εἰσήλθομεν, κατελάβομεν Πρωταγόραν ἐν τῷ προστώω περιπατοῦντα, ἑξῆς δ' αὐτῷ συμπεριεπάτουν έκ μεν τοῦ ἐπὶ θάτερα Καλλίας ὁ Ἱππονίκου καὶ ὁ ἀδελφὸς αὐτοῦ ὁ ὁμομήτριος, [315a] Πάραλος ὁ Περικλέους, καὶ Χαρμίδης ὁ Γλαύκωνος, έκ δὲ τοῦ ἐπὶ θάτερα ὁ ἔτερος τῶν Περικλέους Ξάνθιππος, καὶ Φιλιππίδης ὁ Φιλομήλου καὶ ἀντίμοιρος ό Μενδαίος, ὄσπερ εὐδοκιμεῖ μάλιστα τῶν Πρωταγόρου μαθητών καὶ ἐπὶ τέχνη μανθάνει, ὡς σοφιστής έσόμενος, τούτων δε οι όπισθεν ήκολούθουν επακούοντες των λεγομένων το μεν πολύ ξένοι έφαίνοντοοθς ἄγει ἐξ ἐκάστων τῶν πόλεων ὁ Πρωταγόρας, δι' ών διεξέρχεται, κηλών τη φωνή ώσπερ [315b] Όρφεύς, οί δὲ κατὰ τὴν φωνὴν ἔπονται κεκηλημένοι ήσαν δέ τινες καὶ τῶν ἐπιχωρίων ἐν τῷ χορῷ. τοῦτον τὸν χορὸν μάλιστα ἔγωγε ἰδὼν ἥσθην, ὡς καλῶς ηὐλαβοῦντο μηδέποτε ἐμποδῶν ἐν τῷ πρόσθεν εἶναι Πρωταγόρου, άλλ' ἐπειδη αὐτὸς ἀναστρέφοι καὶ οἱ μετ' έκείνου, εὖ πως καὶ ἐν κόσμω περιεσχίζοντο οδτοι οἱ ἐπήκοοι ἔνθεν καὶ ἔνθεν, καὶ ἐν κύκλω περιιόντες ἀεὶ εἰς τὸ ὅπισθεν καθίσταντο κάλλιστα.

"τὸν δὲ μετ' εἰσενόησα," ἔφη "Ομηρος [Od. 11.601], Ἱππίαν [315c] τὸν Ἡλεῖον, καθήμενον ἐν τῷ κατ' ἀντικρὺ προστῷφ ἐν θρόνφ: περὶ αὐτὸν δ' ἐκάθηντο ἐπὶ

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

# A Group Portrait (R6)

R6 (cf. 84 A2, ad 86 A11) Plato, Protagoras

[Socrates:] When we went in [scil. to Callias' house] we found Protagoras walking around in the portico, and walking around with him were on one side Callias, the son of Hipponicus, and his half brother [315a] Paralus, the son of Pericles, and Charmides, the son of Glaucon, and on the other Xanthippus, Pericles' other son, and Philippides, the son of Philomelus, and Antimoerus of Mende, who has the best reputation of Protagoras' pupils and is learning professionally so as to become a sophist. Those who were following behind these, listening to what was said, seemed to be foreigners for the most part—Protagoras leads them off from each of the cities he goes through, charming them with his voice like [315b] Orpheus, while they follow, spellbound by his voice—but there were also some locals [i.e. Athenians] in the chorus. I was extremely pleased when I saw how this chorus took such lovely care that no one ever got in Protagoras' way, but whenever he and his companions turned round, those who were listening to him divided themselves in an orderly fashion to one side and the other, and going around in a circle regrouped behind him every time in the most lovely way.

"And after him I perceived," as Homer says [Od. 11.601], Hippias [315c] of Elis, sitting in the opposite portico on a throne. Around him, sitting on benches, were

βάθρων Ἐρυξίμαχός τε ὁ Ἐκουμενοῦ καὶ Φαΐδρος ὁ Μυρρινούσιος καὶ Ἅνδρων ὁ Ἐνδροτίωνος καὶ τῶν ξένων πολῖταί τε αὐτοῦ καὶ ἄλλοι τινές. ἐφαίνοντο δὲ περὶ φύσεώς τε καὶ τῶν μετεώρων ἀστρονομικὰ ἄττα διερωτῶν τὸν Ἱππίαν, ὁ δ' ἐν θρόνῳ καθήμενος ἐκάστοις αὐτῶν διέκρινεν καὶ διεξήει τὰ ἐρωτώμενα.

καὶ μὲν δὴ "καὶ Τάνταλόν" γε "εἰσεῖδον" [Od. 11.582]--έπεδήμει γὰρ [315d] ἄρα καὶ Πρόδικος ὁ Κείος-- ήν δε εν οἰκήματί τινι, φ πρό τοῦ μεν ώς ταμιείω έχρητο Ίππόνικος, νῦν δὲ ὑπὸ τοῦ πλήθους τῶν καταλυόντων ὁ Καλλίας καὶ τοῦτο ἐκκενώσας ξένοις κατάλυσιν πεποίηκεν. δ μεν οὖν Πρόδικος ἔτι κατέκειτο, έγκεκαλυμμένος έν κωδίοις τισίν καὶ στρώμασιν καὶ μάλα πολλοῖς, ὡς ἐφαίνετο παρεκάθηντο δὲ αὐτῷ ἐπὶ ταῖς πλησίον κλίναις Παυσανίας τε ὁ ἐκ Κεραμέων καὶ μετὰ Παυσανίου νέον τι ἔτι μειράκιον, ώς μεν εγώμαι καλόν τε κάγαθον την φύσιν, την δ' οὖν ἰδέαν πάνυ καλός. ἔδοξα ἀκοῦσαι ὄνομα αὐτῷ είναι Άγάθωνα, καὶ ούκ ἂν θαυμάζοιμι εἰ παιδικὰ Παυσανίου τυγχάνει ών. τοῦτό τ' ἢν τὸ μειράκιον, καὶ τὼ Άδειμάντω ἀμφοτέρω, ὅ τε Κήπιδος καὶ ὁ Λευκολοφίδου, καὶ ἄλλοι τινὲς ἐφαίνοντο· περὶ δὲ ὧν διελέγοντο οὐκ ἐδυνάμην ἔγωγε μαθεῖν ἔξωθεν, καίπερ λιπαρώς έχων ἀκούειν τοῦ Προδίκου—πάσσοφος γάρ μοι δοκεί άνὴρ εἶναι [316a] καὶ θεῖος—ἀλλὰ διὰ τὴν βαρύτητα της φωνης βόμβος τις έν τῷ οἰκήματι γιγνόμενος ἀσαφή ἐποίει τὰ λεγόμενα.

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

Eryximachus, the son of Acumenus, and Phaedrus from Myrrinus, and Andron, the son of Androtion, and some foreigners, fellow citizens of his [i.e. Hippias] and others. They seemed to be asking Hippias a number of astronomical questions about nature and celestial phenomena, while he, sitting on his throne, answered each of them and replied to their questions in detail [cf. HIPPIAS D34].

And indeed "I also saw Tantalus" [cf. Homer, Od. 11.582], for [315d] Prodicus of Ceos too was in town. He was in a room that Hipponicus had previously used as a storeroom, but now because of the number of people staying Callias had cleared this out too and made it a guest room. Prodicus was still lying down, covered with some sheepskins and bedclothes, with a lot of them, as could be seen. On the beds next to his were sitting Pausanias from Cerameis, and with Pausanias a youth who was still quite young, fine-looking I thought and with a good (kaloskagathos) build. I thought I heard that his name was Agathon, and I would not be surprised if he were Pausanias' boyfriend. There was that youth, and the two Adeimantuses, the son of Cepis and the son of Leucolophides, and there seemed to be some others; but what they were talking about I was not able to understand from outside, although I was very eager to hear Prodicus-for the man seems to me to be surpassingly wise, [316a] and divine but his deep voice produced a booming sound in the room that made what he was saying unclear [cf. PROD. P3].

The Sophists as Moneymakers, Travelers, and Charismatic Figures (R7–R8)

# R7 (84 A4) Plat. Apol. 19e-20a

[ΣΩ.] ἐπεὶ καὶ τοῦτό γέ μοι δοκεῖ καλὸν εἶναι, εἴ τις οἶός τ' εἴη παιδεύειν ἀνθρώπους ὥσπερ Γοργίας τε ὁ Λεοντῖνος καὶ Πρόδικος ὁ Κεῖος καὶ Ἱππίας ὁ Ἡλεῖος. τούτων γὰρ ἔκαστος [...] οἶός τ' ἐστὶν ἰὼν εἰς ἑκάστην τῶν πόλεων τοὺς νέους—οἶς ἔξεστι τῶν ἑαυτῶν πολιτῶν προῖκα συνεῖναι ῷ ἃν βούλωνται—τούτους πείθουσι [20a] τὰς ἐκείνων συνουσίας ἀπολιπόντας σφίσιν συνεῖναι χρήματα διδόντας καὶ χάριν προσειδέναι.

## **R8** (≠ DK) Plat. *Prot.* 311d-e

[ΣΩ.] παρὰ δὲ δὴ Πρωταγόραν νῦν ἀφικόμενοι ἐγώ τε καὶ σὰ ἀργύριον ἐκείνω μισθὸν ἔτοιμοι ἐσόμεθα τε-λεῖν ὑπὲρ σοῦ, ἂν μὲν ἐξικνῆται τὰ ἡμέτερα χρήματα καὶ τούτοις πείθωμεν αὐτόν, εἰ δὲ μή, καὶ τὰ τῶν φί-λων προσαναλίσκοντες. εἰ οὖν τις ἡμᾶς περὶ ταῦτα οὕτω σφόδρα σπουδάζοντας ἔροιτο· "εἰπέ μοι, ὧ Σώκρατές τε καὶ 'Ιππόκρατες, ὡς τίνι ὄντι τῷ Πρωταγόρα ἐν νῷ ἔχετε χρήματα τελεῖν," τί ἂν αὐτῷ ἀποκριναίμεθα; τί ὄνομα ἄλλο γε λεγόμενον περὶ Πρωταγόρου ἀκούομεν; ὥσπερ περὶ Φειδίου ἀγαλματοποιὸν καὶ περὶ 'Ομήρου ποιητήν, τί τοιοῦτον περὶ Πρωταγόρου ἀκούομεν;

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

The Sophists as Moneymakers, Travelers, and Charismatic Figures (R7–R8)

# R7 (84 A4) Plato, Apology

[Socrates:] This seems to me a fine thing: to be capable of educating people, like Gorgias of Leontini, Prodicus of Ceos, and Hippias of Elis. For each of these men [...] is able, going into each of the cities, to persuade the young men there—who have the possibility of associating, without paying, with any of their fellow citizens they wish—to stop associating with those people, to associate instead with them, to pay money for this—and, what is more, to feel grateful for this.

# **R8** (≠ DK) Plato, *Protagoras*

[Socrates:] You [i.e. Hippocrates] and I are going now to Protagoras, prepared to pay money to him as a fee for your sake, spending our money if that is enough to persuade him, and if not then spending our friends' money as well. If then someone were to ask us while we are rushing off so eagerly for this purpose, "Tell me, Socrates and Hippocrates, you have in mind to pay money to Protagoras—for his being what?," how would we answer him? What other term do we hear used for Protagoras? Just as we hear 'sculptor' for Phidias and 'poet' for Homer, what term like this do we hear for Protagoras?

[III.] σοφιστὴν δή τοι ὀνομάζουσί γε, ὧ Σώκρατες, τὸν ἄνδρα εἶναι [. . .].

[ΣΩ.] ως σοφιστή ἄρα ἐρχόμεθα τελοῦντες τὰ χρήματα;

[ΙΠ.] μάλιστα.

# The Sophists as Educators (R9-R11)

# **R9** (≠ DK) Plat. Rep. 10 600c-d

[ΣΩ.] [. . .] Πρωταγόρας μὲν ἄρα ὁ ᾿Αβδηρίτης καὶ Πρόδικος ὁ Κεῖος καὶ ἄλλοι πάμπολλοι δύνανται τοῖς ἐφ' ἑαυτῶν παριστάναι ἰδία συγγιγνόμενοι ὡς οὔτε οἰκίαν οὔτε πόλιν τὴν αὐτῶν διοικεῖν οἶοί τ' ἔσονται, ἐὰν μὴ σφεῖς αὐτῶν ἐπιστατήσωσιν τῆς παιδείας, καὶ ἐπὶ ταύτη τῆ σοφία οὔτω σφόδρα φιλοῦνται, ὤστε μόνον οὖκ ἐπὶ ταῖς κεφαλαῖς περιφέρουσιν αὐτοὺς οἱ ἐταῖροι.

### R10 (≠ DK) Plat. Prot. 312a-b

[ΣΩ.] ἀλλ' ἄρα, ὧ Ἱππόκρατες, μὴ οὐ τοιαύτην ὑπολαμβάνεις σου τὴν παρὰ Πρωταγόρου μάθησιν [312b] ἔσεσθαι, ἀλλ' οἴαπερ ἡ παρὰ τοῦ γραμματιστοῦ ἐγένετο καὶ κιθαριστοῦ καὶ παιδοτρίβου; τούτων γὰρ σὰ ἐκάστην οὐκ ἐπὶ τέχνη ἔμαθες, ὡς δημιουργὸς ἐσόμενος, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ παιδεία, ὡς τὸν ἰδιώτην καὶ τὸν ἐλεύθερον πρέπει.

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

[Hippocrates:] 'Sophist' certainly is the term that they apply to him, Socrates [. . .].

[Socrates:] So we are going to pay money to him for his being a sophist?

[Hippocrates:] Yes indeed.

# The Sophists as Educators (R9-R11)

# R9 (≠ DK) Plato, Republic

[Socrates:] [...] Protagoras of Abdera, Prodicus of Ceos, and very many other men are able to convince their contemporaries, with whom they associate in private, that they will not be able to manage their home or their city unless it is they themselves who supervise their education, and they are so beloved because of this wisdom of theirs that their companions all but carry them about on their shoulders.

# R10 (≠ DK) Plato, Protagoras

[Socrates:] But then, Hippocrates, perhaps you think that the instruction you will receive from Protagoras is not this kind [i.e. learning how to become a sophist], but the kind you received from your grammar teacher, your cithara teacher, and your gymnastics teacher? For you did not study from these men technically so that you could become a practitioner yourself, but for the sake of your general education, as befits a layman and a free man.

[ΙΠ.] πάνυ μεν οὖν μοι δοκεῖ, ἔφη, τοιαύτη μᾶλλον εἶναι ἡ παρὰ Πρωταγόρου μάθησις.

R11 (> 80 A5) Plat. Prot.

a 316d-e, 317c

[ΠΡ.] έγω δε την σοφιστικήν τέχνην φημί μεν είναι παλαιάν, τοὺς δὲ μεταχειριζομένους αὐτὴν τῶν παλαιων άνδρων, φοβουμένους τὸ ἐπαχθὲς αὐτῆς, πρόσχημα ποιείσθαι καὶ προκαλύπτεσθαι, τοὺς μὲν ποίησιν, οἷον "Ομηρόν τε καὶ Ἡσίοδον καὶ Σιμωνίδην, τους δε αὖ τελετάς τε καὶ χρησμωδίας, τους ἀμφί τε 'Ορφέα καὶ Μουσαΐον' ἐνίους δέ τινας ἤσθημαι καὶ γυμναστικήν, οἷον \*Ικκος τε ὁ Ταραντίνος καὶ ὁ νθν έτι ὢν οὐδενὸς ήττων σοφιστής Ἡρόδικος ὁ Σηλυμβριανός, τὸ δὲ ἀρχαῖον Μεγαρεύς μουσικὴν δὲ Άγαθοκλής τε ὁ ὑμέτερος πρόσχημα ἐποιήσατο, μέγας ὢν σοφιστής, καὶ Πυθοκλείδης ὁ Κεῖος καὶ ἄλλοι πολλοί, οὖτοι πάντες, ὥσπερ λέγω, φοβηθέντες τὸν φθόνον ταις τέχναις ταύταις παραπετάσμασιν έχρήσαντο, έγω δε τούτοις απασιν κατά τοῦτο είναι οὐ συμφέρομαι. [...] έγω οὖν τούτων τὴν ἐναντίαν ἄπασαν όδὸν ἐλήλυθα, καὶ ὁμολογῶ τε σοφιστὴς εἶναι καὶ παιδεύειν ἀνθρώπους [...].

# **b** 318d-319a (= **PROT. D37**)

[IIP.] οί μὲν γὰρ ἄλλοι λωβῶνται τοὺς νέους: τὰς γὰρ

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

[Hippocrates:] It seems to me, he said, that the instruction one receives from Protagoras is rather of this kind.

# R11 (> 80 A5) Plato, Protagoras

а

[Protagoras:] I say that the sophistic art is ancient, but that those ancient men who practiced it, because they feared the annoyance it caused, employed a screen and disguised it, some using poetry, like Homer, Hesiod, and Simonides. and others initiatory rites and oracles, the followers of Orpheus and Musaeus; and certain ones, I have heard, under gymnastics too, like Iccus of Tarentum [cf. PYTH. b T34] and another one, still alive, as much a sophist as anyone: Herodicus of Selymbria, originally a Megarian colony. And music was the screen employed by your fellow citizen Agathocles, a great sophist, Pythocleides of Ceos, and many others. All these men, as I say, made use of these arts as façades because of their fear of ill will. But I am not in agreement with all of these. [...] So I myself have gone on the whole opposite path from these men, and I admit that I am a sophist and that I educate people [...].

# b (= PROT. D37)

[Protagoras:] For the other people [scil. sophists] harm young men. Driving them back, despite their resistance,

τέχνας αὐτοὺς πεφευγότας ἄκοντας πάλιν αὖ ἄγοντες ἐμβάλλουσιν εἰς τέχνας, λογισμούς τε καὶ ἀστρονομίαν καὶ γεωμετρίαν καὶ μουσικὴν διδάσκοντες—καὶ ἄμα εἰς τὸν Ἱππίαν ἀπέβλεψεν—παρὰ δ' ἐμὲ ἀφικόμενος μαθήσεται οὐ περὶ ἄλλου του ἢ περὶ οὖ ἤκει. τὸ δὲ μάθημά ἐστιν εὐβουλία περὶ τῶν οἰκείων, ὅπως ἂν ἄριστα τὴν αὐτοῦ οἰκίαν διοικοῖ, καὶ περὶ τῶν τῆς πόλεως, ὅπως τὰ τῆς πόλεως δυνατώτατος ἂν εἴη καὶ πράττειν καὶ λέγειν.

The Sophists as Teachers of Virtue (R12-R13)

# R12 (80 A5) Plat. Prot. 318a (= PROT. D36)

ύπολαβων οὖν ὁ Πρωταγόρας εἶπεν "ὧ νεανίσκε, ἔσται τοίνυν σοι, ἐἀν ἐμοὶ συνῆς, ἢ ἂν ἡμέρα ἐμοὶ συγγένη, ἀπιέναι οἴκαδε βελτίονι γεγονότι, καὶ ἐν τῆ ὑστεραία ταὐτὰ ταῦτα καὶ ἐκάστης ἡμέρας ἀεὶ ἐπὶ τὸ βέλτιον ἐπιδιδόναι."

# R13 (≠ DK) Plat. Men. 91a-b

[ΣΩ.] οὖτος γάρ, ὧ Ἄνυτε, πάλαι λέγει πρός με ὅτι ἐπιθυμεῖ ταύτης τῆς σοφίας καὶ ἀρετῆς ἦ οἱ ἄνθρωποι τάς τε οἰκίας καὶ τὰς πόλεις καλῶς διοικοῦσι, καὶ τοὺς γονέας τοὺς αὐτῶν θεραπεύουσι, καὶ πολίτας καὶ ξένους ὑποδέξασθαί τε καὶ ἀποπέμψαι ἐπίστανται ἀξίως ἀνδρὸς ἀγαθοῦ. ταύτην οὖν τὴν ἀρετὴν σκόπει παρὰ τίνας ἂν πέμποντες αὐτὸν ὀρθῶς πέμποιμεν. ἢ

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

toward the arts that they have fled, they cast them upon those arts, teaching them arithmetic, astronomy, geometry, and music (and he cast a glance at Hippias) [cf. HIP-PIAS D14]; whereas if he comes to me he will learn nothing else than what he came for. The object of my instruction is good deliberation about household matters, to know how to manage one's own household in the best way possible, and about those of the city, so as to be most capable of acting and speaking in the city's interests.

The Sophists as Teachers of Virtue (R12-R13)

# **R12** (80 A5) Plato, *Protagoras* (= **PROT. D36**)

Protagoras said in reply, "Young man [i.e. Hippocrates], if you study with me, this is what will happen to you: the very day that you start to study with me, you will go home having become a better man, and the same thing will happen the following day. And every day you will make progress continually toward what is better."

## R13 (≠ DK) Plato, Meno

[Socrates:] For he [i.e. Meno] has been telling me for some time, Anytus, that he desires to acquire that wisdom and virtue by which people manage their households and cities well, take care of their parents, and know how to welcome and send off their fellow citizens and foreigners in a manner worthy of a good man. Consider then to whom we would be right to send him to learn this virtue. Is it

<sup>1</sup> post ἀρετὴν lac. stat. Cobet, μαθησόμενον vel βουλόμενοι αὐτὸν σοφὸν γενέσθαι intercidisse ratus

δήλον δή κατὰ τὸν ἄρτι λόγον ὅτι παρὰ τούτους τοὺς ὑπισχνουμένους ἀρετής διδασκάλους εἶναι καὶ ἀποφήναντας αὐτοὺς κοινοὺς τῶν Ἑλλήνων τῷ βουλομένῳ μανθάνειν, μισθὸν τούτου ταξαμένους τε καὶ πραττομένους;

[AN.] καὶ τίνας λέγεις τούτους, ὧ Σώκρατες; [ΣΩ.] οἶσθα δήπου καὶ σὰ ὅτι οὖτοί εἰσιν οὺς οἱ ἄνθρωποι καλοῦσι σοφιστάς.

The Sophists as Teachers of Rhetoric (R14-R15)

## **R14** (≠ DK) Plat. *Prot.* 312d

[ΣΩ.] εἰ δέ τις ἐκεῖνο ἔροιτο, "ὁ δὲ σοφιστὴς τῶν τί σοφῶν ἐστιν;" τί ἂν ἀποκρινοίμεθα αὐτῷ; ποίας ἐργασίας ἐπιστάτης;

[ΙΙΙΙ.] τί ἃν είποιμεν αὐτὸν είναι, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἢ ἐπιστάτην τοῦ ποιῆσαι δεινὸν λέγειν;

# **R15** ( $\neq$ DK) Isocr. In soph. 9–10

[...= R17] [9] οὐ μόνον δὲ τούτοις ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῖς τοὺς πολιτικοὺς λόγους ὑπισχνουμένοις ἄξιον ἐπιτιμῆσαι· καὶ γὰρ ἐκεῖνοι τῆς μὲν ἀληθείας οὐδὲν φροντίζουσιν, ἡγοῦνται δὲ τοῦτ' εἶναι τὴν τέχνην, ἢν ὡς πλείστους τῆ μικρότητι τῶν μισθῶν καὶ τῷ μεγέθει τῶν ἐπαγγελμάτων προσαγάγωνται καὶ λαβεῖν τι παρ' αὐτῶν δυνηθῶσιν· οὕτω δ' ἀναισθήτως αὐτοί τε διάκεινται καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ἔχειν ὑπειλήφασιν, ὥστε χεῖρον γρά-

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

not clear from the preceding argument that we should send him to those men who claim to be teachers of virtue, who declare that they are available to whoever among the Greeks wishes to learn, and who have established a fee for this and demand it?

[Anytus:] And who are these people you are talking about, Socrates?

[Socrates:] Surely you too know that these men are the ones whom people call 'sophists.'

# The Sophists as Teachers of Rhetoric (R14-R15)

# R14 (≠ DK) Plato, Protagoras

[Socrates:] If someone asked, "What is that field in which the sophist is one of the experts (sophoi)?" what would we answer him? What kind of thing is he in charge of making? [Hippocrates:] What else would we say that he is, Socrates, than someone who is in charge of making one clever at speaking?

# R15 ( $\neq$ DK) Isocrates, Against the Sophists

[...] [9] But it is not only these men [scil. those who devote themselves to eristic] who deserve to be criticized, but also those who promise to provide political orations. For the latter too have no thought at all for the truth, but they think that this is an art, if they can attract as many people as possible by the small size of their fees and the large size of their proclamations and are able to get something from them. For they are so stupid themselves and suppose that everyone else is too, that although the speeches they

φοντες τοὺς λόγους ἢ τῶν ἰδιωτῶν τινες αὐτοσχεδιάζουσιν, ὅμως ὑπισχνοῦνται τοιούτους ῥήτορας τοὺς συνόντας ποιήσειν ὥστε μηδὲν τῶν ἐνόντων ἐν τοῦς πράγμασι παραλιπεῖν. [10] καὶ ταύτης τῆς δυνάμεως οὐδὲν οὕτε ταῖς ἐμπειρίαις οὕτε τῆ φύσει τῆ τοῦ μαθητοῦ μεταδιδόασιν, ἀλλά φασιν ὁμοίως τὴν τῶν λόγων ἐπιστήμην ὥσπερ τὴν τῶν γραμμάτων παραδώσειν, ὡς μὲν ἔχει τούτων ἐκάτερον οὐκ ἐξετάσαντες, οἰόμενοι δὲ διὰ τὰς ὑπερβολὰς τῶν ἐπαγγελμάτων αὐτοί τε θαυμασθήσεσθαι καὶ τὴν παίδευσιν τὴν τῶν λόγων πλέονος ἀξίαν δόξειν εἶναι, κακῶς εἰδότες ὅτι μεγάλας ποιοῦσι τὰς τέχνας οὐχ οἱ τολμῶντες ἀλαζονεύεσθαι περὶ αὐτῶν, ἀλλ' οἵτινες ἄν, ὅσον ἔνεστιν ἐν ἐκάστη, τοῦτ' ἐξευρεῖν δυνηθῶσιν.

Eristic, Antilogy, Opposed Speeches (R16-R22)

# R16 (≠ DK) Plat. Theaet. 154d-e

[ΣΩ.] οὐκοῦν εἰ μὲν δεινοὶ καὶ σοφοὶ ἐγώ τε καὶ σὺ ἢμεν, πάντα τὰ τῶν φρενῶν ἐξητακότες, ἤδη ἂν τὸ λοιπὸν ἐκ περιουσίας ἀλλήλων ἀποπειρώμενοι, συνελθόντες σοφιστικῶς εἰς μάχην τοιαύτην, ἀλλήλων τοὺς λόγους τοῦς λόγοις ἐκρούομεν.

# R17 (≠ DK) Isocr. In soph. 7-8

[7] ἐπειδὰν οὖν τῶν ἰδιωτῶν τινες, ἄπαντα ταῦτα συλλογισάμενοι, κατίδωσι τοὺς τὴν σοφίαν διδάσκοντας

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

write are worse than the ones that some laymen improvise, nonetheless they promise that they will make their students such orators that they will lack nothing in the conduct of their affairs. [10] And they ascribe this ability neither to the student's experience nor to his natural talent, but they say that they will transmit the science of speeches in exactly the same way as that of letters, without having examined the character of either of them, but on the idea that, because of the exaggerations of their proclamations, they themselves will be admired and instruction in speeches will be thought to be more valuable, not recognizing that what makes the arts great are not the people who shamelessly boast about them but the ones who are able to discover what belongs to each one.

# Eristic, Antilogy, Opposed Speeches (R16-R22)

# R16 (≠ DK) Plato, Theaetetus

[Socrates:] If you [i.e. Theaetetus] and I were clever and wise, from having examined closely everything belonging to intelligence (*phrenes*), then from now on we would spend the rest of our lives testing each other on the basis of our resources, rushing against one another like sophists in the sort of combat that is typical of them, and smiting each other's arguments with other arguments.

# R17 ( DK) Isocrates, Against the Sophists

[7] When therefore certain laymen, having considered all this [scil. the misdeeds for which those who practice eris-

καὶ τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν παραδιδόντας αὐτούς τε πολλῶν δεομένους καὶ τοὺς μαθητὰς μικρὸν πραττομένους, καὶ τὰς ἐναντιώσεις ἐπὶ μὲν τῶν λόγων τηροῦντας, ἐπὶ δὲ τῶν ἔργων μὴ καθορῶντας, ἔτι δὲ περὶ μὲν τῶν μελλόντων εἰδέναι προσποιουμένους, [8] περὶ δὲ τῶν παρόντων μηδὲν τῶν δεόντων μήτ' εἰπεῦν μήτε συμβουλεῦσαι δυναμένους, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον ὁμονοοῦντας καὶ πλείω κατορθοῦντας τοὺς ταῖς δόξαις χρωμένους ἢ τοὺς τὴν ἐπιστήμην ἔχειν ἐπαγγελλομένους, εἰκότως οἶμαι καταφρονοῦσι, καὶ νομίζουσιν ἀδολεσχίαν καὶ μικρολογίαν ἀλλ' οὐ τῆς ψυχῆς ἐπιμέλειαν εἶναι τὰς τοιαύτας διατριβάς [...= R15].

# R18 (≠ DK) Arist. SE 11 171b25-34

οἱ μὲν οὖν τῆς νίκης αὐτῆς χάριν τοιοῦτοι ἐριστικοὶ ἄνθρωποι καὶ φιλέριδες δοκοῦσιν εἶναι, οἱ δὲ δόξης χάριν τῆς εἰς χρηματισμὸν σοφιστικοί ἡ γὰρ σοφιστική ἐστιν [. . ] χρηματιστική τις ἀπὸ σοφίας φαινομένης διὸ φαινομένης ἀποδείξεως ἐφίενται, καὶ τῶν λόγων τῶν αὐτῶν μὲνὶ οἱ φιλέριδες καὶ οἱ σοφισταί, ἀλλὶ οὐ τῶν αὐτῶν ἕνεκεν, καὶ λόγος ὁ αὐτὸς μὲν ἔσται σοφιστικὸς καὶ ἐριστικός, ἀλλὶ οὐ κατὰ ταὐτόν, ἀλλὶ ἡ μὲν νίκης φαινομένης «ἔνεκα»,² ἐριστικός, ἡ δὲ σοφίας, σοφιστικός. καὶ γὰρ ἡ σοφιστική ἐστι φαινομένη σοφία τις ἀλλὶ οὐκ οὖσα.

1 εἴσιν post μèν hab. mss., secl. Ross 2 < ἔνεκα> Ross

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

tic are responsible], see that those men who teach wisdom and transmit happiness are themselves in need of many things and demand that their students pay them only a little, that they are on the lookout for contradictions in words but do not see the ones in actions, and that furthermore about the future they pretend to possess knowledge [8] but about the present they are not able either to say or to counsel anything about what ought to be done, but that those men who have recourse to opinions are in greater agreement and are more successful than those who proclaim that they possess scientific knowledge, then it is with good reason, I think, that they despise them and think that this kind of occupation is mere claptrap and small-mindedness, and not a way to care for the soul [. . .].

# R18 (≠ DK) Aristotle, Sophistic Refutations

Therefore people who are like this [scil. who will use any argument to win a debate] for the sake of victory are considered to be eristic and contentious, and those who do so for the sake of reputation, in order to make money, to be sophistic. For the sophistic art is [...] a certain art of making money out of what is wisdom in appearance, and that is why they aim at what is proof in appearance. And the contentious and the sophists both [scil. use] the same arguments, but not for the same purposes. And that is why the sophistic argument and the eristic argument will be identical, but not in the same regard: if it is for the sake of what is victory in appearance, then it is eristic, but if for what is wisdom [scil. in appearance], sophistic. For sophistic is a wisdom in appearance but not in reality [cf. **R34a**].

### R19

# a (≠ DK) Plat. Men. 80d-e

[ME.] καὶ τίνα τρόπον ζητήσεις, ὧ Σώκρατες, τοῦτο ὁ μὴ οἶσθα τὸ παράπαν ὅτι ἐστίν; ποῖον γὰρ ὧν οὐκ οἶσθα προθέμενος ζητήσεις; ἢ εἰ καὶ ὅτι μάλιστα ἐντύχοις αὐτῷ, πῶς εἴσῃ ὅτι τοῦτό ἐστιν ὁ σὰ οὐκ ἤδησθα; [80e]

[ΣΩ.] μανθάνω οἷον βούλει λέγειν, ὧ Μένων. δρậς τοῦτον ὡς ἐριστικὸν λόγον κατάγεις, ὡς οὐκ ἄρα ἔστιν ζητεῖν ἀνθρώπω οὔτε ὁ οἶδε οὔτε ὁ μὴ οἶδε; οὔτε γὰρ ἄν ὅ γε οἶδεν ζητοῖ—οἶδεν γάρ, καὶ οὐδὲν δεῖ τῷ γε τοιούτω ζητήσεως—οὔτε ὁ μὴ οἶδεν—οὐδὲ γὰρ οἶδεν ὅτι ζητήσει.

# **b** $(\neq DK)$ Arist. Metaph. $\Theta 8$ 1049b29–1050a1

διὸ καὶ δοκεῖ ἀδύνατον εἶναι οἰκοδόμον εἶναι μὴ οἰκοδομήσαντα μηθὲν ἢ κιθαριστὴν μηθὲν κιθαρίσαντα: ὁ γὰρ μανθάνων κιθαρίζειν κιθαρίζων μανθάνει κιθαρίζειν, ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι. ὅθεν ὁ σοφιστικὸς ἔλεγχος ἐγίγνετο ὅτι οὐκ ἔχων τις τὴν ἐπιστήμην ποιήσει οὖ ἡ ἐπιστήμη· ὁ γὰρ μανθάνων οὐκ ἔχει. ἀλλὰ διὰ τὸ τοῦ γιγνομένου γεγενῆσθαί τι καὶ τοῦ

### R19

# a (≠ DK) Plato, Meno

[Meno:] And in what way will you search for this [e.g. virtue], Socrates, when you do not know at all what it is? What kind of thing, among those that you do not know, will you propose to yourself in order to be able to search for it? Or even if you are so lucky as to run into it, how will you know that it is this very thing that you did not know? [80e]

[Socrates:] I know what it is that you mean to say, Meno. You see that this is an eristic argument you are introducing, viz. that it is not possible for a person to search either for what he knows or for what he does not know? For neither could he search for what he knows—for he knows it, and such a person has no need for any search—nor for what he does not know—for he does not know what he will be searching for.

# **b** (≠ DK) Aristotle, Metaphysics

That [scil. because what is generated is identical in form to its efficient cause] is why it seems impossible for someone to be a builder if he has never built anything, nor a cithara player if he has never played the cithara; for someone who learns to play the cithara learns to play the cithara by playing the cithara, and so too in all the other cases. From this arose the sophistic refutation according to which someone will do what belongs to a science without possessing the science: for he who is learning it does not possess it. But because some part of what is being generated has already been generated and some part of what is being moved as a whole has already been moved

όλως κινουμένου κεκινήσθαί τι [. . .] [1050a1] καὶ τὸν μανθάνοντα ἀνάγκη ἔχειν τι τῆς ἐπιστήμης ἴσως.

# **R20** (≠ DK) Arist. EE 1.8 1218b23-24

ἔτι οὐδὲ δείκνυσιν οὐθεὶς ὅτι ἀγαθὸν ἡ ὑγίεια, ἂν μὴ σοφιστὴς ἢ καὶ μὴ ἰατρός (οὖτοι γὰρ τοῖς ἀλλοτρίοις λόγοις σοφίζονται) [. . .].

# **R21** (≠ DK) Arist. EN 7.2 1146a22-27

ἔτι ὁ σοφιστικὸς λόγος ἀπορία διὰ γὰρ τὸ παράδοξα βούλεσθαι ἐλέγχειν ἵνα δεινοὶ ὧσιν, ὅταν ἐπιτύχωσιν, ὁ γενόμενος συλλογισμὸς ἀπορία γίνεται δέδεται γὰρ ἡ διάνοια, ὅταν μένειν μὴ βούληται διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀρέσκειν τὸ συμπερανθέν, προϊέναι δὲ μὴ δύνηται διὰ τὸ λῦσαι μὴ ἔχειν τὸν λόγον.

1 ψευδόμενος post λόγος hab. mss., secl. Koraïs

# **R22** (≠ DK) Plat. Soph. 232b, e

[ΞΕ.] [. . .] ἀλλ' ἀναλάβωμεν <εν> πρῶτον τῶν περὶ τὸν σοφιστὴν εἰρημένων. εν γάρ τί μοι μάλιστα κατεφάνη αὐτὸν μηνῦον.

[ΘΕ.] τὸ ποῖον;

[ΞΕ.] ἀντιλογικὸν αὐτὸν ἔφαμεν εἶναί που. [...] ἀτὰρ δὴ τὸ τῆς ἀντιλογικῆς τέχνης ἄρ' οὐκ ἐν κεφαλαίῳ περὶ πάντων πρὸς ἀμφισβήτησιν ἱκανή τις δύναμις ἔοικ' εἶναι;

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

[...], [1050a1] then surely it is necessary that he too who is learning possesses some part of the science.

# R20 (≠ DK) Aristotle, Eudemian Ethics

No one demonstrates either that health is a good thing, unless he is a sophist and not a physician—for the former play tricks (sophizesthai) by means of irrelevant arguments [...].

# **R21** (≠ DK) Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics

Again, the sophists' argument [scil. constitutes] an aporia. For because they wish to make a refutation by means of paradoxes, so that they will appear clever when they are successful, the resulting chain of argument ends up as an aporia: for the mind is blocked although it is not willing to remain stuck (because it does not approve the conclusion that has been reached) but it is not able to proceed further (because it is not able to undo the argument).

# R22 (≠ DK) Plato, Sophist

[The stranger from Elea:] [...] let us return first to one of the things that we were saying about the sophist. For one thing in particular seemed to me best to reveal his nature. [Theaetetus:] Which one?

[Stranger:] We said [cf. 225b] that he is a contradictor (antilogikos). [...] But in fact would not the art of contradiction seem, in brief, to consist in a certain ability to dispute about all topics?

Ι ⟨ε̂ν⟩ Heindorf

The Sophists and Writing (R23-R24)

# **R23** (≠ DK) Plat. *Phaedr.* 257d

[ΦΑ.] καὶ σύνοισθά που καὶ αὐτὸς ὅτι οἱ μέγιστον δυνάμενοί τε καὶ σεμνότατοι ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν αἰσχύνονται λόγους τε γράφειν καὶ καταλείπειν συγγράμματα ἐαυτῶν, δόξαν φοβούμενοι τοῦ ἔπειτα χρόνου, μὴ σοφισταὶ καλῶνται.

# **R24** (≠ DK) Alcid. In soph. 1-2

ἐπειδή τινες τῶν καλουμένων σοφιστῶν ἱστορίας μὲν καὶ παιδείας ἠμελήκασι καὶ τοῦ δύνασθαι λέγειν ὁμοίως τοῖς ἰδιώταις ἀπείρως ἔχουσι, γράφειν δὲ μεμελετηκότες λόγους καὶ διὰ βιβλίων δεικνύντες τὴν αὐτῶν σοφίαν σεμνύνονται καὶ μέγα φρονοῦσι, καὶ πολλοστὸν μέρος τῆς ἡητορικῆς κεκτημένοι δυνάμεως τῆς ὅλης τέχνης ἀμφισβητοῦσι, διὰ ταύτην τὴν αἰτίαν ἐπιχειρήσω κατηγορίαν ποιήσασθαι τῶν γραπτῶν λόγων, οὐχ ὡς ἀλλοτρίαν ἐμαυτοῦ τὴν δύναμιν αὐτῶν ἡγούμενος, ἀλλ' ὡς ἐφ' ἐτέροις μεῖζον φρονῶν καὶ τὸ γράφειν ἐν παρέργῳ μελετᾶν οἰόμενος χρῆναι, καὶ τοὺς ἐπ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο τὸν βίον καταναλίσκοντας ἀπολελεῦφθαι πολὺ καὶ ἡητορικῆς καὶ φιλοσοφίας ὑπειληφώς, καὶ πολὺ δικαιότερον ἂν ποιητὰς ἢ σοφιστὰς προσαγορεύεσθαι νομίζων.

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

The Sophists and Writing (R23-R24)

# R23 (≠ DK) Plato, Phaedrus

[Phaedrus:] And you yourself [scil. Socrates] know well that the men who are the most powerful and highly regarded in the cities are ashamed to write discourses and to leave writings behind, out of fear that in later times they will be called 'sophists.'

# R24 (≠ DK) Alcidamas, On the Sophists

Since some of the people who are called 'sophists,' although they have neglected research and education and, just like laymen, know nothing of the ability to speak, nonetheless put on airs and think themselves high and mighty for having practiced the writing of discourses and shown their own wisdom by means of books, and although they possess only a tiny part of the capacity of rhetoric, nonetheless lay a claim to the entirety of the art, for this reason I shall undertake to lodge an accusation against written discourses: not because I believe that the ability of these people is foreign to myself, but because I think myself better on other grounds: I believe that one should practice writing as a subsidiary activity, and I think that those people who devote their lives to this one activity are very lacking both in rhetoric and in philosophy, and I consider that it would be more just for them to be called 'writers' (poiêtai, 'poets,' literally 'makers') rather than 'sophists.'

Socratic Analogies for the Sophist (R25–R32) Prostitute (R25)

**R25** (> 79.2a) Xen. Mem. 1.6.13

[ΣΩ.] & Αυτιφών, παρ' ήμιν νομίζεται τὴν ὥραν καὶ τὴν σοφίαν ὁμοίως μὲν καλόν, ὁμοίως δὲ αἰσχρὸν διατίθεσθαι εἶναι. τήν τε γὰρ ὥραν ἐὰν μέν τις ἀργυρίου πωλἢ τῷ βουλομένῳ, πόρνον αὐτὸν ἀποκαλοῦσιν, ἐὰν δὲ τις, ὃν ἂν γνῷ καλόν τε κἀγαθὸν ἐραστὴν ὅντα, τοῦτον φίλον ἑαυτῷ ποιῆται, σώφρονα νομίζομεν καὶ τὴν σοφίαν ὡσαύτως τοὺς μὲν ἀργυρίου τῷ βουλομένῳ πωλοῦντας σοφιστὰς ὥσπερ πόρνους¹ ἀποκαλοῦσιν, ὅστις δέ, ὃν ἂν γνῷ εὐφυῆ ὅντα, διδάσκων ὅ τι ἂν ἔχῃ ἀγαθὸν, φίλον ποιεῖται, τοῦτον νομίζομεν, ἃ τῷ καλῷ κἀγαθῷ πολίτῃ προσήκει, ταῦτα ποιεῖν.

1 ὥσπερ πόρνους del. Ruhnken

# Hunter (R26-R27)

**R26** (> 79.2a) Xen. Cyn. 13.8–9

[8] οἱ σοφισταὶ δ' ἐπὶ τῷ ἐξαπατᾶν λέγουσι καὶ γράφουσιν ἐπὶ τῷ ἑαυτῶν κέρδει, καὶ οὐδένα οὐδὲν ἀφελοῦσιν οὐδὲ γὰρ σοφὸς αὐτῶν ἐγένετο οὐδεὶς οὐδ'
ἔστιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀρκεῖ ἑκάστῳ σοφιστὴν κληθῆναι, ὅ
ἐστιν ὄνειδος παρά γε εὖ φρονοῦσι. [9] τὰ μὲν οὖν τῶν
σοφιστῶν παραγγέλματα παραινῶ φυλάττεσθαι, τὰ

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

# Socratic Analogies for the Sophist (R25–R32) Prostitute (R25)

R25 (> 79.2a) Xenophon, Memorabilia

[Socrates:] Antiphon, among us it is thought that it is possible to make use of youthful beauty and cleverness (sophia) in both a seemly way and an unseemly one. For if one sells one's youthful beauty for money to anyone who desires it, they call him a prostitute; but if one makes himself a friend to someone whom he knows to be a fine and honorable man, being a lover, we consider him to be temperate. And the same thing applies to cleverness (sophia): they call those people who sell it for money to whoever desires it 'sophists,' like prostitutes, while someone who makes himself a friend to a man whom he knows to be well endowed by nature, teaching him whatever he has that is good, we think that that man is doing what is fitting for a fine and honorable citizen.

# Hunter (R26-R27)

R26 (> 79.2a) Xenophon, On Hunting

[8] The sophists speak in order to deceive and they write for their own profit, without doing anyone any good. For there has never been, and there is not now, any of them who is wise (sophos), but each one is satisfied to be called a 'sophist' (sophistês), which among sensible people is an insult. So my advice is to be on your guard against the declarations of the sophists, and not to disdain the reason-

δὲ τῶν φιλοσόφων ἐνθυμήματα μὴ ἀτιμάζειν· οἱ μὲν γὰρ σοφισταὶ πλουσίους καὶ νέους θηρῶνται, οἱ δὲ φιλόσοφοι πᾶσι κοινοὶ καὶ φίλοι· τύχας δὲ ἀνδρῶν οὕτε τιμῶσιν οὕτε ἀτιμάζουσι.

R27 (79.2) Plat. Soph. 231d

[ΞΕ.] [. . .] τὸ πρῶτον ηὑρέθη νέων καὶ πλουσίων ἔμμοθος θηρευτής.

# Merchant (R28-R29)

**R28** (≠ DK) Plat. Prot. 313e-314a

 $[\Sigma\Omega.]$  ἆρ' οὖν, ὧ 'Ιππόκρατες, ὁ σοφιστὴς τυγχάνει ἂν ἔμπορός τις ἢ κάπηλος τῶν ἀγωγίμων, ἀφ' ὧν ψυχὴ τρέφεται; φαίνεται γὰρ ἔμοιγε τοιοῦτός τις.

[ΙΠ.] τρέφεται δέ, ὧ Σώκρατες, ψυχὴ τίνι;

[ΣΩ.] μαθήμασιν δήπου [. . .]. καὶ ὅπως γε μή, ἆ ἑταῖρε, ὁ σοφιστὴς ἐπαινῶν ἃ πωλεῖ ἐξαπατήσῃ ἡμᾶς, ἄσπερ οἱ περὶ τὴν τοῦ σώματος τροφήν, ὁ ἔμπορός τε καὶ κάπηλος. καὶ γὰρ οὖτοί που ὧν ἄγουσιν ἀγωγίμων οὕτε αὐτοὶ ἴσασιν ὅτι χρηστὸν ἢ πονηρὸν περὶ τὸ σῶμα, ἐπαινοῦσιν δὲ πάντα πωλοῦντες, οὕτε οἱ ἀνούμενοι παρ' αὐτῶν, ἐὰν μή τις τύχη γυμναστικὸς ἢ ἰατρὸς ὤν. οὕτω δὲ καὶ οἱ τὰ μαθήματα περιάγοντες κατὰ τὰς πόλεις καὶ πωλοῦντες καὶ καπηλεύοντες τῷ ἀεὶ ἐπιθυμοῦντι ἐπαινοῦσιν μὲν πάντα ἃ πωλοῦσιν, τάχα δ' ἄν τινες, ὧ ἄριστε, καὶ τούτων

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

ings of the philosophers. For the sophists hunt for rich men and young ones, while the philosophers are available to all and are the friends of all: and they neither admire men's fortunes nor disdain them.

# R27 (79.2) Plato, Sophist

[The stranger from Elea:] [. . .] we have discovered that he [i.e. the sophist] was first of all a hired hunter of rich young men.

# Merchant (R28-R29)

# R28 (≠ DK) Plato, Protagoras

[Socrates:] Well then, Hippocrates, does the sophist turn out to be a wholesaler or retailer of the wares by which the soul is nourished? For this is the sort of person he seems to me to be.

[Hippocrates:] But what is it that the soul is nourished by, Socrates?

[Socrates:] By teachings, I suppose [...]. And watch out, my friend, lest the sophist deceive us by praising what he sells, just as do the wholesaler and the retailer who deal with the nourishment of the body. For even these people surely do not know which of the wares they offer are beneficial or harmful for the body, and yet they praise all of them when they sell them, and those who buy these from them do not [scil. know this] either, unless they happen to be an athletic trainer or a doctor. In the same way, those who transport teachings from city to city, and sell off and retail them to whoever desires to buy them each time, praise everything they sell, but perhaps, dear friend, some

άγνοοῖεν ὧν πωλοῦσιν ὅτι χρηστὸν ἢ πονηρὸν πρὸς τὴν ψυχήν ὡς δ' αὕτως καὶ οἱ ἀνούμενοι παρ' αὐτῶν, ἐὰν μή τις τύχη περὶ τὴν ψυχὴν αὖ ἰατρικὸς ἄν. εἰ μὲν οὖν σὰ τυγχάνεις ἐπιστήμων τούτων τί χρηστὸν καὶ πονηρόν, ἀσφαλές σοι ἀνεῖσθαι μαθήματα καὶ παρὰ Πρωταγόρου καὶ παρ' ἄλλου ὁτουοῦν εἰ δὲ μή, ὅρα, ὧ μακάριε, [314a] μὴ περὶ τοῖς φιλτάτοις κυβεύης τε καὶ κινδυνεύης.

# R29 (79.2) Plat. Soph. 231d

[ΞΕ.] [... = R27] τὸ δέ γε δεύτερον ἔμπορός τις περὶ τὰ τῆς ψυχῆς μαθήματα. [...] τρίτον δὲ ἆρα οὐ περὶ αὐτὰ ταῦτα κάπηλος ἀνεφάνη;

[ΘΕ.] ναί, καὶ τέταρτόν γε αὐτοπώλης περὶ τὰ μαθήματα ἡμῖν  $\langle \mathring{\eta} \nu \rangle$ .

 $1 \langle \hat{\eta} \nu \rangle$  Heindorf

# Magician and Charlatan (R30-R31)

# R30 (≠ DK) Plat. Soph. 268c-d

[ΞΕ.] τὸ¹ δὴ τῆς ἐναντιοποιολογικῆς εἰρωνικοῦ μέρους τῆς δοξαστικῆς μιμητικόν, τοῦ φανταστικοῦ γένους ἀπὸ τῆς εἰδωλοποιικῆς οὐ θεῖον ἀλλ' ἀνθρωπικὸν τῆς ποιήσεως ἀφωρισμένον ἐν λόγοις τὸ θαυματοποιικὸν μόριον, "ταύτης τῆς γενεᾶς τε καὶ αἴματος" δς ἂν φῆ τὸν ὄντως σοφιστὴν εἶναι, τὰληθέστατα, ὡς ἔοικεν, ἐρεῖ.

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

of these people too do not know which of the wares they are selling is beneficial or harmful for the soul; and so too those people who buy from them, unless they happen to be a doctor of the soul. If then you happen to know which of these things is beneficial and harmful, you can safely buy teachings from Protagoras or from anyone else. But if not, watch out, my friend, [314a] lest you play dice and put at risk the things that are dearest to you.

# **R29** (79.2) Plato, Sophist

[The stranger from Elea:] [...] Secondly, [scil. we have discovered that the sophist was] a wholesaler of teachings for the soul. [...] Thirdly then, was he not revealed to be a retailer of the same things?

[Theaetetus:] Yes, and fourthly we saw him as a seller of his own teachings.

# Magician and Charlatan (R30-R31)

# R30 (≠ DK) Plato, Sophist

[Stranger from Elea:] Imitation of the ironic (eironikos) part of the art of contradicting within opinion, belonging to the phantasmic branch of the art of the simulacrum, not the divine part but the human one, productive of prodigies in the domain of discourse—whoever were to say that the real sophist is "of this descent and blood" [Homer, Il. 6.211] would, it seems, be stating the perfect truth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> τὸν BT, corr. Schleiermacher

**R31** ( $\neq$  DK) Plat. Pol.

a 291c

[ΞΕ.][...] τὸν πάντων τῶν σοφιστῶν μέγιστον γόητα καὶ ταύτης τῆς τέχνης ἐμπειρότατον, δν ἀπὸ τῶν ὅντως ὅντων πολιτικῶν καὶ βασιλικῶν καίπερ παγχάλεπον ὄντα ἀφαιρεῖν ἀφαιρετέον [...].

### **b** 303b-c

[ΞΕ.] οὐκοῦν δὴ καὶ τοὺς κοινωνοὺς τούτων τῶν πολιτειῶν πασῶν πλὴν τῆς ἐπιστήμονος ἀφαιρετέον ὡς οὐκ ὄντας πολιτικοὺς ἀλλὰ στασιαστικούς, καὶ εἰδώλων μεγίστων προστάτας ὅντας καὶ αὐτοὺς εἶναι τοιούτους, μεγίστους δὲ ὄντας μιμητὰς καὶ γόητας μεγίστους γίγνεσθαι τῶν σοφιστῶν σοφιστάς.

# Other Images (R32)

R32 (79.2) Plat. Soph. 231d-e

[ΞΕ.] [... = R29] πέμπτον [...] τῆς γὰρ ἀγωνιστικῆς περὶ λόγους ἦν τις ἀθλητής, τὴν ἐριστικὴν τέχνην ἀφωρισμένος. [...] τό γε μὴν ἔκτον ἀμφισβητήσιμον μέν, ὅμως δ' ἔθεμεν αὐτῷ συγχωρήσαντες δοξῶν ἐμποδίων μαθήμασιν περὶ ψυχὴν καθαρτὴν αὐτὸν εἶναι.

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

R31 (≠ DK) Plato, Statesman

а

[The stranger from Elea:] [...] the man who is the greatest charlatan of all the sophists and the most experienced in this art, the one who must be distinguished, difficult though this is, from those who are really statesmen and of a kingly nature [...].

### b

[The stranger from Elea:] Therefore those men who participate in all these constitutions (except for the one based on scientific knowledge) must be distinguished as being not statesmen but sectarians, presiding over the greatest images, and themselves of the same sort, men who, since they are the greatest imitators and charlatans, turn out to also be the greatest sophists among the sophists.

# Other Images (R32)

R32 (79.2) Plato, Sophist

[The stranger from Elea:] [...] [...] fifth [scil. the sophist] was a kind of athlete in the art of contention regarding discourses, who had appropriated to himself the art of eristic. [...] Sixth, we had some doubts, but all the same we conceded to him and posited that he was a purifier of opinions that interfere with teachings in the soul [cf. SOC. D29].

Sophistic and Atheism (R33)

R33 (≠ DK) Plat. Leg. 10 908 d-e

[ΑΘ.] [...] ὁ δὲ δὴ δοξάζων μὲν καθάπερ ἄτερος, εὐ-φυὴς¹ δὲ ἐπικαλούμενος, δόλου δὲ καὶ ἐνέδρας πλήρης, ἐξ ὧν μάντεις τε κατασκευάζονται πολλοὶ καὶ περὶ πᾶσαν τὴν μαγγανείαν κεκινημένοι, γίγνονται δὲ ἐξ αὐτῶν ἔστιν ὅτε καὶ τύραννοι καὶ δημηγόροι καὶ στρατηγοί, καὶ τελεταῖς δὲ ἰδίαις ἐπιβεβουλευκότες, σοφιστῶν τε ἐπικαλουμένων μηχαναί. τούτων δὴ πολλὰ μὲν εἴδη γένοιτ ἄν, τὰ δὲ νόμων ἄξια θέσεως δύο, ὧν τὸ μὲν εἰρωνικὸν οὐχ ἐνὸς οὐδὲ δυοῖν ἄξια θανάτοιν ἀμαρτάνον, τὸ δὲ νουθετήσεως ἄμα καὶ δεσμῶν δεόμενον.

1 εὐφυὴς in mg.  $L^2O^2$ : εὐτυχὴς ALO

Sophistic, Rhetoric, and Philosophy (R34-R36)

### R34 Arist.

a (79.3) SE. 1 165a21

ἔστι γὰρ ἡ σοφιστικὴ φαινομένη σοφία οὖσα δ' οὔ, καὶ ὁ σοφιστὴς χρηματιστὴς ἀπὸ φαινομένης σοφίας ἀλλ' οὖκ οὖσης.

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

Sophistic and Atheism (R33)

R33 (≠ DK) Plato, Laws

[Athenian:] [...] He who has the same opinions as the first one [i.e. the one who does not believe in the existence of the gods], and may well be called 'well-endowed by nature,' but is full of guile and treachery, is one of those men from whom come many diviners and people who practice all kinds of trickery; and sometimes too there come from them tyrants, demagogues, and generals, and people who hatch plots in private mystery rites, and the devices of those men who are called 'sophists.' Of these last there are many species, but two in particular that require legislation: the ironic kind, whose crimes deserve more than only one death or two; and the other kind, who needs admonition together with imprisonment.

Sophistic, Rhetoric, and Philosophy (R34-R36)

### R34 Aristotle

a (79.3) Sophistic Refutations

Sophistic (sophistikê) is a wisdom (sophia) in appearance but not in reality, and the sophist (sophistês) is someone who makes money from what is a wisdom in appearance but not in reality.

# **b** (≠ DK) *Metaph*. Γ3 1004b17–26

οί γὰρ διαλεκτικοὶ καὶ σοφισταὶ τὸ αὐτὸ μὲν ὑποδύονται σχῆμα τῷ φιλοσόφῳ ἡ γὰρ σοφιστικὴ φαινομένη μόνον σοφία ἐστί, καὶ οἱ διαλεκτικοὶ διαλέγονται περὶ ἀπάντων, κοινὸν δὲ πᾶσι τὸ ὄν ἐστιν, διαλέγονται δὲ περὶ τούτων δῆλον ὅτι διὰ τὸ τῆς φιλοσοφίας εἶναι ταῦτα οἰκεῖα. περὶ μὲν γὰρ τὸ αὐτὸ γένος στρέφεται ἡ σοφιστικὴ καὶ ἡ διαλεκτικὴ τῆ φιλοσοφία, ἀλλὰ διαφέρει τῆς μὲν τῷ τρόπῳ τῆς δυνάμεως, τῆς δὲ τοῦ βίου τῆ προαιρέσει ἔστι δὲ ἡ διαλεκτικὴ πειραστικὴ περὶ ὧν ἡ φιλοσοφία γνωριστική, ἡ δὲ σοφιστικὴ φαινομένη, οὖσα δ' οὔ.

# **R35** (≠ DK) Cie. Brut. 8.30–31

[30] sed ut intellectum est quantam vim haberet accurata et facta quodam modo oratio, tum etiam magistri dicendi multi subito exstiterunt. tum Leontinus Gorgias, Thrasymachus Chalcedonius, Protagoras Abderites, Prodicus Cius, Hippias Elius in honore magno fuit; aliique multi temporibus eisdem docere se profitebantur, arrogantibus sane verbis, quem ad modum causa inferior—ita enim loquebantur—dicendo fieri superior posset. [31] his opposuit sese Socrates, qui subtilitate quadam disputandi refellere eorum instituta solebat. 1 huius ex uberrimis

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

# **b** (≠ DK) Metaphysics

Dialecticians and sophists clothe themselves in the same outward apparel as the philosopher does, for sophistic is wisdom only in appearance, and dialecticians discuss all subjects; and in common to all of these is what is (to on), and it is clear that they discuss these subjects because they belong to philosophy. For sophistic and dialectic revolve around the same kind of things as philosophy does, but it [i.e. philosophy] differs from the former in the kind of capability it possesses and from the latter in the way of life it chooses. Dialectic puts to the test the subjects about which philosophy brings knowledge, while sophistic is philosophy in appearance, but not in reality.

# R35 (≠ DK) Cicero, Brutus

[30] But once it was understood how great a power was possessed by a discourse that had been prepared carefully and worked out in a certain way, many teachers of eloquence suddenly appeared. At that time Gorgias of Leontini, Thrasymachus of Chalcedon, Protagoras of Abdera, Prodicus of Ceos, Hippias of Elis were honored greatly; and at the same time many other men announced, in quite arrogant terms, that they taught how the weaker case—for this is how they put it—could become the stronger one by means of speech. [31] Socrates set himself in opposition to these men: by employing a certain subtlety of argumentation, he was accustomed to refute their doctrines. From

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  verbis post solebat  $hab.\ mss.,\ secl.\ Haupt:$  urbanius  $Vitelli,\ alii\ alia$ 

sermonibus exstiterunt doctissimi viri; primumque tum philosophia non illa de natura, quae fuerat antiquior, sed haec, in qua de bonis rebus et malis deque hominum vita et moribus disputatur, inventa dicitur.

R36 (≠ DK) Philostr. Vit. soph. 1

a p. 2.1-11 Kayser

τὴν ἀρχαίαν σοφιστικὴν ἡητορικὴν ἡγεῖσθαι χρὴ φιλοσοφοῦσαν διαλέγεται μὲν γὰρ ὑπὲρ ὧν οἱ φιλοσοφοῦντες, ἃ δὲ ἐκεῖνοι τὰς ἐρωτήσεις ὑποκαθήμενοι καὶ
τὰ σμικρὰ τῶν ζητουμένων προβιβάζοντες οὕπω
φασὶ γιγνώσκειν, ταῦτα ὁ παλαιὸς σοφιστὴς ὡς εἰδὼς λέγει. προοίμια γοῦν ποιεῦται τῶν λόγων τὸ 'οἶδα'
καὶ τὸ 'γιγνώσκω' καὶ 'πάλαι διέσκεμμαι' καὶ 'βέβαιον ἀνθρώπῳ οὐδέν.' ἡ δὲ τοιαύτη ἰδέα τῶν προοιμίων εὐγένειάν τε προηχεῖ τῶν λόγων καὶ φρόνημα
καὶ κατάληψιν σαφῆ τοῦ ὄντος [. . .].

# **b** p. 2. 22–26 Kayser

ή μὲν δὴ ἀρχαία σοφιστικὴ καὶ τὰ φιλοσοφούμενα ὑποτιθεμένη διήει αὐτὰ ἀποτάδην καὶ ἐς μῆκος, διελέγετο μὲν γὰρ περὶ ἀνδρείας, διελέγετο δὲ περὶ δικαιότητος, ἡρώων τε πέρι καὶ θεών καὶ ὅπη ἀπεσχημάτισται ἡ ἰδέα τοῦ κόσμου [...].

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

his richly abundant colloquies arose the most learned of men. It is reported that it was at this time that philosophy was discovered—not the kind that deals with nature, which had existed previously, but this other one, which discusses what is good, what is bad, and the life and character of men.

R36 (≠ DK) Philostratus, Lives of the Sophists

a

Ancient sophistic should be considered to be a rhetoric of a philosophical nature. For it discusses the same things as philosophers do; but whereas the latter, posing fundamental questions and making progress in the details of what they investigate, say that they have not yet attained knowledge, the ancient sophist speaks about these matters as being someone who knows them. At any rate he begins his speeches by saying, 'I know,' 'I recognize,' 'I have observed for a long time,' and 'Nothing is certain for a human being.' This kind of beginning suggests nobility in speeches, self-assurance, and a clear grasp of the subject [...].

### b

Ancient sophistic, even when it proposed philosophical subjects, expounded them diffusely and at length; for it discussed manly valor, it discussed justice, heroes and gods, and in what way the form of the cosmos was shaped [...].

**e** (4.17–23)

δεινότητα δὲ οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι περὶ τοὺς σοφιστὰς ὁρῶντες εξεῖργον αὐτοὺς τῶν δικαστηρίων, ὡς ἀδίκω λόγω τοῦ δικαίου κρατοῦντας καὶ ἰσχύοντας παρὰ τὸ εὐθύ, ὅθεν Αἰσχίνης καὶ Δημοσθένης προὔφερον μὲν αὐτὸ ἀλλήλοις, οὐχ ὡς ὄνειδος δέ, ἀλλὰ ὡς διαβεβλημένον τοῖς δικάζουσιν, ἰδία γὰρ ἠξίουν ἀπ' αὐτοῦ θαυμάζεσθαι.

# d (4.29-5.1)

σοφιστὰς δὲ οἱ παλαιοὶ ἐπωνόμαζον οὐ μόνον τῶν ρητόρων τοὺς ὑπερφωνοῦντάς τε καὶ λαμπρούς, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν φιλοσόφων τοὺς ξὺν εὐροίᾳ ἑρμηνεύοντας [...].

### 'SOPHISTS' AND 'SOPHISTIC'

c

When the Athenians saw how clever the sophists were, they excluded them from the law courts because they were able to defeat a just speech by means of an unjust one and succeeded in prevailing against what was right. This is why Aeschines and Demosthenes call each other this term, not because it was an insult, but because it was suspect in the eyes of the jurors; for in private they thought they should be admired for this.

### d

The ancients called 'sophists' not only those orators who were renowned for speaking surpassingly well, but also those philosophers who expressed themselves with fluency [...].

# 43. APPENDIX: PHILOSOPHY AND PHILOSOPHERS IN GREEK COMEDY AND TRAGEDY [DRAM.]

One striking measure of the impact of early Greek philosophy on contemporary culture is the frequency with which both the persons of philosophers and specific philosophical doctrines were represented on the stage. The present appendix gathers together a considerable number of passages drawn from Greek comic and tragic poets and thereby further documents the history of the reception of the thought of the early Greek philosophers that is presented from a doctrinal point of view in the **R** sections of the preceding chapters. Some other dramatic texts, which illustrate not the reception of early Greek philosophy but the cultural background for it, are found in chapter 3, "Reflections on Gods and Men," in volume 2 of the present edition.

The first section presents several passages in which the Sicilian comic poet Epicharmus (probably mid-sixth to mid-fifth century BC) seems to parody various concepts and modes of argumentation that can be attributed to early Greek philosophers. We also include a number of

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

passages (printed in italics) which modern scholars generally, but not universally, consider inauthentic; we have chosen not to exclude these in part because of the uncertainty of this judgment, in part because in any case these texts importantly illustrate one form of reception of early Greek philosophy.

The second section gathers together allusions to philosophical themes and to philosophers in Attic comedy; Old Comedy is represented particularly well in its persiflage of various natural philosophers and 'sophists,' but Middle and New Comedy also supply a number of striking texts,

especially regarding the Pythagorean school.

The third section collects references to philosophical themes from fifth-century Attic tragedy. Most of these involve general topics that present a greater or lesser degree of similarity with conceptions that can be attributed to one or more early Greek philosophers; but Euripides in particular also likes to reflect closely the concepts and even the language of specific philosophers such as Xenophanes, perhaps Heraclitus, Diogenes of Apollonia, Socrates, and above all certainly Anaxagoras.

In this appendix, as in the chapters dedicated to the most ancient reflections on the world (chap. 2) and on gods and men (chap. 3), the Greek texts are furnished with only a minimal critical apparatus, indicating solely our divergences, if any, from the editions of reference indicated in the first volume. Furthermore, we have only given the reference to DK when their collection cites the text in question or mentions it in a significant way, without indicating when it is not included.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- F. Egli. Euripides im Kontext zeitgenössischer intellektueller Strömungen: Analyse der Funktion philosophischer Themen in den Tragödien und Fragmenten (Munich, 2003).
- R. Kerkhof. Dorische Posse, Epicharm und Attische Komödie (Munich, 2001).
- L. Rodríguez-Noriega Guillén, ed. Epicarmo de Siracusa: Testimonios y Fragmentos (Oviedo, 1996).

### OUTLINE OF THE CHAPTER

Philosophical Themes in Sicilian Comedy: Epicharmus (T1-T9)

General Themes (T1)

Xenophanic Themes (T2–T3)

Texts of Disputed Attribution (T4-T9)

The Immortality of the Gods (T4)

Heraclitean Themes (T5-T8)

Puthagorean Themes (T9)

Allusions to Philosophers and Philosophical Themes in Attic Comedy (T10-T40)

Old Comedy (T10-T32)

The Study of Celestial and Meteorological Phe-

nomena (T10)

Parody of an Orphic Cosmogony (T11)

A Parodic Zoogony (T12)

Thales (T13-T14)

Hippo (T15-T16)

Parmenides? (T17)

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Protagoras (T18-T19)

Gorgias (T20-T21)

Prodicus (T22-T24)

Thrasymachus (T25)

Socrates (T26-T32)

Middle Comedy (T33-T39)

Heraclitus (T33)

Democritus (T34)

Pythagoreans (T35-T39)

New Comedy (T40)

Diogenes of Apollonia? (T40)

Philosophical References in Attic Tragedy (T41-T84)

General Themes (T41-T71)

Epistemological Considerations (T41-T42)

The Study of Nature (T43)

The Naturalization of the Gods (T44-T50)

The Gods and Chance (T51-T52)

Law (nomos) and Nature (phusis) (T53-T55)

The Progress of Civilization (T56-T63)

The Power of Speech (T64-T67)

The Relativity of Values (T68)

Can Virtue Be Taught? (T69-T71)

Reflections of Specific Doctrines in Euripides (T72–T84)

Reflections of Xenophanes (T72-T73)

Reflections of Heraclitus or of the Orphics (T74)

Reflections of Anaxagoras (T75-T80)

A Reflection of Diogenes of Apollonia (T81)

Reflections of Protagoras (PROT. P23)

Reflections of Socrates? (T82-T84)

# PHILOSOPHY AND PHILOSOPHERS IN GREEK COMEDY AND TRAGEDY [≠ DK]

Philosophical Themes in Sicilian Comedy: Epicharmus (T1-T9) General Themes (T1)

T1 (23 B9) Ps.-Plut. Cons. Ap. 15 110A (Epich. Frag. 213 K-A)

συνεκρίθη καὶ διεκρίθη κἀπῆλθεν ὅθεν ἦλθεν πάλιν, γὰ μὲν εἰς γὰν, πνεθμα δ' ἄνω τί τῶνδε χαλεπόν; οὐδὲ ἔν.

# Xenophanic Themes (T2-T3)

**T2** (23 B12) Plut. *Alex. Fort.* 2.3 336B (Epich. Frag. 214 K-A)

νοῦς ὁρῆ καὶ νοῦς ἀκούει· τἄλλα κωφὰ καὶ τυφλά.

# PHILOSOPHY AND PHILOSOPHERS IN GREEK COMEDY AND TRAGEDY

Philosophical Themes in Sicilian Comedy: Epicharmus (T1-T9)<sup>1</sup> General Themes (T1)

 $^{1}\,\mathrm{For}$  the distinction between Epicharmus and Pseudo-Epicharmus, see the introduction to this chapter.

T1 (23 B9) Ps.-Plutarch, Consolation to Apollonius There was unification and division and return again to where it had come from, Earth to earth, and air upward. What of these things is difficult? Nothing at all!

Cf. e.g. EMP. D66-D72; ANAXAG. D30

Xenophanic Themes (T2-T3)

T2 (23 B12) Plutarch, On Alexander's Fortune Mind sees and mind hears: but everything else is deaf and blind.

Cf. XEN. D17

**T3** (21 A15) Arist. *Metaph.*  $\Gamma$ 5 1010a5–6 (Epich. Frag. 143 K–A)

διὸ εἰκότως μὲν λέγουσιν, οὐκ ἀληθῆ δὲ λέγουσιν· οὕτω γὰρ ἀρμόττει μᾶλλον εἰπεῖν ἢ ὥσπερ Ἐπίχαρμος εἰς Ξενοφάνην.

# Texts of Disputed Attribution (T4–T9) The Immortality of the Gods (T4)

T4 (23 B1) Alcimus Contra Amynt. in Diog. Laert. 3.10–11 (Ps.-Epich. Frag. 275 K-A)

- [A.] ἀλλ' ἀεί τοι θεοὶ παρῆσαν χὖπέλιπον οὐ πώποκα, τάδε δ' ἀεὶ πάρεσθ' ὁμοῖα διά τε τῶν αὐτῶν ἀεί.
- [B.] ἀλλὰ λέγεται μὰν Χάος πρᾶτον γενέσθαι τῶν θεῶν.
- [A.] πῶς δέ κα, μὴ ἔχον γ' ἀπὸ τίνος μηδ' ἐς ὅτι πρᾶτον μόλοι;
- [Β.] οὐκ ἄρ᾽ ἔμολε πρᾶτον οὐδέν;
- 5 [A.] οὐδὲ μὰ Δία δεύτερον τῶνδέ γ' ὧν ἀμές νυν ὧδε λέγομες, ἀλλ' ἀεὶ τάδ' ἦς.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

# T3 (21 A15) Aristotle, Metaphysics

That is why they [i.e. those who say that only what is perceptible exists] say things that are plausible but are not true—for it is more fitting to speak in this way than as Epicharmus did against Xenophanes.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> It is uncertain just what Epicharmus said; he may have claimed that Xenophanes' views were implausible but true, or that they were neither plausible nor true.

# Texts of Disputed Attribution (T4-T9) The Immortality of the Gods (T4)

T4 (23 B1) Alcimus, Against Amyntas, in Diogenes Laertius

[A:] But the gods were always there and were never lacking,

And those things are always there, similar and always in the same way.

[B:] But Chaos is said to have been born as the first of the gods.

[A:] How could that be? Being first, he would have nothing from which or to which he could go.

[B:] So nothing came first?

[A:]

And not second either, by Zeus, At least of those things we are talking about now in this way, but they always were.<sup>1</sup>

 $^{1}$  This citation continues in the manuscripts, without interruption, with  ${\bf T8.}$ 

Cf. e.g. COSM. T11 v. 116, T21, T22; XEN. D12, cf. P16

# Heraclitean Themes (T5-T8)

**T5** (23 B17) Stob. 3.37.18 (Ps.-Epich. Frag. 266 K-A)

δ τρόπος ἀνθρώποισι δαίμων ἀγαθός, οἶς δὲ καὶ κακός.

T6 (23 B4) Alcimus in Diog. Laert. 3.16 (Ps.-Epich. Frag. 278 K-A)

Εὔμαιε, τὸ σοφόν ἐστιν οὐ καθ' εν μόνον, ἀλλ' ὅσσα περ ζῆ, πάντα καὶ γνώμαν ἔχει. καὶ γὰρ τὸ θῆλυ τᾶν ἀλεκτορίδων γένος, αἰ λῆς καταμαθεῖν ἀτενές, οὐ τίκτει τέκνα ζῶντ', ἀλλ' ἐπώζει καὶ ποιεῖ ψυχὰν ἔχειν. τὸ δὲ σοφὸν ἀ φύσις τόδ' οἶδεν ὡς ἔχει μόνα· πεπαίδευται γὰρ αὐταύτας ὕπο.

T7 (23 B5) Alcimus in Diog. Laert. 3.16 (Ps.-Epich. Frag. 279 K-A)

θαυμαστὸν οὐδὲν άμὲ ταῦθ' οὕτω λέγειν καὶ ἀνδάνειν αὐτοῖσιν αὐτοὺς καὶ δοκεῖν καλὼς πεφύκειν· καὶ γὰρ ἀ κύων κυνί κάλλιστον εἶμεν φαίνεται καὶ βοῦς βοΐ, ὄνος δ' ὄνω κάλλιστον, ὖς δέ θην ὑί.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

# Heraclitean Themes (T5-T8)

T5 (23 B17) Stobaeus, Anthology

One's character (tropos) is for humans a good divinity—but for some, also a bad one.

### Cf. HER. D111

 ${f T6}~(23~{
m B4})$  Alcimus, Against Amyntas, in Diogenes Laertius

Eumaeus, what is wise is not in one thing alone, But everything that lives also has thought. For indeed the female race of hens, If you are willing to observe intently, does not bear its young

Already living, but broods clucking on them and causes them to have a soul.

What is wise—this nature alone knows, For it has been educated by itself alone.

### Cf. HER. D43-D45

T7 (23 B5) Alcimus, Against Amyntas, in Diogenes Laertius

It is not at all surprising that we speak in this way, And are pleasing to ourselves and suppose that we Are beautiful by nature: for to a dog, the bitch Seems to be the most beautiful thing of all, and a cow to a bull,

And a she-ass to an ass is the most beautiful, and a sow, obviously, to a hog.

# Cf. XEN. D14; HER. D78-D81

264

5

5

5

T8 (23 B2) Alcimus in Diog. Laert. 3.11 (Ps.-Epich. Frag. 276 K-A) αὶ πὸτ ἀριθμόν τις περισσόν, αἰ δὲ λῆς πὸτ [A.] ἄρτιον, ποτθέμειν λή ψάφον ή καὶ τᾶν ὑπαρχουσᾶν λαβείν, η δοκεί κα τοί γ' έθ' ωύτὸς εἶμεν; οὐκ ἐμίν γα κα. [B.] ούδε μαν ούδ' αι ποτι μέτρον παχυαίον ποτ-[A.] θέμειν λη τις έτερον μακος η του πρόσθ' εόντος 5 ἀποταμεῖν, έτι χ' ὑπάρχοι κῆνο τὸ μέτρον; οὐ γάρ. [B.] ὧδε νῦν ὄρη [A.]καὶ τὸς ἀνθρώπους ὁ μὲν γὰρ αὕξεθ', ὁ δέ γα μὰν φθίνει, έν μεταλλαγά δε πάντες έντι πάντα τον χρόνον. ο δε μεταλλάσσει κατά φύσιν κοὔποκ' έν τωύτῷ μένει, ἔτερον εἴη κα τόδ' ἤδη τοῦ παρεξεστακότος. 10 καὶ τὸ δὴ κάγὼ χθὲς ἄλλοι καὶ νὸν ἄλλοι τελέθομες, καὖθις ἄλλοι κοὔποχ' ωύτοὶ καττὸν αὐτὸν αὖ

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

20 D2) Alcillus, Against Amyntas, in Diogenes Laer-	
If to an odd number, or to an even one if you wish, someone	
Wants to add a unit [literally: a counter] or take one away from those present,	
В	
Wants to add another length or cut it off from what	5
No.	
Now look in this way	
They are all in a state of change the whole time.	
But what changes by its nature and never remains in the same condition,	
This would be different from what has been changed.	10
And you and I were different yesterday, and today	_
And again [scil. will be] different and never the same, according to this very argument.	
	Someone  Wants to add a unit [literally: a counter] or take one away from those present,  Do you think it will still be the same?  I certainly don't!  Or then if to the measure of a cubit someone  Wants to add another length or cut it off from what was there before,  Then would that measure still remain?  No.  Now look in this way  At humans too. The one grows, the other decreases,  They are all in a state of change the whole time.  But what changes by its nature and never remains in the same condition,  This would be different from what has been changed,  And you and I were different yesterday, and today have become different,

λόγον.

# Pythagorean Themes (T9)

**T9** (23 B56) Clem. Alex. *Strom.* 5.118.1 (Ps.-Epich. Frag. 240.1–2 K–A)

δ βίος ἀνθρώποις λογισμοῦ κἀριθμοῦ δεῖται πάνυ.

ζώμεν ἀριθμῷ καὶ λογισμῷ· ταῦτα γὰρ σφζει βροτούς.

Allusions to Philosophers and Philosophical Themes in Attic Comedy (T10–T40) Old Comedy (T10–T32) The Study of Celestial and Meteorological Phenomena (T10)

T10 Aristoph. Nub.

a (< 64 C1) 225-34

[5Ω.] ἀεροβατῶ καὶ περιφρονῶ τὸν ἤλιον.

[ΣΤ.] ἔπειτ' ἀπὸ ταρροῦ τοὺς θεοὺς ὑπερφρονεῖς, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς, εἴπερ;

[ΣΩ.] οὐ γὰρ ἄν ποτε 
ἐξηῦρον ὀρθῶς τὰ μετέωρα πράγματα, 
εἰ μὴ κρεμάσας τὸ νόημα καὶ τὴν φροντίδα 
λεπτὴν καταμείξας εἰς τὸν ὅμοιον ἀέρα. 
εἰ δ' ὧν χαμαὶ τἄνω κάτωθεν ἐσκόπουν, 
οὐκ ἄν ποθ' ηὖρον οὐ γὰρ ἀλλ' ἡ γῆ βία

DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Pythagorean Themes (T9)

T9 (23 B56) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

Human life stands in great need of calculation (logismos) and number:

We live by number and calculation. This is what provides safety to mortals.

Cf. ARCHY. D4

Allusions to Philosophers and Philosophical Themes in Attic Comedy (T10–T40) Old Comedy (T10–T32) The Study of Celestial and Meteorological Phenomena (T10)

T10 Aristophanes, Clouds

a (< 64 C1)

[SOCRATES:] I walk upon the air, and I scrutinize the sun. [STREPSIADES:] So from your basket you look down on the gods?

But why not from the ground, in that case?
[soc.:]

I would never

Have discovered the exact nature of the celestial phenomena

If Î had not suspended my mind and mixed My rarefied thought with what is similar to it, air.

If I had been on the ground and examined from below what is on high,

I would never have discovered anything: for the earth forcibly

230

έλκει πρὸς αύτὴν τὴν ἰκμάδα τῆς φροντίδος. πάσχει δὲ ταὐτὸ τοῦτο καὶ τὰ κάρδαμα.

### **b** 264-66

265

ω δέσποτ' ἄναξ, ἀμέτρητ' Αήρ, δς έχεις τὴν  $[\Sigma\Omega.]$ γην μετέωρον,

λαμπρός τ' Αἰθήρ, σεμναί τε θεαὶ Νεφέλαι βροντησικέραυνοι,

άρθητε, φάνητ', ὧ δέσποιναι, τῷ φροντιστή μετέωροι.

# e (cf. Nachtrag, vol. II, p. 420.14) 365-411

[ΣΩ.] αὖται γάρ τοι μόναι εἰσὶ θεαί, τἄλλα δὲ πάντ' έστὶ φλύαρος.

ό Ζεὺς δ' ὑμῖν, φέρε, πρὸς τῆς γῆς, οὑλύμπιος οὐ θεός έστιν:

ποίος Ζεύς; οὐ μὴ ληρήσεις. οὐδ' ἔστι Ζεύς.  $[\Sigma\Omega.]$ 

τί λέγεις σύ: **Σ**T. άλλα τίς ὕει; τουτὶ γαρ ἔμοιγ' ἀπόφηναι πρῶτον ἀπάντων.

[ΣΩ.] αθται δήπου μεγάλοις δέ σ' έγω σημείοις αὐτὸ διδάξω.

φέρε, που γάρ πώποτ' ἄνευ νεφελών ὕοντ' ήδη τεθέασαι;

καίτοι χρην αἰθρίας ὕειν αὐτόν, ταύτας δ'  $\dot{\alpha}\pi o \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ .

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Attracts to itself the moisture of thought. This is what happens to watercress too.

### Cf. DIOG. D44

### b

[SOCRATES:] Oh sovereign lord, boundless Air, you who hold the earth suspended on high,

And shining Aether, and you, venerable goddesses, 265 thundering-lightning Clouds,

Arise, appear, oh mistresses, on high to this thinker!

c (cf. Nachtrag, vol. II, p. 420.14)

[SOC.:] That's because only they [i.e. the Clouds] are goddesses; everything else is just claptrap.

[STREPS.:] But tell me, by Earth, isn't Olympian Zeus a god for you people?

[soc.:] What Zeus? Don't say stupid things. Zeus doesn't even exist!

[STREPS.:] What are you saying? But then who makes it rain? Explain this to me before anything else.

[soc.:] These do, obviously! And I will teach you how. with great proofs.

Tell me: have you ever seen it rain without clouds? 370 And yet [scil. according to you] Zeus would have to make it rain on a clear day, when the clouds are away.

νη τον Άπόλλω, τοῦτό γέ τοι τῷ νυνὶ λόγῳ [XT.] εὖ προσέφυσας καίτοι πρότερον τὸν Δί ἀληθῶς ὤμην διὰ κοσκίνου οὐρεῖν. άλλ' ὅστις ὁ βροντῶν ἐστὶ φράσον, τοῦθ' ὅ με ποιεί τετραμαίνειν. αθται βροντώσι κυλινδόμεναι.  $[\Sigma\Omega.]$  $[\Sigma T.]$ τῶ τρόπω, ὧ πάντα σὺ τολμῶν; όταν έμπλησθώσ' ύδατος πολλού κάναγκα- $[\Sigma\Omega.]$ σθῶσι φέρεσθαι κατακριμνάμεναι πλήρεις ὅμβρου δι' ἀνάγκην, εἶτα βαρεῖαι είς άλλήλας έμπίπτουσαι δήγνυνται καὶ παταγοῦσιν. ό δ' ἀναγκάζων ἐστὶ τίς αὐτάς—οὐχ ὁ  $[\Sigma T.]$ Ζεύς;--ώστε φέρεσθαι; ήκιστ', άλλ' αἰθέριος δίνος.  $[\Sigma\Omega.]$  $[\Sigma T.]$ δίνος: τουτί μ' έλελήθει. ό Ζευς ούκ ὤν, ἀλλ' ἀντ' αὐτοῦ δίνος νυνὶ βασιλεύων. ατάρ οὐδέν πω περὶ τοῦ πατάγου καὶ τῆς βροντής μ' έδίδαξας. οὐκ ἤκουσάς μου τὰς νεφέλας ὕδατος μεστὰς  $[\Sigma\Omega.]$ ότι φημί έμπιπτούσας είς άλλήλας παταγείν διά την πυκνότητα: φέρε, τουτί τῷ χρὴ πιστεύειν; [**ST**.]

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[STREPS.:] By Apollo, you have joined this point very well with what you said just now. And to think that I really used to suppose that Zeus was pissing through a sieve! But tell me, who produces the thunder that makes me tremble? [soc.:] These produce the thunder by rolling around. [STREPS.:] In what way, you 375 whose audacity knows no limits? [SOC .: ] When they are filled up with a lot of water and drift, suspended. Full of water, by the effect of necessity, they smash into one another by their weight And they burst and make a crashing noise. [STREPS.:] But the necessity that makes them drift, who is it? Isn't it Zeus? [SOC.:] Not at all; it is the vortex of the aether. STREPS.: The vortex? I had not noticed 380 That Zeus does not exist and that in his place a vortex is now king. But you still have not taught me anything about the crashing and thunder. [soc.:] Didn't you hear me? What I say is that when the clouds are full of water And smash into one another, they make a crashing noise because of their density. [STREPS.:] Come on, why should anyone believe that?

375

385	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	ἀπὸ σαυτοῦ 'γώ σε διδάξω.
	[225.3	ήδη ζωμοῦ Παναθηναίοις έμπλησθεὶς εἶτ'
		$\epsilon$ ταράχ $\theta$ ης
		τὴν γαστέρα, καὶ κλόνος ἐξαίφνης αὐτὴν δι- εκορκορύγησεν;
	r 1	
	[ΣT.]	νη τον Άπόλλω, καὶ δεινὰ ποεί γ' εὐθύς μοι καὶ τετάρακται,
		χὤσπερ βροντὴ τὸ ζωμίδιον παταγεῖ καὶ
		δεινὰ κέκραγεν,
390		ἀτρέμας πρῶτον "παππὰξ παππάξ," κἄπειτ'
		ἐπάγει "παπαπαππάξ,"
		χώταν χέζω, κομιδη βροντά "παπαπαππάξ,"
		ὥσπερ ἐκείναι.
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	σκέψαι τοίνυν ἀπὸ γαστριδίου τυννουτουὶ οἶα πέπορδας
		τον δ' ἀέρα τόνδ' ὄντ' ἀπέραντον πῶς οὐκ
		είκὸς μέγα βροντᾶν;
	[ <b>S</b> T.]	ταῦτ' ἄρα καὶ τώνόματ' ἀλλήλοιν βροντή καὶ πορδή ὁμοίω.
395		άλλ ο κεραυνος πόθεν αὖ φέρεται λάμπων πυρί, τοῦτο δίδαξον,
		καὶ καταφρύγει βάλλων ἡμᾶς, τοὺς δὲ ζῶ-
		ντας περιφλεύει;
		τοῦτον γὰρ δὴ φανερῶς ὁ Ζεὺς ἵησ' ἐπὶ τοὺς
		<i>ἐπιόρκους.</i>
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	καὶ πῶς, ὧ μῶρε σὰ καὶ Κρονίων ὄζων καὶ
		βεκκεσέληνε,

# DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[soc.:]	I'll teach you on the basis of your	385	
	own person.		
F	Have you ever stuffed yourself with soup at the Panathenaea and then had		
A	an upset stomach, thrown into turmoil by a sudden		
Lompen	agitation?		
[STREPS.:] By Apollo, yes! It has a terrible effect on me at			
	once and upsets me		
	and that little bit of soup crashes like thunder and roars terribly,		
At first gently, pappax, pappax, and then mightily,			
	papapappax;		
A	and when I shit, it absolutely thunders, papapappax, just like them!		
feoc d	Consider then what farts you set off from your		
	little belly;		
Isn't it only natural that this air, which is limitless,			
	thunders mightily?		
[STREPS	s.:] So that's why the words <i>brontê</i> ('thunder') and <i>pordê</i> ('fart') are similar!		
But now explain this to me: where does the light-			
	ning bolt blazing with fire	395	
Come from that incinerates us when it hits us and			
	burns the survivors?		
It	It is obvious that it is Zeus who is hurling it against		
	perjurers.		
[soc.:]	What, you idiot with your antediluvian air, you superannuated fool!		
	•		

		είπερ βάλλει τοὺς ἐπιόρκους, δῆτ' οὐχὶ Σί- μων' ἐνέπρησεν
400		οὐδὲ Κλεώνυμον οὐδὲ Θέωρον; καίτοι σφόδρα
		γ' εἴσ' ἐπίορκοι·
		άλλὰ τὸν αύτοῦ γε νεων βάλλει καὶ Σούνιον, ἄκρον Άθηνέων,
		καὶ τὰς δρῦς τὰς μεγάλας τί μαθών; οὐ γὰρ
		δή δρῦς γ' ἐπιορκεί.
	$[\Sigma T.]$	οὐκ οἶδ ἀτὰρ εὖ σὺ λέγειν φαίνει. τί γάρ
		έστιν δηθ' ὁ κεραυνός;
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	όταν εἰς ταύτας ἄνεμος ξηρὸς μετεωρισθεὶς κατακλησθῆ,
405		ενδοθεν αὐτὰς ὥσπερ κύστιν φυσᾳ, κἄπειθ'
100		<b>ὑπ᾽ ἀνάγκη</b> ς
		ρήξας αὐτὰς ἔξω φέρεται σοβαρὸς διὰ τὴν
		πυκνότητα,
		ύπὸ τοῦ ροίβδου καὶ τῆς ρύμης αὐτὸς έαυτὸν κατακαίων.
	[ <b>S</b> T.]	νη Δί' ἐγὼ γοῦν ἀτεχνῶς ἔπαθον τουτί ποτε
		Διασίοισιν,
		όπτῶν γαστέρα τοῖς συγγένεσιν, κῗτ' οὐκ
		ἔσχων ἀμελήσας·
410		ή δ' ἄρ' ἐφυσᾶτ', εἶτ' ἐξαίφνης διαλακήσασα πρὸς αὐτώ
		τώφθαλμώ μου προσετίλησεν καὶ κατέκαυ-

σεν τὸ πρόσωπον.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

If he is really hitting perjurers, why hasn't he burned up Simon, Or Cleonymus, or Theorus, given that they're the 400 worst perjurers? On the contrary, he hits his own temple, and Sunium, the headland of Athens, And the great oaks. What does he know? Certainly an oak tree doesn't commit perjury. [STREPS.:] I don't know; but what you say seems right. But then what is the thunderbolt? [soc.:] When a dry wind arises and becomes enclosed in these clouds. It inflates them from inside like a bladder, and then, 405 having made them burst By the effect of necessity, it is expelled violently because of their density. Igniting itself by reason of its impetuosity and force. [STREPS .: ] By Zeus, that's exactly the same thing as what happened to me one time at the Diasia festival; When I was cooking a paunch for my family, I forgot to make a slit in it. So it got inflated, and when it suddenly exploded 410 directly into my eyes It soiled and burned my face.

Cf. e.g. ANAXIMAND. D33

d 329-34

ταύτας μέντοι σὺ θεὰς οὔσας οὖκ ἤδεις οὖδ'  $\Sigma\Omega$ . ἐνόμιζες;

μὰ Δί', ἀλλ' ὁμίχλην καὶ δρόσον αὐτὰς ήγούμην καὶ καπνὸν εἶναι.

ού γὰρ μὰ Δί' οἶσθ' ότιὴ πλείστους αὖται βόσκουσι σοφιστάς,

Θουριομάντεις, ιατροτέχνας, σφραγιδονυχαργοκομήτας.

κυκλίων τε χορών ἀσματοκάμπτας, ἄνδρας μετεωροφένακας,

ούδεν δρώντας βόσκουσ' άργούς, ὅτι ταύτας μουσοποιοθσιν.

Parodu of an Orphic Cosmogony (T11)

T11 (1 A12) Aristoph. Av. 693-702

[χο.] Χάος ἦν καὶ Νὺξ Ἔρεβός τε μέλαν πρῶτον καὶ Τάρταρος εὐρύς: γη δ' οὐδ' ἀὴρ οὐδ' οὐρανὸς ἦν Ἐρέβους δ' έν ἀπείροσι κόλποις

τίκτει πρώτιστον ύπηνέμιον Νύξ ή μελανόπτερος ῷόν,

έξ οδ περιτελλομέναις ώραις έβλαστεν "Ερως ὁ ποθεινός,

στίλβων νῶτον πτερύγοιν χρυσαῖν, εἰκὼς άνεμώκεσι δίναις.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

 $\mathbf{d}$ 

[SOC .: ] And as for you, you didn't know that they are goddesses, and you did not honor them?

[STREPS.:] No, by Zeus; I thought they were mist, dew. and steam.

[soc.:] That's because, by Zeus, you did not know that they nourish a crowd of experts (sophistai):

Diviners from Thurii, medical technicians, longhaired idlers who wear onyx rings,

And tune-bending composers of dithyrambic choruses, fraudulent astronomers,

whom, though they do nothing, they feed because they compose music about them.

Parody of an Orphic Cosmogony (T11)

T11 (1 A12) Aristophanes, Birds

[CHORUS LEADER:] In the beginning, there were Chaos, Night, black Erebus, and broad Tartarus;

> Neither earth nor air nor sky existed. But in the limitless bosom of Erebus.

Black-winged Night produced in the very begin- 695 ning a wind-egg,

From which, when the seasons had completed their revolution, levely Eros

Blossomed, his back gleaming with golden wings, like swift whirlwinds.

οὖτος δὲ Χάει πτερόεντι μιγεὶς νύχιος κατὰ Τάρταρον εὐρύν ένεόττευσεν γένος ημέτερον, καὶ πρώτον ἀνήνανεν είς φως. πρότερον δ' οὐκ ἢν γένος ἀθανάτων, πρὶν "Ερως ξυνέμειξεν ἄπαντα· ξυμμειγνυμένων δ' έτέρων έτέροις γένετ' Οὐρανὸς 'Ωκεανός τε καὶ Γη πάντων τε θεών μακάρων γένος ἄφθιτον.

698 νύχιος Halbertsma, van Herwerden: νυχίω fere mss. (μυχίω Su. χ 84 mss. SC): μύχιος West

# A Parodic Zoogony (T12)

T12 (cf. ad 64 A19) Aristoph. Thesmo. 9-18

πώς μοι παραινείς; δεξιώς μέντοι λέγεις. [KH.] οὐ φὴς σὺ χρηναί μ' οὕτ' ἀκούειν οὕθ' ὁρᾶν. 10 χωρίς γὰρ αὐτοῖν έκατέρου 'στὶν ἡ φύσις. ET. τοῦ μήτ' ἀκούειν μήθ' ὁρᾶν; KH. હ્યું (σθ' ότι. ET. [KH.] πῶς χωρίς;

EΥ.

ούτω ταύτα διεκρίθη τότε. αίθηρ γάρ ότε τὰ πρώτα διεχωρίζετο

12 versum del. van Herwerden

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

And, uniting at night with winged Chaos in broad Tartarus. He hatched our race and brought us first to the light of day.

The race of immortals did not exist previously, be- 700 fore Eros mixed together all things.

But when different things were mixed together with each other, the sky and the ocean were born,

And the earth and the immortal race of the blessed gods.

Cf. COSM. T13-T18

# A Parodic Zoogony (T12)

T12 (cf. ad 64 A19) Aristophanes, Thesmophoriazusae [RELATIVE OF EURIPIDES:] What are you recommending to me? You certainly talk cleverly! You say that I must neither hear nor see? 10 [EURIPIDES:] Yes, for the nature of each of these two is different. [RELATIVE:] That of neither hearing nor seeing? EUR.: Yes indeed. [RELATIVE:] But how are they different? [EUR.:] This is how they were distinguished back then: For when the aether separated the elements

15

καὶ ζῷ' ἐν αὐτῷ ξυνετέκνου κινούμενα, ῷ μὲν βλέπειν χρὴ πρῶτ' ἐμηχανήσατο ὀφθαλμὸν ἀντίμιμον ἡλίου τροχῷ, ἀκοῆς δὲ χοάνην ὧτα διετετρήνατο.

# Thales (T13-T14)

T13 Aristoph. Nub. 177-180

[ΜΑ.] κατὰ τῆς τραπέζης καταπάσας λεπτὴν τέφραν, κάμψας ὀβελίσκον, εἶτα διαβήτην λαβών ἐκ τῆς παλαίστρας θοἰμάτιον ὑφείλετο.

[ΣΤ.] τί δητ' ἐκείνον τὸν Θαλην θαυμάζομεν;

### T14 Aristoph. Av. 1004-9

1005

[ME.] ὀρθῷ μετρήσω κανόνι προστιθείς, ἵνα ὁ κύκλος γένηταί σοι τετράγωνος κἀν μέσῳ ἀγορά, φέρουσαι δ' ὧσιν εἰς αὐτὴν ὁδοί ὀρθαὶ πρὸς αὐτὸ τὸ μέσον, ὥσπερ δ' ἀστέρος

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

And engendered within itself the animals endowed 15 with motion,

It constructed first for the one that was supposed to see

The eye, an imitation of the wheel of the sun, And perforated a funnel for hearing as the ears.

# Thales (T13-T14)1

<sup>1</sup> Thales seems to have been a popular emblem of scientific genius in Roman comedy too: see Plautus, *Captives* 62, *Rope* 1003, *Bacchides* 122.

# T13 Aristophanes, Clouds

[PUPIL OF SOCRATES:] Over the table he [i.e. Socrates] spread out fine ashes

And bent a skewer, then taking up a spread-legged compass—

From the wrestling school he stole the cloak.<sup>1</sup> [STREPSIADES:] Then why do we admire the great Thales?

<sup>1</sup> The verse is obscure.

### T14 Aristophanes, Birds

[METON:]<sup>1</sup> I will measure it, setting a straight ruler alongside, so that

Your circle will be squared, with in the center A marketplace, and running into it there will be streets.

Straight ones, toward the very center, and just as from a star.

αὐτοῦ κυκλοτεροῦς ὄντος ὀρθαὶ πανταχῆ ἀκτῖνες ἀπολάμπωσιν.

 $[\Pi E.]$ 

ἄνθρωπος Θαλής.

# Hippo (T15-T16)

**T15** (38 A2) Cratin. Πανόπται Frag. 167 K–A = Schol. in Clem. Alex. *Protr.* 24.2 (vol. 1, p. 304.28–29 Stählin) τοῦ δὲ Ἦπωνος [. . .] ὡς ἀσεβοῦς γενομένου μέμνηται ὁ Κρατῖνος.

T16 (38 A2)

95

a Aristoph. Nub. 94-97

[ΣΤ.] ψυχῶν σοφῶν τοῦτ' ἔστι φροντιστήριον. ἐνταῦθ' ἐνοικοῦσ' ἄνδρες, οῦ τὸν οὐρανόν λέγοντες ἀναπείθουσιν ὡς ἔστιν πνιγεύς, κἄστιν περὶ ἡμῶς οὖτος, ἡμεῦς δ' ἄνθρακες.

**b** Cratin. Πανόπται Frag. 167 K–A = Schol. in Aristoph. *Nub.* 96d

ταῦτα πρότερος Κρατῖνος ἐν Πανόπταις δράματι περὶ ὅΙππωνος τοῦ φιλοσόφου κωμφδῶν αὐτὸν λέγει.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Which itself is circular, in every direction straight Rays will beam outward.

[PISTHETAERUS:] The man is a Thales!

<sup>1</sup> A celebrated geometer and astronomer.

# Hippo (T15-T16)

T15 (38 A2) Scholia on Clement of Alexandria, *Protreptic* Cratinus mentions Hippo [. . .] and the fact that he was impious [scil. in his *Panoptai*, i.e. *Those Who See Everything*].

T16 (38 A2)

a Aristophanes, Clouds

[STREPSIADES:] This is the think-tank of wise souls;
This is where those men live who, speaking
About the heavens, persuade people that it is an
oven,

And that it surrounds us, and that we are embers.

**b** Scholia on Aristophanes' Clouds

Cratinus said this earlier in his play *Panoptai* [i.e. *Those Who See Everything*] about the philosopher Hippo in order to make fun of him.

Parmenides? (T17)

T17 Cratin. Πανόπται Frag. 161 K-A

κρανία δισσά φορείν, ὀφθαλμοὶ δ'οὐκ ἀριθματοί

### Protagoras (T18-T19)

T18 Eupol. Κόλακες

- a (< 80 A11) Testim, ii. K-A = Athen, Deipn. 5.218C</li>
   ἐν οὖν τούτῳ τῷ δράματι Εὔπολις τὸν Πρωταγόραν ὡς ἐπιδημοῦντα εἰσάγει [. . .].
- b (< 80 A1, A11) Frag. 157 K-A</p>
  ἔνδον μέν ἐστι Πρωταγόρας ὁ Τήιος
  δς ἀλαζονεύεται μὲν ἀλιτήριος
  περὶ τῶν μετεώρων, τὰ δὲ χαμᾶθεν ἐσθίει.
- c (< 80 A11) Frag. 158 K-A</li>
   πίνειν γὰρ αὐτὸν Πρωταγόρας ἐκέλευ', ἵνα
   πρὸ τοῦ κυνὸς τὸν πλεύμον' ἔκπλυτον φορŷ.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Parmenides? (T17)

T17 Cratinus, fragment from Panoptai [i.e. Those Who See Everything]

To have two heads-and innumerable eyes

Cf. PARM, D7.4-5

Protagoras (T18-T19)

T18 Eupolis, The Flatterers

a (< 80 All) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists

In this play, Eupolis brings Protagoras onto the stage as having arrived [scil. at Athens, cf. **PROT. P9**] [...].

- b (< 80 A1, A11) Eupolis, Fragment from Flatterers</li>
   Inside is Protagoras of Teos,
   Who boasts, the scoundrel,
   About celestial phenomena, but eats what comes from the ground.
- c (< 80 A11) Eupolis, Fragment from Flatterers Then Protagoras told him [i.e. Callias] to drink So that before the Dogstar rose His lungs would be thoroughly moistened.

T19 Aristoph. Nub.

a (80 C2) 112-15

[ΣΤ.] εἶναι παρ' αὐτοῖς φασὶν ἄμφω τὼ λόγω, τὸν κρείττον', ὅστις ἐστί, καὶ τὸν ἤττονα. τούτοιν τὸν ἔτερον τοῖν λόγοιν, τὸν ἤττονα, νικᾶν λέγοντά φασι τάδικώτερα.

### **b** 882–85

[ΣΤ.] ὅπως δ' ἐκείνω τὼ λόγω μαθήσεται,
τὸν κρείττον' ὅστις ἐστί καὶ τὸν ἤττονα,
ὅς τἄδικα λέγων ἀνατρέπει τὸν κρείττονα·
ἐὰν δὲ μή, τὸν γοῦν ἄδικον πάση τέχνη.

c (>80 C3) 658-93

[ΣΩ.] ἀλλ' ἔτερα δεῖ σε πρότερα τούτου μανθάνειν, τῶν τετραπόδων ἄττ' ἐστὶν ὀρθῶς ἄρρενα.
660 [ΣΤ.] ἀλλ' οῗδ' ἔγωγε τἄρρεν', εἰ μὴ μαίνομαι κριός, τράγος, ταῦρος, κύων, ἀλεκτρυών.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

T19 Aristophanes, Clouds

a (80 C2)

[STREPSIADES:] They say that there are both kinds of speeches among them,

The stronger one, whatever that is, and the weaker one,

And that of these two speeches the one that is weaker,

They say, prevails by saying what is more unjust.

b

[STREPSIADES:] Make sure that he [i.e. Pheidippides] learns these two arguments.

The stronger one, whatever that is, and the weaker one.

The one that defends injustice and overturns the stronger one.

And if not, then by all means teach him at least the 885 unjust one!1

 $^{\rm 1}$  See also the long dispute between Just Discourse and Unjust Discourse in  $Clouds~889{-}1113$ 

Cf. PROT. D28

c (>80C3)

[SOCRATES:] But there are other things that you need to learn before that one:

Among the quadrupeds, which ones are strictly speaking masculine?

[STREPSIADES:] But I know the masculine ones, unless I 660 am crazy:

Ram, billy goat, bull, dog, poultry.

	$[\mathbf{\Sigma}\Omega.]$	δρậς ἃ πάσχεις; τήν τε θήλειαν καλείς
		άλεκτρυόνα κατά ταύτὸ καὶ τὸν ἄρρενα.
	[ <b>S</b> T.]	πῶς δή, φέρε;
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	πως; άλεκτρυων κάλεκτρυων.
665	$[\Sigma T.]$	νὴ τὸν Ποσειδῶ. νῦν δὲ πῶς με χρὴ καλεῖν;
	$[\mathfrak{L}\Omega.]$	άλεκτρύαιναν, τὸν δ' ἔτερον ἀλέκτορα.
	$[\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}.]$	άλεκτρύαιναν; εὖ γε, νὴ τὸν Αέρα
		ώστ' αντί τούτου τοῦ διδάγματος μόνου
		διαλφιτώσω σου κύκλω την κάρδοπον.
670	$[\Sigma\Omega,]$	ίδου μάλ' αὖθις, τοῦθ' ἔτερον· τὴν κάρδοπον
		άρρενα καλείς θήλειαν οὖσαν.
	$[\Sigma T.]$	τῶ τρόπω:
		άρρενα καλώ 'γὼ κάρδοπον;
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	μάλιστά γε,
	-	ώσπερ γε καὶ Κλεώνυμον.
	$[\Sigma T.]$	πῶς δή; φράσον.
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	ταὐτὸν δύναταί σοι κάρδοπος Κλεωνύμω.
675	[ΣT.]	άλλ', ὧγάθ', οὐδ' ἢν κάρδοπος Κλεωνύμω,
	-	άλλ' ἐν θυεία στρογγύλη γ' ἀνεμάττετο.
		άτὰρ τὸ λοιπὸν πῶς με χρὴ καλείν;
	$[\Sigma\Omega.]$	οπως;
		τὴν καρδόπην, ὥσπερ καλεῖς τὴν Σωστρά-
		$\tau \eta \nu$ .
	[ <b>Σ</b> T.]	την καρδόπην, θήλειαν;
	$[\Sigma\Omega,]$	όρθῶς γὰρ λέγεις.
680	[ <b>Σ</b> T.]	έκεινο δ' ἢν ἄν 'καρδόπη Κλεωνύμη'.
	E 1 14	The impound Enduround

# DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[SOC.:] Do you see what is happening to you? You call the	
female 'poultry' just like the male.	
[STREPS.:] What's that? Let's see!	
[SOC.:] What's that? Poultry and poultry.	
[STREPS.:] Quite so, by Poseidon. But just what am I sup-	665
posed to call them?	
[SOC.:] Poultress,' and the other one 'poultry.'	
[STREPS.:] 'Poultress'? Very good, by Air!	
So that, for this lesson alone,	
I'll fill up your bowl (kardopos) with barley all	
around,	
[SOC.:] You see? Here's another one. You call the bowl	670
masculine,	
Though the word is feminine.	
[STREPS.:] How so?	
Do I call the bowl masculine?	
[SOC.:] Absolutely, just like when you say, "Cleonymus."	
[STREPS.:] What's that? Tell me.	
[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.	
[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.  [STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even	675
[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.  [STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl;	675
[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.  [STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl;	675
[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.  [STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even	675
<ul> <li>[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.</li> <li>[STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl;</li> <li>It was in a round mortar that he did his kneading!</li> <li>But how am I supposed to say it from now on?</li> </ul>	675
<ul> <li>[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.</li> <li>[STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl;</li> <li>It was in a round mortar that he did his kneading!</li> <li>But how am I supposed to say it from now on?</li> <li>[SOC.:] How? 'Bowless' (kardopê), just as you say 'Sostratê.'</li> </ul>	675
<ul> <li>[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.</li> <li>[STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl;</li> <li>It was in a round mortar that he did his kneading!</li> <li>But how am I supposed to say it from now on?</li> <li>[SOC.:] How? 'Bowless' (kardopê), just as you say 'Sostratê.'</li> </ul>	675
<ul> <li>[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.</li> <li>[STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl;  It was in a round mortar that he did his kneading!  But how am I supposed to say it from now on?</li> <li>[SOC.:] How? 'Bowless' (kardopê), just as you say 'Sostratê.'</li> <li>[STREPS.:] Kardopê in the feminine?</li> <li>[SOC.:] Indeed: that is how to speak correctly.</li> </ul>	675
<ul> <li>[SOC.:] For you, 'bowl' and 'Cleonymus' have the same value.</li> <li>[STREPS.:] But, my dear fellow, Cleonymus didn't even have a bowl; It was in a round mortar that he did his kneading! But how am I supposed to say it from now on? </li> <li>[SOC.:] How? 'Bowless' (kardopê), just as you say 'Sostratê.'</li> <li>[STREPS.:] Kardopê in the feminine?</li> </ul>	675 680

έτι δέ γε περί των ὀνομάτων μαθείν σε δεί,  $\Sigma\Omega$ . ἄττ' ἄρρεν' ἐστίν, ἄττα δ' αὐτῶν θήλεα. άλλ' οἶδ' ἔγωγ' ἃ θήλε' ἐστίν. [XT.] είπε δή. ΣΩ. Λύσιλλα, Φίλιννα, Κλειταγόρα, Δημητρία. [**Y**T.] άρρενα δὲ ποῖα τῶν ὀνομάτων;  $[\Sigma\Omega.]$ ΣT. μυρία. Φιλόξενος, Μελησίας, Άμυνίας. άλλ' ὧ πόνηρε, ταῦτά γ' ἔστ' οὐκ ἄρρενα.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . ούκ ἄρρεν' ύμιν έστίν: ΣT. οὐδαμῶς γ', ἐπεί  $\Sigma\Omega$ . πως αν καλέσειας έντυχων Αμυνία; όπως ἄν: ώδι: "δεῦρο δεῦρ', 'Αμυνία."  $[\Sigma T.]$ 690 όρας: γυναίκα την Άμυνίαν καλείς.  $\Sigma\Omega$ . ούκουν δικαίως, ήτις ού στρατεύεται; [ΣT.] άτὰρ τί ταῦθ' ἃ πάντες ἴσμεν μανθάνω;

# Gorgias (T20-T21)

T20 (82 A5a) Aristoph. Av. 1694-705

[xo.] ἔστι δ' ἐν Φάναισι πρὸς τῆ Κλεψύδρα πανοῦργον Ἐνγλωττογαστόρων γένος,

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[soc.:] But you still have to learn, regarding proper names,

Which ones are masculine and which ones feminine.

[STREPS.:] But I know which ones are feminine.

[soc.:] Then tell me.

[STREPS.:] Lysilla, Philinna, Cleitagora, Demetria.

[SOC.:] And the masculine ones, which names are they? 685

Philoxenus, Melesias, Amynias.

[SOC.:] But those are not masculine, you fool!

[STREPS.:] Those don't count as masculine for you people?

[soc.:] Not in the least. For

How would you call Amynias if you ran into him?

[STREPS.:] How I would call him? Like this: "Over here, 690 over here, Amynia!"

[soc.:] You see? You're calling that 'Amynia' by a woman's name.

[STREPS.:] But isn't that appropriate, since she doesn't serve in the army?

But why do I have to learn these things? We all know them

### Cf. PROT. D23-D25

### Gorgias (T20-T21)

T20 (82 A5a) Aristophanes, Birds

[CHORUS:] There exists in Phanae by the Clepsydra a race of wicked people That live by their tongues,

1695

οὶ θερίζουσίν τε καὶ σπείρουσι καὶ τρυγῶσι ταῖς γλώτταισι συκάζουσί τε·
βάρβαροι δ' εἰσὶν γένος,
Γοργίαι τε καὶ Φίλιπποι,
κἀπὸ τῶν Ἐγγλωττογαστόρων ἐκείνων τῶν Φιλίππων
πανταχοῦ τῆς ᾿Αττικῆς ἡ
γλῶττα χωρὶς τέμνεται.

T21 (82 A5a) Aristoph. Vesp. 420-21

[ĦΑ.] Ἡράκλεις, καὶ κέντρ' ἔχουσιν. οὐχ ὁρậς, ὧ δέσποτα;

[ΒΔ.] οἷς γ' ἀπώλεσαν Φίλιππον ἐν δίκῃ τὸν Γοργίου.

### Prodicus (T22-T24)

T22 (>84 A5) Aristoph. Nub. 360-61

[xo.] [...] οὐ γὰρ ἄν ἄλλω γ' ὑπακούσαιμεν τῶν νῦν μετεωροσοφιστῶν πλὴν ἢ Προδίκω, τῷ μὲν σοφίας καὶ γνώμης οὕνεκα [... = **T28**].

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Who with their tongues
Reap and sow
And gather in grapes and figs:
They are a race of people who do not speak good
Greek (barbaroi),
Gorgiases and Philippuses,
And it is by reason of those Phillipuses
That live by their tongues
That everywhere in Attica
The tongue is cut off separately [scil. in sacrifices].

1705

T21 (82 A5a) Aristophanes, Wasps

[XANTHIAS:] By Heracles, they have stings too, don't you see, master?

[BDELYCLEON:] Yes, and with these they destroyed Gorgias' son Philippus in a trial.

### Prodicus (T22-T24)

T22 (>84 A5) Aristophanes, Clouds

[CHORUS LEADER:] [...] For we would not listen to any other one of our present-day meteorosophists

Except for Prodicus, on account of his wisdom and intelligence [...].

1700

T23 (cf. 84 A5) Aristoph. Av. 690-92

[xo.] [...] ἵν' ἀκούσαντες πάντα παρ' ἡμῶν ὀρθῶς περὶ τῶν μετεώρων,
φύσιν οἰωνῶν γένεσίν τε θεῶν ποταμῶν τ'
Ἐρέβους τε Χάους τε
εἰδότες ὀρθῶς, Προδίκῳ παρ' ἐμοῦ κλάειν
εἴπητε τὸ λοιπόν. [... = T11]

T24 (84 A5) Aristoph. Ταγηνισταί Frag. 506 K-A

τοῦτον τὸν ἄνδρ' ἢ βιβλίον διέφθορεν ἢ Πρόδικος ἢ τῶν ἀδολεσχῶν εἶς γέ τις.

1 τοῦτον τὸν ἄνδρ' ἢ Hermann: τὸν ἃνδρα τόνδ' ἢ Schol. in Nub. 361a, Suda  $\Pi$ .2366.

# Thrasymachus (T25)

**T25** (85 A4) Aristoph, Δαιταλής Frag. 205.3–9 K-A

- [Α.] ή μὴν ἴσως σὺ καταπλιγήση τῷ χρόνῳ.
- [Β.] τὸ καταπλιγήση τοῦτο παρὰ τῶν ἡητόρων.
- [Α.] ἀποβήσεταί σοι ταθτά ποι τὰ ῥήματα.
- [Β.] παρ' Άλκιβιάδου τοῦτο τἀποβήσεται.
- [A.] τί ὑποτεκμαίρη καὶ κακῶς ἄνδρας λέγεις καλοκάγαθίαν ἀσκοῦντας;
- [Β.] οἴμ', ὧ Θρασύμαχε, τίς τοῦτο τῶν ξυνηγόρων τερατεύεται;

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

T23 (cf. 84 A5) Aristophanes, Birds

[CHORUS OF BIRDS:] [...] So that, once you have heard correctly everything we say about celestial phenomena,

And know correctly the nature of birds, the birth of

the gods, rivers, Erebus, and Chaos, you [i.e. humans] can tell Prodicus from me henceforth to go to hell! [...]

T24 (84 A5) Fragment from Fry Cooks

This man here—either a book ruined him, Or else Prodicus, or some one of those idle talkers.

# Thrasymachus (T25)

T25 (85 A4) Aristophanes, Fragment from Banqueters

[A:] You will certainly be tripped up sooner or later.

[B:] This 'tripped up' you have gotten from the orators.

[A:] Where will these words finish up for you?

[B:] This 'finish up' you have gotten from Alcibiades.

[A:] What are these insinuations and slanders against gentlemen

Who cultivate refinement?

[B:] Well, Thrasymachus, Who among the lawyers uses this extreme subtlety?

Socrates (T26-T32)

**T26** (I A 3 G<sup>2</sup>) Aristoph. *Nub. prior.* Frag. 392 K–A Εὐριπίδη δ' ὁ τὰς τραγωδίας ποιῶν τὰς περιλαλούσας οὖτός ἐστι, τὰς σοφάς.

**T27** (I A 5 G<sup>2</sup>) Aristoph. Av. 1280–83

1280 [KH.] πρὶν μὲν γὰρ οἰκίσαι σε τήνδε τὴν πόλιν, ἐλακωνομάνουν ἄπαντες ἄνθρωποι τότε, ἐκόμων, ἐπείνων, ἐρρύπων, ἐσωκράτων, σκυτάλι' ἐφόρουν [. . .].

T28 (> 64 C1) Aristoph. Nub.

a 361-63

[xo.] [... = T22] σοὶ δέ, ὅτι βρενθύει τ' ἐν ταῖσιν ὁδοῖς καὶ τώφθαλμὼ παραβάλλεις κἀνυπόδητος κακὰ πόλλ' ἀνέχει κἀφ' ἡμῖν σεμνοπροσωπεῖς.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Socrates (T26-T32)1

<sup>1</sup> For some other brief jibes at Socrates, cf. Aristophanes, *Birds* 1553–55, *Frogs* 1491–99.

 $\textbf{T26} \ (\text{I A 3 G}^2) \ \text{Aristophanes}, \ \text{Fragment from the first version of} \ \textit{Clouds}$ 

This is the man [scil. Socrates] who makes the tragedies

For Euripides, the ones that chatter excessively, the clever ones.

T27 (I A 5 G<sup>2</sup>) Aristophanes, Birds

[HERALD:] Before you [i.e. Pisthetaerus] built this city 1280 [i.e. Cloudcuckooland].

The people were all crazy about the Spartan way of life:

They grew their hair long, they went hungry, they were filthy, they Socratized,
They carried little cudgels [...]

T28 (> 64 C1) Aristophanes, Clouds

a

[CHORUS LEADER:]

[...] and you [i.e. Soc-

rates] too,

Because you go strutting along through the streets and casting your eyes to the sides

And go barefoot and endure lots of sufferings and look down solemnly on us.

### **b** 826–37 όρας οὖν ώς ἀγαθὸν τὸ μανθάνειν; [ΣT.] ούκ ἔστιν, ὧ Φειδιππίδη, Ζεύς. άλλὰ τίς: $\Phi_{\rm E}$ . Δίνος βασιλεύει τὸν Δί' έξεληλακώς. ΣT. αἰβοῦ τί ληρεῖς; $\Phi \mathbf{E}$ . ίσθι τοῦθ' οὕτως ἔχον. $\Sigma T.$ ΦE. τίς φησι ταῦτα: 830 Σωκράτης ὁ Μήλιος ΣT. καὶ Χαιρεφών, ὃς οἶδε τὰ ψυλλών ἴχνη. σὺ δ' εἰς τοσοῦτον τῶν μανιῶν ἐλήλυθας $\Phi \mathbf{E}$ . ώστ' ανδράσιν πείθει χολώσιν; εὐστόμει $[\Sigma T.]$ καὶ μηδὲν εἴπης φλαῦρον ἄνδρας δεξιούς καὶ νοῦν ἔχοντας, ὧν ὑπὸ τῆς φειδωλίας 835 άπεκείρατ' οὐδεὶς πώποτ' οὐδ' ἡλείψατο οὐδ' εἰς βαλανεῖον ἦλθε λουσόμενος [. . .]. **c** 627

[ΣΩ.] μὰ τὴν ἀναπνοήν, μὰ τὸ Χάος, μὰ τὸν ἀλέρα [...].

**T29** (I A 10 G<sup>2</sup>) Diog. Laert. 2.28 = Ameips.  $K\acute{o}\nu\nu\sigma\varsigma$  (?) Frag. \*9 K-A

'Αμευμίας δ' ἐν τρίβωνι παράγων αὐτὸν φησὶν οὕτως

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

b [STREPSIADES:] Now do you see that learning is a good thing? Zeus does not exist, Phidippides. [PHEIDIPPIDES:] Then who does exist? [STREPS.:] Vortex (Dînos) is king, he has kicked out Zeus. [PHEID.:] Bah! What is this nonsense? STREPS.: You should know that that's how it is. [PHEID.:] Who says this? STREPS.: Socrates the Melian. And Chaerephon, who's an expert on the footsteps of fleas. [PHEID.:] Have you become so crazy That you trust these bilious guys? STREPS.: Watch what you say, And don't denigrate these clever And intelligent men, who are so frugal That none of them has ever cut his hair or oiled himself Or gone to the baths to wash himself [...]. Cf. e.g. ANAXAG. D27; EMP. D73.289, D116-D117; ATOM. D13. D80b c [SOCRATES:] By Respiration, by Chaos, by Air [...].

# **T29** (I A 10 G<sup>2</sup>) Diogenes Laertius

Ameipsias brings him [i.e. Socrates] onto the stage in threadbare clothes [in Connus?] and says:

830

а

Σώκρατες ἀνδρῶν βέλτιστ' ὀλίγων, πολλῶν δὲ ματαιόταθ', ἤκεις καὶ σὰ πρὸς ἡμᾶς; καρτερικός γ' εἶ. πόθεν ἄν σοι χλαῖνα γένοιτο;

b

τουτὶ τὸ κακὸν τῶν σκυτοτόμων κατ' ἐπήρειαν γεγένηται.

e

οῦτος μέντοι πεινών οὕτως οὐπώποτ' ἔτλη κολακεῦσαι.

# T30 (I A 2 G<sup>2</sup>) Callias Πεδήται Frag. 15 K-A

- [Α.] τί δη σὺ σεμνη καὶ φρονεῖς οὕτω μέγα;
- [Β.] ἔξεστι γάρ μοι Σωκράτης γὰρ αἴτιος.

# T31 (I A 12 G<sup>2</sup>) Eupol. Frag. 386 K-A

μισῶ δὲ καὶ <τὸν> Σωκράτην τὸν πτωχὸν ἀδολέσχην, 
ὅς τἆλλα μὲν πεφρόντικεν, 
ὁπόθεν δὲ καταφαγεῖν ἔχοι 
τούτου κατημέληκεν.

1 μισῶ δὲ καὶ <τὸν> Σωκράτην Dindorf: μισῶ δὲ καὶ Σωκράτην Asclep. In Metaph., p. 135.23–24 Hayduck, Procl. In Parm., p. 656.18–19: τί δῆτα ἐκεῖνον Olymp. In Parm. 70B (p. 137.5–7 Westerink)

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

a

Socrates, the best of the few, the most foolish of the many, have you come,

You too, to us? You're pretty courageous. Where will you get yourself a cloak from?

b

This wretchedness [probably: Socrates' notorious habit of going barefoot] is an insult to the shoemakers.

c

And yet this man, poor as he is, has never submitted to flattering people.

T30 (I A 2 G<sup>2</sup>) Callias, Fragment from Men in Shackles

- [A:] Why are you so solemn and so pompous?
- [B:] Because I can be—for Socrates is the reason.

T31 (I A 12 G<sup>2</sup>) Eupolis, Fragment from an unidentified play (the *Flatterers?*)

And I hate Socrates too,
That beggarly chatterbox,
Who has figured out everything else,
But where he could get something to eat from—
To this he has paid no attention.

T32 (I A 1  $G^2$ ) Telecl.

a Frag. 41 K-A

Μνησίλοχός ἐστ' ἐκεῖνος ὅς φρύγει τι δρᾶμα καινόν Εὐριπίδη, καὶ Σωκράτης τὰ φρύγαν' ὑποτίθησιν.

**b** Frag. 42 K-A

Εὐριπίδης σωκρατογόμφος

σωκρατογόμφους mss., corr. Casaubon

Middle Comedy (T33–T39) Heraclitus (T33)

**T33** (T136 Mouraviev) Antiphan. K $\hat{a}\rho\epsilon$ s Frag. 111.1–3 K–A

οὐχ ὁρậς ὀρχούμενον ταῖς χερσὶ τὸν βάκηλον; οὐδ' αἰσχύνεται ὁ τὸν Ἡράκλειτον πᾶσιν ἐξηγούμενος [...].

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

 ${\bf T32} \ \, ({\rm I} \ {\rm A} \ {\rm I} \ {\rm G}^2)$  Telecleides, Fragments from unidentified plays

a

Mnesilochus¹ is that man, who is roasting some newfangled drama

For Euripides, and Socrates is putting firebrands under it.

<sup>1</sup> Euripides' father-in-law.

b

Euripides, bolted together by Socrates

# Middle Comedy (T33–T39) Heraclitus (T33)

T33 (T136 Mouraviev) Antiphanes, fragment from Carians

Don't you see him dancing, That eunuch, on his hands? Is he not ashamed, He who explains Heraclitus<sup>1</sup> to everyone [...]?

<sup>1</sup> Some scholars take this to be an allusion to Heraclides of Pontus; cf. **HER. R1a, b.** 

### Democritus (T34)

**T34** (68 C1) Damox. Σύντροφοι Frag. 2.12–34 K–A

διόπερ μάγειρον όταν ίδης άγράμματον [A,]μη Δημόκριτόν τε πάντα διανεγνωκότα, μαλλον δὲ κατέχοντα καταγέλα ὡς κενοῦ, καὶ τὸν Ἐπικούρου Κανόνα, μινθώσας ἄφες ώς έκ διατριβής, τοῦτο δεῖ γὰρ εἰδέναι, τίν' έχει διαφοράν πρώτον, & βέλτιστε σύ, γλαυκίσκος ἐν χειμῶνι καὶ θέρει, πάλιν ποίος περί δύσιν Πλειάδος συνειδέναι ίχθὺς ὑπὸ τροπάς τ' ἐστὶ χρησιμώτατος. αί μεταβολαί γαρ αι τε κινήσεις κακόν ηλίβατον ανθρώποισιν αλλοιώματα έν ταις τροφαίς ποιούσι, μανθάνεις; τὸ δέ ληφθέν καθ' ώραν ἀποδίδωσι τὴν χάριν. τίς παρακολουθεί ταῦτα; τοιγαροῦν στρόφοι καὶ πνευμάτια γινόμενα τὸν κεκλημένον άσχημονείν ποιούσι. παρά δ' έμοὶ τρέφει τὸ προσφερόμενον βρώμα καὶ λεπτύνεται, όρθως τε διαπνεί, τοιγαρούν είς τούς πόρους ό χυμὸς όμαλῶς πανταχοῦ συνίσταται—

14 versum cacometrum del. Hermann

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

### Democritus (T34)

T34 (68 C1) Damoxenus, Fragment from The Foster Brothers

[A:] And that is why, when you see an unlettered cook Who has not read through all of Democritus— Or rather, even though he possesses him, laughs at him as being void—

And Epicurus' Canon, then cover him with shit and send him away

As if from school: for you must know this, First what the difference is, dear sir, Between a grayfish in winter and in summer, and then

You must understand what kind of fish is best
Around the setting of the Pleiades and near the 20 solstices.

For transformations and changes, which are a precipitous evil

For human beings, bring about alterations In foodstuffs, you understand? But what is Consumed in the right season produces pleasure.

Who pays close attention to these matters? And so 25 indigestion

And flatulence occur and make the invited guest Disgrace himself; but in my case

The food that is consumed nourishes, makes a man slender,

And passes through correctly. And so the humor
Is composed homogeneously everywhere in the 30 pores.

15

20

25

[B.] χυμός;

[A.] λέγει Δημόκριτος—οὐδ' ἐμφράγματα γινόμενα ποιεῖ τὸν φαγόντ' ἀρθριτικόν.

[Β.] καὶ τῆς ἰατρικῆς τι μετέχειν μοι δοκεῖς.

[Α.] καὶ πᾶς ὁ φύσεως ἐντός. [. . .]

# Pythagoreans (T35-T39)

### T35 (58E.1) Alexis

- a Πυθαγορίζουσα Frag. 201 K-A
- (a) [A.] ή δ' έστίασις ἰσχάδες καὶ στέμφυλα
   καὶ τυρὸς ἔσται ταῦτα γὰρ θύειν νόμος
   τοῖς Πυθαγορείοις.
- [B.] νη Δι', ἱερεῖον μὲν οὖν όποῖον ἂν κάλλιστον, ὧ βέλτιστ', ἔχη.
- (b) ἔδει θ' ὑπομεῖναι μικροσιτίαν, ῥύπον, ρίγος, σιωπήν, στυγνότητ', ἀλουσίαν.

# **b** Ταραντίνοι Frag. 223 K-A

- (a) [A.] οἱ πυθαγορίζοντες γάρ, ὡς ἀκούομεν, οὕτ' ὄψον ἐσθίουσιν οὕτ' ἄλλ' οὐδὲ ἕν ἔμψυχον, οἶνόν τ' οὐχὶ πίνουσιν μόνοι.
- [B.] Έπιχαρίδης μέντοι κύνας κατεσθίει, τῶν Πυθαγορείων εἶς.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[B:] The humor?

[A:] That's what Democritus says: the obstructions don't occur

That give the man who eats a case of gout.

[B:] To me you seem to have some knowledge of medicine too.

A:] And [scil. so does] every expert on nature. [...]

# Pythagoreans (T35-T39)

### T35 (58E.1) Alexis

- a Fragments from The Female Pythagorean
- (a) [A:] The banquet will consist of dried figs, pressed olives,And cheese: for it is the Pythagoreans' custom To sacrifice these things.
- [B:] By Zeus, dear sir,
  That is the finest sacrifice there is!
- (b) A meager diet, filth, cold, silence, gloominess, And grime—all this had to be endured.

# b Fragments from Men from Tarentum

(a) [A:] For the Pythagoreans, according to what we are told,

Eat neither fish nor anything else

Eat neither fish nor anything else
That has a soul, and they are the only people who
do not drink wine.

[B:] But Epicharides eats dogs, And he is one of the Pythagoreans!

[A.] ἀποκτείνας γέ που οὐκέτι γάρ ἐστ' ἔμψυχου.

(b) [A.] πυθαγορισμοὶ καὶ λόγοι λεπτοὶ διεσμιλευμέναι τε φροντίδες τρέφουσ' ἐκείνους, τὰ δὲ καθ' ἡμέραν τάδεἄρτος καθαρὸς εἶς ἐκατέρῳ, ποτήριον ὕδατος· τοσαῦτα ταῦτα.

[B.] δεσμωτηρίου λέγεις δίαιταν. πάντες οὕτως οἱ σοφοί διάγουσι καὶ τοιαῦτα κακοπαθοῦσιν;

[A.] οὔ·
τρυφῶσιν οὖτοι πρὸς ἐτέρους. ἆρ' οἶσθ' ὅτι
Μελανιππίδης ἐταῖρός ἐστι καὶ Φάων
καὶ Φυρόμαχος καὶ Φᾶνος, οὶ δι' ἡμέρας
δειπνοῦσι πέμπτης ἀλφίτων κοτύλην μίαν;

# T36 (58E.1) Antiphan.

a Μνήματα Frag. 158 K-A

τῶν Πυθαγορικῶν δ' ἔτυχον ἄθλιοί τινες ἐν τῆ χαράδρα τρώγοντες ἄλιμα καὶ κακά τοιαῦτα συλλέγοντες ἐν τῷ κωρύκῳ.

**b** Κώρυκος Frag. 133 K-A

πρῶτον μὲν ὤσπερ πυθαγορίζων ἐσθίει ἔμψυχον οὐδέν, τῆς δὲ πλείστης τοὐβολοῦ μάζης μελαγχρῆ μερίδα λαμβάνων λέπει.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[A:] But he kills it first—
For that way there is no longer any soul in it.

(b) [A:] Pythagorisms, subtle discourses,
 And finely chiseled meditations
 Are what nourish those men, but their daily diet is
 this:
 One plain loaf of bread for each one and a cup
 Of water. And that is all.

[B:] That's prison fare
You're talking about. Do all those wise men
Spend their lives in this way and endure such evils?

[A:] No:
Compared to the others, these men revel in luxury.
Don't you know that
Melanippides is a disciple, as well as Phaon,
And Phyromachus and Phanus, and that every four
days

They dine on a half-pint of barley meal?

# T36 (58E.1) Antiphanes

a The Tombs

Some of those wretched Pythagoreans happened To be gnawing purslanes in a torrent and Gathering together awful things like this in their leather sack.

**b** The Leather Sack

First, being a Pythagorean, he eats
Nothing that has a soul, but from the cheapest barley
cake he can find
He takes the blackened part and eats that.

# T37 Aristophon Πυθαγοριστής

a (58E.2) Frag. 9 K-A

πρὸς τῶν θεῶν, οἰόμεθα τοὺς πάλαι ποτέ, τοὺς Πυθαγοριστὰς γινομένους ὄντως ῥυπῶν ἐκόντας ἢ φορεῖν τρίβωνας ἡδέως; οὐκ ἔστι τούτων οὐδέν, ὡς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ ἀλλ' ἐξ ἀνάγκης, οὐκ ἔχοντες οὐδὲ ἔν, τῆς εὐτελείας πρόφασιν εὐρόντες καλήν ὄρους ἔπηξαν τοῖς πένησι χρησίμους. ἐπεὶ παράθες αὐτοῖσιν ἰχθῦς ἢ κρέας, κᾶν μὴ κατεσθίωσι καὶ τοὺς δακύλους, ἐθέλω κρέμασθαι δεκάκις.

# **b** (58E.3) Frag. 12 K-A

- (a) [A.] ἔφη καταβὰς εἰς τὴν δίαιταν τῶν κάτω 
  ἰδεῖν ἐκάστους, διαφέρειν δὲ πάμπολυ 
  τοὺς Πυθαγοριστὰς τῶν νεκρῶν μόνοισι γὰρ 
  τούτοισι τὸν Πλούτωνα συσσιτεῖν ἔφη 
  δι' εὐσέβειαν.
- [B.] εὐχερῆ θεὸν λέγεις εἰ τοῖς ῥύπου μεστοῖσιν ἥδεται συνών.
- (b) ἐσθίουσί τε λάχανά τε καὶ πίνουσιν ἐπὶ τούτοις ὕδωρ·

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

T37 Aristophon, Fragments from The Pythagorean

a (58E.2)

By the gods, do we suppose that those men of old, Those who were really Pythagoreans, were voluntarily Filthy or took pleasure in wearing threadbare clothes?

Not at all, in my opinion!

They did this by necessity, since they possessed absolutely nothing:

Having found a fine pretext for their shabbiness, They established standards appropriate for beggars.

For if you set before them fish or meat

And they don't eat it up, and their fingers too, Then I am willing to be hanged ten times!

**b** (58E.3)

(a) [A:] He said that he descended to observe the way of life

Of those below, each one, and that the Pythagoreans

Were completely different from the [scil. other?] dead: for, he said,

Pluto dines together with them alone Because of their piety.

[B:] You are speaking of a tolerant god,

If he takes pleasure in the company of people full
of filth.

(b) And they eat Vegetables and drink water to top them off;

10

5

5

φθείρας δε και τρίβωνα τήν τ' άλουσίαν οὐδεις ἂν ύπομείνειε τῶν νεωτέρων.

T38 (58E.3) Cratinus Junior

a Diog, Laert. 8.37 (Πυθαγορίζουσα Frag. 6 K-A) ἔσκωψε δ' αὐτὸν Κρατίνος μὲν ἐν Πυθαγοριζούση·
[. . .].

b Ταραντίνοι Frag. 7 K-A

έθος ἐστὶν αὐτοῖς, ἄν τιν' ἰδιώτην ποθέν λάβωσιν εἰσελθόντα, διαπειρωμένοις τῆς τῶν λόγων ῥώμης ταράττειν καὶ κυκᾶν τοῖς ἀντιθέτοις, τοῖς πέρασι, τοῖς παρισώμασιν, τοῖς ἀποπλάνοις, τοῖς μεγέθεσιν νουβυστικῶς.

T39 (58Ε.3) Mnesim. ἀλκμαίων Frag. 1 Κ-Α ώς Πυθαγοριστὶ θύομεν τῷ Λοξία, ἔμψυχον οὐδὲν ἐσθίοντες παντελῶς.

> New Comedy (T40) Diogenes of Apollonia? (T40)

**T40** (64 C4) Philemo Frag. 95 K-A

δυ οὐδὲ εἶς λέληθεν οὐδὲ εν ποιῶν, οὐδ' αὖ ποιήσων οὐδὲ πεποιηκὼς πάλαι,

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

And their lice, their threadbare clothes, and their grime—
None of the younger people could stand that!

T38 (58E.3) Cratinus the Younger

a Diogenes Laertius

Cratinus made fun of him [i.e. Pythagoras] in *The Female Pythagorean* [...].

b Fragment from Men from Tarentum

They have a custom: if they catch a private person Who arrives from somewhere else, they cause him trouble and confusion

By testing the strength of his reasonings, By using antitheses, limits, equations, Fallacies, magnitudes—all full of ingenuity.

T39 (58E.3) Mnesimachus, Fragment from Alcmaeon
In accordance with the Pythagorean way, we sacrifice to Loxias,
We who eat nothing whatsoever that is living.

New Comedy (T40) Diogenes of Apollonia? (T40)

**T40** (64 C4) Philemon, Fragment from an unidentified play

He who does not fail to notice whatever any man does, In the present or in the future or formerly in the past,

οὕτε κακὸν οὕτε γ' ἐσθλόν, οὖτός εἰμ' ἐγώ, ᾿Αήρ, ὃν ἄν τις ὀνομάσειε καὶ Δία. ἐγὼ δ', ὃ θεοῦ 'στιν ἔργον, εἰμὶ πανταχοῦ, ἐνταῦθ' ἐν ᾿Αθήναις, ἐν Πάτραις, ἐν Σικελίᾳ, ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι πάσαισιν, ἐν ταῖς οἰκίαις πάσαις, ἐν ὑμῶν πᾶσιν οὐκ ἔστιν τόπος, οὖ μή 'στιν ᾿Αήρ' ὁ δὲ παρὼν ἁπανταχοῦ πάντ' ἐξ ἀνάγκης οἶδε πανταχοῦ παρών.

Philosophical References in
Attic Tragedy (T41–T84)
General Themes (T41–T71)
Epistemological Considerations (T41–T42)

T41 Soph. Alead. Frag. 86.3 R
τό τοι νομισθέν τῆς ἀληθείας κρατεῖ.

T42 Eur. Helen

a 117-19, 121-22

[HA.] είδες σὺ τὴν δύστηνον, ἢ κλύων λέγεις; [TE.] ὥσπερ σέ γ΄, οὐδὲν ἦσσον, ὀφθαλμοῖς ὁρῶ.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Whether evil or good—that is what I am,
Air, whom one could also call Zeus.
I am everywhere—what is a god's job—
Here in Athens, in Patras, in Sicily,
In all the cities, in all the dwellings,
And in all of you. There is no place
In which Air does not exist; and, being present everywhere,
By necessity he knows all things, being everywhere present.

Cf. DIOG. D10

Philosophical References in Attic Tragedy (T41–T84) General Themes (T41–T71) Epistemological Considerations (T41–T42)

T41 Sophocles, Fragment from The Sons of Aleus

What is believed prevails over the truth.

T42 Euripides, Helen

а

[HELEN:] Did you see the wretched woman, or are you speaking from hearsay?

[TEUCER:] I saw her with my eyes, just as I see you, not less.

<sup>1</sup> Teucer thinks that it was the real Helen whom the Greeks captured in Troy at the end of the war; the real Helen, who is speaking with him, knows that it was only a phantom.

5

10

5

[ΗΛ.] σκοπείτε μὴ δόκησιν είχετ' ἐκ θεῶν. [...]

[ΗΛ.] οὕτω δοκεῖτε τὴν δόκησιν ἀσφαλῆ;

[ΤΕ.] αὐτὸς γὰρ ὄσσοις εἰδόμην, καὶ νοῦς ὁρậ.

119 σκοπείτε ms.: σκόπει δὲ A. Y. Campbell 121–22 del. W. Ribbeck

### **b** 1138-42

1140

[xo.] ὅτι θεὸς ἢ μὴ θεὸς ἢ τὸ μέσον
τίς φησ' ἐρευνήσας βροτῶν;
μακρότατον πέρας ηὖρεν δς τὰ θεῶν ἐσορῷ
δεῦρο καὶ αὖθις ἐκεῖσε καὶ πάλιν ἀντιλόγοις
πηδῶντ' ἀνελπίστοις τύχαις.

# The Study of Nature (T43)

### **T43** Eur.

a (59 A30) Frag. 910 K

[xo.] ὅλβιος ὅστις τῆς ἱστορίας
ἔσχε μάθησιν,
μήτε πολιτῶν ἐπὶ πημοσύνη
μήτ' εἰς ἀδίκους πράξεις ὁρμῶν,
ἀλλ' ἀθανάτου καθορῶν φύσεως
κόσμον ἀγήρων, πῆ τε συνέστη
καὶ ὅπη καὶ ὅπως.
τοῖς δὲ τοιούτοις οὐδέποτ' αἰσχρῶν
ἔργων μελέτημα προσίζει.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

[HELEN:] Watch out, you might have received some appearance sent by the gods. [...]
[HELEN:] And so you think that this appearance is reliable?
[TEUCER:] Yes, for I myself saw her with my own eyes.
And the mind (nous) sees.

### Ь

[CHORUS:] What is god, or not god, or in the middle—
Who of mortals can search this out and say it?
That man has discovered the farthest limit, he who
sees that what comes from the gods
Is here, then there, then in turn leaps
With contradictory, unexpected turns of fortune.

# The Study of Nature (T43)

# T43 Euripides

a (59 A30) Fragment from an unidentified play (Anti-ope?)

[CHORUS:] Happy the man who, having attained
The knowledge deriving from inquiry,
Aspires neither to trouble for his fellow citizens
Nor to unjust deeds,
But observes immortal nature's
Unaging order, where it was formed,
In what way, and how.
Never to men like this does the practice
Of shameful actions come near.

**b** (59 A20) Frag. 913 K

τίς [...]... οθεος [κ]αὶ [...]ραδαίμω[ν]; ος τάδε λεύσσων οὐ προδιδάσκει, ψυχὴν αὐτοῦ θέον ἡγεῖσθαι, μετεωρολόγων δ' ἐκὰς ἔρριψεν σκολιὰς ἀπάτας; ὧν τολμηρά γλῶσσ' εἰκοβολεῖ περὶ τῶν ἀφανῶν οὐδὲν γνώμης μετέχουσα;

The Naturalization of the Gods (T44-T50)

T44 (cf. 22 A16, 59 A48, 64 C2) Eur. Tro. 884-88

[HK.] ὧ γῆς ὄχημα κἀπὶ γῆς ἔχων ἔδραν,

885 ὅστις ποτ' εἶ σύ, δυστόπαστος εἰδέναι,

Ζεύς, εἴτ' ἀνάγκη φύσεος εἴτε νοῦς βροτῶν,

προσηυξάμην σε· πάντα γὰρ δι' ἀψόφου

βαίνων κελεύθου κατὰ δίκην τὰ θνήτ' ἄγεις.

T45 Eur.

a Frag. 877 K

άλλ' αἰθὴρ τίκτει σε, κόρα, Ζεὺς δς ἀνθρώποις ὀνομάζεται.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

**b** (59 A20) Fragment from an unidentified play

Who †...†,

Who when he sees these things does not begin by teaching

His soul to conceive of god,

And casts far away the crooked deceptions of those who study the heavens,

Whose audacious tongue guesses at random about invisible matters

without having any share in judgment?

The Naturalization of the Gods (T44-T50)

**T44** (cf. 22 A16, 59 A48, 64 C2) Euripides, *The Trojan Women* 

[HECUBA:] Oh you, who sustain the earth and are enthroned on the earth.

Whoever you are, most unfathomable to know,

Zeus, whether you are the necessity of nature or the intelligence of mortals,

I pray to you: for it is you who, walking along a silent path,

Lead all mortal affairs according to justice.

T45 Euripides, Fragments from unidentified plays

a

But you, maiden [scil. Helen?], were born from the aether,

Which is called Zeus by humans.

# **b** Frag. 941 K

όρᾶς τὸν ὑψοῦ τόνδ' ἄπειρον αἰθέρα καὶ γῆν πέριξ ἔχονθ' ὑγραῖς ἐν ἀγκάλαις; τοῦτον νόμιζε Ζῆνα, τόνδ' ἡγοῦ θεόν.

T46 Soph. Frag. 752 R

"Ηλί', οἰκτίροις ἐμέ, ον οἱ σοφοὶ λέγουσι γεννητὴν θεῶν πατέρα τε πάντων.

**T47** Eur. Frag. 919 Κ κορυφή δὲ θεῶν ὁ περὶ χθόν' ἔχων φαεννὸς αἰθήρ

T48 (59 A20b) Eur. Frag. 944 K

[...] καὶ Γαῖα μῆτερ· Ἑστίαν δέ σ' οἱ σοφοί βροτῶν καλοῦσιν ἡμένην ἐν αἰθέρι.

T49 Critias (?) Peirithous Frag.

a (88 B18) Frag. 43 F 3 Snell ἀκάμας τε χρόνος περί τ' ἀενάφ ῥεύματι πλήρης φοιτῷ τίκτων αὐτὸς ἐαυτόν, δίδυμοί τ' ἄρκτοι

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

b

Do you see this unlimited aether up on high, Which holds the earth all around in its moist embrace? Consider this to be Zeus, think that this is a god.

T46 Sophocles, Fragment from an unidentified play

Sun, take pity on me,
You whom wise man call the begetter of the gods
And the father of all things.

**T47** Euripides, Fragment from an unidentified play Summit of the gods, that which surrounds the earth: Bright aether

T48 (59 A20b) Euripides, Fragment from an unidentified play

 $[\dots]$ 

And mother Earth; the wise among mortals Call you Hestia, you who are seated in the aether.

T49 Critias (?), Fragments from Pirithous1

 $^{\rm 1}\,{\rm The}$  ancient authors who cite these lines attribute them to Euripides.

a (88 B18)

Time, indefatigable and filled with an ever-flowing Stream, moves along, himself bringing to birth Himself; and the twin Bears,

ταῖς ὧκυπλάνοις πτερύγων ῥιπαῖς τὸν ἀτλάντειον τηροῦσι πόλον.

# **b** (88 B19) Frag. 43 F 4 Snell

σε τον αὐτοφυῆ, τον εν αἰθερίφ ρύμβφ πάντων φύσιν εμπλέξανθ, ον πέρι μεν φως, πέρι δ' ορφναία νὺξ αἰολόχρως ἄκριτός τ' ἄστρων ὄχλος ενδελεχως ἀμφιχορεύει.

### **T50** Eur. Bacch. 274-83

[ΤΕ.] δύο γάρ, ὧ νεανία,
275 τὰ πρῶτ' ἐν ἀνθρώποισι· Δημήτηρ θεά—
Γῆ δ' ἐστίν, ὄνομα δ' ὁπότερον βούλει κάλει·
αὕτη μὲν ἐν ξηροῖσιν ἐκτρέφει βροτούς·
δς δ' ἦλθ' ἔπειτ', ἀντίπαλον ὁ Σεμέλης γόνος
βότρυος ὑγρὸν πῶμ' ηὖρε κεἰσηνέγκατο
θνητοῖς, ὃ παύει τοὺς ταλαιπώρους βροτούς
λύπης, ὅταν πλησθῶσιν ἀμπέλου ῥοῆς,

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

With the swift beating of their wings,
Observe the celestial pole of Atlas [i.e. which Atlas holds up].

# **b** (88 B19)

You [i.e. the world?],¹ the self-generated, you who have woven

The nature of all things in the vortex of the aether,
You around whom the light, around whom the gloomy

Spangled night and the innumerable
Swarm of stars perpetually dance!

<sup>1</sup> Clement, who cites these lines, says that the invocation is addressed to Mind, the demiurge of the world.

# T50 Euripides, Bacchae

[TEIRESIAS:] For, young man [scil. Pentheus], two things Are of first importance among humans: the goddess 275 Demeter-She is Earth, but you can call her by whichever of these two names you wish-She nourishes mortals with dry food: And the one who came after, the son of Semele [i.e. Dionysus], discovered A counterpart, the moist drink of the grapevine, and introduced it To mortals—it relieves wretched humans 280 Of their pain, whenever they are full of the liquid of the grapevine,

ύπνον τε λήθην των καθ' ήμέραν κακών δίδωσιν, ούδ' ἔστ' ἄλλο φάρμακον πόνων.

# The Gods and Chance (T51-T52)

# T51 Eur. Phrixus B Frag. 820b K

δ θνητὰ παραφρονήματ' ἀνθρώπων, μάτην οῖ φασιν εἶναι τὴν τύχην, ἀλλ' οὐ θεούς: ώς οὐδὲν ἴστε, κεἰ λέγειν δοκεῖτέ τι: εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἡ τύχη 'στιν, οὐδὲν δεῖ θεῶν, εἰ δ' οἱ θεοὶ σθένουσιν, οὐδὲν ἡ τύχη.

# T52 Eur. Frag. 901 K

[xo.] πολλάκι μοι πραπίδων διηλθε φροντίς, εἴτε τύχα τις εἴτε δαίμων τὰ βρότεια κραίνει παρά τ' ἐλπίδα καὶ παρὰ δίκαν τοὺς μὲν ἀπ' οἴκων †δ' ἐναπίπτοντας ἀτὰρ θεοῦ†, τοὺς δ' εὐτυχοῦντας ἄγει

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

And it gives sleep, forgetfulness of the evils of the day,
And there is no other remedy for pains.

#### Cf. PROD. D15-D16

The Gods and Chance (T51-T52)

T51 Euripides, Fragment from Phrixus B

Oh, the mortal delusions of humans, who say
In vain that chance exists, but not the gods!
For you [scil. men] know nothing, even if you think
you are saying something.
For if chance exists, there is no need of gods;
But if the gods are strong, then chance is nothing.

T52 Euripides, Fragment from an unidentified play [CHORUS:] Often this worry has passed through my mind (prapides):

Whether it is some chance or a divinity that brings mortal affairs to accomplishment Against expectation and against justice, The ones from their homes †...
... † and leads others to prosperity

Cf. also SOC. P27

Law (nomos) and Nature (phusis) (T53-T55)

T53 Eur. Bacch. 893-96

895

[xo.] κούφα γὰρ δαπάνα νομίζειν ἰσχὺν τόδ' ἔχειν, ὅτι ποτ' ἄρα τὸ δαιμόνιον, τό τ' ἐν χρόνφ μακρφ νόμιμον ἀεὶ φύσει τε πεφυκός.

**T54** Eur. Auge Frag. 265a.1 Κ ή φύσις ἐβούλεθ', ή νόμων οὐδὲν μέλει.

T55 Eur. Dictys Frag. 346 K

εἷς γάρ τις ἔστι κοινὸς ἀνθρώποις νόμος καὶ θεοῖσι τοῦτο δόξαν, ὡς σαφῶς λέγω θηρσίν τε πᾶσι τέκν' ἃ τίκτουσιν φιλεῖν. τὰ δ' ἄλλα χωρὶς χρώμεθ' ἀλλήλων νόμοις.

τέκν' ά Paris. 1985 (B) teste Gaisford: τέκνα cett.

The Progress of Civilization (T56–T63)

T56 Aesch. Palamedes Frag. \*\*181a R

[ΠΑ.] ἔπειτα πάσης Ἑλλάδος καὶ ξυμμάχων βίον διώκησ' ὄντα πρὶν πεφυρμένον θηρσίν θ' ὅμοιον· πρῶτα μὲν τὸν πάνσοφον ἀριθμὸν ηὔρηκ' ἔξοχον σοφισμάτων.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Law (nomos) and Nature (phusis) (T53-T55)

T53 Euripides, Bacchae

[CHORUS:] For slight is the expense to think
That this it is that possesses strength:
Everything that is divine, whatever it is,
What over long time has always possessed
The sanction of law and exists by nature.

895

T54 Euripides, Fragment from Auge

It was the wish of nature, which does not care at all about laws.

T55 Euripides, Fragment from Dictys

For there is a single law in common for humans—And this is approved by the gods, as I assert clearly—And for all animals: to love the offspring they bring to birth.

For the rest, we make use of various laws that differ from one another

The Progress of Civilization (T56-T63)

T56 Aeschylus, Fragment from Palamedes

[PALAMEDES:] Then I organized the life, which earlier had been confused

And similar to that of the animals.

Of all of Greece and its allies. First I discovered supremely ingenious (pansophos)

Number, the most eminent of all artifices (sophismata).

T57 Aesch. (?), Prom. 442-44, 447-68, 476-506

	[пр.]	τάν βροτοῖς δὲ πήματα
443	•	ακούσαθ', ως σφας νηπίους όντας τὸ πρίν
447		ἔννους ἔθηκα καὶ φρενῶν ἐπηβόλους.[] οἳ πρῶτα μὲν βλέποντες ἔβλεπον μάτην,
		κλύοντες οὐκ ἤκουον, ἀλλ' ὀνειράτων
		άλίγκιοι μορφήσι τὸν μακρὸν βίον
<b>45</b> 0		ἔφυρον εἰκῆ πάντα, κοὕτε πλινθυφεῖς
		δόμους προσείλους ἦσαν, οὐ ξυλουργίαν,
		κατώρυχες δ' έναιον ὥστ' ἀήσυροι
		μύρμηκες ἄντρων ἐν μυχοῖς ἀνηλίοις.
		ην δ' οὐδὲν αὐτοῖς οὔτε χείματος τέκμαρ
455		οὔτ' ἀνθεμώδους ἦρος οὔτε καρπίμου
		θέρους βέβαιον, άλλ' ἄτερ γνώμης τὸ πᾶν
		ἔπρασσον, ἔστε δή σφιν ἀντολὰς ἐγώ
		ἄστρων ἔδειξα τάς τε δυσκρίτους δύσεις.
		καὶ μὴν ἀριθμόν, ἔξοχον σοφισμάτων,
460		έξηθρον αὐτοῖς, γραμμάτων τε συνθέσεις,
		μνήμην ἀπάντων, μουσομήτορ' ἐργάνην.
		κάζευξα πρώτος εν ζυγοίσι κνώδαλα,
		ζεύγλησι δουλεύοντα σώμασίν θ' ὅπως
		θνητοῖς μεγίστων διάδοχοι μοχθημάτων
465		γένοινθ' ὑφ' ἄρμά τ' ἤγαγον φιληνίους

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Scholars disagree on whether or not  $Prometheus\ Bound$  is to be attributed to Aeschylus, among whose works it is transmitted.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

T57 Aeschylus (?), Prometheus Bound<sup>1</sup>

, ( , , =	
[PROMETHEUS:] Hear the sufferings	
That prevailed among men, how they had earlier	443
been foolish,	
But I made them mindful and possessed of think-	
ing. []	
For at first, though they saw, they saw in vain,	447
Though they heard, they did not listen, but,	
Like the shapes of dreams, throughout a long life	
They mixed everything up at random, and they	450
knew	
Neither bricked sun-warmed houses nor wood-	
working;	
They dwelt underground like nimble	
Ants in the sunless recesses of caves.	
They had no certain sign for winter	
Nor for flowering spring nor for crop-laden	455
Summer, but they did everything	
Without a plan, until I taught them the risings	
Of the stars and their settings, hard to distinguish.	
And further, number, the most eminent of all arti-	
fices (sophismata),	
I discovered for them, and the combinations of let-	460
ters—	
Memory of all things, mother of the Muses, crafts- woman.	
And I was the first to yoke for them beasts in harness	
As slaves to yoke loops and pack saddles, so that	
They would be the substitutes for mortals	
In grievous toils, and I harnessed to the chariot	465

ίππους, ἄγαλμα τῆς ὑπερπλούτου χλιδῆς. θαλασσόπλαγκτα δ' οὔτις ἄλλος ἀντ' ἐμοῦ λινόπτερ' ηθρε ναυτίλων όχήματα. [...] τὰ λοιπά μου κλύουσα θαυμάση πλέον, οίας τέχνας τε καὶ πόρους ἐμησάμην. τὸ μὲν μέγιστον, εἴ τις ἐς νόσον πέσοι, οὐκ ἦν ἀλέξημ' οὐδέν, οὔτε βρώσιμον, οὐ χριστόν, οὐδὲ πιστόν, ἀλλὰ φαρμάκων χρεία κατεσκέλλοντο, πρίν γ' έγώ σφισιν έδειξα κράσεις ήπίων ακεσμάτων αίς τὰς ἀπάσας ἐξαμύνονται νόσους. τρόπους τε πολλούς μαντικής έστοίχισα, κάκρινα πρώτος έξ ονειράτων α χρή ύπαρ γενέσθαι, κληδόνας τε δυσκρίτους έγνώρισ' αὐτοῖς ἐνοδίους τε συμβόλους, γαμψωνύχων τε πτήσιν οἰωνῶν σκεθρῶς διώρισ', οἴτινές τε δεξιοὶ φύσιν εύωνύμους τε, καὶ δίαιταν ήντινα έχουσ' ἔκαστοι, καὶ πρὸς ἀλλήλους τίνες έχθραι τε καὶ στέργηθρα καὶ ξυνεδρίαι, σπλάγχνων τε λειότητα, καὶ χροιὰν τίνα ένουσ' αν είη δαίμοσιν προς ήδονήν χολή, λοβοῦ τε ποικίλην εὐμορφίαν. κνίση τε κώλα ξυγκαλυπτά καὶ μακράν

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Rein-loving horses, the pride of over-wealthy lux-	
ury.	
And no one other than myself discovered the sea-	
struck	
Sail-winged conveyances of ships. []	
When you hear the rest you [i.e. the Chorus] will be even more astonished,	476
What crafts and resources I devised.	
The greatest of all: if someone fell ill,	
There was no protection at all, neither food	
Nor ointment, nothing that would be reliable, but	480
for lack of drugs	
They were withering away—until I showed them	
The compounding of soothing remedies,	
By which they warded off all illnesses.	
And I cataloged all the forms of divination,	
And I was the first to separate from dreams what must	485
Come to pass as waking visions; and ominous utterances,	
Hard to distinguish, I identified for them, and	
omens on the road.	
And the flight of crooked-taloned birds I defined	
With precision, which ones are propitious by nature	
And good-omened, and what way of life	490
Each one possesses, and what are the antagonisms	
Of each for each, their love charms, and their as-	
sociations;	
And the smoothness of their entrails, and what color	
Their bile should be to please the deities,	
And the varieties of the well-shaped liver lobe.	495
And by burning thighs wrapped in fat and the long	

476

480

485

490

όσφῦν πυρώσας δυστέκμαρτον εἰς τέχνην ὅδωσα θνητούς, καὶ φλογωπὰ σήματα ἐξωμμάτωσα πρόσθεν ὅντ' ἐπάργεμα. τοιαῦτα μὲν δὴ ταῦτ' ἔνερθε δὲ χθονός κεκρυμμέν' ἀνθρώποισιν ὡφελήματα, χαλκόν, σίδηρον, ἄργυρον χρυσόν τε, τίς φήσειεν ἂν πάροιθεν ἐξευρεῖν ἐμοῦ; οὐδείς, σάφ' οἶδα, μὴ μάτην φλῦσαι θέλων. βραχεῖ δὲ μύθω πάντα συλλήβδην μάθε πᾶσαι τέχναι βροτοῦσιν ἐκ Προμηθέως.

# T58 Soph, Ant. 332-75

[xo.] πολλὰ τὰ δεινὰ κοὐδὲν ἀνθρώπου δεινότερον πέλει·
τοῦτο καὶ πολιοῦ πέραν

335 πόντου χειμερίφ νότφ
χωρεῖ, περιβρυχίοισιν
περῶν ὑπ' οἴδμασιν, θεῶν
τε τὰν ὑπερτάταν, Γᾶν
ἄφθιτον, ἀκαμάταν ἀποτρύεται,
ἰλλομένων ἀρότρων ἔτος εἰς ἔτος,
ἱππείφ γένει πολεύων.

κουφονόων τε φύλον όρνίθων άμφιβαλών άγει καὶ θηρών άγρίων έθνη

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Loins, I set mortals on the path of this enigmatic craft;		
And I opened their eyes to the blazing constella- tions,		
Which earlier had been veiled to their sight.		
So much for these things. But hidden	500	
Beneath the earth, what provides benefits for humans,		
Bronze, iron, silver, and gold—who		
Could claim to have discovered these before I did?		
No one, I know it well, who does not want to prattle in vain.		
Learn the whole thing briefly in a few words:	505	
All crafts came to mortals from Prometheus.		
T58 Sophocles, Antigone		
CHORUS: Many are the things that are eerie,		
And nothing is eerier than man.		
And nothing is eerier than man.		
	335	
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray	335	
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast,	335	
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing	335	
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing On its engulfing swells.	335	
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing On its engulfing swells. And the greatest of the gods, Earth, The indestructible, the unwearied—her he wears down	335	
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing On its engulfing swells. And the greatest of the gods, Earth, The indestructible, the unwearied—her he wears		
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing On its engulfing swells. And the greatest of the gods, Earth, The indestructible, the unwearied—her he wears down As the plows move back and forth, year after year,		
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing On its engulfing swells. And the greatest of the gods, Earth, The indestructible, the unwearied—her he wears down As the plows move back and forth, year after year, Turning up the soil with the aid of the equine race.		
And nothing is eerier than man. This thing travels beyond the gray Sea with its wintery blast, Making his crossing On its engulfing swells. And the greatest of the gods, Earth, The indestructible, the unwearied—her he wears down As the plows move back and forth, year after year, Turning up the soil with the aid of the equine race. And the tribe of light-minded birds		

500

345	πόντου τ' εἰναλίαν φύσιν
	σπείραισι δικτυοκλώστοις,
	περιφραδής άνήρ κρατεί
	δὲ μηχαναῖς ἀγραύλου
350	θηρὸς ὀρεσσιβάτα, λασιαύχενά θ'
500	ίππον ὀχμάζεται ἀμφὶ λόφον ζυγῷ
	ούρειόν τ' ἀκμήτα ταθρον.
355	καὶ φθέγμα καὶ ἀνεμόεν φρόνημα καὶ ἀστυ- νόμους
	όργας έδιδαξατο και δυσαύλων
	πάγων ὑπαίθρεια καί
	δύσομβρα φεύγειν βέλη
360	παντοπόρος ἄπορος ἐπ' οὐδὲν ἔρχεται
	τὸ μέλλον "Αιδα μόνον
	φεῦξιν οὺκ ἐπάξεται
	νόσων δ' ἀμηχάνων φυγάς
	ξυμπέφρασται.
365	σοφόν τι τὸ μηχανόεν τέχνας ὑπὲρ ἐλπίδ᾽ ἔχων
	τοτέ μὲν κακόν, ἄλλοτ' ἐπ' ἐσθλὸν ἔρπει.
	νόμους παρείρων χθονός
	θεῶν τ' ἔνορκον δίκαν
370	ύψίπολις· ἄπολις ὅτῳ τὸ μὴ καλόν
	ξύνεστι τόλμας χάριν
	μήτ' ἐμοὶ παρέστιος
	γένοιτο μήτ' ἴσον φρονῶν
375	ὃς τάδ' ἔρδοι.

# DRAMATIC APPENDIX

And the salty progeny of the sea, With the twisted coils of his nets— Surpassingly ingenious man! He rules With his devices the mountain-roaming Wild animal, the shaggy-maned Horse he harnesses, yoking it around the neck, And the tireless mountain bull.	345 350
And utterance, wind-swift thought, and the dispositions	355
That govern the city, he has learned,	
And how to flee the open-sky, Stormy shafts of inhospitable frosts—	
Man full of resources! Without resources toward nothing	360
That might happen does he set forth.	
For Hades alone will he find for himself no escape;	
But he has contrived means of escape from incurable diseases.	
Possessing what he has ingeniously devised beyond any expectation,	365
His crafts, he moves at one time toward evil, at another toward good:	
When he inserts [scil. into his actions?] the laws of the land	
And the gods' oath-bound justice,	
He soars high with his city; but cityless he, whom- ever depravity	370
Joins because of his rashness.	
He who does such things—may he not	
Share my hearth nor think thoughts equal [scil. to mine].	375

### T59 Soph. Nauplius Frag. \*432 R

[NA.] οὖτος δ' ἐφηῦρε τεῖχος ᾿Αργείων στρατῷ, σταθμῶν, ἀριθμῶν καὶ μέτρων εὐρήματα τάξεις τε ταύτας οὐράνιά τε σήματα. κἀκεῖν' ἔτευξε πρῶτος, ἐξ ἐνὸς δέκα κἀκ τῶν δέκ' αὖθις ηὖρε πεντηκοντάδας †ὂς χίλι(α) εὐθὺς ὂς† στρατοῦ φρυκτωρίαν ἔδειξε κἀνέφηνεν οὐ δεδειγμένα. ἐφηῦρε δ' ἄστρων μέτρα καὶ περιστροφάς, ὅπνου †φυλάξει(ς) (στι)θόα† σημαντήρια νεῶν τε ποιμαντῆρσιν ἐνθαλασσίοις ἄρκτου στροφάς τε καὶ κυνὸς ψυχρὰν δύσιν.

### T60 Soph. Palamedes Frag. 479 R

οὐ λιμὸν οὖτος τῶνδ' ἔπαυσε, σὺν θεῷ εἰπεῖν, χρόνου τε διατριβὰς σοφωτάτας ἐφηῦρε φλοίσβου μετὰ κόπον καθημένοις, πεσσοὺς κύβους τε, τερπνὸν ἀργίας ἄκος;

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

# T59 Sophocles, Fragment from Nauplius

[NAUPLIUS:] It was he [i.e. Palamedes] who invented the wall for the Argives' army.

And the inventions of weights, numbers, and measures.

These military formations and the celestial signs.

And these things too he was the first to make: ten from one

And from ten in turn he discovered fifty

†He who a thousand straightaway† the army's signal beacon

He showed, and he revealed what before had not been revealed.

He discovered the measures and revolutions of the celestial bodies,

Of sleep †guardings† signs

And for the seafaring shepherds of ships

The turnings of the Bear and the chilly setting of the Dog Star.

# T60 Sophocles, Fragment from Palamedes

Did he not stop their famine—to say it With god's permission—and did he not discover for them.

Resting after their exertions with the waves, the cleverest pastimes:

Drafts and dice, an enjoyable remedy for inaction?

5

**T61** Soph. Frag. 843 R

τὰ μὲν διδακτὰ μανθάνω, τὰ δ' εύρετά ζητῶ, τὰ δ' εὐκτὰ παρὰ θεῶν ἤτησάμην.

T62 Eur. Palamedes Frag. 578 K

[ΠΑ.] τὰ τῆς γε λήθης φάρμακ' ὀρθώσας μόνος, ἄφωνα καὶ φωνοῦντα, συλλαβὰς τιθείς, εξηῦρον ἀνθρώποισι γράμματ' εἰδέναι, ὥστ' οὐ παρόντα ποντίας ὑπὲρ πλακός τἀκεῖ κατ' οἴκους πάντ' ἐπίστασθαι καλῶς, παισίν τ' ἀποθνήσκοντα χρημάτων μέτρον γράψαντα λείπειν, τὸν λαβόντα δ' εἰδέναι. ἃ δ' εἰς ἔριν πίπτουσιν ἀνθρώποις κακά, δέλτος διαιρεῖ, κοὐκ ἐᾳ ψευδῆ λέγειν.

T63 (88 B25) Critias (?) Sisyphus Frag. 43 F 19 Snell

ην χρόνος ὅτ΄ ην ἄτακτος ἀνθρώπων βίος καὶ θηριώδης ἰσχύος θ' ὑπηρέτης, ὅτ΄ οὐδὲν ἆθλον οὕτε τοῖς ἐσθλοῖσιν ην οὕτ΄ αὖ κόλασμα τοῖς κακοῖς ἐγίγνετο. κἄπειτά μοι δοκοῦσιν ἄνθρωποι νόμους θέσθαι κολαστάς, ἵνα δίκη τύραννος ἢ

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

T61 Sophocles, Fragment from an unidentified play What can be taught, I learn; what can be discovered, I seek; but for what is the object of prayer, I asked this of the gods.

T62 Euripides, Fragment from Palamedes

[PALAMEDES:] I alone, establishing remedies for forgetting,

Speechless and speaking [i.e. consonants and vowels?], creating syllables,

I invented for men the knowledge of writing, So that a man who was absent beyond the sea's plain Could know exactly everything back there in his house.

And a man who was dying could write the measure of his wealth

And leave it to his sons, and the man who inherited it would know.

And men's evils, when they fall to quarreling— A written tablet decides them and does not allow people to tell lies.

T63 Critias (?), Fragment from the satyr-play Sisyphus<sup>1</sup>

There was a time when the life of humans was disordered

And animal-like, and subservient to force,

When neither was there any prize for good men
Nor inversely any punishment for evil ones.
And then, it seems to me, humans established laws
To punish, so that justice would be the absolute ruler
(turannos)

5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some of the ancient authors who cite these lines attribute them to Critias, some to Euripides; modern scholars disagree on whom they are to be assigned to.

<. . . .> τήν θ' ὕβριν δούλην ἔχη. έζημιοῦτο δ' εί τις έξαμαρτάνοι. ἔπειτ' ἐπειδη τάμφανη μέν οἱ νόμοι άπειργον αὐτοὺς ἔργα μὴ πράσσειν βία, 10 λάθρα δ' ἔπρασσον, τηνικαθτά μοι δοκεί <....> πυκνός τις καὶ σοφὸς γνώμην ἀνήρ θεών δέος θνητοίσιν έξευρείν, ὅπως είη τι δείμα τοίς κακοίσι, κάν λάθρα πράσσωσιν η λέγωσιν η φρονώσι τι. 15 έντεθθεν οθν τὸ θείον είσηγήσατο, ώς έστι δαίμων ἀφθίτω θάλλων βίω νόω τ' ἀκούων καὶ βλέπων, φρονῶν τε καί προσέχων τε ταθτα καὶ φύσιν θείαν φορών, ος παν το λεχθέν έν βροτοίς ακούσεται, 20 τὸ δρώμενον δὲ πῶν ἰδεῖν δυνήσεται. έὰν δὲ σὺν σιγή τι βουλεύης κακόν, τοῦτ' οὐχὶ λήσει τοὺς θεούς τὸ γὰρ φρονοῦν <. . . . > ἔνεστι, τούσδε τοὺς λόγους λέγων διδαγμάτων ήδιστον είσηγήσατο 25 ψευδεῖ καλύψας τὴν ἀλήθειαν λόγω. ναίειν δ' έφασκε τους θεους ένταθθ' ίνα μάλιστ' ἃν ἐξέπληξεν ἀνθρώπους ἄγων, όθεν περ έγνω τους φόβους όντας βροτοίς καὶ τὰς ὀνήσεις τῷ ταλαιπώρω βίω, 30 έκ της ὕπερθε περιφοράς, ζυ' ἀστραπάς

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

> and it would hold arrogance enslaved;	
And if anyone committed a transgression he would be	
punished.	
Then, as the laws prevented them	
From committing acts of violence in public	10
But they did commit them in secret, at that time, it	
seems to me,	
> some man who was shrewd and wise in his	
planning	
Discovered for mortals fear of the gods, so that	
There would be an object of terror for evil men, even	
if it was in secret	
That they committed, said, or thought something.	15
And that was how the divine was introduced,	
[scil. The idea] that there is a divinity flourishing with	
immortal life	
Who listens and watches with his mind (noos), and	
thinks and	
Pays attention to these things and is possessed of a	
divine nature,	
Who will hear everything that is said among mortals,	20
And will be able to see everything that is done.	
And if you plan some evil deed in silence,	
This will not escape the gods' notice: for thought	
is present. By saying these things,	
He introduced the most delightful of teachings,	25
Concealing the truth in a false speech.	
He said that the gods live in the place where	
He could most terrify humans by setting them there,	
From where, he knew, fears come for mortals	
And benefits for their miserable life,	30
From the circumference up above where he saw	

κατείδον οὔσας, δεινὰ δὲ κτυπήματα βροντῆς τό τ' ἀστερωπὸν οὐρανοῦ δέμας, Χρόνου καλὸν ποίκιλμα, τέκτονος σοφοῦ, ὅθεν τε λαμπρὸς ἀστέρος στείχει μύδρος ὅ θ' ὑγρὸς εἰς γῆν ὄμβρος ἐκπορεύεται. τοίους πέριξ ἔστησεν ἀνθρώποις φόβους, δι' οῦς καλῶς τε τῷ λόγῳ κατώκισεν τὸν δαίμον' οὖτος ἐν πρέποντι χωρίῳ, τὴν ἀνομίαν τε τοῖς νόμοις κατέσβεσεν.

οὕτω δὲ πρῶτον οἴομαι πεῖσαί τινα θνητοὺς νομίζειν δαιμόνων εἶναι γένος.

# The Power of Speech (T64-T67)

T64 Soph. Phil. 96-99

[ΟΙ.] ἐσθλοῦ πατρὸς παῖ, καὐτὸς ὢν νέος ποτέ γλῶσσαν μὲν ἀργόν, χεῖρα δ' εἶχον ἐργάτιν· νῦν δ' εἰς ἔλεγχον ἐξιὼν ὁρῶ βροτοῖς τὴν γλῶσσαν, οὐχὶ τἄργα, πάνθ' ἡγουμένην.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

There are lightning flashes, and terrifying crashes Of thunder, and the starry expanse of the heavens, The lovely embroidery of Time, that wise builder. From where the gleaming mass of the heavenly body 35 [i.e. the sun] proceeds And the moist rain rushes toward the earth. These were the kinds of terrors with which he surrounded humans. By means of which this man established divinity, By a well-made speech, in a suitable place, And extinguished lawlessness by means of the laws 40 And it was in this way, I think, that someone first persuaded Mortals to believe that there exists a race of

# The Power of Speech (T64-T67)

T64 Sophocles, Philoctetes

divinities.

[ODYSSEUS:] You [i.e. Neoptolemus], child of a noble father, when I was young,

I too would keep my tongue inactive and my hand busy;

But now when I go out to put things to the test, I see that for mortals

It is the tongue, not deeds, that rules all things.

35

**T65** Eur. Hec. 814-19

[HK.] τί δήτα θνητοὶ τἄλλα μὲν μαθήματα μοχθοῦμεν ὡς χρὴ πάντα καὶ ματεύομεν, πειθὼ δὲ τὴν τύραννον ἀνθρώποις μόνην οὐδέν τι μᾶλλον ἐς τέλος σπουδάζομεν μισθοὺς διδόντες μανθάνειν, ἵν' ἦν ποτε πείθειν ἄ τις βούλοιτο τυγχάνειν θ' ἄμα;

T66 (ad 90 1.1) Eur. Antiope Frag. 189 K

[AM.?] έκ παντὸς ἄν τις πράγματος δισσῶν λόγων ἀγῶνα θεῖτ' ἄν, εἰ λέγειν εἴη σοφός.

T67 (88 B22) Critias (?) Peirithous Frag. 43 F 11 Snell

τρόπος δὲ χρηστὸς ἀσφαλέστερος νόμου τὸν μὲν γὰρ οὐδεὶς ἂν διατρέψαι ποτέ ρήτωρ δύναιτο, τὸν δ' ἄνω τε καὶ κάτω λόγοις ταράσσων πολλάκις λυμαίνεται.

### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

T65 Euripides, Hecuba

[HECUBA:] Why do we mortals exert ourselves to study
All the other fields of knowledge as we should,
But persuasion, the sole absolute ruler (turannos)
for human beings—
We take no first be travelled by a series of

for human beings—
We take no further trouble, by paying a fee,
To learn this thoroughly, so that it would be possible
someday

To persuade whatever one wished and at the same to achieve it!

Cf. e.g. GORG. D24 [8-14]

T66 (ad 90 1.1) Euripides, Fragment from Antiope
[AMPHION?:] On every subject a man could establish a contest
Between two arguments, if he were skilled at speaking.

Cf. e.g. PROT. D26; DISS.

T67 (88 B22) Critias (?), Fragment from *Pirithous*An honest character is surer than the law:
For the former, no orator could ever pervert it;
While the latter, he often ruins it
By agitating it up and down with his speeches.

The Relativity of Values (T68)

### T68 Eur. Phoen. 499-502

[ET.] εὶ πᾶσι ταὐτὸν καλὸν ἔφυ σοφόν θ' ἄμα,
οὐκ ἦν ἃν ἀμφίλεκτος ἀνθρώποις ἔρις·
νῦν δ' οὕθ' ὅμοιον οὐδὲν οὕτ' ἴσον βροτοῖς
πλὴν ὀνόμασιν· τὸ δ' ἔργον οὐκ ἔστιν τόδε.

# Can Virtue Be Taught? (T69-T71)

# T69 Eur. Hipp. 79-81

[III.] [...]
ὅσοις διδακτὸν μηδὲν ἀλλ' ἐν τῆ φύσει
τὸ σωφρονεῖν εἴληχεν ἐς τὰ πάντ' ἀεί,
τούτοις δρέπεσθαι, τοῖς κακοῖσι δ' οὐ θέμις.

### T70 Eur. Hec. 592-602

[HK.] οὔκουν δεινόν, εἰ γῆ μὲν κακὴ τυχοῦσα καιροῦ θεόθεν εὖ στάχυν φέρει, χρηστὴ δ' ἁμαρτοῦσ' ὧν χρεὼν αὐτὴν τυχεῖν κακὸν δίδωσι καρπόν, ἄνθρωποι δ' ἀεί ὁ μὲν πονηρὸς οὐδὲν ἄλλο πλὴν κακός, ὁ δ' ἐσθλὸς ἐσθλὸς οὐδὲ συμφορᾶς ὕπο

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

### The Relativity of Values (T68)

# T68 Euripides, Phoenician Women

[ETEOCLES:] If for all men the same thing were by nature fine and wise,

There would be no disputatious contention among 500 humans:

But as it is, nothing is similar or equal for mortals Except for the name—but this is not the thing itself (ergon).

### Cf. DISS. [2]

### Can Virtue Be Taught? (T69–T71)

### T69 Euripides, Hippolytus

### [HIPPOLYTUS:] [...]

For all those who have received temperance in all regards

Not from instruction, but in their nature, forever, For these it is lawful to pluck [scil. flowers from the meadow of Reverence], but for the wicked it is not.

# T70 Euripides, Hecuba

[HECUBA:] Is it not dreadful that poor soil

Bears a good crop if a god provides favorable circumstances,

While good land, if it lacks what it needs to receive, Produces a bad harvest—and yet that among humans

The wicked one is always nothing else than evil,

595

80

φύσιν διέφθειρ' άλλὰ χρηστός ἐστ' ἀεί; ἄρ' οἱ τεκόντες διαφέρουσιν ἢ τροφαί; ἔχει γε μέντοι καὶ τὸ θρεφθῆναι καλῶς δίδαξιν ἐσθλοῦ τοῦτο δ' ἤν τις εὖ μάθη, οἶδεν τό γ' αἰσχρὸν κανόνι τοῦ καλοῦ μαθών.

599-602 del. Sakorraphos

600

915

T71 (> ad 80 B3) Eur. Suppl. 911-17

[AΔ.] τὸ γὰρ τραφήναι μὴ κακῶς αἰδῶ φέρει αἰσχύνεται δὲ τἀγάθ' ἀσκήσας ἀνήρ κακὸς γενέσθαι πᾶς τις. ἡ δ' εὐανδρία διδακτόν, εἴπερ καὶ βρέφος διδάσκεται λέγειν ἀκούειν θ' ὧν μάθησιν οὐκ ἔχει. ἃ δ' ἄν μάθη τις, ταῦτα σφζεσθαι φιλεῦ πρὸς γῆρας. οὕτω παῖδας εὖ παιδεύετε.

Reflections of Specific Doctrines in Euripides (T72–T84) Reflections of Xenophanes (T72–T73)

T72 (21 C1) Eur. Her. Fur. 1341-46

[HP.] ἐγὼ δὲ τοὺς θεοὺς οὔτε λέκτρ' ἃ μὴ θέμις στέργειν νομίζω δεσμά τ' ἐξάπτειν χεροῖν

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

The noble one noble, and that misfortune

Does not ruin his nature, but he remains always
virtuous?

virtuous?
Is it the parents that differ, or the upbringing?
Surely, a good upbringing teaches what is noble too;
And whoever has learned this well knows
What is shameful, since he has learned it by the criterion of the good.

T71 (> ad 80 B3) Euripides, Suppliant Women

[ADRASTUS:] To be educated correctly brings a sense of shame;

And every man who has been trained in good things is ashamed

To behave badly. Manly courage

Is something that can be taught, since even an infant is taught

To speak and to hear things about which he is ignorant.

And what someone learns, he tends to preserve this Until old age. So teach your children well.

Reflections of Specific Doctrines in Euripides (T72–T84) Reflections of Xenophanes (T72–T73)

T72 (21 C1) Euripides, Heracles

[HERACLES:] I myself believe that the gods do not desire unlawful sexual unions Or bind one another's hands with fetters—

οὖτ' ἠξίωσα πώποτ' οὖτε πείσομαι οὖδ' ἄλλον ἄλλου δεσπότην πεφυκέναι. δεῖται γὰρ ὁ θεός, εἴπερ ἔστ' ὀρθῶς θεός, οὖδενός· ἀοιδῶν οἵδε δύστηνοι λόγοι.

### T73 (21 C2) Eur. Autolycus Frag. 282 K

κακῶν γὰρ ὄντων μυρίων καθ' Ἑλλάδα οὐδὲν κάκιόν ἐστιν ἀθλητῶν γένους. οἱ πρῶτον οἰκεῖν οὔτε μανθάνουσιν εὖ οὔτ' ἄν δύναιντο· πῶς γὰρ ὅστις ἔστ' ἀνήρ γνάθου τε δοῦλος νηδύος θ' ἡσσημένος κτήσαιτ' ἀν ὅλβον εἰς ὑπερβολὴν πατρός; οὐδ' αὖ πένεσθαι κάξυπηρετεῖν τύχαις οἷοί τ'· ἔθη γὰρ οὐκ ἐθισθέντες καλά σκληρῶς μεταλλάσσουσιν εἰς τἀμήχανον. λαμπροὶ δ' ἐν ἥβη καὶ πόλεως ἀγάλματα φοιτῶσ'· ὅταν δὲ προσπέση γῆρας πικρόν, τρίβωνες ἐκβαλόντες οἵχονται κρόκας. ἐμεμψάμην δὲ καὶ τὸν Ἑλλήνων νόμον, οἱ τῶνδ' ἔκατι σύλλογον ποιούμενοι

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

I have never believed this, and never will I be persuaded, Nor that one god is naturally ruler over another.

Nor that one god is naturally ruler over another. For a god, if he rightly is a god, has no need Of anything. These are the miserable tales of singers.

1345

5

10

### Cf. XEN. D8-D9

T73 (21 C2) Euripides, Fragment from the satyr-play Autolycus

For of the countless evils that afflict Greece, None is worse than the race of athletes. First, they neither learn how to run a household well, Nor would they be capable of doing so: for how could a man

Who is slave to his jaws and is subservient to his stomach

Acquire wealth surpassing that of his father?

Nor, again, are they capable of being poor or submitting to misfortune;

For since they have not been trained to have good habits,

They find it hard to fall into a condition of being without resources.

In their youth, they strut around illustriously, the idols of the city;

But when bitter old age falls upon them, they are goners,

Threadbare tatters whose nap has worn off.

I have also criticized the custom among the Greeks,
Who assemble together to show respect to these men

1345

5

τιμώσ' άχρείους ήδονας δαιτός χάριν. 15 τίς γαρ παλαίσας εὖ, τίς ὠκύπους ἀνήρ η δίσκον ἄρας η γνάθον παίσας καλώς πόλει πατρώα στέφανον ήρκεσεν λαβών; πότερα μαχούνται πολεμίοισιν έν χεροίν δίσκους έχοντες ή δι' ἀσπίδων χερί 20 θείνοντες ἐκβαλοῦσι πολεμίους πάτρας; ούδεὶς σιδήρου ταθτα μωραίνει πέλας †στάς, ἄνδρας χρη σοφούς τε κάγαθούς φύλλοις στέφεσθαι, χώστις ἡγεῖται πόλει κάλλιστα σώφρων καὶ δίκαιος ὢν ἀνήρ, 25 όστις τε μύθοις έργ' ἀπαλλάσσει κακά μάχας τ' ἀφαιρών καὶ στάσεις τοιαθτα γάρ πόλει τε πάση πᾶσί θ' Ελλησιν καλά.

Reflections of Heraclitus or of the Orphics (T74)

### T74 Eur.

a Polyidus Frag. 638 K

τίς δ' οἶδεν εἰ τὸ ζῆν μέν ἐστι κατθανεῖν, τὸ κατθανεῖν δὲ ζῆν κάτω νομίζεται;

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

And honor useless pleasures for the benefit of a 15 banquet. For even if a man has wrestled well, or is swift of foot. Or has thrown the discus or punched someone's jaw well\_ How has he ever defended the city of his fathers by winning a garland? Will they fight against enemies by holding in their hands A discus, or is it by striking with shields in their hand That they will drive away the enemies from their fatherland? When the weapon approaches, no one is such a fool. Men who are wise and virtuous should be crowned With garlands, and the man who guides the city Best of all, as someone who is temperate and just, 25 And the man who wards off evil deeds by his words, By banishing contention and civic strife. For this is what Is good for the whole city and for all the Greeks.

### Cf. XEN. D61

Reflections of Heraclitus or of the Orphics (T74)

# T74 Euripides, Fragments

a from Polyidus

Who knows whether to be alive is to be dead,
While to be dead is considered to be alive down
below?

**b** Phrixus A aut B Frag. 833.1-2 K

[ΦΡ.] τίς δ' οἶδεν εἰ ζῆν τοῦθ' ὁ κέκληται θανεῖν, τὸ ζῆν δὲ θνήσκειν ἐστί; [. . .]

# Reflections of Anaxagoras (T75-T80)

### **T75**

**a** (59 A20) Schol. in Pind. Ol. 1.91 (1.38.10–39.1 Drachmann)

περὶ δὲ τοῦ ἡλίου οἱ φυσικοί φασιν ὡς λίθος καλεῖται ὁ ἥλιος καὶ ἀναξαγόρου δὲ γενόμενον τὸν Εὐριπίδην μαθητὴν πέτρον εἰρηκέναι τὸν ἥλιον διὰ τῶν προεκκειμένων

[HA.] ὁ γὰρ μακάριος, κοὖκ ὀνειδίζω τύχας, Διὸς πεφυκὼς, ὡς λέγουσι, Τάνταλος κορυφῆς ὑπερτέλλοντα δειμαίνων πέτρον ἀέρι ποτᾶται καὶ τίνει ταύτην δίκην.

καὶ πάλιν δι' ἄλλων βῶλον λέγοντα οὕτως.

[ΗΛ.] μόλοιμι τὰν οὐρανοῦ μέσον χθονός τε τεταμέναν αἰωρήμασι πέτραν ἀλύσεσι χρυσέαισι, φερομέναν δίναισι, Βῶλον ἐξ 'Ολύμπου [. . .].

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

**b** from *Phrixus* A or B

[PHRIXUS:] Who knows whether what is called death is life,
And life is death? [...]

Cf. HER. D70; cf. MOR. T32-T34

Reflections of Anaxagoras (T75-T80)

### **T75**

a (59 A20) Scholia on Pindar's Olympians

Concerning the sun, the natural philosophers say that what is called 'the sun' is a stone, and Euripides, who was Anaxagoras' student, said that the sun is a rock in the opening verses [scil. *Orestes* 4–7]:

[ELECTRA:] For the prosperous (and I do not blame chance)

Tantalus, born of Zeus, as they say, Suspended in the air, dreads the rock Hanging over his head and pays his penalty.

And again in other verses [scil. *Orestes* 982–84] he calls it a clod, speaking as follows:

[ELECTRA:] If only I could go
To the stone that extends,
Suspended
In the middle between heaven and earth,
Carried by golden chains, in vortices,
A clod from Olympus [...].

### Cf. ANAXAG. D4[6]

**b** (< 59 A1) Diog. Laert. 2.10 (*Phaethon Frag.* 783 K)

[. . .] ὅθεν καὶ Εὐριπίδην μαθητὴν ὅντα αὐτοῦ, 'χρυσέαν βῶλον' εἰπεῖν τὸν ἥλιον ἐν τῷ Φαέθοντι.

T76 (59 A91) Eur. Hel. 1-3

[ΗΛ.] Νείλου μεν αἴδε καλλιπάρθενοι ροαί, δς ἀντὶ δίας ψακάδος Αἰγύπτου πέδον λευκῆς τακείσης χιόνος ὑγραίνει γύας.

Τ77 (59 A62) Eur. Μελανίππη ή Σοφή Frag. 484 Κ κοὐκ ἐμὸς ὁ μῦθος, ἀλλ' ἐμῆς μητρὸς πάρα, ὡς οὐρανός τε γαῖά τ' ἦν μορφὴ μία: ἐπεὶ δ' ἐχωρίσθησαν ἀλλήλων δίχα, τίκτουσι πάντα κἀνέδωκαν εἰς φάος: δένδρη, πετεινά, θῆρας, οὕς θ' ἄλμη τρέφει γένος τε θνητῶν.

T78 (59 A112) Eur. Chrysippus Frag. 839 K

[xo.] Γαῖα μεγίστη καὶ Διὸς Αἰθήρ, ὁ μὲν ἀνθρώπων καὶ θεῶν γενέτωρ,

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

**b** (59 A1) Diogenes Laertius

... [scil. they say] that this is why Euripides, who was his [i.e. Anaxagoras'] student, called the sun "golden clod" in his *Phaethon*.

T76 (59 A91) Euripides, Helen

[HELEN:] These are the streams of the Nile with its beautiful nymphs,

Which, instead of divine rain, waters the lands
Of the plain of Egypt when the white snow has
melted.

### Cf. ANAXAG. D66

 ${f T77}$  (59 A62) Euripides, Fragment from The Wise Melanippe

And the tale is not mine, but it comes from my mother [i.e. Hippo, a mythical astrologer]:
That heaven and earth once had a single form;
But when they were separated from each other,
They gave birth to all things and sent them up into the light—

Trees, birds, wild beasts, and the ones that the salt sea nourishes

And the race of mortals.

### Cf. ANAXAG. D9

T78 (59 A112) Euripides, Fragment from Chrysippus [CHORUS:] Mightiest Earth, and Aether, son of Zeus—The latter is the begetter of humans and of gods,

ή δ' ύγροβόλους σταγόνας νοτίας παραδεξαμένη τίκτει θνητούς, τίκτει βοτάνην φῦλά τε θηρών δθεν οὐκ ἀδίκως

μήτηρ πάντων νενόμισται. χωρεί δ' ὀπίσω τὰ μὲν ἐκ γαίας φύντ' εἰς γαίαν, τὰ δ' ἀπ' αἰθερίου βλαστόντα γονῆς εἰς οὐράνιον πάλιν ἦλθε πόλον θνήσκει δ' οὐδὲν τῶν γιγνομένων, διακρινόμενον δ' ἄλλο πρὸς ἄλλου μορφὴν ἐτέραν ἀπέδειξεν.

#### T79 Eur.

5

10

- a Oenomaus Frag. 574 Κ
   τεκμαιρόμεσθα τοῦς παροῦσι τάφανῆ
- **b** Phoenix Frag. 811 K

τάφανῆ τεκμηρίοισιν εἰκότως ἁλίσκεται

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

The former receives the wet drops of water
And gives birth to mortals,
Gives birth to plants and the tribes of animals:
This is why it is not unjust
That she is considered the mother of all things.
What grows out of the earth
Goes back again to the earth,
What sprouts forth from an aethereal seed
Returns once again to the pole of the heavens.
Nothing of what is born dies,
But one thing, separating from another,
Presents a different shape.

Cf. ANAXAG. D15

### T79 Euripides, Fragments

### a from Oenomaus

We make conjectures (tekmatresthai) from things that are present about things that are invisible

### b from Phoenix

Things that are invisible Are detected according to plausibility from signs (tekmêria)

Cf. ALCM. D4; ANAXAG. D6

T80 (59 A33) Eur. Frag. 964 K

[ΘΗ.] ἐγὼ δὲ παρὰ σοφοῦ τινος μαθών εἰς φροντίδας νοῦν συμφοράς τ' ἐβαλλόμην φυγάς τ' ἐμαυτῷ προστιθεὶς πάτρας ἐμῆς θανάτους τ' ἀώρους καὶ κακῶν ἄλλας ὁδούς, ἵν' εἴ τι πάσχοιμ' ὧν ἐδόξαζον φρενί, μή μοι νεῶρες προσπεσὸν μᾶλλον δάκοι

A Reflection of Diogenes of Apollonia (T81)

**T81** (59 A48) Eur. Frag. 1018 K δ νοῦς γὰρ ἡμῶν ἐστιν ἐν ἑκάστῳ θεός.

Reflections of Protagoras

See PROT. P23

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

**T80** (59 A33) Euripides, Fragment from an unidentified play

[THESEUS:] Having learned from a certain wise man,
I would habitually set my mind upon anxieties and
misfortunes,

Imagining for myself exiles from my country, Untimely deaths, and other ways of evils, So that if I suffered any of the things I was imagining in my mind,

It would not gnaw me more by falling upon me unexpected.

### Cf. ANAXAG, D15

A Reflection of Diogenes of Apollonia (T81)

T81 (59 A48) Euripides, Fragment from an unidentified play

For our intelligence (nous) is a god in each of us.

Cf. DIOG. D13

Reflections of Protagoras

See PROT. P23

Reflections of Socrates? (T82–T84)

T82 Eur. Med. 1078-80

1080

[MH.] καὶ μανθάνω μὲν οἶα δρᾶν μέλλω κακά, θυμὸς δὲ κρείσσων τῶν ἔμῶν βουλευμάτων, ὅσπερ μεγίστων αἴτιος κακῶν βροτοῖς.

versus 1078-80 cum 1056-77 del. Bergk ut interpolatos

T83 Eur. Hippol. 380-83

380 [ΦΑ.] τὰ χρήστ' ἐπιστάμεσθα καὶ γιγνώσκομεν,
οὐκ ἐκπονοῦμεν δ', οἱ μὲν ἀργίας ὕπο,
οἱ δ' ἡδονὴν προθέντες ἀντὶ τοῦ καλοῦ
ἄλλην τιν'.

T84 Eur. Chrysippus Frag. 841 K

αἰαῖ· τόδ' ἤδη θεῖον ἀνθρώποις κακόν, ὅταν τις εἰδῆ τἀγαθόν, χρῆται δὲ μή.

#### DRAMATIC APPENDIX

Reflections of Socrates? (T82–T84)

T82 Euripides, Medea

[MEDEA:] And I know full well what evils I am going to commit,

But my ardor (thumos) is stronger than my plans—Ardor, the cause of the greatest evils for mortals.

1080

380

T83 Euripides, Hippolytus

[PHAEDRA:] We know and understand what is virtuous

But we do not put it into practice, some out of laziness,

Others because they set something else above virtue,

Some pleasure.

T84 Euripides, Fragment from Chrysippus

Alas! This is truly an evil for humans sent by the gods,

When one knows what is good, but does not make use of it.

See also SOC. P27.1